arXiv:1506.01452v2 [math.RT] 21 Dec 2015

A local trace formula for the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups: the archimedean case *

Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis

December 22, 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we prove, following earlier work of Waldspurger ([Wa1], [Wa4]), a sort of local relative trace formula which is related to the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups over a local field F of characteristic zero. As a consequence, we obtain a geometric formula for certain multiplicities $m(\pi)$ appearing in this conjecture and deduce from it a weak form of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture (multiplicity one in tempered L-packets). These results were already known over p-adic fields ([Beu1]) and thus are only new when $F = \mathbb{R}$.

Introduction

Let F be a local field of characteristic 0 which is different from $\mathbb{C}$. So, F is either a p-adic field (that is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$) or $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let E/F be a quadratic extension of F (if $F = \mathbb{R}$, we have $E = \mathbb{C}$) and let $W \subset V$ be a pair of hermitian spaces having the following property: the orthogonal complement $W^\perp$ of W in V is odd-dimensional and its unitary group $U(W^\perp)$ is quasi-split. To such a pair (that we call an admissible pair cf subsection 6.2), Gan, Gross and Prasad associate a triple (G, H, ξ). Here, G is equal to the product $U(W) \times U(V)$ of the unitary groups of W and V, H is a certain algebraic subgroup of G and $\xi : H(F) \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is a continuous unitary character of the F-points of H. In the case where $\dim(W^\perp) = 1$, we just have $H = U(W)$ embedded in G diagonally and the character ξ is trivial. For the definition in codimension greater than 1, we refer the reader to subsection 6.2. We call a triple like (G, H, ξ) (constructed from an admissible pair (W, V)) a GGP triple.

Let π be a tempered ireducible representation of $G(F)$. By this, we mean that π is an irreducible unitary representation of $G(F)$ whose coefficients satisfy a certain growth condition (an equivalent condition is that π belongs weakly to the regular representation of $G(F)$). We denote by π^∞ the subspace of smooth vectors in π. This subspace is $G(F)$-invariant and carries a natural topology (if $F = \mathbb{R}$, this topology makes π^∞ into a Frechet space whereas if

---

*2010 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 22E50; Secondary 11F85, 20G05

F is p-adic the topology on π^∞ doesn't play any role but in order to get a uniform treatment we endow π^∞ with its finest locally convex topology). Following Gan, Gross and Prasad, we define a multiplicity $m(\pi)$ by

$$m(\pi) = \dim Hom_H(\pi^\infty, \xi)$$

where $Hom_H(\pi^\infty, \xi)$ denotes the space of continuous linear forms ℓ on π^∞ satisfying the relation $\ell \circ \pi(h) = \xi(h)\ell$ for all $h \in H(F)$. By the main result of [JSZ] (in the real case) and [AGRS] (in the p-adic case) together with theorem 15.1 of [GGP], we know that this multiplicity is always less or equal to 1.

The main result of this paper extends this multiplicity one result to a whole L-packet of tempered representations of $G(F)$. This answers a conjecture of Gan, Gross and Prasad (conjecture 17.1 of [GGP]). Actually, the result is better stated if we consider more than one GGP triple at the same time. In any family of GGP triples that we are going to consider there is a distinguished one corresponding to the case where G and H are quasi-split over F. So, for convenience, we assume that the GGP triple (G, H, ξ) we started with satisfies this condition. The other GGP triples that we need to consider may be called the pure inner forms of (G, H, ξ). Those are naturally parametrized by the Galois cohomology set $H^1(F, H)$. A cohomology class $\alpha \in H^1(F, H)$ corresponds to a hermitian space W_α (up to isomorphism) of the same dimension as W. If we set $V_\alpha = W_\alpha \oplus^\perp W^\perp$, then (W_α, V_α) is an admissible pair and thus gives rise to a new GGP triple $(G_\alpha, H_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)$. The pure inner forms of (G, H, ξ) are exactly all the GGP triples obtained in this way.

Let φ be a tempered Langlands parameter for G. According to the local Langlands correspondence (which is now known in all cases for unitary groups cf [KMSW] and [Mok]), this parameter determines a L-packet $\Pi^G(\varphi)$ consisting of a finite number of tempered representations of $G(F)$. Actually, this parameter also defines L-packets $\Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)$ of tempered representations of $G_\alpha(F)$ for all $\alpha \in H^1(F, H)$. We can now state the main result of this paper as follows (cf theorem 12.4.1).

Theorem 1 *There exists exactly one representation π in the disjoint union of L-packets*

$$\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in H^1(F,H)} \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)$$

such that $m(\pi) = 1$.

As we said, this answers in the affirmative a conjecture of Gan-Goss-Prasad (conjecture 17.1 [GGP]). The analog of this theorem for special orthogonal groups has already been obtained by Waldspurger in the case where F is p-adic ([Wa1]). In [Beu1], the author adapted the proof of Waldspurger to deal with unitary groups but again under the assumption that F is p-adic. Hence, the only new result contained in theorem 1 is when $F = \mathbb{R}$. However, the proof we present here differs slightly from the original treatment of Waldspurger and we feel that this new approach is more amenable to generalizations. This is the main reason why we are including the p-adic case in this paper. Actually, it doesn't cost much: in many places, we have been able to treat the two cases uniformly and when we needed to make a distinction, it is often because the real case is more tricky.

As in [Wa1] and subsequently [Beu1], theorem 1 follows from a formula for the multiplicity $m(\pi)$. This formula express $m(\pi)$ in terms of the Harish-Chandra character of π. Recall that, according to Harish-Chandra, there exists a smooth function θ_π on the regular locus $G_{reg}(F)$ of $G(F)$ which is locally integrable on $G(F)$ and such that

$$Trace\, \pi(f) = \int_{G(F)} \theta_\pi(x) f(x) dx$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ (here $C_c^\infty(G(F))$ denotes the space of smooth and compactly supported functions on $G(F)$). This function θ_π is obviously unique and is called the Harish-Chandra character of π. To state the formula for the multiplicity, we need to extend the character θ_π to a function

$$c_\pi : G_{ss}(F) \to \mathbb{C}$$

on the semi-simple locus $G_{ss}(F)$ of $G(F)$. If $x \in G_{reg}(F)$, then $c_\pi(x) = \theta_\pi(x)$ but for a general element $x \in G_{ss}(F)$, $c_\pi(x)$ is in some sense the main coefficient of a certain local expansion of θ_π near x. For a precise definition of the function c_π, we refer the reader to subsection 4.5, where we consider more general functions that we call quasi-characters and which are smooth functions on $G_{reg}(F)$ having almost all of the good properties that characters of representations have. As we said, it is through the function c_π that the character θ_π will appear in the multiplicity formula. The other main ingredient of this formula is a certain space $\Gamma(G,H)$ of semi-simple conjugacy classes in $G(F)$. For a precise definition of $\Gamma(G,H)$, we refer the reader to subsection 11.1. Let us just say that $\Gamma(G,H)$ comes naturally equipped with a measure dx on it and that this measure is not generally supported in the regular locus. For example, the trivial conjugacy class $\{1\}$ is an atom for this measure whose mass is equal to 1. Apart from this two main ingredients (the function c_π and the space $\Gamma(G,H)$), the formula for the multiplicity involves two normalizing functions D^G and Δ. Here, D^G is the usual discriminant whereas Δ is some determinant function that is defined in subsection 11.1. We can now state the formula for the multiplicity as follows (cf theorem 11.2.2).

Theorem 2 *For all irreducible tempered representation π of $G(F)$, we have the equality*

$$m(\pi) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma(G,H)} c_\pi(x) D^G(x)^{1/2} \Delta(x)^{s-1/2} dx$$

The integral in the right hand side of the equality above is absolutely convergent for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$ and moreover the limit as $s \to 0^+$ exists (cf proposition 11.1.1).

As we said, theorem 1 follows from theorem 2. This is proved in the last section of this paper (section 12). Let us fix a tempered Langlands parameter φ for G. The main idea of the proof, the same as for theorem 13.3 of [Wa1], is to show that the sum

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\alpha\in H^1(F,H)} \sum_{\pi\in\Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi)$$

when expressed geometrically through theorem 2 contains a lot of cancellations which roughly come from the character relations between the various stable characters associated to φ on the pure inner forms of G. Once these cancellations are taken into account, the only remaining term is the term corresponding to the conjugacy class of the identity inside $\Gamma(G,H)$. By classical results of Rodier and Matumoto, this last term is related to the number of generic representations inside the quasi-split L-packet $\Pi^G(\varphi)$. By the generic packet conjecture, which is now known for unitary groups, we are able to show that this term is equal to 1 and this immediately implies theorem 1. Let us now explain in some more details how it works. Fix momentarily $\alpha\in H^1(F,H)$. Using theorem 2, we can express the sum

$$\sum_{\pi\in\Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi)$$

as

$$(2) \quad \lim_{s\to 0^+}\int_{\Gamma(G_\alpha,H_\alpha)} c_{\varphi,\alpha}(x)D^{G_\alpha}(x)^{1/2}\Delta(x)^{s-1/2}dx$$

where we have set $c_{\varphi,\alpha}=\sum_{\pi\in\Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} c_\pi$. One of the main properties of L-packets is that the sum of characters $\theta_{\varphi,\alpha}=\sum_{\pi\in\Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)}\theta_\pi$ defines a function on $G_{\alpha,reg}(F)$ which is stable, which here means that it is invariant by $G_\alpha(\overline{F})$-conjugation. In subsection 12.1, we define a notion of strongly stable conjugacy for semi-simple elements of $G_\alpha(F)$. This definition of stable conjugacy differs from the usually accepted one (cf [Kott1]) and is actually stronger (hence the use of the word "strongly"). The point of introducing such a notion is the following: it easily follows from the stability of $\theta_{\varphi,\alpha}$ that the function $c_{\varphi,\alpha}$ is constant on semi-simple strongly stable conjugacy classes. This allows us to further transform the expression (2) to write it as

$$\lim_{s\to 0^+}\int_{\Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha,H_\alpha)} |p^{-1}_{\alpha,stab}(x)|c_{\varphi,\alpha}(x)D^{G_\alpha}(x)^{1/2}\Delta(x)^{s-1/2}dx$$

where $\Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha,H_\alpha)$ denotes the space of strongly stable conjugacy classes in $\Gamma(G_\alpha,H_\alpha)$ and $p_{\alpha,stab}$ stands for the natural projection $\Gamma(G_\alpha,H_\alpha)\twoheadrightarrow\Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha,H_\alpha)$ (thus $|p^{-1}_{\alpha,stab}(x)|$ is just the number of conjugacy classes in $\Gamma(G_\alpha,H_\alpha)$ belonging to the strongly stable conjugacy class of x). Returning to the sum (1), we can now write it as

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\alpha\in H^1(F,H)} \lim_{s\to 0^+}\int_{\Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha,H_\alpha)} |p^{-1}_{\alpha,stab}(x)|c_{\varphi,\alpha}(x)D^{G_\alpha}(x)^{1/2}\Delta(x)^{s-1/2}dx$$

A second very important property of L-packets is that the stable character $\theta_{\varphi,\alpha}$ is related in a simple manner to the stable character $\theta_{\varphi,1}$ on the quasi-split form $G(F)$. More precisely, Kottwitz has defined a sign $e(G_\alpha)$ ([Kott2]) such that we have $\theta_{\varphi,\alpha}(y)=e(G_\alpha)\theta_{\varphi,1}(x)$ as soon as $y \in G_{\alpha,reg}(F)$ and $x \in G_{reg}(F)$ are stably conjugated regular elements (i.e. are conjugated over the algebraic closure where $G_\alpha(\overline{F}) = G(\overline{F})$). Once again, this relation extends to the functions $c_{\varphi,\alpha}$ and $c_{\varphi,1}$ and we have $c_{\varphi,\alpha}(y) = e(G_\alpha)c_{\varphi,1}(x)$ for all strongly stably conjugated elements $y \in G_{\alpha,ss}(F)$ and $x \in G_{ss}(F)$. As it happens, and contrary to the regular case, there might exist semi-simple elements in $G_\alpha(F)$ which are not strongly stably conjugated to any element of the quasi-split form $G(F)$. However, we can show that the function $c_{\varphi,\alpha}$ vanishes on such elements $x \in G_{\alpha,ss}(F)$. Therefore, these conjugacy classes don't contribute to the sum (3) and transferring the remaining terms to $G(F)$, we can express (3) as a single integral

$$\lim_{s\to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma(G,H)} \left(\sum_{y\sim_{stab} x} e(G_{\alpha(y)}) \right) c_{\varphi,1}(x) D^G(x)^{1/2} \Delta(x)^{s-1/2} dx$$

where the sum

$$(4) \qquad \sum_{y\sim_{stab} x} e(G_{\alpha(y)})$$

is over the conjugacy classes y in the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{\alpha\in H^1(F,H)} \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ that are strongly stably conjugated to x and $\alpha(y) \in H^1(F,H)$ denotes the only cohomology class such that y lives in $\Gamma(G_{\alpha(y)}, H_{\alpha(y)})$. There is a natural anisotropic torus $T_x \subset H$ associated to $x \in \Gamma_{stab}(G,H)$ such that the set of conjugacy classes in $\bigsqcup_{\alpha\in H^1(F,H)} \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ lying inside the strongly stable conjugacy class of x is naturally in bijection with $H^1(F,T_x)$ (cf subsection 12.5 for the definition of T_x). Moreover, for $y \in H^1(F,T_x)$, the cohomology class $\alpha(y)$ is just the image of y via the natural map $H^1(F,T_x) \to H^1(F,H)$. Hence, the sum (4) equals

$$(5) \qquad \sum_{y\in H^1(F,T_x)} e(G_{\alpha(y)})$$

In order to further analyze this sum, we need to recall the definition of the sign $e(G_\alpha)$. In [Kott2], Kottwitz constructs a natural map $H^1(F,G) \to H^2(F,\{\pm 1\}) = Br_2(F)$ from $H^1(F,G)$ to the 2-torsion subgroup of the Brauer group of F. Since F is either p-adic or real, we have an isomorphism $Br_2(F) \simeq \{\pm 1\}$. The sign $e(G_\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in H^1(F,H)$ is now just the image of α by the composition of this map with $H^1(F,H) \to H^1(F,G)$. Following Kottwitz's definition, it is not hard to see that the composition $H^1(F,T_x) \to H^1(F,G) \to Br_2(F)$ is a group homomorphism. Moreover, it turns out that for $x \neq 1$ this homomorphism is surjective and this immediately implies that for such an x the sum (5) is zero. Going back to (3), we are only left with the contribution of $1 \in \Gamma(G,H)$ which is equal to

$$c_{\varphi,1}(1)$$

By a result of Rodier ([Ro]) in the p-adic case and of Matumoto (theorem C of [Mat]) in the real case, the term $c_{\varphi,1}(1)$ has an easy interpretation in terms of Whittaker models. More precisely, this term equals the number of representations in the L-packet $\Pi^G(\varphi)$ having a Whittaker model, a representation being counted as many times as the number of types of

Whittaker models it has, divided by the number of types of Whittaker models for $G(F)$. A third important property of L-packets is that $\Pi^G(\varphi)$ contains exactly one representation having a Whittaker model of a given type. It easily follows from this that $c_{\varphi,1}(1)=1$. Hence, the sum (1) equals 1 and this ends our explanation of how theorem 2 implies theorem 1.

The proof of theorem 2 is more involved and takes up most of this paper. It is at this point that our strategy differs from the one of Waldspurger. In what follows, we explain the motivations and the main steps of the proof of theorem 2. Consider the unitary representation $L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F),\xi)$ of $G(F)$. It is the L^2-induction of the character ξ from $H(F)$ to $G(F)$ and it consists in the measurable functions $\varphi: G(F)\to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the relation $\varphi(hg)=\xi(h)\varphi(g)$ ($h\in H(F)$, $g\in G(F)$) almost everywhere and such that

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} |\varphi(x)|^2 dx < \infty$$

The action of $G(F)$ on $L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F),\xi)$ is given by right translation. Since the triple (G,H,ξ) is of a very particular form, the direct integral decomposition of $L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F),\xi)$ only involves tempered representations and moreover an irreducible tempered representation π of $G(F)$ appears in this decomposition if and only if $m(\pi)=1$. It is thus natural for our problem to study this big representation $L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F),\xi)$. A function $f\in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ naturally acts on this space by

$$\left(R(f)\varphi\right)(x)=\int_{G(F)} f(g)\varphi(xg)dg, \quad \varphi\in L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F),\xi),\ x\in G(F)$$

Moreover, this operator $R(f)$ is actually a kernel operator. More precisely, we have

$$\left(R(f)\varphi\right)(x)=\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K_f(x,y)\varphi(y)dy, \quad \varphi\in L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F),\xi),\ x\in G(F)$$

where

$$K_f(x,y)=\int_{H(F)} f(x^{-1}hy)\xi(h)dh, \quad x,y\in G(F)$$

is the kernel function associated to f. In order to study the representation $L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F),\xi)$, we would like to compute the trace of $R(f)$ (because for example it would give some informations about the characters of the representations appearing in $L^2(H(F)\backslash G(F),\xi)$). Formally, we may write

$$(6)\quad Trace\ R(f)" = " \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K(f,x)dx$$

where $K(f,x)=K_f(x,x)$, $x\in H(F)\backslash G(F)$, is the restriction of the kernel to the diagonal. Unfortunately, neither of the two sides of the equality (6) makes sense in general: the operator $R(f)$ is not generally of trace class and the integral of the right hand side is not usualy convergent. The first main step towards the proof of theorem 2 is to prove that nevertheless the expression in the right hand side of (6) still makes sense for a wide range of functions f. A function $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ is said to be strongly cuspidal if for all proper parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ of G, we have

$$\int_{U(F)} f(mu)du = 0, \quad \text{for all } m \in M(F)$$

In section 7, we prove the following (theorem 7.1.1).

Theorem 3 *For all strongly cuspidal function $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$, the integral*

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K(f,x)dx$$

is absolutely convergent.

We actually prove more: we show that the above integral is absolutely convergent for all strongly cuspidal function in the Harish-Chandra-Schwartz space $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ rather than just $C_c^\infty(G(F))$. This seemingly technical detail is in fact rather important since in the real case the author was only able to construct sufficiently strongly cuspidal functions in the space $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and not in $C_c^\infty(G(F))$.

Once we have theorem 3, we can consider the distribution

$$f \mapsto J(f) = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K(f,x)dx$$

which is defined on the subspace $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ of strongly cuspidal functions in $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$. The next two steps towards the proof of theorem 2 is to give two rather different expressions for the distribution $J(.)$. The first expansion that we prove is spectral. It involves a natural space $\mathcal{X}(G)$ of tempered representations. In fact, elements of $\mathcal{X}(G)$ are not really tempered representations but rather virtual tempered representations. The space $\mathcal{X}(G)$ is build up from Arthur's elliptic representations of the group G and of all of its Levi subgroups. We refer the reader to subsection 2.7 for a precise definition of $\mathcal{X}(G)$. Here, we only need to know that $\mathcal{X}(G)$ comes equipped with a natural measure $d\pi$ on it. For all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}(G)$, Arthur has defined a weighted character

$$f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \mapsto J_{M(\pi)}(\pi, f)$$

Here, $M(\pi)$ denotes the Levi subgroup from which the representation π comes from (more precisely, π is parabolically induced from an elliptic representation of $M(\pi)$). When $M(\pi) = G$, the distribution $J_G(\pi,.)$ simply reduces to the usual character of π, that is $J_G(\pi, f) = Trace\, \pi(f)$. When $M(\pi) \neq G$, the definition of the distribution $J_{M(\pi)}(\pi,.)$ is more involved and actually depends on some auxiliary choices (a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(F)$ and some normalization of intertwining operators). However, it can be shown that the restriction of $J_{M(\pi)}(\pi,.)$ to $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ doesn't depend on any of these choices. For $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$, we define a function $\widehat{\theta}_f$ on $\mathcal{X}(G)$ by

$$\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi) = (-1)^{a_{M(\pi)}} J_{M(\pi)}(\pi, f), \quad \pi \in \mathcal{X}(G)$$

where $a_{M(\pi)}$ is the dimension of $A_{M(\pi)}$ the maximal central split subtorus of $M(\pi)$. The spectral expansion of the distribution $J(.)$ now reads as follows (cf theorem 9.1.1):

Theorem 4 *For every strongly cuspidal function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$, we have*

$$J(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)m(\pi)d\pi$$

The factor $D(\pi)$ appearing in the formula above is a certain determinant function which comes from Arthur's definition of elliptic representations. What is really important in the above spectral expansion of $J(.)$ is the appearance of the abstractly defined multiplicity $m(\pi)$. Its presence is due to the existence of an explicit description of the space $Hom_H(\pi^\infty, \xi)$. More precisely, for π an irreducible tempered representation of $G(F)$, we may define a certain hermitian form $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ on π^∞ by

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(e,e') = \int^*_{H(F)} (e, \pi(h)e')\xi(h)dh, \quad e,e' \in \pi^\infty$$

The above integral is not necessarily absolutely convergent and needs to be regularized (cf subsection 8.1), it is why we put a star at the top of the integral sign. In any case, $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ is continuous and satisfies the intertwining relation

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(h)e, \pi(h')e') = \xi(h)\overline{\xi(h')}\mathcal{L}_\pi(e,e'), \quad e,e' \in \pi^\infty, \ h,h' \in H(F)$$

In particular, we see that for all $e' \in \pi^\infty$ the linear form $e \in \pi^\infty \mapsto \mathcal{L}_\pi(e,e')$ belongs to $Hom_H(\pi^\infty, \xi)$. Hence, if $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ is not zero so is $m(\pi)$. In section 8, we prove that the converse is also true. Namely, we have (cf theorem 8.2.1)

Theorem 5 *For every irreducible tempered representation π of $G(F)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow m(\pi) \neq 0$$

This theorem has already been established in [Beu1] when F is p-adic (théorème 14.3.1 of [Beu1]). An analogous result for special orthogonal groups was proved previously by Waldspurger in [Wa4] (proposition 5.7) and then reproved in a different manner by Y. Sakellaridis and A. Venkatesh in [SV] (theorem 6.4.1) in a more general setting but under the additional assumption that the group is split. The proof given in [Beu1] followed closely the treatment of Sakellaridis and Venkatesh whereas here we have been able to give an uniform proof in both the p-adic and the real case which is closer to the original work of Waldspurger.

As already explained, theorem 5 is a crucial step in the proof of the spectral expansion (theorem 4). Actually, once theorem 5 is established, theorem 4 essentially reduces to the spectral expansion of Arthur's local trace formula ([A1]) together with an argument allowing us to switch two integrals. This step is carried out in section 9.

We now come to the geometric expansion of $J(.)$. It involves again the space of conjugacy classes $\Gamma(G,H)$ that appears in the formula for the multiplicity (theorem 2). The other main ingredient is a function $c_f : G_{ss}(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ that is going to take the role played by the function c_π in the multiplicity formula. The definition of c_f involves the weighted orbital integrals of Arthur. Recall that for all Levi subgroup M of G and all $x \in M(F) \cap G_{reg}(F)$, Arthur has defined a certain distribution

$$f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \mapsto J_M(x,f)$$

called a weighted orbital integral. If $M = G$, it simply reduces to the usual orbital integral

$$J_G(x,f) = \int_{G_x(F)\backslash G(F)} f(g^{-1}xg)dg, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$$

When $M \neq G$, the distribution $J_M(x,.)$ depends on the choice of a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(F)$. However, as for weighted characters, the restriction of $J_M(x,.)$ to the subspace $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ of strongly cuspidal functions doesn't depend on such a choice. For $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$, we define a function θ_f on $G_{reg}(F)$ by

$$\theta_f(x) = (-1)^{a_{M(x)}} J_{M(x)}(x,f), \quad x \in G_{reg}(F)$$

where $M(x)$ denotes the minimal Levi subgroup of G containing x and $a_{M(x)}$ denotes, as before, the dimension of $A_{M(x)}$ the maximal central split subtorus of $M(x)$. The function θ_f is invariant and we can show that it shares a lot of the good properties that characters of representations have. It is what we call a quasi-character (cf section 4). In particular, as for characters, there is a natural extension of θ_f to a function

$$c_f : G_{ss}(F) \to \mathbb{C}$$

and we can now state the geometric expansion of $J(.)$ as follows (cf theorem 11.2.1).

Theorem 6 *For all strongly cuspidal function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$, we have*

$$J(f) = \lim_{s\to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma(G,H)} c_f(x) D^G(x)^{1/2} \Delta(x)^{s-1/2} dx$$

Once again, the expression of the right hand side of the equality above is absolutely convergent for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$ and the limit as $s \to 0^+$ exists (cf proposition 11.1.1).

It is from the equality between the two expansions of theorem 4 and theorem 6 that we deduce the formula for the multiplicity (theorem 2). To be more precise, we fist prove the spectral expansion (theorem 4) and then we proceed to show the geometric expansion (theorem 6) and the formula for the multiplicity (theorem 2) together in a common inductive proof. The main reason fo proceeding this way and not in a more linear order is that we use the spectral expansion together with the multiplicity formula for some "smaller" GGP triples in order to show that the distribution $J(.)$ is supported in the elliptic locus $G(F)_{ell}$ of $G(F)$. This fact is used crucially in the proof of theorem 6 and the author was not able to give an independent proof of it.

We now give a quick description of the content of each section of this paper. The fist two sections are mainly intended to set up the notations, fix some normalizations and remind the reader of some well-known results. In particular, the second section contains the basic material we will be using on tempered representations. It includes a strong statement of the Harish-Chandra-Plancherel theorem sometimes called matricial Paley-Wiener theorem which in the p-adic case is due to Harish-Chandra ([Wa2]) and in the real case is due to Arthur ([A2]). In section 3, we recall the Harish-Chandra technics of descent. There are two: descent from the group to the centralizer of one of its semi-simple element (semi-simple descent) and descent from the group to its Lie algebra. In both cases, the descent takes the form of a map between some function spaces. We will be particularly concerned by the behavior of invariant differential operator (in the real case) under these two types of descent and we collect the relevant results there. The last subsection (3.4) is devoted to a third type of descent that we may call parabolic descent. However, we will be mainly interested in the dual of this map which allows us to "induce" invariant distributions of Levi subgroups. In section 4, we define the notion of quasi-characters and develop the main features of those functions that in many ways looks like characters. The main results of this section are, in the p-adic case, already contained in [Wa1] and so we focus mainly on the real case. Section 5 is devoted to the study of strongly cuspidal functions. In particular, it is in this section that we define the functions $\widehat{\theta}_f$ and θ_f to which we alluded before. This section also contains a version of Arthur's local trace formula for strongly cuspidal function (theorems 5.5.1 and 5.5.2). The proof of these two theorems, which are really just slight variation around Arthur's local trace formula, will appear elsewhere ([Beu2]). In section 6, we define the GGP triples, the multiplicity $m(\pi)$ and we show some estimates that will be needed in the proof of the main theorems. It is in section 7 that we prove theorem 3. We also show an analog theorem on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ of $G(F)$ and this allows us to define a distribution $J^{Lie}(.)$ on the Lie algebra which is the analog of the distribution $J(.)$. In section 8, we prove theorem 5. The purpose of section 9 is to prove the spectral expansion (theorem 4). As we said the main ingredient in the proof is theorem 5. In section 10, we establish some "spectral" expansion for the Lie algebra analog J^{Lie}. More precisely, we express $J^{Lie}(f)$ in terms of weighted orbital integrals of $\widehat{f}$, the Fourier transform of the function f. Section 11 contains the proofs of theorem 6 and theorem 2. As we said, these two theorems are proved together. The last section, section 12, is devoted to the proof of the main result of this paper (theorem 1) following the outline given above. Finally, I collected in two appendices some definitions and results that are used throughout the text. Appendix A is concerned with locally convex topological vector spaces and particularly smooth and holomorphic maps taking values in such spaces whereas appendix B contains some general estimates.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Patrick Delorme for providing me with the unpublished work of Arthur [A8]. I am particularly indebted to Jean-Loup Waldspurger for his very careful readings and comments on earlier versions of this paper. It will, I think, be obvious to the reader how much this paper owes to the pioneering work of Waldspurger and I would also like to thank him for sharing so generously his insights on this problem over the past few years. This work was supported by the Gould Fund and by the National Science Foundation under agreement No. DMS-1128155. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. Finally, I thank Hang Xue for helpful discussions about the material to be found in section 8.

Contents

1 Preliminarities **14**
- 1.1 General notations and conventions . . . 14
- 1.2 Reminder of norms on algebraic varieties . . . 18
- 1.3 A useful lemma . . . 21
- 1.4 Usual functions spaces . . . 25
- 1.5 Harish-Chandra-Schwartz space . . . 27
- 1.6 Measures . . . 32
- 1.7 Orbital integrals and their Fourier transforms . . . 34
- 1.8 Spaces of conjugacy classes . . . 35
- 1.9 (G, M)-families . . . 37
- 1.10 Weighted orbital integral . . . 39

2 Representations **40**
- 2.1 Smooth representations, Elliptic regularity . . . 40
- 2.2 Unitary and tempered representations . . . 42
- 2.3 Parabolic induction . . . 46
- 2.4 Normalized intertwining operators . . . 50
- 2.5 Weighted characters . . . 52
- 2.6 Matricial Paley-Wiener theorem and Plancherel-Harish-Chandra theorem . . . 53
- 2.7 Elliptic representations and the space $\mathcal{X}(G)$ . . . 56

3 Harish-Chandra descents **58**
- 3.1 Invariant analysis . . . 58
- 3.2 Semi-simple descent . . . 64
- 3.3 Descent from the group to its Lie algebra . . . 69
- 3.4 Parabolic induction of invariant distributions . . . 73

4 Quasi-characters **75**
4.1 Quasi-characters when F is p-adic 75
4.2 Quasi-characters on the Lie algebra for $F = \mathbb{R}$ 78
4.3 Local expansions of quasi-characters on the Lie algebra when $F = \mathbb{R}$ 92
4.4 Quasi-characters on the group when $F = \mathbb{R}$ 94
4.5 Functions c_θ 100
4.6 Homogeneous distributions on spaces of quasi-characters 102
4.7 Quasi-characters and parabolic induction 106
4.8 Quasi-characters associated to tempered representations 109

5 Strongly cuspidal functions **111**
5.1 Definition, first properties 111
5.2 Weighted orbital integrals of strongly cuspidal functions 113
5.3 Spectral characterization of strongly cuspidal functions 114
5.4 Weighted characters of strongly cuspidal functions 115
5.5 The local trace formulas for strongly cuspidal functions 121
5.6 Strongly cuspidal functions and quasi-characters 123
5.7 Lifts of strongly cuspidal functions 128

6 The Gan-Gross-Prasad triples **136**
6.1 Hermitian spaces and unitary groups 136
6.2 Definition of GGP triples 137
6.3 The multiplicity $m(\pi)$ 140
6.4 $H\backslash G$ is a spherical variety, good parabolic subgroups 141
6.5 Some estimates 147
6.6 Relative weak Cartan decompositions 153
  6.6.1 Relative weak Cartan decomposition for G_0 153
  6.6.2 Relative weak Cartan decomposition for G 154
6.7 The function $\Xi^{H\backslash G}$ and the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space of $H\backslash G$ 156
6.8 Parabolic degenerations 165

7 The distributions J and J^{Lie} **168**
7.1 The distribution J 168
7.2 The distribution J^{Lie} 183

8 Explicit tempered intertwinings **183**
8.1 The ξ-integral 183
8.2 Definition of $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ 186
8.3 Asymptotics of tempered intertwinings 192
8.4 Explicit intertwinings and parabolic induction 197
8.5 Proof of theorem 8.2.1 207
8.6 A corollary 210

9 Spectral expansion **212**
9.1 The theorem 212
9.2 Study of an auxiliary distribution 212
9.3 End of the proof of 9.1.1 218

10 The spectral expansion of J^{Lie} **219**
10.1 The affine subspace Σ 219
10.2 Conjugation by N 220
10.3 Characteristic polynomial 222
10.4 Characterization of Σ' 223
10.5 Conjugacy classes in Σ' 225
10.6 Borel subalgebras and Σ' 227
10.7 The quotient $\Sigma'(F)/H(F)$ 232
10.8 The spectral expansion of J^{Lie} 236

11 Geometric expansions and a formula for the multiplicity **250**
11.1 The linear form m_{geom} 250
11.2 Statement of three theorems 265
11.3 Equivalence of theorem 11.2.1 and theorem 11.2.2 266
11.4 Semi-simple descent and the support of $J_{qc} - m_{geom}$ 268
11.5 Descent to the Lie algebra and equivalence of theorem 11.2.1 and theorem 11.2.3271
11.6 A first approximation of $J_{qc}^{Lie} - m_{geom}^{Lie}$ 273
11.7 End of the proof 274

12 An application to the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture **275**
12.1 Strongly stable conjugacy classes, transfer between pure inner forms and the Kottwitz sign 275
12.2 Pure inner forms of a GGP triple 279
12.3 The local Langlands correspondence 280
12.4 The theorem 281
12.5 Stable conjugacy classes inside $\Gamma(G, H)$ 281
12.6 Proof of theorem 12.4.1 286

A Topological vector spaces **289**
A.1 LF spaces 289
A.2 Vector-valued integrals 290
A.3 Smooth maps with values in topological vector spaces 291
A.4 Holomorphic maps with values in topological vector spaces 294
A.5 Completed projective tensor product, nuclear spaces 295

B Some estimates **298**
B.1 Three lemmas 298
B.2 Asymptotics of tempered Whittaker functions for general linear groups 299

B.3 Unipotent estimates 301

1 Preliminarities

1.1 General notations and conventions

Throughout this paper, we fix a field F which is either p-adic (i.e. a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$) or $\mathbb{R}$ the field of real numbers. We denote by $|.|$ the normalized absolute value on F i.e. for every Haar measure dx on F we have $d(ax) = |a|dx$, for all $a \in F$. We fix once and for all an algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ for F. We will also denote by $|.|$ the unique extension of the absolute value to $\overline{F}$. All varieties, schemes, algebraic groups will be assumed, unless otherwise specified, to be defined over F. Moreover we will identify any algebraic variety X defined over F or $\overline{F}$ with its set of $\overline{F}$-points. For G a locally compact separable group (for example the F-points of an algebraic groups defined over F), we will usually denote by d_Lg (resp. d_Rg) a left (resp. a right) Haar measure on G. If the group is unimodular then we will usually denote both by dg. Finally δ_G will stand for the modular character of G that is defined by $d_L(gg'^{-1}) = \delta_G(g')d_Lg$ for all $g \in G$.

We fix, until the end of section 5, a connected reductive group G over F. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra and by

$$G \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$$
$$(g, X) \mapsto gXg^{-1}$$

the adjoint action. A sentence like "Let $P = MU$ be a parabolic subgroup of G" will mean as usual that P is defined over F, U is its unipotent radical and that M is a Levi component of P defined over F. We define an integer $\delta(G)$ by

$$\delta(G) = \dim(G) - \dim(T)$$

where T is any maximal torus of G. It is also the dimension of any regular conjugacy class in G.

Let us recall some of the usual objects attached to G. We shall denote by Z_G the center of G and by A_G its split component. We define the real vector space

$$\mathcal{A}_G = Hom(X^*(G), \mathbb{R})$$

and its dual

$$\mathcal{A}_G^* = X^*(G) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

where $X^*(G)$ stands for the module of F-rational characters of G. We have a natural homomorphism

$$H_G : G(F) \to \mathcal{A}_G$$

given by

$$\langle \chi, H_G(g)\rangle = \log\left(|\chi(g)|\right), \quad g \in G(F),\ \chi \in X^*(G)$$

Set $\mathcal{A}_{G,F} = H_G(G(F))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{G,F} = H_G(A_G(F))$. In the real case, we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{G,F} = \mathcal{A}_{G,F} = \mathcal{A}_G$. In the p-adic case, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{G,F}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{G,F}$ are both lattices inside $\mathcal{A}_G$. We also set $\mathcal{A}_{G,F}^\vee = Hom(\mathcal{A}_{G,F}, 2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{G,F}^\vee = Hom(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{G,F}, 2\pi\mathbb{Z})$. In the p-adic case, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{G,F}^\vee$ and $\mathcal{A}_{G,F}^\vee$ are this time lattices inside $\mathcal{A}_G^*$ whereas in the real case we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{G,F}^\vee = \mathcal{A}_{G,F}^\vee = 0$. We set $\mathcal{A}_{G,F}^* = \mathcal{A}_G^*/\mathcal{A}_{G,F}^\vee$ and we identify $i\mathcal{A}_{G,F}^*$ with the group of unitary unramified characters of $G(F)$ by mean of the pairing $(\lambda, g) \in i\mathcal{A}_{G,F}^* \times G(F) \mapsto e^{\langle \lambda, H_G(g)\rangle}$. We shall also denote by $\mathcal{A}_{G,\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{G,\mathbb{C}}^*$ the complexifications of $\mathcal{A}_G$ and $\mathcal{A}_G^*$.

Let $P = MU$ be a parabolic subgroup of G. Of course, the previous constructions apply to M. We will denote by $R(A_M, P)$ the set of nonzero roots of A_M in the Lie algebra of P. If K is a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case, we have the Iwasawa decomposition $G(F) = M(F)U(F)K$. We may then choose maps $m_P : G(F) \to M(F)$, $u_P : G(F) \to U(F)$ and $k_P : G(F) \to K$ such that $g = m_P(g)u_P(g)k_P(g)$ for all $g \in G(F)$. We then extend the homomorphism H_M to a map $H_P : G(F) \to \mathcal{A}_M$ by setting $H_P(g) = H_M(m_P(g))$. This extension depends of course on the maximal compact K but it restriction to $P(F)$ doesn't and is given by $H_P(mu) = H_M(m)$ for all $m \in M(F)$ and all $u \in U(F)$. By a Levi subgroup of G we mean a subgroup of G which is the Levi component of some parabolic subgroup of G. We will also use Arthur's notations: if M is a levi subgroup of G, then we denote by $\mathcal{P}(M)$, $\mathcal{L}(M)$ and $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the finite sets of parabolic subgroups admitting M as a Levi component, of Levi subgroups containing M and of parabolic subgroups containing M respectively. If $M \subset L$ are two Levi subgroups, we set $\mathcal{A}_M^L = \mathcal{A}_M/\mathcal{A}_L$. We have a canonical decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}_M = \mathcal{A}_L \oplus \mathcal{A}_M^L$$

and its dual

$$\mathcal{A}_M^* = \mathcal{A}_L^* \oplus \left(\mathcal{A}_M^L\right)^*$$

If H is an algebraic group, we shall denote by H^0 its neutral connected component. For $x \in G$ (resp. $X \in \mathfrak{g}$), we denote by $Z_G(x)$ (resp. $Z_G(X)$) the centralizer of x (resp. X) in G and by $G_x = Z_G(x)^0$ (resp. $G_X = Z_G(X)^0$) the neutral component of the centralizer. Recall that if $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple then $Z_G(X) = G_X$. We will denote by G_{ss} and G_{reg} (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}$) the subsets of semi-simple and regular semi-simple elements in G (resp. in $\mathfrak{g}$) respectively. For all subset A of $G(F)$ (resp. of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$), we will denote by A_{reg} the intersection $A \cap G_{reg}(F)$ (resp. $A \cap \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$) and by A_{ss} the intersection $A \cap G_{ss}(F)$ (resp. $A \cap \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(F)$). We will usually denote by $\mathcal{T}(G)$ a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of maximal tori in G. Recall that a maximal torus T of G is said to be elliptic if $A_T = A_G$. Elliptic maximal tori always exist in the p-adic case but not necessarily in the real case. An element $x \in G(F)$ will be said to be elliptic if it belongs to some elliptic maximal torus (in particular it is semi-simple). Similarly an element $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ will be said to be elliptic if it belongs to the Lie algebra of some elliptic maximal torus. We will denote by $G(F)_{ell}$ and $\mathfrak{g}(F)_{ell}$ the subsets of elliptic elements in $G(F)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ respectively. We will also set $G_{reg}(F)_{ell} = G(F)_{ell} \cap G_{reg}(F)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)_{ell} = \mathfrak{g}(F)_{ell} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. For all $x \in G_{ss}(F)$ (resp. all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(F)$), we set

$$D^G(x) = \left|\det(1 - Ad(x))_{|\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_x}\right| \quad \left(\text{resp. } D^G(X) = \left|\det\ ad(X)_{|\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_X}\right|\right)$$

If a group H acst on a set X and A is a subset of X, we shall denote by $Norm_H(A)$ the normalizer of A in H. For all Levi subgroup M and all maximal torus T of G, we will denote by $W(G, M)$ and $W(G, T)$ the Weyl groups of $M(F)$ and $T(F)$ respectively, that is

$$W(G, M) = Norm_{G(F)}(M)/M(F) \quad \text{and} \quad W(G, T) = Norm_{G(F)}(T)/T(F)$$

If f is a function on either $G(F)$ or $\mathfrak{g}(F)$, for all $g \in G(F)$ we will denote by ${}^g f$ the function $f \circ Ad(g)$. We shall denote by R and L the natural actions of $G(F)$ on functions on $G(F)$ given by right and left translation respectively. That is $\left(R(g)f\right)(\gamma) = f(\gamma g)$ and $\left(L(g)f\right)(\gamma) = f(g^{-1}\gamma)$ for all function f and all $g, \gamma \in G(F)$.

Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then, we will denote by $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ the envelopping algebra of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C})$ and by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ its center. The right and left actions of $G(F)$ on smooth functions on $G(F)$ of course extends to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. We still denote by R and L these actions. For $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, we will simply set $zf = R(z)f = L(z)f$ for all $f \in C^\infty(G(F))$. We will also denote by $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ the symmetric algebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ respectively. We will identify $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ with the algebra of complex-valued polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{g}$ and we will identify $S(\mathfrak{g})$ with the algebra of differential operators on $\mathfrak{g}$ with constant coefficients. More precisely for $u \in S(\mathfrak{g})$, we shall denote by $\partial(u)$ the corresponding differential operator. We denote by $I(\mathfrak{g})$ and $I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ the subalgebras of G-invariant elements in $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ respectively.We will also need the algebra $Diff(\mathfrak{g})$ of differential operators with polynomial coefficients on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. We will denote by $u \mapsto u^*$ the unique $\mathbb{C}$-algebra automorphism of both $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S(\mathfrak{g})$ that sends every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ to $-X$. We then have

$$\int_{G(F)} \left(R(u)f_1\right)(g) f_2(g) dg = \int_{G(F)} f_1(g) \left(R(u^*)f_2\right)(g) dg, \quad \text{for all } f_1, f_2 \in C_c^\infty(G(F)), u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} \left(\partial(u)f_1\right)(X) f_2(X) dX = \int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} f_1(X) \left(\partial(u^*)f_2\right)(X) dX, \quad \text{for all } f_1, f_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F)), u \in S(\mathfrak{g})$$

For all maximal torus T of G, the Harish-Chandra homomorphism provides us with an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{t})^{W(G_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}})}$$

$$z \mapsto z_T$$

where $W(G_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}})$ denotes the Weyl group of $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. Assume that H is a connected reductive subgroup of G of the same rank as G, for example H can be a Levi subgroup or the connected centralizer of a semi-simple element. Let $T \subset H$ be a maximal torus. Since $W(H_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset W(G_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}})$, the Harish-Chandra isomorphism for T induces an injective homomorphism

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h}) \\ z \mapsto z_H\end{gathered}$$

which is in fact independent of T and such that the extension $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})/\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is finite. Similarly, over the Lie algebra we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{cc} I(\mathfrak{g}^*) \simeq S(\mathfrak{t}^*)^{W(G_{\mathbb{C}},T_{\mathbb{C}})} & I(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{t})^{W(G_{\mathbb{C}},T_{\mathbb{C}})} \\ p \mapsto p_T & u \mapsto u_T \end{array}$$

The first one is just the "restriction to $\mathfrak{t}$" homomorphism, the second one may be deduced from the first one once we choose a G-equivariant isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^*$ (but it doesn't depend on such a choice). As for the group, if H is a connected reductive subgroup of G of the same rank as G, we deduce from these isomorphisms two injective homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{cc} I(\mathfrak{g}^*) \simeq I(\mathfrak{h}^*) & I(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq I(\mathfrak{h}) \\ p \mapsto p_H & u \mapsto u_H \end{array}$$

which are such that the extensions $I(\mathfrak{h}^*)/I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $I(\mathfrak{h})/I(\mathfrak{g})$ are finite. Also the two isomorphisms $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{t})^{W(G_{\mathbb{C}},T_{\mathbb{C}})}$ and $I(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{t})^{W(G_{\mathbb{C}},T_{\mathbb{C}})}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq I(\mathfrak{g})$ that we shall denote by $z \mapsto u_z$.

We will also adopt the following slightly imprecise but convenient notations. If f and g are positive functions on a set X, we will write

$$f(x) \ll g(x) \text{ for all } x \in X$$

and we will say that f is essentially bounded by g, if there exists a $c > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \leqslant cg(x), \text{ for all } x \in X$$

We will also say that f and g are equivalent and we will write

$$f(x) \sim g(x) \text{ for all } x \in X$$

if both f is essentially bounded by g and g is essentially bounded by f.

1.2 Reminder of norms on algebraic varieties

We will make an heavy use of the notion of norm on varieties over local field introduced by Kottwitz in [Kott3]. Actually, we will use a slight variation of Kottwitz's norms that is more convenient for us and that we will call log-norms because these are essentially logarithms of Kottwitz's norms. For the convenience of the reader, we will recall here the definitions and main features of these log-norms.

First, an abstract log-norm on a set X is just a real-valued function $x \mapsto \sigma(x)$ on X such that $\sigma(x) \geqslant 1$, for all $x \in X$. For two abstract log-norms σ_1 and σ_2 on X, we will say that σ_2 dominates σ_1 if

$$\sigma_1(x) \ll \sigma_2(x)$$

for all $x \in X$ in which case we shall write $\sigma_1 \ll \sigma_2$. We will say that σ_1 and σ_2 are equivalent if each of them dominates the other and in this case we will write $\sigma_1 \sim \sigma_2$.

Let X be an affine algebraic variety over $\overline{F}$ and denote by $\mathcal{O}(X)$ its ring of regular functions. Choosing a set of generators $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ of the $\overline{F}$-algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$, we can define an abstract log-norm σ_X on X by setting

$$\sigma_X(x) = 1 + \log\left(max\{1, |f_1(x)|, \ldots, |f_m(x)|\}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. The equivalence class of σ_X doesn't depend on the choice of $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ and by a log-norm on X we will mean any abstract log-norm in this equivalence class. Note that if U is the principal Zariski open subset of X defined by the non-vanishing of $Q \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, then we have

$$\sigma_U(x) \sim \sigma_X(x) + \log\left(2 + |Q(x)|^{-1}\right)$$

for all $x \in U$.

More generally, let X be any algebraic variety over $\overline{F}$. Choose a finite covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of X by open affine subsets and fix log-norms σ_{U_i} on U_i, $i \in I$. Then

$$\sigma_X(x) = \inf\{\sigma_{U_i}(x); i \in I \text{ such that } x \in U_i\}$$

defines an abstract log-norm on X the equivalence class of which doesn't depend on the various choices. An abstract log-norm in this equivalence class will be just called a log-norm on X.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties over $\overline{F}$ and σ_Y be a log-norm on Y, we define the abstract log-norm $f^*\sigma_Y$ on X by

$$f^*\sigma_Y(x) = \sigma_Y(f(x))$$

for all $x \in X$. The following lemma will be used without further notice throughout the text (cf proposition 18.1(1)[Kott3])

Lemma 1.2.1 *Let σ_X be a log-norm on X. Then $f^*\sigma_Y \ll \sigma_X$. If f is moreover a finite morphism (in particular if it is a closed immersion), then $f^*\sigma_Y \sim \sigma_X$.*

Let this time $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties over F and let σ_X be a log-norm on X (but we will only consider its restriction to $X(F)$). Define an abstract log-norm $f_*\sigma_X$ on $Im(X(F) \to Y(F))$ by

$$f_*\sigma_X(y) = \inf_{x\in X(F);\, f(x)=y} \sigma_X(x)$$

Let σ_Y be a log-norm on Y. By the previous lemma, $f_*\sigma_X$ dominates σ_Y (as abstract log-norms on $Im(X(F) \to Y(F))$). We say that f as the norm descent property if σ_Y and $f_*\sigma_X$ are equivalent as abstract log-norm on $Im(X(F) \to Y(F))$. Of course, if $f^*\sigma_Y$ and σ_X are equivalent, then f has the norm descent property, and so this is the case in particular if f is finite. We state here the basic facts we will be using regarding to the norm descent property (cf proposition 18.2 [Kott3]).

Lemma 1.2.2 *(i) The norm descent property is local on the basis. In other word if $f : X \to Y$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties over F and $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ is a finite covering by Zariski-open subsets of Y, then f has the norm descent property if and only if all the $f_i : f^{-1}(U_i) \to U_i$, $i \in I$, have the norm descent property.*

(ii) If f admits a section, then it has the norm descent property.

We will also need the following nontrivial result (cf [Kott3] proposition 18.3).

Proposition 1.2.1 *Let G be a connected reductive group over F and T an F-subtorus of G then the morphism $G \to T\backslash G$ has the norm descent property.*

We will assume that all algebraic varieties X in this article (be they defined over F or $\overline{F}$) are equipped with a log-norm σ_X. Note that if $X = V$ is a vector space over $\overline{F}$, then we may take

$$\sigma_V(v) = \log\left(2 + |v|\right), \quad v \in V$$

where $|.|$ is a classical norm on V. We will usually assume that it is the case. Also, we will denote σ_G simply by σ and all closed subvarieties of G will be equipped with the log-norm obtain by restriction of σ. Note that we have

$$\sigma(xy) \ll \sigma(x) + \sigma(y) \ll \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. It follows from the last proposition that we may assume, and we will throughout the paper, that we have

$$(1) \quad \sigma_{T\backslash G}(g) = \inf_{t\in T(F)} \sigma(tg)$$

for all $g \in G(F)$. We will also need the following

(2) For all maximal torus $T \subset G$, we have

$$\sigma(g^{-1}Xg) + \log\left(2 + D^G(X)^{-1}\right) \sim \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) + \sigma_{T\backslash G}(g) + \log\left(2 + D^G(X)^{-1}\right)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{reg}(F)$ and all $g \in G(F)$.

Indeed, this follows from lemma 1.2.1 and the fact that the regular map $T\backslash G \times \mathfrak{t}_{reg} \to \mathfrak{g}_{reg}$, $(g, X) \mapsto g^{-1}Xg$ is finite.

As we said, our log-norms are essentially the logarithm of Kottwitz's norms. For G and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, it will be convenient at some points to work with norms instead of log-norms. We therefore set

$$\|g\|_G = e^{\sigma(g)}, \quad g \in G$$

$$\|X\|_{\mathfrak{g}} = e^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Let X be an algebraic variety over F on which a log-norm σ_X has been chosen. We will also use the following notations

$$X\,[< C] := \{x \in X(F);\ \sigma_X(x) < C\}$$

$$X\,[\geqslant C] := \{x \in X(F);\ \sigma_X(x) \geqslant C\}$$

for all $C > 0$. We have the two following estimates. The first one is easy to prove and the second one is due to Harish-Chandra (cf theorem 9 p.37 of [Va]).

(3) Let V be a finite dimensional F-vector space and Q be a polynomial function on V. Set $V' = \{Q \neq 0\}$ and let $\omega_V \subset V$ be a relatively compact subset. Then, for all $k \geqslant 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{V'[\leqslant \epsilon] \cap \omega_V} \log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right)^k dX \ll \epsilon^{\delta}$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$.

(4) Let $T \subset G$ be a maximal torus. Then, for all $N_0 > 0$ there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$D^G(X)^{1/2} \int_{T(F)\backslash G(F)} \|g^{-1}Xg\|_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-N} dg \ll \|X\|_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-N_0}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{reg}(F)$.

1.3 A useful lemma

Fix a minimal parabolic subgroup $\overline{P}_{min} = M_{min}\overline{U}_{min}$ of G and let $A_{min} = A_{M_{min}}$ be the maximal split central subtorus of M_{min}. Set

$$A_{min}^+ = \{a \in A_{min}(F); |\alpha(a)| \geqslant 1 \ \forall \alpha \in Rac(A_{min}, \overline{P}_{min})\}$$

Let $\overline{Q} = M_Q U_{\overline{Q}}$ be a parabolic subgroup containing $\overline{P}_{min}$, where M_Q is the unique Levi component such that $M_{min} \subseteq M_Q$. For all $\delta > 0$, we define

$$A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta) := \{a \in A_{min}^+; |\alpha(a)| \geqslant e^{\delta\sigma(a)} \ \forall \alpha \in Rac(A_{min}, U_{\overline{Q}})\}$$

Let Q be the parabolic subgroup opposite to $\overline{Q}$ with respect to M_Q and denote by U_Q its unipotent radical.

Lemma 1.3.1 *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Then, we have an inequality*

$$\sigma(a) \ll \sup\left(\sigma(g), \sigma(a^{-1}ga)\right)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $g \in G(F) \backslash \left(\overline{Q}\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right] a U_Q \left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right] a^{-1}\right)$.

Proof: Let us set

$$W^G = W(G, M_{min}),\ W^{M_Q} = W(M_Q, M_{min})$$

for the Weyl groups of M_{min} in G and M_Q respectively. We will need the following:

$$(1) \quad G = \bigcup_{w \in W^{M_Q} \backslash W^G} \overline{Q} U_Q w$$

Let $P_{min} = M_{min}U_{min}$ be the parabolic subgroup opposite to $\overline{P}_{min}$ with respect to M_{min}. We have $\overline{P}_{min}U_{min} \subseteq \overline{Q}U_Q$. So, in order to prove (1), it is clearly sufficient to establish that

$$G = \bigcup_{w \in W^G} \overline{P}_{min}U_{min}w$$

This follows from the Bruhat decomposition

$$G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W^G} \overline{P}_{min}wU_{min}$$

and the fact that $\overline{P}_{min}wU_{min} \subseteq \overline{P}_{min}U_{min}w$, for all $w \in W^G$.

Fix a set $\mathcal{W} \subset W^G$ of representatives of the left cosets $W^{M_Q}\backslash W^G$ such that $1 \in \mathcal{W}$. Set $\mathcal{U}_w = \overline{Q}U_Q w$ for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. These are affine open subsets of G that are all naturally isomorphic to $\overline{Q} \times U_Q$. For all $w \in \mathcal{W}$, we may define a log-norm σ_w on $\mathcal{U}_w$ by

$$(2) \quad \sigma_w(\overline{q}uw) = \sup\{\sigma(\overline{q}), \sigma(u)\}$$

for all $\overline{q} \in \overline{Q}$ and all $u \in U_Q$. By (1) the family $(\mathcal{U}_w)_{w\in\mathcal{W}}$ is a Zariski open cover of G and so we have

$$(3) \quad \sigma(g) \sim \inf\{\sigma_w(g);\ w \in \mathcal{W} \text{ such that } g \in \mathcal{U}_w\}$$

for all $g \in G$.

Obviously we may assume that ϵ as small as we want: if we replace ϵ by another positive constant $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ then the assertion of the proposition becomes stronger. Moreover, the following is easy to see

(4) If ϵ is sufficiently small (depending on δ), there exists a bounded subset $C_{\overline{Q}} \subseteq \overline{Q}$ such that

$$a^{-1}\overline{Q}\big[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\big]a \subseteq C_{\overline{Q}}M_Q(F)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$.

Henceforth, we will assume ϵ sufficiently small so that it satisfies (4). For all $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and all $c > 0$, let us set

$$\mathcal{U}_w[< c] = \{g \in \mathcal{U}_w;\ \sigma_w(g) < c\}$$

Let us now show the following

(5) Let $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Then we have

$$\sigma(a^{-1}ga) \sim \sigma_w(a^{-1}ga)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $g \in \mathcal{U}_w[< \epsilon\sigma(a)]$.

By (4) we have

$$a^{-1}\mathcal{U}_w[< \epsilon\sigma(a)]a \subseteq C_{\overline{Q}}M_Q(F)U_Q(F)w$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and σ and σ_w are equivalent on $C_{\overline{Q}}M_Q(F)U_Q(F)w$. The point (5) follows.

By (3), we have an inequality

$$\sigma(a) \ll \sigma(g)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}$ and all $g \in G\backslash\bigcup_{w\in\mathcal{W}}\mathcal{U}_w[< \epsilon\sigma(a)]$. Combining this with (5), we see that to get the inequality of the proposition it is now sufficient to establish the two following inequalities

(6) We have

$$\sigma(a) \ll \sigma_1(a^{-1}ga)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $g \in \mathcal{U}_1[< \epsilon\sigma(a)] \backslash \left(\overline{Q}\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right] aU_Q\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right] a^{-1}\right)$.

and

(7) If ϵ is sufficiently small, then for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $w \neq 1$, we have an inequality

$$\sigma(a) \ll \sigma_w(a^{-1}ga)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $g \in \mathcal{U}_w[< \epsilon\sigma(a)]$.

Proving (6) is easy using the definition of the log-norm σ_1 and the fact that

$$\mathcal{U}_1[< \epsilon\sigma(a)] \backslash \left(\overline{Q}\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right] aU_Q\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right] a^{-1}\right) \subseteq \overline{Q}(F)\left(U_Q(F) \backslash aU_Q\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right] a^{-1}\right)$$

Let us prove (7). Fix $w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $w \neq 1$. For all $g = \overline{q}uw \in \mathcal{U}_w$ with $\overline{q} \in \overline{Q}$, $u \in U_Q$ and for all $a \in A_{min}$, we have

$$a^{-1}ga = a^{-1}\overline{q}w(a)\left(w(a)^{-1}uw(a)\right)w$$

Here $a^{-1}\overline{q}w(a) \in \overline{Q}$ and $w(a)^{-1}uw(a) \in U_Q$. Thus by (2), we have

$$\sigma_w\left(a^{-1}ga\right) \geqslant \sigma\left(a^{-1}\overline{q}w(a)\right)$$

For all $c > 0$, we have $\mathcal{U}_w[< c] = \overline{Q}[< c]U_Q[< c]w$. Consequently, to prove (7) it is sufficient to establish the following

(8) If ϵ is sufficiently small,we have

$$\sigma(a) \ll \sigma\left(a^{-1}\overline{q}w(a)\right)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $\overline{q} \in \overline{Q}[< \epsilon\sigma(a)]$.

We have an inequality

$$|H_{\overline{Q}}(\overline{q})| \ll \sigma(\overline{q})$$

for all $\overline{q} \in \overline{Q}$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|H_{\overline{Q}}\left(w(a)\right) - H_{\overline{Q}}(a)\right| - \left|H_{\overline{Q}}(\overline{q})\right| &\leqslant \left|H_{\overline{Q}}\left(w(a)\right) - H_{\overline{Q}}(a) + H_{\overline{Q}}(\overline{q})\right| \\ &= \left|H_{\overline{Q}}\left(a^{-1}\overline{q}w(a)\right)\right| \\ &\ll \sigma\left(a^{-1}\overline{q}w(a)\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}$ and all $\overline{q} \in \overline{Q}$. Consequently, (8) follows from

$$(9) \quad \sigma(a) \ll \left|H_{\overline{Q}}\left(w(a)\right) - H_{\overline{Q}}(a)\right|, \quad \text{for all } a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$$

Set $\mathcal{A}_{min} = \mathcal{A}_{M_{min}}$ and $H_{min} = H_{M_{min}}$. Denote by $\Delta \subseteq R(A_{min}, \overline{P}_{min})$ the subset of simple roots and by $\Delta^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{min}$, $\widehat{\Delta} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{min}^*$ the corresponding sets of simple coroots and simple weights respectively. The coroot and coweight associated to $\alpha \in \Delta$ will be denoted by $\alpha^\vee$ and ϖ_α respectively. Set $\Delta_{\overline{Q}} = \Delta \cap R(A_{min}, U_{\overline{Q}})$. We have

$$\langle \varpi_\alpha, H_{\overline{Q}}(a) \rangle = \langle \varpi_\alpha, H_{min}(a) \rangle$$

for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{\overline{Q}}$ and all $a \in A_{min}$. Consequently, to prove (9) it is sufficient to show

(10) There exists $\alpha \in \Delta_{\overline{Q}}$ such that

$$\sigma(a) \ll \langle \varpi_\alpha, H_{min}(a) - H_{min}(w(a)) \rangle$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$.

Let $w = s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_k}$ be a minimal decomposition of w in distinct simple reflections with $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ for all i. Let $X \in \mathcal{A}_{min}$. The following formula is easy to establish by induction

$$X - w(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle \alpha_i, X \rangle s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_{i-1}}(\alpha_i^\vee)$$

So that if $\alpha \in \Delta$, we have

$$\langle \varpi_\alpha, X - w(X) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle \alpha_i, X \rangle \langle \varpi_\alpha, s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_{i-1}}(\alpha_i^\vee) \rangle$$

Remark that $\langle \varpi_\alpha, s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_{i-1}}(\alpha_i^\vee) \rangle \geqslant 0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Consequently, if $X \in \mathcal{A}_{min}^+$, meaning that $\langle \alpha, X \rangle \geqslant 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, then we have

$$\langle \varpi_\alpha, X - w(X) \rangle \geqslant \langle \alpha_i, X \rangle \langle \varpi_\alpha, s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_{i-1}}(\alpha_i^\vee) \rangle$$

for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Since $w \notin W^{M_Q}$, there exists i such that $\alpha_i \in \Delta_{\overline{Q}}$. Let i be the minimal such index and set $\alpha = \alpha_i$. By the above, we have

$$\langle \varpi_\alpha, X - w(X) \rangle \geqslant \langle \alpha, X \rangle \langle \varpi_\alpha, s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_{i-1}}(\alpha^\vee) \rangle$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{A}_{min}^+$. Since $\alpha_j \neq \alpha$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant i-1$, we have

$$\langle \varpi_\alpha, s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_{i-1}}(\alpha^\vee) \rangle = \langle \varpi_\alpha, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 1$$

So finally

$$\langle \varpi_\alpha, X - w(X) \rangle \geqslant \langle \alpha, X \rangle$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{A}_{min}^+$. Now (10) follows immediately since by definition of $A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$, we have

$$\langle \alpha, H_{min}(a) \rangle \geqslant \delta \sigma(a)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. ■

1.4 Usual functions spaces

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff totally disconnected topological space and let M be a real smooth manifold. In this paper the adjective smooth will have two meanings: a function from X to a topological vector space E is smooth if it is locally constant whereas a function from M to a topological space E is smooth if it is weakly C^∞ in the sense of appendix A.3. We shall denote by $C^\infty(X)$ and $C^\infty(M)$ the spaces of all smooth complex-valued functions on X and M respectively and by $C_c^\infty(X)$, $C_c^\infty(M)$ the subspaces of compactly-supported functions. We equip $C_c^\infty(M)$ and $C^\infty(M)$ with their usual locally convex topology. If M is countable at infinity, $C^\infty(M)$ is a Frechet space whereas $C_c^\infty(M)$ is a LF space. We endow $C_c^\infty(X)$ with its finest locally convex topology. If X admits a countable basis of open subsets, $C_c^\infty(X)$ is also a LF space (since it admits a countable basis). Restrictions to compact-open subsets induces an isomorphism

$$C^\infty(X) \simeq \varprojlim_{\mathcal{K}} C_c^\infty(\mathcal{K})$$

wehre $\mathcal{K}$ runs through the compact-open subsets of X. We shall endow $C^\infty(X)$ with the projective limit topology relative to this isomorphism. We also denote by $Diff^\infty(M)$ the space of all smooth differential operators on M which are globally of finite order and by $Diff^\infty_{\leqslant k}(M)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the subspace of smooth differential operators of order less than k. $Diff^\infty_{\leqslant k}(M)$ carries a natural locally convex topology and if M is countable at infinity, it is a Frechet space. We endow $Diff^\infty(M)$ with the direct limit topology relative to the natural isomorphism

$$Diff^\infty(M) = \varinjlim_{k} Diff^\infty_{\leqslant k}(M)$$

(so that if M is countable at infinity, $Diff^\infty(M)$ is a LF space). We denote by $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ the topological duals of $C_c^\infty(M)$ and $C_c^\infty(X)$ respectively and we call them the spaces of distributions on M and X respectively. If we have fixed a regular Borel measure dm on M (resp. dx on X), then for all locally integrable function F on M (resp. on X), we will denote by T_F the associated distribution on M (resp. on X) i.e. we have

$$\langle T_F, f\rangle = \int_M F(m)f(m)dm, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(M)$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \langle T_F, f\rangle = \int_X F(x)f(x)dx, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(X)\right)$$

Let V be a finite dimensional F-vector space. Then, for all $f \in C^\infty(V)$ and all $\lambda \in F^\times$, we will denote by f_λ the function defined by

$$f_\lambda(v) = f(\lambda^{-1}v), \quad v \in V$$

We extend this action of $F^\times$ to the space of distributions $\mathcal{D}'(V)$ by setting $\langle T_\lambda, f\rangle = |\lambda|^{\dim(V)}\langle T, f_{\lambda^{-1}}\rangle$ for all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(V)$, all $f \in C_c^\infty(V)$ and all $\lambda \in F^\times$. Moreover, we will say that a distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}'(V)$ is homogeneous of degree d if

$$T_\lambda = |\lambda|^{-d} T$$

for all $\lambda \in F^{\times 2}$.

We will also need the Schwartz spaces $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$. If F is p-adic, we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F)) = C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and $\mathcal{S}(G(F)) = C_c^\infty(G(F))$. Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ is the space of all functions $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that

$$q_{N,u}(f) = \sup_{X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)} \|X\|_{\mathfrak{g}}^N \left|\left(\partial(u) f\right)(X)\right| < \infty$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $u \in S(\mathfrak{g})$. We endow $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms $q_{N,u}$, for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $u \in S(\mathfrak{g})$. It is a Frechet space. The natural inclusion $C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F)) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ is continuous with dense image. We will say that a distribution T on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ is tempered if it extends to a continuous linear form on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ the space of tempered distributions on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$.

Similarly, we define $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ to be the space of all functions $f \in C^\infty(G(F))$ such that

$$q_{N,u,v}(f) = \sup_{x \in G(F)} \|x\|_G^N \left|\left(L(u)R(v) f\right)(x)\right| < \infty$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. We endow $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms $q_{N,u,v}$, for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is also a Frechet space.

Assume that a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form B and a measure have been fixed on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ (this will be done in subsection 1.6). Then we define the Fourier transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ by

$$\widehat{f}(X) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} f(Y)\psi(B(X,Y))dY, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F)), X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$$

and we extend this definition to tempered distributions by setting

$$\langle \widehat{T}, f\rangle = \langle T, \widehat{f}\rangle$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. In the real case there exists two isomorphisms $S(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, $u \mapsto p_u$, and $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \simeq S(\mathfrak{g})$, $p \mapsto u_p$, such that

$$\widehat{\partial(u)T} = p_u \widehat{T} \text{ and } \widehat{pT} = \partial(u_p)\widehat{T}$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, all $u \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ and all $p \in S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Note that if B is chosen to be G-invariant, then these isomorphisms restrict to give isomorphisms $I(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $I(\mathfrak{g}^*) \simeq I(\mathfrak{g})$.

1.5 Harish-Chandra-Schwartz space

We will denote by Ξ^G the Harish-Chandra function. Let us recall its definition. Let P_{min} be a minimal parabolic subgroup of G and let K be a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case. Then we have $G(F) = P_{min}(F)K$ (Iwasawa decomposition). Consider the (smooth normalized) induced representation (cf subsection 2.3)

$$i_{P_{min}}^G(1)^\infty := \{e \in C^\infty(G(F));\ e(pg) = \delta_{min}(p)^{1/2}e(g)\ \forall p \in P_{min}(F), g \in G(F)\}$$

that we equip with the scalar product

$$(e, e') = \int_K e(k)\overline{e'(k)}dk, \quad e, e' \in i_{P_{min}}^G(1)^\infty$$

Let $e_K \in i_{P_{min}}^G(1)^\infty$ be the unique function such that $e_K(k) = 1$ for all $k \in K$. Then the Harish-Chandra function Ξ^G is defined by

$$\Xi^G(g) = \left(i_{P_{min}}^G(1, g)e_K, e_K\right),\ g \in G(F)$$

Of course, the function Ξ^G depends on the various choices we made, but this doesn't matter because different choices would yield equivalent functions and the function Ξ^G will only be used to give estimates. The next proposition summarizes the main properties of the function Ξ^G that we will need. We indicate references for these after the statement.

Proposition 1.5.1 *(i) Set*

$$M_{min}^+ = \{m \in M_{min}(F);\ |\alpha(m)| \leqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_{M_{min}}, P_{min})\}$$

Then, there exists $d > 0$ *such that*

$$\delta_{P_{min}}(m)^{1/2} \ll \Xi^G(m) \ll \delta_{P_{min}}(m)^{1/2}\sigma(m)^d$$

for all $m \in M_{min}^+$.

(ii) Let $m_{P_{min}} : G(F) \to M_{min}(F)$ *be any map such that* $g \in m_{P_{min}}(g)U_{min}(F)K$ *for all* $g \in G(F)$. *Then, there exists* $d > 0$ *such that*

$$\Xi^G(g) \ll \delta_{P_{min}}(m_{P_{min}}(g))^{1/2}\sigma(g)^d$$

for all $g \in G(F)$.

(iii) Let $P = MU$ *be a parabolic subgroup that contains* P_{min}. *Let* $m_P : G(F) \to M(F)$ *be any map such that* $g \in m_P(g)U(F)K$ *for all* $g \in G(F)$. *Then, we have*

$$\Xi^G(g) = \int_K \delta_P(m_P(kg))^{1/2}\Xi^M(m_P(kg))dk$$

for all $g \in G(F)$.

(iv) *Let* $P = MU$ *be a parabolic subgroup of* G. *Then, for all* $d > 0$, *there exists* $d' > 0$ *such that*

$$\delta_P(m)^{1/2}\int_{U(F)} \Xi^G(mu)\sigma(mu)^{-d'}du \ll \Xi^M(m)\sigma(m)^{-d}$$

for all $m \in M(F)$.

(v) *There exists* $d > 0$ *such that the integral*

$$\int_{G(F)} \Xi^G(g)^2\sigma(g)^{-d}dg$$

is convergent.

(vi) *(Doubling principle) We have the equality*

$$\int_K \Xi^G(g_1kg_2) = \Xi^G(g_1)\Xi^G(g_2)$$

for all $g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$.

Proof: ...■

Using the function Ξ^G we can define the Harish-Chandra-Schwartz space $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ as follows. For all function $f \in C(G(F))$ and all $d \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$p_d(f) := \sup_{g\in G(F)} |f(g)|\Xi^G(g)^{-1}\sigma(g)^d$$

If F is p-adic then

$$\mathcal{C}(G(F)) = \bigcup_{K'} \mathcal{C}_{K'}(G(F))$$

where K' runs through the open-compact subgroups of $G(F)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{K'}(G(F))$ is the space of functions $f \in C(K'\backslash G(F)/K')$ such that $p_d(f) < \infty$ for all $d > 0$. We endow the spaces $\mathcal{C}_{K'}(G(F))$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms $(p_d)_{d>0}$. These are Frechet spaces and we equip $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ with the direct limit topology. Thus $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is a LF space in this case.

If $F = \mathbb{R}$ then $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is by definition the space of all $f \in C^\infty(G(F))$ such that

$$p_{u,v,d}(f) := p_d(R(u)L(v)f) < \infty$$

for all $d > 0$ and all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. We equip $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms $p_{u,v,d}$, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and all $d > 0$. In this case, $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is a Frechet space.

We now define what we will call the weak Harish-Chandra-Schwartz space $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$. Again, its definition differs in the p-adic and the real case.

If F is p-adic, we have

$$\mathcal{C}^w(G(F)) = \bigcup_{K'} \mathcal{C}^w_{K'}(G(F))$$

here again K' runs through the open-compact subgroups of $G(F)$ and

$$\mathcal{C}^w_{K'}(G(F)) = \bigcup_{d>0} \mathcal{C}^w_{K',d}(G(F))$$

where $\mathcal{C}^w_{K',d}(G(F))$ denotes the space of functions $f \in C\left(K'\backslash G(F)/K'\right)$ such that $p_{-d}(f) < \infty$. Equipped with the norm p_{-d}, $\mathcal{C}^w_{K',d}(G(F))$ is a Banach space. We endow $\mathcal{C}^w_{K'}(G(F))$ and $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ with the direct limit topologies. These are LF spaces. We will also set

$$\mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F)) = \bigcup_{K'} \mathcal{C}^w_{K',d}(G(F))$$

and we will equip this space with the direct limit topology. It is also a LF space.

If $F = \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}^w(G(F)) = \bigcup_{d>0} \mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$$

where $\mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ denotes the space of functions $f \in C^\infty(G(F))$ such that

$$p_{u,v,-d}(f) := p_{-d}\left(R(u)L(v)f\right) < \infty$$

for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. We equip $\mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms $p_{u,v,-d}$, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is a Frechet space. Finally, we endow $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ with the direct limit topology so that it becomes a LF space.

The natural inclusion $\mathcal{C}(G(F)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ is continuous in any case and we have the following

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \text{ is dense in } \mathcal{C}^w(G(F)).$$

Indeed, we may even prove that $C_c^\infty(G(F))$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$. For all $t > 0$, denote by κ_t the characteristic function of $\{g \in G(F);\ \sigma(g) < t\}$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ be any positive function such that $\int_{G(F)} \varphi(g)dg = 1$ and set $\varphi_t = \varphi * \kappa_t * \varphi$ for all $t > 0$. Then we leave to the reader the task to prove that for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi_t f = f$$

in $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$. This proves the claim.

We end this subsection with a lemma that will be useful for us. The second part of this lemma gives a criterion for a function taking values in $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ to be smooth.

Lemma 1.5.1 *(i) Let $d > 0$ and let ν be a continuous semi-norm on $\mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$. Then*

- *(a) In the p-adic case, for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$, there exists a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}$ on $\mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ such that*

  $$\nu\left(R(\varphi_1)L(\varphi_2)R(g_1)L(g_2)f\right) \leqslant \nu_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(f)\Xi^G(g_1)\Xi^G(g_2)\sigma(g_1)^d\sigma(g_2)^d$$

  for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ and all $g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$.

- *(b) In the real case, there exists $k \geqslant 0$ (which depends on ν) such that for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_c^k(G(F))$, there exists a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}$ on $\mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ such that*

  $$\nu\left(R(\varphi_1)L(\varphi_2)R(g_1)L(g_2)f\right) \leqslant \nu_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(f)\Xi^G(g_1)\Xi^G(g_2)\sigma(g_1)^d\sigma(g_2)^d$$

  for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ and all $g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$.

(ii) Let V be a real vector space and let $\varphi : V \times G(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function such that

- *(a) In the p-adic case: for all $g \in G(F)$ the function $\lambda \in V \mapsto \varphi(\lambda, g)$ is smooth and there exists a compact-open subgroup K' of $G(F)$ such that for all $\lambda \in V$ the function $\varphi(\lambda, .)$ is K'-biinvariant.*
- *(b) In the real case: for all $\lambda \in V$, the function $g \in G(F) \mapsto \varphi(\lambda, g)$ is smooth and for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and all $g \in G(F)$ the function $\lambda \in V \mapsto \left(R(u)L(v)\varphi\right)(\lambda, g)$ is smooth.*
- *(c) In the p-adic case: for all differential operator with constant coefficients $D \in S(V)$, there exist two constants $C, d > 0$ such that*

  $$\left|\left(D\varphi\right)(\lambda, g)\right| \leqslant C\Xi^G(g)\sigma(g)^d$$

  for all $g \in G(F)$ and all $\lambda \in V$.

(d) *In the real case: for all differential operator with constant coefficients* $D \in S(V)$, *there exists* $d > 0$ *such that for all* $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ *there a positive continuous function* $C_{u,v}(.)$ *on* V *such that*

$$|(DR(u)L(v)\varphi)(\lambda, g)| \leqslant C_{u,v}(\lambda)\Xi^G(g)\sigma(g)^d$$

for all $g \in G(F)$ *and all* $\lambda \in V$.

Then, the map $\lambda \mapsto \varphi(\lambda, .)$ *takes value in* $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ *and defines a smooth function from* V *to* $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$.

Proof:

(i) We will only prove (b), the proof of (a) being similar and easier. We may assume without lost of generality that $\nu = p_{u,v,-d}$ for some $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Set $k = \max(\deg(u), \deg(v))$. Then, we have

$$\nu\left(R(\varphi_1)L(\varphi_2)R(g_1)L(g_2)f\right) = p_{-d}\left(R\left(u\varphi_1\right)L\left(v\varphi_2\right)R(g_1)L(g_2)f\right)$$

for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_c^k(G(F))$, all $g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_d^w(G(F))$, where $u\varphi_1$ and $v\varphi_2$ stand for $L(u)\varphi_1$ and $L(v)\varphi_2$ respectively. Hence, we may assume that $\nu = p_{-d}$. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_c(G(F))$. Then, we have

$$\left(R(\varphi_1)L(\varphi_2)R(g_1)L(g_2)f\right)(g) = \int_{G(F)\times G(F)} \varphi_1(\gamma_1)\varphi_2(\gamma_2)f\left(g_2^{-1}\gamma_2^{-1}g\gamma_1 g_1\right)d\gamma_1 d\gamma_2$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_d^w(G(F))$ and all $g, g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$. Since $\sigma(xy) \ll \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$ for all $x, y \in G(F)$, it follows that

$$|\left(R(\varphi_1)L(\varphi_2)R(g_1)L(g_2)f\right)(g)| \ll$$
$$p_{-d}(f)\sigma(g)^d\sigma(g_1)^d\sigma(g_2)^d \int_{G(F)\times G(F)} |\varphi_1(\gamma_1)|\,|\varphi_2(\gamma_2)|\,\Xi^G\left(g_2^{-1}\gamma_2^{-1}g\gamma_1 g_1\right)\sigma(\gamma_2)^d\sigma(\gamma_1)^d d\gamma_1 d\gamma_2$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_d^w(G(F))$ and all $g, g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$. Moreover, by the doubling principle (proposition 1.5.1(vi)), we have

$$\int_{G(F)\times G(F)} |\varphi_1(\gamma_1)|\,|\varphi_2(\gamma_2)|\,\Xi^G\left(g_2^{-1}\gamma_2^{-1}g\gamma_1 g_1\right)\sigma(\gamma_2)^d\sigma(\gamma_1)^d d\gamma_1 d\gamma_2 \ll \Xi^G(g)\Xi^G(g_1)\Xi^G(g_2)$$

for all $g, g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$. So finally, we get

$$p_{-d}\left(R(\varphi_1)L(\varphi_2)R(g_1)L(g_2)f\right) \ll p_{-d}(f)\Xi^G(g_1)\Xi^G(g_2)\sigma(g_1)^d\sigma(g_2)^d$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ and all $g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$ and this ends the proof of (i).

(ii) Assume first that F is p-adic. Let K' be as in (a). Then the condition (c) implies that for all $k \geqslant 0$ there exists $d > 0$ such that $\lambda \mapsto \varphi(\lambda, .)$ defines a strongly C^k map from V to $\mathcal{C}^w_{d,K'}(G(F))$ and the result follows.

Assume now that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then, by the condition (d), for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and for all $D \in S(V)$ the function

$$(\lambda, g) \in V \times G(F) \mapsto (DR(u))\,\varphi(\lambda, g)$$

is locally bounded. It follows that φ is smooth (as a function on $V \times G(F)$). In particular, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and all $D \in S(V)$, we have

$$DR(u)L(v)\varphi = R(u)L(v)D\varphi$$

Let $k \geqslant 0$ be an integer. It now follows from (d) that there exists $d > 0$ such that $(D\varphi)(\lambda, .) \in \mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ for all $\lambda \in V$ and all $D \in S(V)$ of degree less than k. From this we easily deduce, using (d) again, that the map $\lambda \mapsto \varphi(\lambda, .)$ defines a strongly C^k map from V to $\mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$. The result follows. ■

1.6 Measures

We fix once and for all a continuous non-trivial additive character $\psi : F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ and we equip F with the autodual Haar measure with respect to ψ. We also fix a Haar measure $d^\times t$ on $F^\times$ to be $|t|^{-1}dt$ where dt is the Haar measure on F that we just fixed.

Fix a $G(F)$-invariant nondegenerate bilinear form B on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, we choose B so that for all maximal compact subgroup K of $G(F)$ the restriction of B to $\mathfrak{k}(F)$ is negative definite and the restriction to $\mathfrak{k}(F)^\perp$ (the orthogonal of $\mathfrak{k}(F)$ with respect to B) is positive definite. We endow $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ with the autodual measure with respect to B, it is the only Haar measure dX on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ such that the Fourier transform

$$\widehat{f}(Y) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} f(X)\psi\left(B(X, Y)\right) dX, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$$

satisfies $\widehat{\widehat{f}}(X) = f(-X)$. We equip $G(F)$ with the unique Haar measure such that the exponential map has a Jacobian equal to 1 at the origin. Similarly, for every algebraic subgroup H of G such that the restriction of $B(.,.)$ to $\mathfrak{h}(F)$ is non-degenerate, we equip $\mathfrak{h}(F)$ with the autodual measure with respect to B and we lift this measure to $H(F)$ by mean of the exponential map. This fixes for example the Haar measures on the Levi subgroups of G

as well as on the maximal subtori of G. For other subgoups of $G(F)$, for example unipotent radicals of parabolic subgroups of G, we fix an arbitrary Haar measue on the Lie algebra and we lift it to the group, again using the exponential map.

For all Levi subgroup M of G, we equip $\mathcal{A}_M$ and $i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ with Haar measures as follows. In the real case we choose any measures whereas the p-adic case, we choose the unique Haar measures such that $meas\left(\mathcal{A}_M/\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{M,F}\right)=1$ and $meas\left(i\mathcal{A}_M^*/i\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{M,F}^\vee\right)=1$.

Let T be a maximal subtorus of G. Besides the Haar measure dt that has been fixed above on $T(F)$, we will need another Haar measure that we shall denote by d_ct. First, we define a Haar measure d_ca on $A_T(F)$ as follows. If F is p-adic, it is the unique Haar measure such that the maximal compact subgroup of $A_T(F)$ is of measure 1. In the real case, d_ca is the unique Haar measure such that the surjective homomorphism $H_T: A_T(F)\to\mathcal{A}_T$ is locally measure preserving (note that $\mathcal{A}_T$ coincide with $\mathcal{A}_M$ for a certain Levi subgroup M so that a Haar measure has already been fixed on $\mathcal{A}_T$). Finally, in both cases d_ct is the unique Haar measure on $T(F)$ such that the quotient measure d_ct/d_ca gives $T(F)/A_T(F)$ the measure 1. To avoid confusions, we shall only use the Haar measure dt but we need to introduce the only factor $\nu(T)>0$ such that $d_ct=\nu(T)dt$.

Denote by $Nil(\mathfrak{g})$ the set of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. Let $\mathcal{O}\in Nil(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, for all $X\in\mathcal{O}$ the bilinear map $(Y,Z)\mapsto B(Y,[X,Z])$ descents to a non-degenerate symplectic form on $\mathfrak{g}(F)/\mathfrak{g}_X(F)$ that is the tangent space of $\mathcal{O}$ at X. This defines on $\mathcal{O}$ a structure of symplectic F-analytic manifold. Using the Haar measure on F, this equipped $\mathcal{O}$ with a natural "autodual" measure. This measure is obviously $G(F)$-invariant.

The following considerations will be useful for section 10 only. Let V be a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$. Even if V is not defined over F we can talk of Haar measures on V: these are elements of $\Lambda^{max}V\backslash\{0\}$ modulo multiplication by an element of norm 1 in $\overline{F}$. Assume that a Haar measure μ_V has been fixed on V (for example one of the measures that we fixed above). There is a natural notion of dual Haar measure μ_V^* on V^*: it is the unique Haar measure on V^* such that the image of $\mu_V\otimes\mu_V^*$ by the natural pairing $\Lambda^{max}V\otimes\Lambda^{max}V^*\to\overline{F}$ is of norm 1. Let $V^\perp$ be the orthogonal of V with respect to B. Then, we may associate to μ_V a Haar measure $\mu_V^\perp$ on $V^\perp$ as follows. Using the form B we have a natural isomorphism $\Lambda^{max}\mathfrak{g}\simeq\Lambda^{max}V^*\otimes\Lambda^{max}V^\perp$. Then $\mu_V^\perp$ is the unique Haar measure on $V^\perp$ such that via this isomorphism we have $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}=\mu_V^*\otimes\mu_V^\perp$ (modulo a scalar of norm 1) where $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the autodual Haar measure on $\mathfrak{g}$ that we fixed above. If V is defined over F, we have the formula

$$(1)\quad \int_{V(F)} f(v)d\mu_V(v)=\int_{V^\perp(F)}\widehat{f}(v^\perp)d\mu_V^\perp(v^\perp)$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. We easily check that

$$(2)\quad (\mu_V^\perp)^\perp=\mu_V$$

Also, if we have a decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=V_1\oplus V_2$ and two Haar measures μ_{V_1}, μ_{V_2} on V_1 and V_2 such that

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mu_{V_1} \otimes \mu_{V_2}$$

then we also have the equality

$$(3) \quad \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mu_{V_1}^{\perp} \otimes \mu_{V_2}^{\perp}$$

relative to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = V_1^{\perp} \oplus V_2^{\perp}$.

Finally, suppose that V and W are subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}$ and that $T : V \to W$ is a bijective linear map. Then T induces an isomorphism $\Lambda^{max}T : \Lambda^{max}V \to \Lambda^{max}W$ and if μ_V is a Haar measure on V then we will denote by $T_*\mu_V$ the image of μ_V by this isomorphism (a measure on W). Remark that if $V = W$ then $T_*\mu_V = |\det(T)|\mu_V$.

1.7 Orbital integrals and their Fourier transforms

For $x \in G_{reg}(F)$ (resp. $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$), we define the normalized orbital integral at x (resp. at X) by

$$J_G(x, f) = D^G(x)^{1/2} \int_{G_x(F)\backslash G(F)} f(g^{-1}xg)dg, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$$

$$(\text{resp. } J_G(X, f) = D^G(X)^{1/2} \int_{G_X(F)\backslash G(F)} f(g^{-1}Xg)dg, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F)))$$

the integral being absolutely convergent for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ (resp. for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$). This defines a tempered distribution $J_G(x, .)$ (resp. $J_G(X, .)$) on $G(F)$ (resp. on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$). For all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$), the function $x \in G_{reg}(F) \mapsto J_G(x, f)$ (resp. $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F) \mapsto J_G(X, f)$) is locally bounded on $G(F)$ (resp. on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$).

Similarly, for $\mathcal{O} \in Nil(\mathfrak{g})$, we define the orbital integral on $\mathcal{O}$ by

$$J_{\mathcal{O}}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} f(X)dX, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$$

We have

$$(1) \quad J_{\mathcal{O}}(f_\lambda) = |\lambda|^{\dim(\mathcal{O})/2} J_{\mathcal{O}}(f)$$

for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil(\mathfrak{g})$ and all $\lambda \in F^{\times 2}$ (recall that $f_\lambda(X) = f(\lambda^{-1}X)$). Denote by $Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$ the subset of regular nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. This set is empty unless G is quasi-split in which case we have $\dim(\mathcal{O}) = \delta(G)$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$. By the above equality, the distributions $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ for $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$ are all homogeneous of degree $\delta(G)/2 - \dim(\mathfrak{g})$. This characterizes the distributions $J_{\mathcal{O}}$, $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$, among the invariant distributions supported in the nilpotent cone. More precisely, we have

(2) Any invariant distribution on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ which is homogeneous of degree

$\delta(G)/2-\dim(\mathfrak{g})$ and supported in the nilpotent cone is a linear combination of the distributions $J_{\mathcal{O}}$, $\mathcal{O}\in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$.

This follows from lemma 3.3 of [HCDS] in the p-adic case and from corollary 3.9 of [BV] in the real case (there is a sign error in this last reference, $n-\alpha$ should be replaced by $\alpha-n$ and the inequality $\alpha\geqslant\frac{n-r}{2}$ should be replaced by $\alpha\leqslant\frac{n-r}{2}$).

According to Harish-Chandra, there exists an unique smooth function $\widehat{j}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)\times\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ which is locally integrable on $\mathfrak{g}(F)\times\mathfrak{g}(F)$ such that

$$J_G(X,\widehat{f})=\int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)}\widehat{j}(X,Y)f(Y)dY$$

for all $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ and all $f\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. We have the following control on the size of $\widehat{j}$:

(3) The function $(X,Y)\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)\times\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)\mapsto D^G(Y)^{1/2}\widehat{j}(X,Y)$ is globally bounded.

cf theorem 7.7 and lemma 7.9 of [HCDS] in the p-adic case and proposition 9 p.112 of [Va] in the real case. We will need the following property regarding to the non-vanishing of the function $\widehat{j}$

(4) Assume that G admits elliptic maximal tori. Then, for all $Y\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ there exists $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)_{ell}$ such that $\widehat{j}(X,Y)\neq 0$.

In the p-adic case, this follows from theorem 9.1 and lemma 9.6 of [HCDS] whereas in the real case, it is a consequence of theorem 4 p.104 and theorem 11 p.126 of [Va].

Similarly, for any nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}\in Nil(\mathfrak{g})$, there exists a smooth function $\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},.)$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ which is locally integrable on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ such that

$$J_{\mathcal{O}}(\widehat{f})=\int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)}\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},X)f(X)dX$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. We know that the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},.)$ is locally bounded on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ (theorem 6.1 of [HCDS] in the p-adic case and theorem 17 p.63 of [Va] in the real case). By (1), the functions $\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},.)$ satisfy the following homogeneity property

$$(5)\quad \widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},\lambda X)=|\lambda|^{-\dim(\mathcal{O})/2}\widehat{j}(\lambda\mathcal{O},X)$$

for all $\mathcal{O}\in Nil(\mathfrak{g})$, all $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ and all $\lambda\in F^\times$. Recall also that for all nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}\in Nil(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $\lambda\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}$ for all $\lambda\in F^{\times 2}$.

1.8 Spaces of conjugacy classes

If H is a connected algebraic group defined over F, we will denote by $\Gamma(H)$ the set of semi-simple conjugacy classes in $H(F)$. Thus, we have a natural projection

$$H_{ss}(F) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma(H)$$

and we endow $\Gamma(H)$ with the quotient topology. Then, $\Gamma(H)$ is Hausdorff and locally compact. Moreover for every connected algebraic group H' over F and every embedding $H' \hookrightarrow H$ the induced map $\Gamma(H') \to \Gamma(H)$ is continuous and proper. We define similarly the space $\Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$ of semi-simple conjugacy classes in $\mathfrak{h}(F)$. This space satisfies similar properties.

We will denote by $\Gamma_{ell}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{reg}(G)$ (resp. $\Gamma_{ell}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\Gamma_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$) the subsets of elliptic and regular conjugacy classes in $\Gamma(G)$ (resp. in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$) respectively. The subset $\Gamma_{ell}(G)$ (resp. $\Gamma_{ell}(\mathfrak{g})$) is closed in $\Gamma(G)$ (resp. in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$) whereas $\Gamma_{reg}(G)$ (resp. $\Gamma_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$) is an open subset of $\Gamma(G)$ (resp. of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$). Let $\mathcal{T}(G)$ be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of maximal tori in G. We equip $\Gamma(G)$ and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ with the unique regular Borel measures such that

$$\int_{\Gamma(G)} \varphi_1(x)dx = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(G)} |W(G,T)|^{-1} \int_{T(F)} \varphi_1(t)dt$$

$$\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} \varphi_2(X)dX = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(G)} |W(G,T)|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)} \varphi_2(X)dX$$

for all $\varphi_1 \in C_c(\Gamma(G))$ and all $\varphi_2 \in C_c(\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}))$. We have the Weyl integration formula

$$\int_{G(F)} f(g)dg = \int_{\Gamma(G)} D^G(x)^{1/2} J_G(x,f)dx \ \left(\text{resp. } \int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} f(X)dX = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2} J_G(X,f)dX\right)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ (resp. for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$). We deduce from this and the local boundedness of normalized orbital integrals the following fact

(1) the function $x \mapsto D^G(x)^{-1/2}$ (resp. $X \mapsto D^G(X)^{-1/2}$) is locally integrable on $G(F)$ (resp. on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$).

We define an abstract norm $\|.\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}$ on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ as follows. Fix a set of tori $\mathcal{T}(G)$ as above. Then, we define $\|.\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}$ by

$$\|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} = \inf_{X'} \ 1 + |X'|, \quad X \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$$

where the infimum is taken over the set of $X' \in \bigsqcup_{T\in\mathcal{T}(G)} \mathfrak{t}(F)$ that belong to the conjugacy class of X. We will need the two following estimates

(2) For all $k \geqslant 0$ and for all $N > 0$ sufficiently large, the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} \log\left(2 + D^G(X)^{-1}\right)^k \|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N} dX$$

is absolutely convergent.

(3) Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then, for all $N > 0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_N on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that

$$|J_G(X, f)| \leqslant \nu_N(f) \|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

We say that an element $x \in G(F)$ is anisotropic if it is regular semi-simple and $G_x(F)$ is compact. We will denote by $G(F)_{ani}$ the subset of anisotropic elements and by $\Gamma_{ani}(G)$ the set of anisotropic conjugacy classes in $G(F)$. We equip $\Gamma_{ani}(G)$ with the quotient topology relative to the natural projection $G(F)_{ani} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_{ani}(G)$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{ani}(G)$ be a set of representatives for the $G(F)$-conjugacy classes of maximal anisotropic tori of G (a torus T is anisotropic if $T(F)$ is compact). We equip $\Gamma_{ani}(G)$ with the quotient topology and we endow it with the unique regular Borel measure such that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{ani}(G)} \varphi(x)dx = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{ani}(G)} |W(G,T)|^{-1} \nu(T) \int_{T(F)} \varphi(t)dt$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(\Gamma_{ani}(G))$, where the factor $\nu(T)$ have been defined in subsection 1.6. Note that if $A_G \neq 1$ then $\Gamma_{ani}(G) = \emptyset$.

1.9 (G, M)-families

Let M be a Levi subgroup of G and V a locally convex topological vector space. A (G, M)-family with values in V is a family $(c_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$ of smooth functions on $i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ taking values in V such that for all adjacent parabolic subgroups $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, the functions c_P and $c_{P'}$ coincide on the hyperplane supporting the wall that separates the positive chambers for P and P'. Arthur associates to any (G, M)-family $(c_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$ (taking values in V) an element c_M of V as follows. The function

$$c_M(\lambda) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} c_P(\lambda) \theta_P(\lambda)$$

where

$$\theta_P(\lambda) = meas\left(\mathcal{A}_M^G / \mathbb{Z}\Delta_P^\vee\right)^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P} \lambda(\alpha^\vee), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$$

extends to a smooth function on $i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ and we have $c_M = c_M(0)$. Here, Δ_P denotes the set of simple roots of A_M in P, $\Delta_P^\vee$ denotes the corresponding set of simple coroots and for every $\alpha \in \Delta_P$ we have denoted by $\alpha^\vee$ the corresponding simple coroot. For all $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, Arthur also constructs an element $c'_P \in V$ from the (G, M)-family $(c_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$. This element is the value at $\lambda = 0$ of the function

$$c'_P(\lambda) = \sum_{P \subset Q} (-1)^{a_P - a_Q} c_P(\lambda_Q) \widehat{\theta}_P^Q(\lambda)^{-1} \theta_Q(\lambda_Q)$$

where the sum is over the parabolic subgroups $Q = L_Q U_Q$ containing P, θ_Q is defined as above, λ_Q denotes the projection of λ onto $i\mathcal{A}^*_{L_Q}$, and

$$\widehat{\theta}_P^Q(\lambda) = meas\left(\mathcal{A}_M^{L_Q}/\mathbb{Z}\left(\widehat{\Delta}_P^Q\right)^\vee\right)^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^Q} \lambda(\varpi_\alpha^\vee)$$

where this time Δ_P^Q denotes the set of simple roots of A_M in $P \cap L_Q$, $\widehat{\Delta}_P^Q$ denotes the corresponding set of simple coweights and for every $\alpha \in \Delta_P^Q$ we have denoted by $\varpi_\alpha^\vee$ the corresponding simple coweight.

Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and $Q = L_Q U_Q \in \mathcal{F}(L)$. Starting from a (G, M)-family $(c_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$, we can construct a (L_Q, L)-family $(c_R^Q)_{R \in \mathcal{P}^{L_Q}(L)}$ as follows: for all $R \in \mathcal{P}^{L_Q}(L)$ and all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*$, we set $c_R^Q(\lambda) = c_P(\lambda)$ where P is any parabolic subgroup in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ such that $P \subset Q(R) = RU_Q$. Applying the previous formal procedure to this new (L_Q, L)-family, we obtain an element $c_L^Q \in V$. We will usually simply set $c_L = c_L^G$. Remark that using the (G, L)-families $(c_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}(L)}$, $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$, we may define as above elements $c'_Q \in V$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)$.

Assume now that V is equipped with a continuous multiplication $V \times V \to V$ making it into a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Starting from two (G, M)-families $(c_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$ and $(d_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$ we may form they product $((cd)_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$, given by $(cd)_P = c_P d_P$, which is again a (G, M)-family. We have the following splitting formulas (cf lemma 17.4 and lemma 17.6 of [A3])

$$(1) \quad (cd)_M = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)} c_M^Q d'_Q$$

and

$$(2) \quad (cd)_M = \sum_{L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}(M)} d_M^G(L_1, L_2) c_M^{Q_1} d_M^{Q_2}$$

where in the second formula $Q_1 \in \mathcal{P}(L_1)$, $Q_2 \in \mathcal{P}(L_2)$ are parabolic subgroups that depend on the choice of a point $X \in \mathcal{A}_M$ in general position and $d_M^G(L_1, L_2)$ is a non-negative real number that is nonzero if and only if $\mathcal{A}_{L_1}^G \oplus \mathcal{A}_{L_2}^G = \mathcal{A}_M^G$. Moreover, we have $d_M^G(G, M) = d_M^G(M, G) = 1$. Starting from only one (G, M)-family, we also have the following descent formula (cf lemma 17.5 of [A3])

$$(3) \quad c_L = \sum_{L' \in \mathcal{L}(M)} d_M^G(L, L') c_M^{Q'}$$

A (G, M)-orthogonal set is a family $(Y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$ of points in $\mathcal{A}_M$ such that for all adjacent parabolic subgroups $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ there exists a real number $r_{P,P'}$ such that $Y_P - Y_{P'} = r_{P,P'} \alpha^\vee$, where α is the unique root of A_M that is positive for P and negative for P'. If moreover we have $r_{P,P'} \geqslant 0$ for all adjacent $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, then we say that the family is positive. Obviously, if $(Y_P)_{P\in\mathcal{P}(M)}$ is a (G,M)-orthogonal set, then the family $(c_P)_{P\in\mathcal{P}(M)}$ defined by $c_P(\lambda) = e^{\lambda(Y_P)}$ is a (G,M)-family. If the family $(Y_P)_{P\in\mathcal{P}(M)}$ is positive then there is an easy interpretation for the number c_M: it is the volume in $\mathcal{A}_M^G$ of the convex hull of the set $\{Y_P,\ P\in\mathcal{P}(M)\}$.

1.10 Weighted orbital integral

Let M be a Levi subgroup of G. Choose a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(F)$ that is special in the p-adic case. Recall that using K, we may construct for every $P\in\mathcal{P}(M)$ a map

$$H_P : G(F) \to \mathcal{A}_M$$

(cf subsection 1.1). For every $g\in G(F)$, the family $(H_P(g))_{P\in\mathcal{P}(M)}$ is a positive (G,M)-orthogonal set. Hence, it defines a (G,M)-family $(v_P(g,.))_{P\in\mathcal{P}(M)}$ and the number $v_M(g)$ associated to this (G,M)-family is just the volume in $\mathcal{A}_M^G$ of the convex hull of the $H_P(g)$, $P\in\mathcal{P}(M)$. The function $g\mapsto v_M(g)$ is obviously invariant on the left by $M(F)$ and on the right by K.

Let $x\in M(F)\cap G_{reg}(F)$. Then, for $f\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$, we define the weighted orbital integral of f at x to be

$$J_M(x,f) = D^G(x)^{1/2}\int_{G_x(F)\backslash G(F)} f(g^{-1}xg)v_M(g)dg$$

(note that the above expression is well-defined since $G_x\subset M$). The integral above is absolutely convergent and this defines a tempered distribution $J_M(x,.)$ on $G(F)$. More generally, we have seen in the last section how to associate to the (G,M)-family $(v_P(g,.))_{P\in\mathcal{P}(M)}$ complex numbers $v_L^Q(g)$ for all $L\in\mathcal{L}(M)$ and all $Q\in\mathcal{F}(L)$. This allows us to define tempered distributions $J_L^G(x,.)$ on $G(F)$ for all $L\in\mathcal{L}(M)$ and all $Q\in\mathcal{F}(L)$ by setting

$$J_L^Q(x,f) = D^G(x)^{1/2}\int_{G_x(F)\backslash G(F)} f(g^{-1}xg)v_L^Q(g)dg, \quad f\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$$

The functions $x\in M(F)\cap G_{reg}(F)\mapsto J_L^Q(x,f)$ are easily seen to be $M(F)$-invariant.

Let $X\in\mathfrak{m}(F)\cap\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. We define similarly weighted orbital integrals $J_L^Q(X,.)$, $L\in\mathcal{L}(M)$, $Q\in\mathcal{F}(L)$. These are tempered distributions on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ given by

$$J_L^Q(X,f) = D^G(X)^{1/2}\int_{G_X(F)\backslash G(F)} f(g^{-1}Xg)v_L^Q(g)dg, \quad f\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$$

When $Q=G$, we will simply set $J_L^G(X,f) = J_L(X,f)$. For all $L\in\mathcal{L}(M)$ and all $Q\in\mathcal{F}(L)$, we have an inequality $v_L^Q(g)\ll\sigma_{M\backslash G}(g)$ for all $g\in G(F)$. Using 1.2(2) and 1.2(4), we easily deduce the following

(1) Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists $k \geqslant 0$ such that for all $N \geqslant 0$ there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_N on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that

$$\left| J_L^Q(X,f) \right| \leqslant \nu_N(f) \log\left(2 + D^G(X)^{-1}\right)^k \|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

We will need the following lemma regarding to the behavior of weighted orbital integrals under the action of invariant differential operators (cf proposition 11.1 and lemma 12.4 of [A6]).

Lemma 1.10.1 *Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$ and let $T \subset M$ be a maximal torus. Then, we have*

(i) For all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ the function $x \in T_{reg}(F) \mapsto J_M(x,f)$ is smooth and for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, there exists smooth differential operators $\partial_M^L(.,z_L)$ on $T_{reg}(F)$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)\backslash\{M\}$ such that

$$J_M(x,zf) - z_T J_M(x,f) = \sum_{\substack{L \in \mathcal{L}(M) \\ L \neq M}} \partial_M^L(x,z_L) J_L(x,f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $z \in T_{reg}(F)$.

(ii) For all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ the function $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{reg}(F) \mapsto J_M(X,f)$ is smooth and for all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, there exists smooth differential operators $\partial_M^L(.,u_L)$ on $\mathfrak{t}_{reg}(F)$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)\backslash\{M\}$ such that

$$J_M(X,\partial(u)f) - \partial(u_T) J_M(X,f) = \sum_{\substack{L \in \mathcal{L}(M) \\ L \neq M}} \partial_M^L(X,u_L) J_L(X,f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{reg}(F)$.

2 Representations

2.1 Smooth representations, Elliptic regularity

Recall that a continuous representation of $G(F)$ is a pair (π, V_π) where V_π is a locally convex topological vector space and $\pi : G(F) \to Gl(V_\pi)$ is a morphism such that the resulting action

$$G(F) \times V_\pi \to V_\pi$$
$$(g,v) \mapsto \pi(g)v$$

is continuous. If V_π is complete or even quasi-complete, we get an action of $(C_c(G(F)), *)$ on V_π given by

$$\pi(f)v = \int_{G(F)} f(g)\pi(g)vdg, \quad f \in C_c(G(F)), v \in V_\pi$$

A vector $v \in V_\pi$ is said to be smooth if the orbit map

$$\gamma_v : g \in G(F) \mapsto \pi(g)v \in V_\pi$$

is smooth (i.e. it is locally constant in the p-adic case and weakly infinitely differentiable in the real case). We will denote by V_π^∞ the subspace of smooth vectors. This subspace is $G(F)$-invariant and, if $F = \mathbb{R}$, it carries a natural action of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. These two actions will be denoted by π^∞ or even by π is there is no risk of confusion. For all $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$, the image of $\pi(f)$ is included in V_π^∞. A continuous representation (π, V_π) is said to be smooth if $V_\pi = V_\pi^\infty$.

Let (π, V_π) be a smooth representation of $G(F)$. In the p-adic case we always have

$$\pi(C_c^\infty(G(F)))V_\pi = V_\pi$$

In the real case it is not always true. By a theorem of Dixmier-Malliavin ([DM]), it is at least true when V_π is a Frechet space. For example $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is a smooth Frechet representation of $G(F)$ for the action given by left translation. Hence, we have a factorization

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{C}(G(F)) = C_c^\infty(G(F)) * \mathcal{C}(G(F))$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution operator. Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$ and let H be an algebraic subgroup of G. Fix a basis $X_1, \ldots, X_h$ of $\mathfrak{h}(F)$ and set

$$\Delta_H = 1 - X_1^2 - \ldots - X_h^2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$$

The differential operator $R(\Delta_H)$ on $H(F)$ is elliptic. Hence, by elliptic regularity (cf lemma 3.7 of [BK]), for all integer m such that $2m > \dim(H)$, there exists a function $\varphi_1 \in C_c^{2m-\dim(H)-1}(H(F))$ and a function $\varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(H(F))$ such that

$$(2) \quad \varphi_1 * \Delta_H^m + \varphi_2 = \delta_1$$

where δ_1 denotes the Dirac distribution at the identity and Δ_H^m is viewed as a distribution supported at the origin. It follows in particular that for all smooth representation (π, V_π) of $G(F)$, we have

$$\pi(\varphi_1)\pi(\Delta_H^m) + \pi(\varphi_2) = Id_{V_\pi}$$

2.2 Unitary and tempered representations

Recall that a unitary representation of $G(F)$ is a continuous representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$ of $G(F)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ such that for all $g \in G(F)$ the operator $\pi(g)$ is unitary. A unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$ is irreducible if $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ is nonzero and has no nontrivial closed $G(F)$-invariant subspace. We will only consider unitary representations that are of finite length. Such representations are finite direct sums of irreducible unitary representations. To avoid multiple repetitions of the words "finite length" we will henceforth say "unitary representation" to mean "unitary representation of finite length". There is an action of $i\mathcal{A}_G^*$ on unitary representations given by $(\lambda, \pi) \mapsto \pi_\lambda$ where π_λ acts on the same space as π and $\pi_\lambda(g) = e^{\lambda(H_G(g))}\pi(g)$, for all $g \in G(F)$. We will denote by $i\mathcal{A}_{G,\pi}^\vee$ the stabilizer of π for this action. Remark that we always have $i\mathcal{A}_{G,F}^\vee \subset i\mathcal{A}_{G,\pi}^\vee \subset i\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{G,F}^\vee$. For $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$ an unitary representation, we will denote by $(\overline{\pi}, \overline{\mathcal{H}_\pi})$ the complex-conjugate representation which identifies naturally (using the scalar product on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$) to the dual representation.

Let us fix a compact maximal subgroup K of $G(F)$. We will denote by $\widehat{K}$ the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of K. For $\rho \in \widehat{K}$, we will denote by $d(\rho)$ its dimension. For $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$ a unitary representation of $G(F)$ and $\rho \in \widehat{K}$, we will denote by $\mathcal{H}_\pi(\rho)$ the ρ-isotypic component of $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. Every irreducible unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$ of $G(F)$ is admissible in the sense that

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_\pi(\rho) < \infty$$

for all $\rho \in \widehat{K}$. In the real case, we even have

$$(1) \quad \dim \mathcal{H}_\pi(\rho) \leqslant d(\rho)^2$$

for all $\rho \in \widehat{K}$. Still in the real case, let us choose a basis $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ of $\mathfrak{k}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $B(X_i, X_j) = -\delta_{i,j}$ for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ (recall that we choose the bilinear form B such that $B_{|\mathfrak{k}}$ is negative definite) and set $\Delta_K = 1 - X_1^2 - \ldots - X_n^2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$. Then, Δ_K is in the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{k})$ of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$ and doesn't depend on the basis chosen. It follows that for all $\rho \in \widehat{K}$, Δ_K acts by a scalar $c(\rho)$ on the space of ρ. We always have $c(\rho) \geqslant 1$ and there exists $k \geqslant 1$ such that the sum

$$\sum_{\rho \in \widehat{K}} c(\rho)^{-k}$$

converges absolutely. Moreover, there exists $\ell \geqslant 1$ such that $d(\rho) \leqslant c(\rho)^\ell$ for all $\rho \in \widehat{K}$. Hence the sum

$$\sum_{\rho \in \widehat{K}} d(\rho)^2 c(\rho)^{-k}$$

is convergent for k sufficiently large. By (1), it follows that for $k \geqslant 1$ sufficiently large there exists $C_k > 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{K}} c(\rho)^{-k} \dim \mathcal{H}_\pi(\rho) < C_k$$

for all unitary irreducible representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$ of $G(F)$.

Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$ be a unitary representation. We endow the subspace of smooth vectors $\mathcal{H}_\pi^\infty$ with its own locally convex topology which is defined as follows. In the p-adic case, $\mathcal{H}_\pi^\infty$ is equipped with its finest locally convex topology. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, we endow $\mathcal{H}_\pi^\infty$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms

$$\|e\|_u = \|\pi^\infty(u)e\|, \quad e \in \mathcal{H}_\pi^\infty$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\|.\|$ is the norm derived from the scalar product on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. In this case, $\mathcal{H}_\pi^\infty$ is a Frechet space. A vector $e \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ is smooth if and only if it is smooth for the K-action. Moreover, if $F = \mathbb{R}$, the semi-norms $\|.\|_u$, $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$, already generate the topology on $\mathcal{H}_\pi^\infty$. More precisely, the topology on $\mathcal{H}_\pi^\infty$ is generated by the family of semi-norms $(\|.\|_{\Delta_K^n})_{n \geqslant 0}$ and we have $\|.\|_{\Delta_K^m} \leqslant \|.\|_{\Delta_K^n}$ for $m \leqslant n$.

We will denote by $\mathcal{H}_\pi^{-\infty}$ the topological dual of $\mathcal{H}_\pi^\infty$ which following our convention of appendix A is equipped with the strong topology (in the p-adic case this is just the algebraic dual of $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ with the weak topology on it) and by $\pi^{-\infty}$ the natural representation of $G(F)$ on that space. It is a continuous representation. The scalar product on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ gives a natural embedding $\overline{\mathcal{H}_\pi} \subset \mathcal{H}_\pi^{-\infty}$ and we have

$$\pi^{-\infty}\left(C_c^\infty(G(F))\right)\mathcal{H}_\pi^{-\infty} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{H}_\pi^\infty}$$

(we even have an equality by Dixmier-Malliavin). We will always use the slight abuse of notation of denoting by π, $\overline{\pi}$, π^∞ and $\pi^{-\infty}$ both the representations and the spaces on which these representations act. Also, we will always denote by $(.,.)$ the scalar product on a given unitary representation (linear in the first variable) and by $\|.\|$ the induced norm.

Let again π be a unitary representation of $G(F)$. Then we will denote by $End(\pi)$ the space of continuous endomorphisms of the space of π. It is naturally a Banach space for the operator-norm

$$|||T||| = \sup_{\|e\|=1} \|Te\|, \quad T \in End(\pi)$$

Moreover $End(\pi)$ is a continuous representation of $G(F) \times G(F)$ for the action given by left and right translations. We will denote by $End(\pi)^\infty$ the subspace of smooth vectors and we will equip it with its own locally convex topology as follows. In the p-adic case we endow this space with its finest locally convex topology whereas in the real case we equip it with the topology defined by the semi-norms

$$|||T|||_{u,v} = |||\pi(u)T\pi(v)|||, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), T \in End(\pi)^\infty$$

in which case it is a Frechet space. Once again, the topology on $End(\pi)^\infty$ is generated by the semi-norms $(|||.|||_{\Delta_K^n,\Delta_K^n})_{n\geqslant 1}$ and we have $|||.|||_{\Delta_K^m,\Delta_K^m} \leqslant |||.|||_{\Delta_K^n,\Delta_K^n}$ for $m \leqslant n$. Every $T \in End(\pi)^\infty$ is traceable and for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$, the operator $\pi(f)$ belongs to $End(\pi)^\infty$. Moreover, by Harish-Chandra, there exists a smooth function θ_π on $G_{reg}(F)$ which is locally integrable on $G(F)$ such that

$$Trace(\pi(f)) = \int_{G(F)} \theta_\pi(g) f(g) dg$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$. We call θ_π the character of π.

We have a natural embedding $\pi^\infty \otimes \overline{\pi^\infty} \subset End(\pi)^\infty$ which sends $e \otimes e'$ to the operator $T_{e,e'}$ given by

$$e_0 \in \pi \mapsto (e_0, e')e$$

In the p-adic case, we even have an equality $End(\pi)^\infty = \pi^\infty \otimes \overline{\pi^\infty}$ whereas in the real case $\pi^\infty \otimes \overline{\pi^\infty}$ is only a dense subspace of $End(\pi)^\infty$ and we have $End(\pi)^\infty = \pi^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_p \overline{\pi^\infty}$ where $\widehat{\otimes}_p$ denotes the projective topological tensor product (cf appendix A.5). It is easy to infer from this description that any $T \in End(\pi)^\infty$ extends to a continuous linear map $T : \overline{\pi^{-\infty}} \to \pi^\infty$, the extension being necessarily unique since π^∞ is dense in $\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}$. This induces a natural linear map

$$End(\pi)^\infty \to Hom(\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}, \pi^\infty)$$

which is continuous, where we equip $Hom(\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}, \pi^\infty)$ with the strong topology.

We will say that a unitary representation π is tempered if for all $e, e' \in \pi^\infty$ we have an inequality

$$(3) \quad |(\pi(g)e, e')| \ll \Xi^G(g)$$

for all $g \in G(F)$. This inequality extends to $End(\pi)^\infty$ in the sense that for all $T \in End(\pi)^\infty$, we have an inequality

$$(4) \quad |Trace(\pi(g)T)| \ll \Xi^G(g)$$

for all $g \in G(F)$. If $F = \mathbb{R}$ and π is moreover irreducible, we actually have a more precise inequality. Indeed, there exists $n \geqslant 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for all irreducible tempered representation π and for all $T \in End(\pi)^\infty$, we have

$$(5) \quad |Trace(\pi(g)T)| \leqslant C\Xi^G(g)|||T|||_{\Delta_K^n,\Delta_K^n}$$

for all $g \in G(F)$. In particular, we have

$$(6) \quad |(\pi(g)e, e')| \leqslant C\Xi^G(g)\|e\|_{\Delta_K^n}\|e'\|_{\Delta_K^n}$$

for all $e, e' \in \pi^\infty$ and all $g \in G(F)$ (still assuming that π is an irreducible tempered representation).

Twists by unitary characters preserve tempered representations. We will denote by $Temp(G)$ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible tempered representations. If π is a tempered representation, then we may extend the action of $C_c^\infty(G(F))$ on π^∞ to an action of $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ by setting

$$(\pi(f)e, e') = \int_{G(F)} f(g)(\pi(g)e, e')dg$$

for all $e, e' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. Note that the vector $\pi(f)v$ a priori belongs to $\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}$ (in the real case this follows from (6)), the fact that it actually belongs to π^∞ follows from the factorization 2.1(1). This factorization also implies that we have $\pi(f) \in End(\pi)^\infty$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. In the real case, it is easy to infer from (5) that there exists a continuous semi-norm ν on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$(7) \quad \|\pi(f)e\| \leqslant \nu(f)\|e\|$$

for all tempered representation π of $G(F)$, all $e \in \pi$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$.

Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of $G(F)$. By Schur lemma $Z(G)(F)$ acts by an unitary character on π. We call it the central character of π and we denote it by ω_π. We say that an irreducible unitary representation π is square-integrable if for all $e, e' \in \pi$ the function

$$g \in G(F)/A_G(F) \mapsto |(\pi(g)e, e')|$$

is square-integrable. We will denote by $\Pi_2(G)$ the set of isomorphism classes of square-integrable representations of $G(F)$. Square-integrable representations are obviously preserved by unramified twists. We will denote by $\Pi_2(G)/i\mathcal{A}^*_{G,F}$ the set of orbits for this action. For $\pi \in \Pi_2(G)$, we define the formal degree $d(\pi)$ of π to be the only positive real number such that

$$\int_{G(F)/A_G(F)} (\pi(g)e_0, e_0')(e_1, \pi(g)e_1')dg = d(\pi)^{-1}(e_0, e_1')(e_1, e_0')$$

for all $e_0, e_0', e_1, e_1' \in \pi$. Square-integrable representations are tempered, hence we have an inclusion $\Pi_2(G) \subseteq Temp(G)$.

Assume now that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\pi \in Temp(G)$. Recall that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the center of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. By Schur lemma, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts by a character on π^∞. This is the infinitesimal character of π. We will denote it by χ_π. It is convenient to introduce a norm $\pi \mapsto N^G(\pi)$ on $Temp(G)$ as follows. Fix a maximal torus $T \subset G$. We have the Harish-Chandra isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{t})^{W(G_\mathbb{C}, T_\mathbb{C})}$$

Hence, the set of characters of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ gets identified with $\mathfrak{t}^*/W(G_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}})$. Fix an hermitian norm $|.|$ on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ which is $W(G_{\mathbb{C}}, T_{\mathbb{C}})$-invariant. Then, we set

$$N^G(\pi) = 1 + |\chi_\pi|$$

for all $\pi \in Temp(G)$. Note that although the definition of $N^G(\pi)$ depends on some choices, two different choices would give two norms that are equivalent. Since the norm $N^G(.)$ will only be used for estimates purpose, the precise choices involved in its definition won't really matter and we will always assume implicitly that such choices have been made. We extend the norm $N^G(.)$ to all tempered representations by

$$\pi = \pi_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \pi_k \mapsto N^G(\pi) = \max\left(N^G(\pi_1), \ldots, N^G(\pi_k)\right)$$

where $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ are irreducible tempered representations of $G(F)$ (recall that all our unitary representations have finite length). Later, we will need the following inequality

(8) There exists an integer $k \geqslant 1$ such that

$$d(\pi) \ll N^G(\pi)^k$$

for all $\pi \in \Pi_2(G)$.

2.3 Parabolic induction

Let $P = MU$ be a parabolic subgroup of G and σ a tempered of $M(F)$. We extend σ to a representation of $P(F)$ trivial on $U(F)$. We will denote by $i_P^G(\sigma)$ the unitary parabolic induction of σ. It is a tempered representation of $G(F)$. The space on which $i_P^G(\sigma)$ acts may be described as the completion of the space of continuous functions $e : G(F) \to \sigma$ satisfying $e(mug) = \delta_P(m)^{1/2}\sigma(m)e(g)$ for all $m \in M(F)$, $u \in U(F)$ and $g \in G(F)$ for the topology defined by the scalar product

$$(e, e') = \int_{P(F)\backslash G(F)} (e(g), e'(g))dg$$

The action of $G(F)$ is given by right translation. The smooth subspace $i_P^G(\sigma)^\infty$ of $i_P^G(\sigma)$ is exactly the space of smooth functions $e : G(F) \to \sigma^\infty$ satisfying the equality $e(mug) = \delta_P(m)^{1/2}\sigma(m)\varphi(g)$ for all $m \in M(F)$, $u \in U(F)$ and $g \in G(F)$. The isomorphism class of $i_P^G(\sigma)$ only depends on M and σ and not on P. When we only consider this representation modulo isomorphism, we will denote it by $i_M^G(\sigma)$.

If $F = \mathbb{R}$, recall that in the previous section we constructed a norm N^G on the set of (isomorphism classes of) tempered representations of $G(F)$. Of course, this construction also applies to M and yields a norm N^M on $Temp(M)$. It is not hard to see that we may choose N^M in such a way that

$$(1) \quad N^G(i_M^G(\sigma)) = N^M(\sigma)$$

for all $\sigma \in Temp(M)$.

Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ that is special in the p-adic case. By the Iwasawa decomposition, restriction to K defines a K-equivariant isomorphism between $i_P^G(\sigma)$ and $i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})$, where $K_P = K \cap P(F)$ and σ_{K_P} denotes the restriction of σ to K_P. This isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism of topological vector spaces $i_P^G(\sigma)^\infty \simeq i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty$. Note that if $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ then $(\sigma_\lambda)_{K_P} = \sigma_{K_P}$. Hence we get topological isomorphisms $i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)^\infty \simeq i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty$ for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$.

Lemma 2.3.1 *(i) For all tempered representation π of $G(F)$, the linear map*

$$Tr_\pi : End(\pi)^\infty \to \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$$

$$T \mapsto (g \mapsto Trace(\pi(g)T))$$

is continuous.

(ii) Let $P = MU$ be a parabolic subgroup of G and $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case. Set $\pi_K = i_{P\cap K}^K(\sigma_{|P\cap K})$ and $\pi_\lambda = i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$. Consider the isomorphism $\pi_\lambda^\infty \simeq \pi_K^\infty$, $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$, given by restriction to K as an identification. Then the map

$$i\mathcal{A}_M^* \to Hom\left(End(\pi_K)^\infty, \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))\right)$$

$$\lambda \mapsto Tr_{\pi_\lambda}$$

is smooth.

Proof: (i) follows from 2.2(5). We prove (ii). Let us denote by $(.,.)$ the scalar product on π_K given by

$$(e, e') = \int_K (e(k), e'(k))dk$$

(where the scalar product inside the integral is the scalar product on σ). Using this scalar product we have an inclusion $\pi_K^\infty \otimes \overline{\pi_K^\infty} \subseteq End(\pi_K)^\infty$ which induces an identification $End(\pi_K)^\infty = \pi_K^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_p \overline{\pi_K^\infty}$. Hence, by A.5(1), it suffices to show that for all $e, e' \in \pi_K^\infty$ the map

$$\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto (g \mapsto (\pi_\lambda(g)e, e')) \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$$

is smooth. Fix two vectors $e, e' \in \pi_K^\infty$ and set

$$\varphi(\lambda, g) = (\pi_\lambda(g)e, e')$$

for all $g \in G(F)$ and all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$. We are going to apply lemma 1.5.1(ii) to this function φ. We need to check the various hypothesis of this lemma. The condition (a) in the p-adic case, is obvious. Let us show that, in the real case, the condition (b) holds. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, we have

$$(2) \quad \left(R(u)L(v)\varphi\right)(\lambda, g) = (\pi_\lambda(g)\pi_\lambda(u)e, \pi_\lambda(v)e')$$

for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ and all $g \in G(F)$ and it suffices to show that the two maps

$$(3) \quad \lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto \pi_\lambda(g)\pi_\lambda(u)e \in \pi_K^\infty$$

$$(4) \quad \lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto \pi_\lambda(v)e' \in \pi_K^\infty$$

are smooth. Denote by $\mathfrak{k}^\perp$ the orthogonal of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ for the form $B(.,.)$. Fix a basis $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ of $\mathfrak{k}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $B(X_i, X_j) = -\delta_{i,j}$ for $i, j = 1, \ldots, k$ and fix a basis $Y_1, \ldots, Y_p$ of $\mathfrak{k}^\perp(\mathbb{R})$ such that $B(Y_i, Y_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $i, j = 1, \ldots, p$. Set

$$\Delta_K = 1 - X_1^2 - \ldots - X_k^2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$$

$$\Delta_G = \Delta_K - Y_1^2 - \ldots - Y_p^2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$$

Using elliptic regularity (2.1(2)), we easily see that the smoothness of (3) and (4) follows from the following claim

> (5) For all $f \in C_c(G(F))$, the maps
>
> $$\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto \pi_\lambda(\Delta_G) \in End(\pi_K^\infty)$$
>
> $$\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto \pi_\lambda(f) \in End(\pi_K^\infty)$$
>
> are smooth.

The difference $C_G = 2\Delta_K - \Delta_G$ is in the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the map

$$\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto \chi_{\pi_\lambda}(C_G)$$

is easily seen to be smooth. This shows the first point of (5) since the map $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto \pi_\lambda(\Delta_K) = \pi_K(\Delta_K)$ is constant. For the second point, we first notice that

$$(6) \quad \pi_\lambda(f) = \int_{G(F)} f(g)m_\lambda(g)\pi_0(g)dg$$

for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$, where $m_\lambda(g)$ is the operator that multiply a function $e_0 \in \pi_K^\infty$ by the function

$$k \in K \mapsto e^{\langle \lambda, H_P(kg)\rangle}$$

where $H_P : G(F) \to \mathcal{A}_M$ is the extension of H_M to $G(F)$ associated to K. The function $g \in G(F) \mapsto H_P(g)$ is easily seen to be smooth. It follows that the map $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto m_\lambda(g) \in End(\pi_K^\infty)$ is smooth and its derivatives are easy to compute. By (6) and the general theorem of differentiation under the integral sign, we deduce that the map $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto \pi_\lambda(f)$ is smooth. This ends the proof of (5).

Next we need to check the conditions (c) (in the p-adic case) and (d) (in the real case) of lemma 1.5.1. Let $D = \partial(\lambda_1)\ldots\partial(\lambda_n)$ where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$. In the real case, by what we just saw, the functions $\lambda \mapsto \pi_\lambda(u)e$ and $\lambda \mapsto \pi_\lambda(v)e'$ are smooth for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence, in both the p-adic and the real case it suffices to show the existence of a continuous semi-norm ν on π_K^∞ such that

$$(7) \quad |D_\lambda(\pi_\lambda(g)e_0, e_1)| \leqslant \nu(e_0)\nu(e_1)\Xi^G(g)\sigma(g)^n$$

for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$, all $g \in G(F)$ and all $e_0, e_1 \in \pi_K^\infty$. We have

$$(\pi_\lambda(g)e_0, e_1) = \int_K e^{\langle \lambda, H_M(m_P(kg))\rangle} \delta_P(m_P(kg))^{1/2} \left(\sigma\left(m_P(kg)\right) e_0\left(k_P(kg)\right), e_1(k)\right) dk$$

for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$, all $g \in G(F)$, all $e_0, e_1 \in \pi_K^\infty$ and where $m_P : G(F) \to M(F)$ is as before and $k_P : G(F) \to K$ is any map such that $g \in m_P(g)U(F)k_P(g)$ for all $g \in G(F)$. It follows that

$$D_\lambda(\pi_\lambda(g)e_0, e_1) = \int_K \prod_{i=1}^n \langle \lambda_i, H_M(m_P(kg))\rangle e^{\langle \lambda, H_M(m_P(kg))\rangle} \delta_P(m_P(kg))^{1/2} \\ \left(\sigma\left(m_P(kg)\right) e_0\left(k_P(kg)\right), e_1(k)\right) dk$$

for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$, all $g \in G(F)$ and all $e_0, e_1 \in \pi_K^\infty$. Obviously we have an inequality

$$\prod_{i=1}^n |\langle \lambda_i, H_M(m_P(kg))\rangle| \ll \sigma(g)^n$$

for all $g \in G(F)$ and all $k \in K$. Hence,

$$|D_\lambda(\pi_\lambda(g)e_0, e_1)| \ll \sigma(g)^n \int_K \delta_P(m_P(kg))^{1/2} \left|\left(\sigma\left(m_P(kg)\right) e_0\left(k_P(kg)\right), e_1(k)\right)\right| dk$$

for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$, all $g \in G(F)$ and all $e_0, e_1 \in \pi_K^\infty$. By 2.2(3) and 2.2(6) there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_σ on σ^∞ such that $|(\sigma(m)v_0, v_1)| \leqslant \nu_\sigma(v_0)\nu_\sigma(v_1)\Xi^M(m)$ for all $v_0, v_1 \in \sigma^\infty$ and all $m \in M(F)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|D_\lambda(\pi_\lambda(g)e_0,e_1)| &\ll \sup_{k\in K}\left[\nu_\sigma\left(e_0(k)\right)\right]\sup_{k\in K}\left[\nu_\sigma\left(e_1(k)\right)\right]\sigma(g)^n\int_K \delta_P(m_P(kg))^{1/2}\Xi^M(m_P(kg))dk\\ &=\sup_{k\in K}\left[\nu_\sigma(e_0(k))\right]\sup_{k\in K}\left[\nu_\sigma(e_1(k))\right]\Xi^G(g)\sigma(g)^n\end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda\in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$, all $g\in G(F)$ and all $e_0,e_1\in\pi_K^\infty$, where in the last equality we used proposition 1.5.1(iii). By the standard Sobolev inequality the semi-norm

$$e\mapsto \sup_{k\in K}\left[\nu_\sigma\left(e(k)\right)\right]$$

is continuous on π_K^∞. This proves (7) and ends the proof of the lemma. ■

2.4 Normalized intertwining operators

Let M be a Levi subgroup of G, σ be a tempered representation of $M(F)$ and fix K a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case. The definition of the representations $i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)^\infty$, $P\in\mathcal{P}(M)$, actually still makes sense for any $\lambda\in\mathcal{A}_{M,\mathbb{C}}^*$ (although these are not anymore unitary representations in general). Moreover, restriction to K still induces K-equivariant isomorphisms $i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)^\infty\simeq i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty$ for all $\lambda\in\mathcal{A}_{M,\mathbb{C}}^*$ and all $P\in\mathcal{P}(M)$ (recall that $K_P=P(F)\cap K$).

Let $P=MU, P'=MU'\in\mathcal{P}(M)$. For $Re(\lambda)$ in a certain open cone, the expression

$$\left(J_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)e\right)(g):=\int_{(U(F)\cap U'(F))\backslash U'(F)} e(u'g)du'$$

is absolutely convergent for all $e\in i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)^\infty$ and defines a $G(F)$-equivariant continuous linear map

$$J_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda):i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)^\infty\to i_{P'}^G(\sigma_\lambda)^\infty$$

Via the isomorphisms $i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)^\infty\simeq i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty$ and $i_{P'}^G(\sigma_\lambda)\simeq i_{K_{P'}}^K(\sigma_{K_{P'}})^\infty$, we can view the map $\lambda\mapsto J_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)$ as taking values in $Hom\left(i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty, i_{K_{P'}}^K(\sigma_{K_{P'}})^\infty\right)$ the space of continuous linear maps between $i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty$ and $i_{K_{P'}}^K(\sigma_{K_{P'}})^\infty$. This function admits a meromorphic continuation to $\mathcal{A}_{M,\mathbb{C}}^*$. Let $\overline{P}=M\overline{U}\in\mathcal{P}(M)$ be the parabolic subgroup opposite to $P=MU$. Assume that the Haar measures du and $d\overline{u}$ on $U(F)$ and $\overline{U}(F)$ have been normalized so that $dg=\delta_P(m)^{-1}dudmd\overline{u}$ where dg and dm denotes the Haar measures on dg and dm respectively. Then the meromorphic function $\lambda\mapsto j(\sigma_\lambda)=J_{P|\overline{P}}(\sigma_\lambda)J_{\overline{P}|P}(\sigma_\lambda)$ is scalar-valued and doesn't depend on the choice of P. Moreover this function takes on $i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ positive real values (including ∞). We will need the following:

(1) Assume that $F=\mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists an integer $k\geqslant 1$ such that

$$j(\sigma)^{-1} \ll N^M(\sigma)^k$$

for all $\sigma \in Temp(M)$.

We can also define normalized intertwining operators. They are constructed as follows. We introduce complex-valued meromorphic functions $\lambda \mapsto r_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)$, for all $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, such that if we set

$$R_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda) = r_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)^{-1} J_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda), \quad P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M), \lambda \in \mathcal{A}^*_{M,\mathbb{C}}$$

these operators satisfy the conditions (R1)-(R8) of the section 2 of [A5]. The most important conditions for us will be the following:

(2) $R_{P''|P'}(\sigma_\lambda) R_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda) = R_{P''|P}(\sigma_\lambda)$ for all $P, P', P'' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$;

(3) $\lambda \mapsto R_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)$ is holomorphic on $i\mathcal{A}^*_M$;

(4) For all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}^*_M$ and all $P', P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, $R_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)$ is unitary;

(5) If $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}^*_G$, then $R_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda) = R_{P'|P}(\sigma)_\lambda$ via the natural isomorphisms $i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda) \simeq i_P^G(\sigma)_\lambda$ and $i_{P'}^G(\sigma_\lambda) \simeq i_{P'}^G(\sigma)_\lambda$;

(6) For $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, if $Q = M_Q U_Q$ denotes the parabolic subgroup generated by P and P' then $R_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda) = i_Q^G\left(R_{P'\cap M_Q|P\cap M_Q}(\sigma_\lambda)\right)$ via the isomorphisms of induction by stages $i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda) \simeq i_Q^G\left(i_{P\cap M_Q}^{M_Q}(\sigma_\lambda)\right)$ and $i_{P'}^G(\sigma_\lambda) \simeq i_Q^G\left(i_{P'\cap M_Q}^{M_Q}(\sigma_\lambda)\right)$;

(7) For all $g \in G(F)$ and all $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, we have $R_{gP'g^{-1}|gPg^{-1}}(g\sigma g^{-1}) = A_{P'}(g) R_{P'|P}(\sigma) A_P(g)^{-1}$ where $A_P(g)$ is the isomorphism $i_P^G(\sigma) \simeq i_{gPg^{-1}}^G(g\sigma g^{-1})$ given by $(A_P(g)e)(\gamma) = e(g^{-1}\gamma)$ (and $A_{P'}(g)$ is defined similarly).

(8) Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then, for all $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and for all differential operator with constant coefficients D on $i\mathcal{A}^*_M$, there exists $k, r \geqslant 1$ such that

$$\left\| D_\lambda R_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda) e \right\| \leqslant \| i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda, \Delta_K^r) e \| N^M(\sigma_\lambda)^k$$

for all $\sigma \in Temp(M)$, all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}^*_M$ and all $e \in i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)^\infty$.

(the last condition follows from lemma 2.1 of [A5])

2.5 Weighted characters

We keep the notations and assumptions of the previous section : M is a Levi subgroup of G, σ a tempered representation of $M(F)$ and K a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ that is special in the p-adic case. Fix $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. For all $P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, we may consider the function $\mathcal{R}_{P'}(\sigma, P)$ on $i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{P'}(\lambda, \sigma, P) = R_{P'|P}(\sigma)^{-1} R_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)$$

The family $(\mathcal{R}_{P'}(\sigma, P))_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$ is a (G, M)-family taking values in $End(i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty)$. Following Arthur, we may associate to this family operators $\mathcal{R}_L^Q(\sigma, P)$ in $End(i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty)$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ (cf subsection 1.9). Then for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ all $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(L)$, we set

$$J_L^Q(\sigma, f) = Trace(\mathcal{R}_L^Q(\sigma, P) i_P^G(\sigma, f))$$

The trace is well-defined in the p-adic case since then $i_P^G(\sigma, f)$ is a finite rank operator. To see that it is also well-defined in the real case, we may proceed as follows: by the factorization 2.1(1) and by linearity, we may assume that $f = f_1 * f_2$ where $f_1 \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. Then $\mathcal{R}_L^Q(\sigma, P) i_P^G(\sigma, f_1)$ extends continuously to an endomorphism of $i_P^G(\sigma)$ and since $i_P^G(\sigma, f_2)$ is traceable so is $\mathcal{R}_L^Q(\sigma, P) i_P^G(\sigma, f) = \mathcal{R}_L^Q(\sigma, P) i_P^G(\sigma, f_1) i_P^G(\sigma, f_2)$.

This defines a family of tempered distributions $\left(J_L^Q(\sigma, .)\right)_{L,Q}$ on $G(F)$ which doesn't depend on P but depends on K and the way we normalized the intertwining operators. Note that if $L = Q = G$, this reduces to the usual character, that is

$$J_G^G(\sigma, f) = Trace(i_M^G(\sigma, f))$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$.

Lemma 2.5.1 *Assume $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{F}(L)$. Then, for all $k \geqslant 0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_k on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that*

$$\left| J_L^Q(\sigma, f) \right| \leqslant \nu_k(f) N^M(\sigma)^{-k}$$

for all $\sigma \in Temp(M)$.

Proof: For all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$J_L^Q(\sigma, zf) = \chi_\sigma(z_M) J_L^Q(\sigma, f)$$

for all $\sigma \in Temp(M)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. Moreover, there exist $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$|\chi_\sigma(z_1)| + \ldots + |\chi_\sigma(z_n)| \geqslant N^M(\sigma)$$

for all $\sigma \in Temp(M)$. Consequently, we only need to show the following

(1) There exists $k \geqslant 0$ and a continuous semi-norm ν on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$\left| J_L^Q(\sigma, f) \right| \leqslant \nu(f) N^M(\sigma)^k$$

for all $\sigma \in Temp(M)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$.

It follows from 2.4(8) that we may find two integers $k \geqslant 0$ and $r \geqslant 0$ such that

$$\left\| \mathcal{R}_L^Q(\sigma, P) e \right\| \leqslant \| i_P^G(\sigma, \Delta_K^r) e \| N^M(\sigma)^k$$

for all $\sigma \in Temp(M)$ and all $e \in i_P^G(\sigma)^\infty$.

Let $\sigma \in Temp(M)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. For all $\rho \in \widehat{K}$, let us fix an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}_\rho(\sigma)$ of $i_P^G(\sigma)(\rho)$ (the ρ isotypic component of $i_P^G(\sigma)$). Then, for all integer $\ell \geqslant 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| J_L^Q(\sigma, f) \right| &= \left| Trace\left(\mathcal{R}_M(\sigma, P) i_P^G(\sigma, f) \right) \right| \\ &\leqslant \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{K}} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}_\rho(\sigma)} \left| \left(\mathcal{R}_M(\sigma, P) i_P^G(\sigma, f) e, e \right) \right| \\ &\leqslant N^M(\sigma)^k \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{K}} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}_\rho(\sigma)} \left\| i_P^G(\sigma, L(\Delta_K^r) f) e \right\| \\ &= N^M(\sigma)^k \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{K}} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}_\rho(\sigma)} c(\rho)^{-\ell} \left\| i_P^G(\sigma, L(\Delta_K^r) R(\Delta_K^\ell) f) e \right\| \end{aligned}$$

By 2.2(7), there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_ℓ on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ which doesn't depend on σ and such that the sum above is bounded by

$$\nu_\ell(f) N^M(\sigma)^k \sum_{\rho \in \widehat{K}} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}_\rho(\sigma)} c(\rho)^{-\ell}$$

and by 2.2(2), if ℓ is sufficiently large the sum above is absolutely convergent and bounded by a constant independent of σ. This shows (1) and ends the proof of the lemma. ■

2.6 Matricial Paley-Wiener theorem and Plancherel-Harish-Chandra theorem

Let us define $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ to be the set of isomorphism classes of tempered representations of $G(F)$ which are of the form $i_M^G(\sigma)$ where M is a Levi subgroup of G and $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$ is a square-integrable representation. According to Harish-Chandra two such representations $i_M^G(\sigma)$ and $i_{M'}^G(\sigma')$ are isomorphic if and only if the pairs (M, σ) and (M', σ') are conjugated under $G(F)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of Levi subgroups of G. Then, $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ is naturally a quotient of

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{temp}(G) = \bigsqcup_{M\in\mathcal{M}} \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{O}\in\Pi_2(M)/i\mathcal{A}^*_{M,F}} \mathcal{O}$$

which has a natural structure of real smooth manifold since each orbit $\mathcal{O} \in \{\Pi_2(M)\}$ is a quotient of $i\mathcal{A}^*_{M,F}$ by a finite subgroup hence is naturally a real smooth manifold. We equip $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ with the quotient topology. Note that the connected components of $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ are then image of unramified classes $\mathcal{O} \in \{\Pi_2(M)\}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$. The following is due to Harish-Chandra (cf theorem VIII.1.2 of [Wa2])

(1) If F is p-adic, then for all compact-open subgroup $K \subset G(F)$ the set

$$\{\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G);\ \pi^K \neq 0\}$$

is relatively compact in $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ (i.e. is contained in the union of a finite number of components).

Let V be a locally convex topological vector space. We will say of a function $f : \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \to V$ that it is smooth if the pullback of f to $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{temp}(G)$ is a smooth function. We will denote by $C^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), V)$ the space of smooth functions on $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ taking values in V. We will also simply set $C^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)) = C^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathbb{C})$.

We define a regular Borel measure $d\pi$ on $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ by requesting that

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \varphi(\pi)d\pi = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}} |W(G,M)|^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in\Pi_2(M)/i\mathcal{A}^*_{M,F}} [i\mathcal{A}^\vee_{M,\sigma} : i\mathcal{A}^\vee_{M,F}]^{-1} \int_{i\mathcal{A}^*_{M,F}} \varphi(i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda))d\lambda$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G))$, where for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$ we have fixed $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and for all $\mathcal{O} \in \{\Pi_2(M)\}$ we have fixed a base-point $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}$.

For all $\pi = i_M^G(\sigma) \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$, we set $\mu(\pi) = d(\sigma)j(\sigma)^{-1}$. This quantity really only depends on π since another pair (M',σ') yielding π, where M' is a Levi subgroup and $\sigma' \in \Pi_2(M')$, is $G(F)$-conjugated to (M,σ).

Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Recall that we defined in subsection 2.2 a norm N^G on the set of (isomorphism classes of) tempered representations of $G(F)$. By 2.2(8), 2.4(1) and 2.3(1), there exists $k \geqslant 0$ such that $\mu(\pi) \ll N^G(\pi)^k$ for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$. The following basic estimate will be used several times:

(2) There exists an integer $k \geqslant 1$ such that the integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} N^G(\pi)^{-k} d\pi$$

is absolutely convergent.

We are now going to define a space of functions $C^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$. The elements of that space are certain assignments $T : \pi \in Temp(G) \mapsto T_\pi \in End(\pi)^\infty$ (remark that for all $\pi \in Temp(G)$, the space $End(\pi)^\infty$ is well-defined up to an unique isomorphism). First, we extend such an assignment to all (isomorphism classes of) tempered representation by $\pi = \pi_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \pi_k \mapsto T_\pi = T_{\pi_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus T_{\pi_k} \in End(\pi)^\infty$ where the π_i's are irreducible. Let us fix a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case. We may now define $C^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ as the space of functions $\pi \in Temp(G) \mapsto T_\pi \in End(\pi)^\infty$ such that for all parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ and for all $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$, setting $\pi_K = i_{P\cap K}^K(\sigma_{|P\cap K})$ and $\pi_\lambda = i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$, the function

$$\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto T_{\pi_\lambda} \in End(\pi_\lambda)^\infty \simeq End(\pi_K)^\infty$$

is smooth.

We define a subspace $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ of $C^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ as follows . This is the subspace of sections $T \in C^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ such that

- in the p-adic case: $Supp(T) = \overline{\{\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G);\ T_\pi \neq 0\}}$ is compact (i.e. is contained in a finite union of connected components);
- in the real case: for all parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ and for all differential operator with constant coefficient D on $i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ the function

  $$DT : \sigma \in \Pi_2(M) \mapsto D_\lambda \left(\lambda \mapsto T_{i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)} \in End(i_{P\cap K}^K(\sigma))^\infty\right)$$

  has the property that

  $$p_{D,u,v,k}(T) = \sup_{\sigma\in\Pi_2(M)} |||(DT)_\sigma|||_{u,v} N(\sigma)^k < \infty$$

  for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We equip the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ with a locally convex topology as follows. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, it is the topology defined by the semi-norms $p_{D,u,v,k}$ for all D, u, v and k as above. If F is p-adic, we remark that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ is naturally a subspace of

$$(3) \quad \bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{M}} \bigoplus_{\mathcal{O}\in\{\Pi_2(M)\}} C^\infty\left(i\mathcal{A}_{M,F}^*, End(i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P}))^\infty\right)$$

where for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$ we have fixed a parabolic subgroup $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and for all $\mathcal{O} \in \{\Pi_2(M)\}$ we have fixed a base-point $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}$. The spaces $C^\infty\left(i\mathcal{A}_{M,F}^*, End(i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P}))^\infty\right)$ have natural locally convex topologies. We endow the space (3) with the direct sum topology and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ with the subspace topology.

We will need the following strong version of the Harish-Chandra-Plancherel formula also called matricial Paley-Wiener theorem (cf theorem VII.2.5 and theorem VIII.1.1 of [Wa2] in the p-adic case and [A2], [A8] in the real case).

Theorem 2.6.1 *(i) The map $f \in \mathcal{C}(G) \mapsto (\pi \in Temp(G) \mapsto \pi(f) \in End(\pi)^\infty)$ induces a topological isomorphism $\mathcal{C}(G) \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$.*

(ii) The inverse of that isomorphism is given by sending $T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$ to the function f_T defined by

$$f_T(g) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} Trace\left(\pi(g^{-1})T_\pi\right) \mu(\pi) d\pi$$

Remark: The last integral above is absolutely convergent by 2.2(5) and (2).

2.7 Elliptic representations and the space $\mathcal{X}(G)$

Denote by $R_{temp}(G)$ the space of complex virtual tempered representations of $G(F)$, that is $R_{temp}(G)$ is the complex vector space with basis $Temp(G)$. We may extend almost all our constructions to virtual representations. In particular:

- We extend the action of $i\mathcal{A}^*_{G,F}$ by linearity to $R_{temp}(G)$;
- Let M be a Levi subgroup of G. Then the functor i_M^G extends by linearity to give a linear map $i_M^G(.) : R_{temp}(M) \to R_{temp}(G)$. Also, we extend the weighted character $\sigma \mapsto J_L^Q(\sigma, .)$ ($L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$, $Q \in \mathcal{F}(L)$) of subsection 2.5 by linearity to $R_{temp}(M)$;
- If $F = \mathbb{R}$, we extend the norm N^G to $R_{temp}(G)$ by

$$N^G(\lambda_1\pi_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k\pi_k) = \max\left(|\lambda_1|N^G(\pi_1), \ldots, |\lambda_k|N^G(\pi_k)\right)$$

- We will denote by $\pi \mapsto \overline{\pi}$ the unique conjugate-linear extension of $\pi \in Temp(G) \mapsto \overline{\pi} \in Temp(G)$ to $R_{temp}(G)$.

In [A4], Arthur defines a set $T_{ell}(G)$ of virtual tempered representations of $G(F)$, that we will denote by $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ in this paper. The elements of $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ are actually well-defined only up to a scalar of module 1. That is, we have $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G) \subset R_{temp}(G)/\mathbb{S}^1$. These are the so-called elliptic representations. Let us recall their definition. Let $P = MU$ be a parabolic subgroup of G and $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$. For all $g \in G(F)$, we define $g\sigma$ to be the representation of $gM(F)g^{-1}$ given by $(g\sigma)(m') = \sigma(g^{-1}m'g)$ for all $m' \in gM(F)g^{-1}$. Denote by $Norm_{G(F)}(\sigma)$ the subgroup of elements $g \in Norm_{G(F)}(M)$ such that $g\sigma \simeq \sigma$ and set $W(\sigma) = Norm_{G(F)}(\sigma)/M(F)$. Fix $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Then, we may associate to every $w \in W(\sigma)$ an unitary endomorphism $R_P(w)$ of the representation $i_P^G(\sigma)$ that is well-defined up to a scalar of module 1 as follows. Choose a lift $\widetilde{w} \in Norm_{G(F)}(\sigma)$ of w and an unitary endomorphism $A(\widetilde{w})$ of σ such that $\sigma(\widetilde{w}^{-1}m\widetilde{w}) = A(\widetilde{w})^{-1}\sigma(m)A(\widetilde{w})$ for all $m \in M(F)$. We define the operator $R_P(w) : i_P^G(\sigma)^\infty \to i_P^G(\sigma)^\infty$ as the composition $R_{P|wPw^{-1}}(\sigma) \circ \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{w}) \circ \lambda(\widetilde{w})$, where

- $\lambda(\widetilde{w})$ is the isomorphism $i_P^G(\sigma) \simeq i_{wPw^{-1}}^G(\widetilde{w}\sigma)$ given by $(\lambda(\widetilde{w})e)(g) = e(\widetilde{w}^{-1}g)$;
- $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{w})$ is the isomorphism $i_{wPw^{-1}}^G(\widetilde{w}\sigma) \simeq i_{wPw^{-1}}^G(\sigma)$ given by $(\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{w})e)(g) = A(\widetilde{w})e(g)$;
- $R_{P|wPw^{-1}}(\sigma) : i_{wPw^{-1}}^G(\sigma)^\infty \to i_P^G(\sigma)^\infty$ is the normalized intertwining operator defined in subsection 2.4.

We immediately check that $R_P(w)$ is $G(F)$-equivariant and that it depends on all the choices ($\widetilde{w}$, $A(\widetilde{w})$ and the normalization of the intertwining operator $R_{P|wPw^{-1}}(\sigma)$) only up to a scalar of module 1. We associate to any $w \in W(\sigma)$ a virtual tempered representation $i_M^G(\sigma, w)$, well-defined up to a scalar of module 1, by setting

$$i_M^G(\sigma, w) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \lambda \, i_P^G(\sigma, w, \lambda)$$

where for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $i_P^G(\sigma, w, \lambda)$ denotes the subrepresentation of $i_P^G(\sigma)$ where $R_P(w)$ acts by multiplication by λ (as is indicated in the notation this definition doesn't depend on the choice of P). Let $W_0(\sigma)$ be the subgroup of elements $w \in W(\sigma)$ such that $R_P(w)$ is a scalar multiple of the identity and let $W(\sigma)_{reg}$ be the subgroup of elements $w \in W(\sigma)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_M^w = \mathcal{A}_G$. We will say that the virtual representation $i_M^G(\sigma, w)$, $w \in W(\sigma)$, is elliptic if $W_0(\sigma) = \{1\}$ and $w \in W(\sigma)_{reg}$. The set $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ is the set of all virtual elliptic representations (well-defined up to multiplication by a scalar of module 1) that are obtained in this way. Let $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ and write $\pi = i_M^G(\sigma, w)$ with M, σ and $w \in W(\sigma)_{reg}$ as before. Then we set

$$D(\pi) = |det(1-w)_{\mathcal{A}_M^G}|^{-1} |W(\sigma)_w|^{-1}$$

where $W(\sigma)_w$ denotes the centralizer of w in $W(\sigma)$. This number doesn't depend on the particular choice of M, σ and w representing π because any other choice yielding π will be $G(F)$-conjugated to (M, σ, w). The set $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ satisfies the following important property. Denote by $R_{ell}(G)$ the subspace of $R_{temp}(G)$ generated by $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ and denote by $R_{ind}(G)$ the subspace of $R_{temp}(G)$ generated by the image of all the linear maps $i_M^G : R_{temp}(M) \to R_{temp}(G)$ for M a proper Levi subgroup of G. Then we have the decomposition

$$(1) \quad R_{temp}(G) = R_{ind}(G) \oplus R_{ell}(G)$$

The set $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ is invariant under unramified twists. We will denote by $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)/i\mathcal{A}_{M,F}^*$ the set of unramified orbits in $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$. Also, we will denote by $\underline{\mathcal{X}}_{ell}(G)$ the inverse image of $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ in $R_{temp}(G)$. This set is invariant under multiplication by $\mathbb{S}^1$.

We define $\mathcal{X}(G)$ to be the subset of $R_{temp}(G)/\mathbb{S}^1$ consisting in virtual representations of the form $i_M^G(\sigma)$ where M is a Levi subgroup of G and $\sigma \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(M)$. Also, we will denote by

$\underline{\mathcal{X}}(G)$ the inverse image of $\mathcal{X}(G)$ in $R_{temp}(G)$. Hence, the fibers of the natural projection $\underline{\mathcal{X}}(G) \to \mathcal{X}(G)$ are all isomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of Levi subgroups of G. Then, $\mathcal{X}(G)$ is naturally a quotient of

$$\bigsqcup_{M\in\mathcal{M}} \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{O}\in\mathcal{X}_{ell}(M)/i\mathcal{A}^*_{M,F}} \mathcal{O}$$

This defines, as for $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$, a structure of topological space on $\mathcal{X}(G)$. We also define a regular Borel measure $d\pi$ on $\mathcal{X}(G)$ by requesting that

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} \varphi(\pi)d\pi = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}} |W(G,M)|^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in\mathcal{X}_{ell}(M)/i\mathcal{A}^*_{M,F}} [i\mathcal{A}^\vee_{M,\sigma} : i\mathcal{A}^\vee_{M,F}]^{-1} \int_{i\mathcal{A}^*_{M,F}} \varphi(i_M^G(\sigma_\lambda))d\lambda$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G))$, where for all orbit $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(M)/i\mathcal{A}^*_{M,F}$ we have fixed a base point $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}$. We will need the following:

(2) If $F=\mathbb{R}$, there exists an integer $k \geqslant 0$ such that the integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} N^G(\pi)^{-k} d\pi$$

is absolutely convergent.

Finally, we extend the function $\pi \mapsto D(\pi)$ to $\mathcal{X}(G)$ by setting $D(\pi) = D(\sigma)$ for $\pi = i_M^G(\sigma)$, where M is a Levi subgroup and $\sigma \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(M)$.

3 Harish-Chandra descents

3.1 Invariant analysis

We will say of a subset $A \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. $A \subseteq G(F)$) that it is completely $G(F)$-invariant if it is $G(F)$-invariant and if moreover for all $X \in A$ (resp. $g \in A$) it semi-simple part X_s (resp. g_s) also belongs to A. Closed invariant subsets are automatically completely $G(F)$-invariant. We easily check that the completely $G(F)$-invariant open subsets of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. of $G(F)$) define a topology. We will call it the invariant topology. This topology coincide with the pull-back of the topology on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. on $\Gamma(G)$) defined in subsection 1.8 by the natural map

$$\mathfrak{g}(F) \to \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \quad (\text{resp. } G(F) \to \Gamma(G))$$

which associates to $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. $g \in G(F)$) the conjugacy class of the semi-simple part of X (resp. of g). In particular, we have the following property which will be used many times implicitly in that paper: If $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. $\Omega \subseteq G(F)$) is a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset and $\omega' \subseteq \omega$ (resp. $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$) is invariant open and contains ω_{ss} (resp. Ω_{ss}) then

$\omega' = \omega$ (resp. $\Omega' = \Omega$). We will say of an invariant subset $L \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. $L \subseteq G(F)$) that it is compact modulo conjugation if it is closed and if there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. $\mathcal{K} \subseteq G(F)$) such that $L = \mathcal{K}^G$, it is equivalent to ask that L is completely $G(F)$-invariant and that for all maximal torus $T \subset G$ the intersection $L \cap \mathfrak{t}(F)$ (resp. $L \cap T(F)$) is compact, it is also equivalent to the fact that L is compact for the invariant topology.

Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. $\Omega \subseteq G(F)$) be a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset. We will denote by $C^\infty(\omega)^G$ (resp. $C^\infty(\Omega)^G$) the space of smooth and $G(F)$-invariant functions on ω (resp. Ω). It is a closed subspace of $C^\infty(\omega)$ (resp. $C^\infty(\Omega)$) and we endow it with the induced locally convex topology. The notation $\mathcal{D}'(\omega)^G$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^G$) will stand for the space of $G(F)$-invariant distributions on ω (resp. Ω). We will also denote by $\mathcal{S}(\omega)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}(\Omega)$) the space of all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$) such that $\overline{Supp(f)^G} \subseteq \omega$ (resp. $\overline{Supp(f)^G} \subseteq \Omega$).

Assume now that $F = \mathbb{R}$. For all integer $n \geqslant 0$, we will denote by $Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\omega)^G$ (resp. $Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\Omega)^G$) the space of smooth invariant differential operators on ω (resp. on Ω) that are of order less than n. It is a closed subspace of $Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\omega)$ (resp. of $Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\Omega)$) and we endow it with the induced locally convex topology. We will set

$$Diff^\infty(\omega)^G = \bigcup_{n\geqslant 0} Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\omega)^G \text{ (resp. } Diff^\infty(\Omega)^G = \bigcup_{n\geqslant 0} Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\Omega)^G)$$

and we equip this space with the direct limit topology. We define

$$\mathcal{J}^\infty(\omega) = \{D \in Diff^\infty(\omega)^G;\ DT = 0\ \forall T \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)^G\}$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \mathcal{J}^\infty(\Omega) = \{D \in Diff^\infty(\Omega)^G;\ DT = 0\ \forall T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^G\}\right)$$

and set

$$\overline{Diff^\infty(\omega)^G} = Diff^\infty(\omega)^G / \mathcal{J}^\infty(\omega) \ \left(\text{resp. } \overline{Diff^\infty(\Omega)^G} = Diff^\infty(\Omega)^G / \mathcal{J}^\infty(\Omega)\right)$$

Note that we have a natural action of $\overline{Diff^\infty(\omega)^G}$ (resp. $\overline{Diff^\infty(\Omega)^G}$) on $\mathcal{D}'(\omega)^G$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^G$).

For all $n \geqslant 0$, we will also denote by $Diff_{\leqslant n}(\mathfrak{g})^G$ the space of invariant differential operators with polynomial coefficients on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ of order less than n and we will set

$$Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G = \bigcup_{n\geqslant 0} Diff_{\leqslant n}(\mathfrak{g})^G$$

Note that $I(\mathfrak{g})$ and $I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ are both naturally subalgebras of $Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$. We define

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{D \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G;\ DT = 0\ \forall T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathfrak{g}(F))^G\}$$

and we will denote by $\overline{Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G}$ the quotient $Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G / \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 3.1.1 *(i) Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. $\Omega \subseteq G(F)$) be a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset. Then, there exists a sequence $(\omega_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ (resp. $(\Omega_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$) of completely $G(F)$-invariant open subsets of ω (resp. Ω) such that*

- $\omega = \bigcup_{n\geqslant 1} \omega_n$ *(resp.* $\Omega = \bigcup_{n\geqslant 1} \Omega_n$*);*
- *For all $n \geqslant 1$, $\overline{\omega}_n$ (resp. $\overline{\Omega}_n$) is compact modulo conjugation and included in ω_{n+1} (resp. Ω_{n+1}).*

(ii) Let $(\omega_i)_{i\in I}$ (resp. $(\Omega_i)_{i\in I}$) be a family of completely $G(F)$-invariant open subsets and $L \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. $L \subseteq G(F)$) an invariant compact modulo conjugation subset such that

$$L \subseteq \bigcup_{i\in I} \omega_i \quad \left(\text{resp. } L \subseteq \bigcup_{i\in I} \Omega_i\right)$$

Then, there exist a finite subset $J \subseteq I$ and functions $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(\omega_j)^G$ (resp. $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(\Omega_j)^G$) such that

- *For all $j \in J$, $0 \leqslant \varphi_j \leqslant 1$ and $Supp_{\omega_j}(\varphi_j)$ (resp. $Supp_{\Omega_j}(\varphi_j)$) is compact modulo conjugation.*
- $\sum_{j\in J} \varphi_j = 1$ *on some invariant neighborhood of L.*

(iii) Let $L \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. $L \subseteq G(F)$) be invariant and compact modulo conjugation. Then, there exist two constants $c > 0$ and $m \geqslant 1$ and a compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. $\mathcal{K} \subseteq G(F)$) such that for all $X \in L$ (resp. $g \in L$) there exists an element $\gamma \in G(F)$ satisfying the two following conditions

- $\|\gamma\| \leqslant c\|X\|^m$ *(resp.* $\|\gamma\| \leqslant c\|g\|^m$*);*
- $\gamma^{-1}X\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$ *(resp.* $\gamma^{-1}g\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$*).*

(iv) Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))^G$ (resp. $\varphi \in C^\infty(G(F))^G$) be compactly supported modulo conjugation. Then, multiplication by φ preserves $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ (resp. preserves $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$), that is: for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ (resp. for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$), we have $\varphi f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ (resp. $\varphi f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$).

(v) Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$ and let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset. Then, for all integer $n \geqslant 0$, there exists a finite family $\{D_1, \ldots, D_k\} \subseteq Diff_{\leqslant n}(\mathfrak{g})^G$ such that the linear map

$$\left(C^\infty(\omega)^G\right)^k \to Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\mathfrak{g})^G$$
$$(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k) \mapsto \varphi_1 D_1 + \ldots + \varphi_k D_k$$

is a topological isomorphism (in particular the $C^\infty(\omega)^G$-module $Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\mathfrak{g})^G$ is free of finite rank).

(vi) Still assuming that $F = \mathbb{R}$. the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\overline{Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G}$ is generated by the image of $I(\mathfrak{g})$ and $I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

Proof: (i) is contained in lemma 2.2.1 of [Bou2] and lemma 2.2.2 of [Bou1] whereas (ii) follows from lemma 2.3.1 of [Bou2] and lemma 2.3.1 of [Bou1].

(iii) We prove it for the group the proof for the Lie algebra being similar and easier. Let $P_{min} = M_{min}U_{min}$ be a minimal parabolic subgroup of G and $A_{min} = A_{M_{min}}$ be the split part of the center of M_{min}. Set

$$A^+_{min} = \{a \in A^+_{min};\ |\alpha(a)| \leqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_{min}, P_{min})\}$$

Then by the Cartan decomposition, there exists a compact subset $C_G \subseteq G(F)$ such that

$$G(F) = C_G A^+_{min} C_G$$

Let $L \subseteq G(F)$ be invariant and compact modulo conjugation and fix a compact subset $\mathcal{K}_G \subseteq G(F)$ such that $L = (\mathcal{K}_G)^G$. Replacing $\mathcal{K}_G$ by $(\mathcal{K}_G)^{C_G}$ if necessary, we may assume that $L = (\mathcal{K}_G)^{A^+_{min}C_G}$. Since C_G is compact, it suffices to show the following

(1) There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $g \in \mathcal{K}_G$ and all $a \in A^+_{min}$, there exists $a' \in A^+_{min}$ satisfying the three following conditions

$$a'^{-1}a \in A^+_{min},\ \ \sigma(a') \leqslant c\sigma(a^{-1}ga) \text{ and } \sigma(a'a^{-1}gaa'^{-1}) \leqslant c$$

We prove this by induction on $\dim(G)$, the case where G is a torus being trivial. Denote by $\overline{P}_{min} = M_{min}\overline{U}_{min}$ the parabolic subgroup opposite to P_{min} with respect to M_{min}. Choose $\delta > 0$ and set

$$A^{\overline{Q},+}_{min}(\delta) = \{a \in A^+_{min};\ |\alpha(a)| \geqslant e^{\delta\sigma(a)}\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_{min}, U_{\overline{Q}})\}$$

for all parabolic subgroup $\overline{Q} = M_{\overline{Q}}U_{\overline{Q}} \supseteq \overline{P}_{min}$. If δ is chosen sufficiently small, and we will assume that it is so in what follows, the complement of

$$\bigcup_{\overline{P}_{min}\subseteq\overline{Q}\neq G} A^{\overline{Q},+}_{min}(\delta)$$

in A_{min}^+ is compact. Hence, to get (1) it suffices to prove the following for all parabolic subgroup $\overline{P}_{min} \subseteq \overline{Q} \neq G$

(2) There exists $c = c_{\overline{Q}} > 0$ such that for all $g \in \mathcal{K}_G$ and all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$, there exists $a' \in A_{min}^+$ satisfying the three following conditions

$$a'^{-1}a \in A_{min}^+, \;\; \sigma(a') \leqslant c\sigma(a^{-1}ga) \text{ and } \sigma(a'a^{-1}gaa'^{-1}) \leqslant c$$

Fix such a parabolic subgroup $\overline{Q} = MU_{\overline{Q}}$, where M is the only Levi component of $\overline{Q}$ containing M_{min}, and let $Q = MU_Q$ be the parabolic subgroup opposite to $\overline{Q}$ with respect to M. Fix also $\epsilon > 0$, that we will assume sufficiently small in what follows, and set

$$\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon,a}^{\overline{Q}} = \mathcal{K}_G \cap \left(U_{\overline{Q}}[< \epsilon\sigma(a)]M[< \epsilon\sigma(a)]aU_Q[< \epsilon\sigma(a)]a^{-1}\right)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$. By lemma 1.3.1 and since $\mathcal{K}_G$ is compact, there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that $\sigma(a) \leqslant c_0\sigma(a^{-1}ga)$ for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $g \in \mathcal{K}_G \backslash \mathcal{K}_{\epsilon,a}^{\overline{Q}}$. Hence, we only need to prove the existence of $c \geqslant c_0$ such that (2) holds for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $g \in \mathcal{K}_{\epsilon,a}^{\overline{Q}}$ (otherwise, we just take $a' = a$). Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we may assume that the subsets $aU_Q[< \epsilon\sigma(a)]a^{-1}$ remain uniformly bounded as a varies in $A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. Then, there exists compact subsets $\mathcal{K}_{\overline{U}} \subseteq U_{\overline{Q}}(F)$, $\mathcal{K}_M \subseteq M(F)$ and $\mathcal{K}_U \subseteq U_Q(F)$ such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon,a}^{\overline{Q}} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{\overline{U}}\mathcal{K}_M\mathcal{K}_U$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. Since the subsets $a^{-1}\mathcal{K}_{\overline{U}}a$ remain uniformly bounded for $a \in A_{min}^+$, to get (2) we only need to show the following

(3) There exists $c > 0$ such that for all $m \in \mathcal{K}_M$, all $u \in \mathcal{K}_U$ and all $a \in A_{min}^+$, there exists $a' \in A_{min}^+$ satisfying the three following conditions

$$a'^{-1}a \in A_{min}^+, \;\; \sigma(a') \leqslant c\sigma(a^{-1}mua) \;\text{ and } \sigma(a'a^{-1}muaa'^{-1}) \leqslant c$$

Since $\sigma(mu) \sim \sigma(m) + \sigma(u)$ for all $m \in M(F)$ and all $u \in U_Q(F)$ and $\sigma(aua^{-1}) \ll \sigma(u)$ for all $u \in U_Q(F)$ and all $a \in A_{min}^+$, the last claim will follow from the combination of the two next facts

(4) There exists $c_U > 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{K}_U$ and all $a \in A_{min}^+$, there exists

$a' \in A_{min}^+$ satisfying the three following conditions

$$a'^{-1}a \in A_{min}^+, \ \ \sigma(a') \leqslant c_U \sigma(a^{-1}ua) \ \text{ and } \sigma(a'a^{-1}uaa'^{-1}) \leqslant c_U$$

(5) There exists $c_M > 0$ such that for all $m \in \mathcal{K}_M$ and all $a \in A_{min}^+$, there exists $a' \in A_{min}^+$ satisfying the three following conditions

$$a'^{-1}a \in A_{min}^+, \ \ \sigma(a') \leqslant c_M \sigma(a^{-1}ma) \ \text{ and } \sigma(a'a^{-1}maa'^{-1}) \leqslant c_M$$

The proof of (4) is easy and left to the reader. The point (5) follows from the induction hypothesis applied to M. Indeed, by the induction hypothesis there exists $c_M > 0$ such that for all $m \in \mathcal{K}_M$ and all $a \in A_{min}^+$, there exists $a' \in A_{min}^{M,+}$ such that

$$(6) \quad a'^{-1}a \in A_{min}^{M,+}, \ \ \sigma(a') \leqslant c_M \sigma(a^{-1}ma) \text{ and } \sigma(a'a^{-1}maa'^{-1}) \leqslant c_M$$

where we have set

$$A_{min}^{M,+} = \{a \in A_{min}(F);\ |\alpha(a)| \leqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_{min}, M \cap P_{min})\}$$

Denote by $\Delta \subseteq R(A_{min}, P_{min})$ the subset of simple roots and set $\Delta_Q = \Delta \cap R(A_{min}, U_Q)$. Let $A_M^G = \{a \in A_M;\ \chi(a) = 1\ \forall \chi \in X^*(G)\}$ and $A_{min}^{\Delta_Q} = \{a \in A_{min};\ \alpha(a) = 1\ \forall \alpha \in \Delta_Q\}$. The multiplication map $A_M^G \times A_{min}^{\Delta_Q} \to A_{min}$ is an isogeny. Hence, since $A_M^G(F)$ is in the center of $M(F)$, up to increasing the constant c_M we see that for all $m \in \mathcal{K}_M$ and all $a \in A_{min}^+$, we can find $a' \in A_{min}^{\Delta_Q,+} = A_{min}^{\Delta_Q}(F) \cap A_{min}^{M,+}$ satisfying (6). But obviously $A_{min}^{\Delta_Q,+} \subseteq A_{min}^+$ and for all $a \in A_{min}^+$ and $a' \in A_{min}^{\Delta_Q,+}$ the first condition of (6) is equivalent to $a'^{-1}a \in A_{min}^+$. This proves (5) and ends the proof of (iii).

(iv) This is clear in the p-adic case. Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then the result will follow at once from the following fact which is an easy consequence of (iii)

(7) For all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$), there exists $N \geqslant 1$ such that we have

$$|(\partial(u)\varphi)(X)| \ll \|X\|^N \text{ (resp. } |(R(u)\varphi)(g)| \ll \|g\|^N)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ (resp. for all $g \in G(F)$).

(v) By corollaire 3.7 of [Bou3], the $C^\infty(\omega)^G$-module $Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\omega)^G$ is free of finite rank and we can find a basis consisting in elements of $Diff_{\leqslant n}(\mathfrak{g})^G$. Fix such a basis $(D_1, \ldots, D_k)$ then the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \left(C^\infty(\omega)^G\right)^k &\to Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\omega)^G \\ (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k) &\mapsto \varphi_1 D_1 + \ldots + \varphi_k D_k \end{aligned}$$

is continuous and bijective. Since both $\left(C^\infty(\omega)^G\right)^k$ and $Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\omega)^G$ are Frechet spaces, by the open mapping theorem, this is a topological isomorphism.

(vi) This follows from theorem 1, theorem 3 and theorem 5 of [LS] ■

3.2 Semi-simple descent

Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(F)$. An open subset $\omega_X \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_X(F)$ will be called G-good if it is completely $G_X(F)$-invariant and if moreover the map

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \omega_X \times_{G_X(F)} G(F) &\to \mathfrak{g}(F) \\ (Y, g) &\mapsto g^{-1} Y g \end{aligned}$$

induces an F-analytic isomorphism between $\omega_X \times_{G_X(F)} G(F)$ and ω_X^G, where $\omega_X \times_{G_X(F)} G(F)$ denotes the quotient of $\omega_X \times G(F)$ by the free $G_X(F)$-action given by

$$g_X.(Y, g) = (g_X Y g_X^{-1}, g_X g), \quad g_X \in G_X(F), (Y, g) \in \omega_X \times G(F)$$

The jacobian of the map (1) at $(Y, g) \in \omega_X \times_{G_X(F)} G(F)$ is equal to

$$\eta_X^G(Y) = \left|\det ad(Y)_{|\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_X}\right|$$

It follows that an open subset $\omega_X \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_X(F)$ is G-good if and only if the following conditions are satisfied

- ω_X is completely $G_X(F)$-invariant;
- For all $Y \in \omega_X$, we have $\eta_X^G(Y) \neq 0$
- For all $g \in G(F)$, the intersection $g^{-1}\omega_X g \cap \omega_X$ is nonempty if and only if $g \in G_X(F)$.

Let $\omega_X \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_X(F)$ be a G-good open neighborhood of X and set $\omega = \omega_X^G$. Then ω is completely $G(F)$-invariant (since ω_X is completely $G_X(F)$-invariant). Moreover, the completely $G(F)$-invariant open subsets obtained in this way form a basis of neighborhood for X in the invariant topology. We have the integration formula

$$(2) \quad \int_\omega f(Y) dY = \int_{G_X(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{\omega_X} f(g^{-1} Y g) \eta_X^G(Y) dY dg$$

for all $f \in L^1(\omega)$. For all function f defined on ω, we will denote by f_{X,ω_X} the function on ω_X given by $f_{X,\omega_X}(Y) = \eta_X^G(Y)^{1/2} f(Y)$. The map $f \mapsto f_{X,\omega_X}$ induces topological isomorphisms

$$C^\infty(\omega)^G \simeq C^\infty(\omega_X)^{G_X} \quad C^\infty(\omega_{reg})^G \simeq C^\infty(\omega_{X,reg})^{G_X}$$

(Note that $\omega_X \cap \mathfrak{g}_{X,reg}(F) = \omega_X \cap \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ so that the notation $\omega_{X,reg}$ is unambiguous). We also have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}'(\omega)^G \simeq \mathcal{D}'(\omega_X)^{G_X}$$
$$T \mapsto T_{X,\omega_X}$$

where for $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)^G$, T_{X,ω_X} is the unique $G_X(F)$-invariant distribution on ω_X such that

$$\langle T, f\rangle = \int_{G_X(F)\backslash G(F)} \langle T_{X,\omega_X}, ({}^g f)_{X,\omega_X}\rangle dg$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\omega)$. If f is a locally integrable and invariant function on ω, then by the integration formula (2), f_{X,ω_X} is also locally integrable and we have

$$(T_f)_{X,\omega_X} = T_{f_{X,\omega_X}}$$

Let $x \in G_{ss}(F)$. We define similarly the notion of G-good open subset of $G_x(F)$. More precisely, an open subset $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ is G-good if it is completely $Z_G(x)(F)$-invariant and if moreover the map

$$(3) \quad \Omega_x \times_{Z_G(x)(F)} G(F) \to G(F)$$
$$(y, g) \mapsto g^{-1} y g$$

induces an F-analytic isomorphism $\Omega_x \times_{Z_G(x)(F)} G(F) \simeq \Omega_x^G$, where this time $\Omega_x \times_{Z_G(x)(F)} G(F)$ denotes the quotient of $\Omega_x \times G(F)$ by the free $Z_G(x)(F)$ action given by

$$g_x.(y, g) = (g_x y g_x^{-1}, g_x g), \quad g_x \in Z_G(x)(F), (y, g) \in \Omega_x \times G(F)$$

The jacobian of the map (3) at (y, g) is given by

$$(4) \quad \eta_x^G(y) = \left|\det\left(1 - Ad(y)\right)_{|\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_x}\right|$$

Thus, an open subset $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ is G-good if and only if the following conditions are satisfied

- Ω_x is completely $Z_G(x)(F)$-invariant;
- For all $y \in \Omega_x$, we have $\eta_x^G(y) \neq 0$
- For all $g \in G(F)$, the intersection $g^{-1}\Omega_x g \cap \Omega_x$ is nonempty if and only if $g \in Z_G(x)(F)$.

Let $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ be a G-good open subset and set $\Omega = \Omega_x^G$. We have the integration formula

$$(5)\quad \int_{\Omega} f(y)dy = \int_{Z_G(x)(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{\Omega_x} f(g^{-1}yg)\eta_x^G(y)dydg$$
$$= [Z_G(x)(F) : G_x(F)]^{-1} \int_{G_x(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{\Omega_x} f(g^{-1}yg)\eta_x^G(y)dydg$$

for all $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. For all function f on Ω, we will denote by f_{x,Ω_x} the function on Ω_x defined by $f_{x,\Omega_x}(y) = \eta_x^G(y)^{1/2}f(y)$. Again, the map $f \mapsto f_{x,\Omega_x}$ induces topological isomorphisms

$$C^\infty(\Omega)^G \simeq C^\infty(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)} \quad C^\infty(\Omega_{reg})^G \simeq C^\infty(\Omega_{x,reg})^{Z_G(x)}$$

which extend to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \simeq \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)}$$

Finally if $F = \mathbb{R}$, we may also descent invariant differential operators. The result is summarized in the next lemma. We just need to introduce first some notations. Recall that for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(F)$ and all $x \in G_{ss}(F)$, the Harish-Chandra isomorphisms induces injective $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I(\mathfrak{g}^*) \to I(\mathfrak{g}_X^*) & I(\mathfrak{g}) \to I(\mathfrak{g}_X) & \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_x) \\ p \mapsto p_{G_X} & u \mapsto u_{G_X} & z \mapsto z_{G_x} \end{array}$$

(cf subsection 1.1). We shall denote these homomorphisms simply by $p \mapsto p_X$, $u \mapsto u_X$ and $z \mapsto z_x$ respectively. Note that the image of $z \mapsto z_x$ is included in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_x)^{Z_G(x)}$.

Lemma 3.2.1 *Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$.*

(i) Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(F)$ and let $\omega_X \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_X(F)$ be a G-good open subset. Set $\omega = \omega_X^G$. Then, there exists a unique topological isomorphism

$$\overline{Diff^\infty(\omega)^G} \simeq \overline{Diff^\infty(\omega_X)^{G_X}}$$
$$D \mapsto D_{X,\omega_X}$$

such that for all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)^G$ and all $D \in Diff^\infty(\omega)^G$, we have

$$(a) \quad (DT)_{X,\omega_X} = D_{X,\omega_X} T_{X,\omega_X}$$

Moreover, we have

$$(b) \quad (\partial(u))_{X,\omega_X} = \partial(u_X) \quad \text{and} \quad (p)_{X,\omega_X} = p_X$$

for all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ and all $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. In particular, by proposition 3.1.1(vi), the image of $\overline{Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G}$ by the map $D \mapsto D_{X,\omega_X}$ lies in $\overline{Diff(\mathfrak{g}_X)^{G_X}}$.

(ii) *Let $x \in G_{ss}(F)$ and let $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ be a G-good open subset. Set $\Omega = \Omega_x^G$. Then, there exists a unique topological isomorphism*

$$\overline{Diff^\infty(\Omega)^G} \simeq \overline{Diff^\infty(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)}}$$

$$D \mapsto D_{x,\Omega_x}$$

such that for all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^G$ and all $D \in Diff^\infty(\Omega)^G$, we have

$$(a) \quad (DT)_{x,\Omega_x} = D_{x,\Omega_x} T_{x,\Omega_x}$$

Moreover, we have

$$(b) \quad (z)_{x,\Omega_x} = z_x$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof:

(i) Since $T \mapsto T_{X,\omega_X}$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{D}'(\omega)^G \simeq \mathcal{D}'(\omega_X)^{G_X}$, for all $D \in Diff^\infty(\omega)^G$ there exists at most one operator $D_{X,\omega_x} \in \overline{Diff^\infty(\omega_X)^{G_X}}$ such that the relation (i)(a) is satisfied for all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\omega)^G$. Moreover, such an operator is constructed in theorem 11 p.30 of [Va] (although in this reference only analytic differential operators are considered, the construction applies equally well to smooth differential operators). This yields an injective linear map

$$\overline{Diff^\infty(\omega)^G} \to \overline{Diff^\infty(\omega_X)^{G_X}}$$

$$D \mapsto D_{X,\omega_X}$$

and we need to prove that it is a topological isomorphism. Since both $\overline{Diff^\infty(\omega)^G}$ and $\overline{Diff^\infty(\omega_X)^{G_X}}$ are LF spaces, by the open mapping theorem, we only need to construct a continuous right inverse to the previous linear map. Actually, we are going to construct a continuous linear map

$$Diff^\infty(\omega_X)^{G_X} \to Diff^\infty(\omega)^G$$

$$D \mapsto D_\omega$$

such that

$$\left(\overline{D_\omega}\right)_{X,\omega_X} = \overline{D}$$

for all $D \in Diff^{\infty}(\omega_X)^{G_X}$, where we have denoted by $\overline{D}$ and $\overline{D_\omega}$ the image of D and D_ω in $\overline{Diff^{\infty}(\omega_X)^{G_X}}$ and $\overline{Diff^{\infty}(\omega)^{G}}$ respectively. The construction is as follows. A smooth differential operator D on ω_X (resp. on ω) may be seen as map $Y \in \omega_X \mapsto D_Y \in S(\mathfrak{g}_X)$ (resp. $Y \in \omega \mapsto D_Y \in S(\mathfrak{g})$) which has its image in a finite dimensional subspace and is smooth, the action of D on smooth function being given by

$$(Df)(Y) = (\partial(D_Y)f)(Y)$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}(\omega_X)$ (resp. $f \in C^{\infty}(\omega)$) and all $Y \in \omega_X$ (resp. $Y \in \omega$). Let $D \in Diff^{\infty}(\omega_X)^{G_X}$ be an invariant and smooth differential operator on ω_X. We first associate to D a differential operator $D^{\natural}_{\omega}$ on ω by setting

$$D^{\natural}_{\omega,Y} = g^{-1}D_{Y'}g \in S(\mathfrak{g})$$

for all $Y \in \omega$, where $g \in G(F)$ and $Y' \in \omega_X$ are any elements such that $Y = g^{-1}Y'g$. Since D is invariant and ω_X is G-good, this definition doesn't depend on the choice of g and Y' and $D^{\natural}_{\omega}$ is a smooth invariant differential operator on ω. The function $\eta^G_X \in C^{\infty}(\omega_X)^{G_X}$ uniquely extends to a smooth and invariant function on ω. Still denoting by η^G_X this extension, we now set

$$D_\omega = \left(\eta^G_X\right)^{-1/2} \circ D^{\natural}_{\omega} \circ \left(\eta^G_X\right)^{1/2}$$

for all $D \in Diff^{\infty}(\omega_X)^{G}$. Then it is easy to see that the linear map $D \mapsto D_\omega$ has all the desired properties.

The second equality of (i)(b) is obvious whereas the first one follows from theorem 15 p.30 of [Va].

(ii) Once again, there exists at most one linear map

$$(6) \quad \overline{Diff^{\infty}(\Omega)^{G}} \to \overline{Diff^{\infty}(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)}}$$
$$D \mapsto D_{x,\Omega_x}$$

such that the relation (ii)(a) is satisfied for all $D \in Diff^{\infty}(\Omega)^{G}$ and all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^{G}$ and such a linear map, if it exists, is necessarily injective. The construction of proposition 4 p.224 of [Va] proves the existence of such a map, where once again the extension of the construction of that reference from the analytic case to the smooth case is straightforward. Moreover, we may construct explicitely, analogously to what has been done in the proof of (i), a right continuous inverse to (6). Since both $\overline{Diff^{\infty}(\Omega)^{G}}$ and $\overline{Diff^{\infty}(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)}}$ are LF spaces, this proves by the open mapping theorem that (6) is a topological isomorphism. The equality (ii)(b) follows from theorem 12 p.229 of [Va]. ■

Consider the particular case where $G_X = T$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(F)$) is a maximal torus. Then, if $F = \mathbb{R}$, the lemma provides us with a morphism $Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G \to Diff(\mathfrak{t})$ that we shall denote by $D \mapsto D_T$ in this particular case. For $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset and f an invariant function on ω_{reg}, we will denote by f_T the function on $\mathfrak{t}(F) \cap \omega_{reg}$ given by

$$f_T(Y) = D^G(Y)^{1/2} f(Y), \quad Y \in \mathfrak{t}(F) \cap \omega_{reg}$$

Hence, we have

$$(Df)_T = D_T f_T$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(\omega_{reg})^G$ and all $D \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$. Note that an invariant function f on ω_{reg} is smooth if and only if f_T is a smooth function for all maximal torus $T \subset G$.

Remark: We can extend the definition of G-good open subsets to the case where G is not necessarily reductive (but is still a connected algebraic group over F). The definition is as follows. Let $x \in G_{ss}(F)$, an open subset $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ is G-good if it is invariant by translation by $G_{x,u}(F)$, where $G_{x,u}$ denotes the unipotent radical of G_x, and if moreover its image in $G_x(F)/G_{x,u}(F) = (G/G_u)_x(F)$ is a G/G_u-good open subset, where this time G_u denotes the unipotent radical of G. If $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ is a G-good open subset, then the map (3) still induces an F-analytic isomorphism onto $\Omega = \Omega_x^G$ whose jacobian at $(y, g) \in \Omega_x \times_{Z_G(x)(F)} G(F)$ is again given by the formula (4). It follows in particular that the integration formula (5) is still valid in this more general setting.

3.3 Descent from the group to its Lie algebra

We will say of an open subset $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ that it is G-excellent, if it satisfies the following conditions

- ω is completely $G(F)$-invariant and relatively compact modulo conjugation;
- The exponential map is defined on ω and induces an F-analytic isomorphism between ω and $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$.

For all $X \in \mathfrak{z}_G(F)$ (in particular $X = 0$), the G-excellent open subsets containing X forms a basis of neighborhoods of X for the invariant topology.

Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a G-excellent open subset and set $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$. The jacobian of the exponential map

$$\begin{aligned} \exp : \omega &\to \Omega \\ X &\mapsto e^X \end{aligned}$$

at $X \in \omega_{ss}$ is given by

$$(1) \quad j^G(X) = D^G(e^X)D^G(X)^{-1}$$

Hence, we have the integration formula

$$(2) \quad \int_\Omega f(g)dg = \int_\omega f(e^X)j^G(X)dX$$

for all $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. For all function f on Ω, we will denote by f_ω the function on ω defined by $f_\omega(X) = j^G(X)^{1/2}f(e^X)$. The map $f \mapsto f_\omega$ induces topological isomorphisms

$$C^\infty(\Omega) \simeq C^\infty(\omega) \qquad C^\infty(\Omega_{reg}) \simeq C^\infty(\omega_{reg})$$

We will also denote by

$$\mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \simeq \mathcal{D}'(\omega)$$
$$T \mapsto T_\omega$$

the isomorphism defined by the relations

$$\langle T_\omega, f_\omega \rangle = \langle T, f \rangle$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. By (2), if f is a locally integrable function on Ω, we have

$$(T_f)_\omega = T_{f_\omega}$$

There also exists an unique topological isomorphism

$$Diff^\infty(\Omega) \simeq Diff^\infty(\omega)$$
$$D \mapsto D_\omega$$

such that $(DT)_\omega = D_\omega T_\omega$ for all $D \in Diff^\infty(\Omega)$ and all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. By theorem 14 p.231 of [Va], we have

$$(3) \quad (z)_\omega = \partial(u_z)$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ (recall that we are denoting by $z \mapsto u_z$ the Harish-Chandra isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq I(\mathfrak{g})$).

We will denote by $f \mapsto f_\Omega$, $T \mapsto T_\Omega$ and $D \mapsto D_\Omega$ the inverse of the previous isomorphisms. So for example $f_\Omega(g) = j^G(\log(g))^{-1/2}f(\log(g))$ for all $f \in C^\infty(\omega)$ and all $g \in \Omega$, where $\log : \Omega \to \omega$ denotes the inverse of exp.

The exponential map actually also induces an isomorphism between the corresponding Schwartz spaces. This is the object of the next lemma.

Lemma 3.3.1 *Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a G-excellent open subset and set $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$. Then, the map $f \mapsto f_\omega$ induces a linear isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{S}(\Omega) \simeq \mathcal{S}(\omega)$$

Proof: This is clear in the p-adic case. We assume from now on that $F = \mathbb{R}$. We need to prove the two following facts

(4) For all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega)$, the function f_ω belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\omega)$.

(5) For all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\omega)$, the function f_Ω belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\Omega)$.

We will prove (4), the proof of (5) being analog (it suffices to replace exp by log and log by exp in what follows). Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega)$. Since ω is relatively compact modulo conjugation, $\overline{Supp(f)^G}$ is compact modulo conjugation. Hence, there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K}_0 \subseteq \Omega$ such that $\overline{Supp(f)^G} = \mathcal{K}_0^G$. Then, we have

$$Supp(f_\omega)^G \subseteq \exp^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_0)^G$$

where of course $\exp^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_0) \subseteq \omega$ is compact. Since ω is completely $G(F)$-invariant, the closure of $\exp^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_0)^G$ in $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ is still contained in ω. Hence, we have

$$\overline{Supp(f_\omega)^G} \subseteq \omega$$

and it only remains to show that f_ω belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ i.e. that f_ω and all its derivatives are rapidly decreasing. Set $L_G = \overline{Supp(f)^G}$ and $L_{\mathfrak{g}} = \overline{Supp(f_\omega)^G}$ so that L_G and $L_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are invariant and compact modulo conjugation subsets of $G(F)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ respectively and exp realizes an homeomorphism $L_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq L_G$. We start by proving that

$$(6) \quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \sim \sigma(e^X), \text{ for all } X \in L_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

Since L_G is compact modulo conjugation, by proposition 3.1.1(iii), we may find a compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq L_G$ and two maps

$$g \in L_G \mapsto \gamma_g \in G(F)$$
$$g \in L_G \mapsto g_c \in \mathcal{K}$$

such that

$$g = \gamma_g^{-1} g_c \gamma_g \text{ and } \sigma(\gamma_g) \ll \sigma(g)$$

for all $g \in L_G$. Since $\log(\mathcal{K})$ is compact, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\gamma_{e^X}^{-1} \log\left((e^X)_c\right) \gamma_{e^X}\right) \ll \sigma(\gamma_{e^X}) \ll \sigma(e^X)$$

for all $X \in L_{\mathfrak{g}}$. This proves one half of (6). The other half can be proved similarly, using proposition 3.1.1(iii) for the Lie algebra rather than for the group.

The function j^G is bounded on ω (since it is an invariant function on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ and ω is relatively compact modulo conjugation) and hence, it already follows from (6) that the function f_ω is rapidly decreasing. Let $u \in S(\mathfrak{g})$. We want to show that the function $\partial(u)f_\omega$ is rapidly decreasing. Since we have

$$\partial(u)f_\omega = \left(\partial(u)_\Omega f\right)_\omega$$

by what we just saw it suffices to prove that the function $\partial(u)_\Omega f$ is rapidly decreasing (as a function on $G(F)$). For all $D \in Diff^\infty(\Omega)$ and all $g \in \Omega$, let us denote by $D_g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ the unique element such that

$$(Df')(g) = \left(L(D_g)f'\right)(g)$$

for all $f' \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. Obviously, if u is of degree k, then we have $\partial(u)_{\Omega,g} \in \mathcal{U}_{\leqslant k}(\mathfrak{g})$ for all $g \in \Omega$. Let us fix a classical norm $|.|$ on $\mathcal{U}_{\leqslant k}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, since f is a Schwartz function, to show that $\partial(u)_\Omega f$ is rapidly decreasing we only need to prove that there exist $c > 0$ and $m \geqslant 1$ such that

$$(7) \quad |\partial(u)_{\Omega,g}| \leqslant c\|g\|^m$$

for all $g \in L_G$. We have the following easy to check equality

$$\partial(u)_{\Omega,\gamma^{-1}g\gamma} = \gamma^{-1}\partial(\gamma u\gamma^{-1})_{\Omega,g}\gamma$$

for all $g \in \Omega$ and all $\gamma \in G(F)$. Let us introduce a compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq L_G$ and functions $g \mapsto \gamma_g$ and $g \mapsto g_c$ as before. Then, by the previous identity, for all $g \in \Omega$ we have

$$\partial(u)_{\Omega,g} = \gamma_g^{-1}\partial(\gamma_g u\gamma_g^{-1})_{\Omega,g_c}\gamma_g$$

for all $g \in L_G$. The inequality (7) is now easy to deduce from this (note that for all $v \in S(\mathfrak{g})$, the function $g \mapsto \partial(v)_{\Omega,g}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{K}$ and for all $g \in \Omega$ the function $v \in S(\mathfrak{g}) \mapsto \partial(v)_{\Omega,g}$ is linear). ■

Remark: We can extend the definition of G-excellent open subsets to the case where G is not necessarily reductive (but is still a connected algebraic group over F). The definition is as follows: an open subset $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ is G-excellent if it is invariant by $\mathfrak{g}_u(F)$, where $\mathfrak{g}_u$ denotes the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of G, and if moreover its image in $\mathfrak{g}(F)/\mathfrak{g}_u(F) = (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_u)(F)$ is a G/G_u-excellent open subset. If $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ is a G-excellent subset, then the exponential map still induces an F-analytic isomorphism between ω and $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$ whose jacobian at $X \in \omega_{ss}$ is again given by the same formula (1). It follows in particular that the integration formula (2) is still valid in this more general setting.

3.4 Parabolic induction of invariant distributions

Let M be a Levi subgroup of G. Choose a parabolic subgroup $P = MU \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case. Fix a Haar measure on K such that

$$\int_{G(F)} f(g)dg = \int_{M(F)} \int_{U(F)} \int_K f(muk)dkdudm$$

for all $f \in C(G(F))$. We define a continuous linear map

$$\begin{gathered} C_c^\infty(G(F)) \to C_c^\infty(M(F)) \\ f \mapsto f^{(P)} \end{gathered}$$

by setting

$$f^{(P)}(m) = \delta_P(m)^{1/2} \int_K \int_{U(F)} f(k^{-1}muk)dudk$$

Dually, this defines a linear map

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{D}'(M(F)) \to \mathcal{D}'(G(F)) \\ T \mapsto T_P \end{gathered}$$

which is uniquely determined by the relations

$$\langle T_P, f\rangle = \langle T, f^{(P)}\rangle$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(M(F))$ and all $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$. For $T \in \mathcal{D}'(M(F))^M$ an invariant distribution, the distribution T_P is also invariant and doesn't depend on the choices of P or K. In this case, we shall denote this distribution by $i_M^G(T)$. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(1) \quad z i_M^G(T) = i_M^G(z_M T)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(M(F))^M$ and all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ (Recall that $z \mapsto z_M$ denotes the homomorphism $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})$ deduced from the Harish-Chandra isomorphism, cf subsection 1.1).

If $T = T_{F_M}$ where F_M is an invariant and locally integrable function on $M(F)$, then the distribution $i_M^G(T)$ is also representable by an invariant locally integrable function F_G on $G(F)$. We shall also write $F_G = i_M^G(F_M)$. The function F_G admits the following description in terms of F_M. Let us denote by G_{sreg} the subset of strongly regular elements in G (i.e. $x \in G_{sreg}$ if $Z_G(x)$ is a torus) and let us fix for each $x \in G_{sreg}(F)$ a set $\mathcal{X}^M(x)$ of representatives for the $M(F)$-conjugacy classes of elements in $M(F)$ that are $G(F)$-conjugated to x. Then, we have the equality

$$(2) \quad D^G(x)^{1/2} F_G(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)} D^M(y)^{1/2} F_M(y)$$

for almost all $x \in G_{sreg}(F)$.

If $\sigma \in Temp(M)$ and $\pi = i_M^G(\sigma)$, then we have

$$(3) \quad \theta_\pi = i_M^G(\theta_\sigma)$$

We define similarly an induction map i_M^G from the space of invariant distributions on $\mathfrak{m}(F)$ to the space of invariant distributions on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. Once again, if F_M is an invariant and locally integrable function on $\mathfrak{m}(F)$, the distribution $i_M^G(F_M)$ is also representable by an invariant function F_G and we have

$$(4) \quad D^G(X)^{1/2}F_G(X) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{X}^M(X)} D^M(Y)^{1/2}F_M(Y)$$

for almost all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{sreg}(F)$, where this time $\mathcal{X}^M(X)$ is a set of representatives for the $M(F)$-conjugacy classes of elements in $\mathfrak{m}(F)$ that are $G(F)$-conjugated to X. We have for example

$$i_M^G\left(\widehat{j}^M(X,.)\right) = \widehat{j}^G(X,.)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{m}(F) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. In particular, if G is quasi-split, B is a Borel subgroup of G and $T_{qd} \subset B$ is a maximal torus, it follows from (4) that we have

$$(5) \quad D^G(Y)^{1/2}\widehat{j}^G(X_{qd},Y)\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \sum_{w \in W(G_{qd},T_{qd})} \psi\left(B(X_{qd},wY)\right) & \text{if } Y \in \mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F) \\ 0 & \text{if } Y \notin \mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F)^G \end{array}\right.$$

for all $X_{qd} \in \mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F)$ and all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. Still assuming that G is quasi-split,we have

$$i_{T_{qd}}^G(1) = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})} \widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},.)$$

from which it follows that

$$(6) \quad D^G(X)^{1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})} \widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},X) = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} |W(G,T_{qd})| & \text{if } X \in \mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F) \\ 0 & \text{if } X \notin \mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F)^G \end{array}\right.$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. On the maximal torus T_{qd}, we even have the following more precise equality

$$(7) \quad D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},X) = |W(G,T_{qd})||Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})|^{-1} \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F) \text{ and all } \mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Indeed, by (6) it suffices to show that for all $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$ we have $\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}_1,X) = \widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}_2,X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F)$. Fix $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, there exists $g_{ad} \in G_{ad}(F)$ such that

$g_{ad}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_1 g_{ad} = \mathcal{O}_2$, where G_{ad} denotes the adjoint group of G. Up to multiplying g_{ad} by an element in $Im\,(G(F) \to G_{ad}(F))$, we may assume that $g_{ad}^{-1}Bg_{ad} = B$ and $g_{ad}^{-1}T_{qd}g_{ad} = T_{qd}$. But then g_{ad} belongs to $T_{qd,ad}$ the image of T_{qd} in G_{ad}. Hence,we have

$$\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}_1, X) = \widehat{j}(g_{ad}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_1 g_{ad}, g_{ad}^{-1}Xg_{ad}) = \widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}_2, X)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F)$ and this proves the claim.

4 Quasi-characters

4.1 Quasi-characters when F is p-adic

In this subsection we assume that F is p-adic. The definition and basic properties of quasi-characters in this case have been established in [Wa1]. We recall them now. Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset. A quasi-character on ω is a $G(F)$-invariant smooth function $\theta : \omega_{reg} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following condition: for all $X \in \omega_{ss}$, there exists $\omega_X \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_X(F)$ a G-good open neighborhood of X such that $\omega_X^G \subseteq \omega$ and coefficients $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(X)$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil(\mathfrak{g}_X)$ such that we have

$$\theta(Y) = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in Nil(\mathfrak{g}_X)} c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(X)\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, Y)$$

for all $Y \in \omega_{X,reg}$. Note that if θ is a quasi-character on ω and $f \in C^\infty(\omega)^G$ then $f\theta$ is also quasi-character on ω. We will denote by $QC(\omega)$ the space of all quasi-characters on ω and by $QC_c(\omega)$ the subspace of quasi-characters on ω whose support (in ω) is compact modulo conjugation. We will endow $QC_c(\omega)$ with its finest locally convex topology. Note that we have a natural isomorphism

$$QC(\omega) \simeq \varprojlim_{\omega'} QC_c(\omega')$$

where ω' runs through the completely $G(F)$-invariant open subsets of ω that are compact modulo conjugation, the maps $QC(\omega) \to QC_c(\omega')$ being given by $\theta \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{\omega'}\theta$. We equip $QC(\omega)$ with the projective limit topology relative to this isomorphism. To unify notations with the real case, we will also set $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F)) = QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and we will call elements of that space Schwartz quasi-characters on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$.

Let $\Omega \subseteq G(F)$ be a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset. A quasi-character on Ω is a $G(F)$-invariant smooth function $\theta : \Omega_{reg} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following condition: for all $x \in \Omega_{ss}$, there exists $\omega_x \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_x(F)$ a G_x-excellent open neighborhood of 0 such that $(x\exp(\omega_x))^G \subseteq \Omega$ and coefficients $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(x)$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ such that we have the equality

$$\theta(xe^Y) = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in Nil(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(x)\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, Y)$$

for all $Y \in \omega_{x,reg}$. As before, we will denote by $QC(\Omega)$ the space of quasi-characters on Ω and by $QC_c(\Omega)$ the subspace of quasi-characters that are compactly supported modulo conjugation. We again endow $QC_c(\Omega)$ with its finest locally convex topology and $QC(\Omega)$ with the projective limit topology relative to the natural isomorphism

$$QC(\Omega) \simeq \varprojlim_{\Omega'} QC_c(\Omega')$$

where Ω' runs through the completely $G(F)$-invariant open subsets of Ω that are compact modulo conjugation.

Proposition 4.1.1 *(i) For all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, $\widehat{j}(X,.)$ is a quasi-character on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. For all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil(\mathfrak{g})$, $\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},.)$ is a quasi-character on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. For all irreducible admissible representation π of $G(F)$, the character θ_π is a quasi-character on $G(F)$.*

(ii) For all $\theta \in QC(G(F))$ (resp. $\theta \in QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$) the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\theta$ is locally bounded.

(iii) The Fourier transform preserves $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ in the following sense: for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, there exists $\widehat{\theta} \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that $\widehat{T_\theta} = T_{\widehat{\theta}}$. Moreover, for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, we have the equality

$$\widehat{\theta} = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,.)dX$$

the integral being absolutely convergent in $QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

(iv) Let $\omega \subset \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a G-excellent open subset. Set $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$. Then, the linear map

$$\theta \mapsto \theta_\omega$$

induces topological isomorphisms $QC(\Omega) \simeq QC(\omega)$ and $QC_c(\Omega) \simeq QC_c(\omega)$.

(v) Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(F)$ and let $\omega_X \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_X(F)$ be a G-good open neighborhood of X. Set $\omega = \omega_X^G$. Then, the linear map

$$\theta \mapsto \theta_{X,\omega_X}$$

induces topological isomorphisms $QC(\omega) \simeq QC(\omega_X)$ and $QC_c(\omega) \simeq QC_c(\omega_X)$.

(vi) Let $x \in G_{ss}(F)$ and let $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ be a G-good open neighborhood of x. Set $\Omega = \Omega_x^G$. Then, the linear map

$$\theta \mapsto \theta_{x,\Omega_x}$$

induces topological isomorphisms $QC(\Omega) \simeq QC(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)(F)}$ and $QC_c(\Omega) \simeq QC_c(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)(F)}$.

Proof:

(i) The first part follows from theorem 4.2 of [Wa1] and the second from theorem 16.2 of [HCDS].

(ii) This follows from the fact that the functions $X \mapsto D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, X)$ are locally bounded for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil(\mathfrak{g})$ (cf subsection 1.7).

(iii) By theorem 4.2 of [Wa1], the Fourier transform $\widehat{T_\theta}$ of a compactly supported modulo conjugation quasi-character θ is representable by a quasi-character $\widehat{\theta}$. To see that $\widehat{\theta}$ is again compactly supported modulo conjugation we may appeal to lemma 6.1 and proposition 6.4 of [Wa1]. Indeed, by proposition 6.4 of *loc. cit* there exists a strongly cuspidal function $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that $\theta = \theta_f$ (cf section 5 for the definition of strongly cuspidal functions and of the associated quasi-character θ_f). Now, by lemma 6.1 of *loc. cit* we have $\widehat{\theta}_f = \theta_{\widehat{f}}$ where $\widehat{f}$ denotes the usual Fourier transform of the function f (again a strongly cuspidal function). But, by its very definition, the quasi-character $\theta_{\widehat{f}}$ is clearly compactly supported modulo conjugation. Hence, so is $\widehat{\theta}$. Finally, we sketch quickly the proof of the integral formula for $\widehat{\theta}$ since we are going to prove an analogous result over $\mathbb{R}$ (cf lemma 4.2.2(iii)). By Weyl integration formula and the definition of the functions $\widehat{j}(X,.)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} \widehat{\theta}(Y)\varphi(Y)dY &= \int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} \theta(X)\widehat{\varphi}(X)dX \\
&= \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)J_G(X,\widehat{\varphi})dX \\
&= \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} \widehat{j}(X,Y)\varphi(Y)dYdX \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} \left(\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,Y)dX\right)\varphi(Y)dY \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

By (ii), the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\theta$ is locally bounded. Hence, by 1.7(3) the function

$$Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F) \mapsto \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\left|\theta(X)\right|\left|\widehat{j}(X,Y)\right|dX$$

is well-defined (i.e. absolutely convergent) and locally essentially bounded by $(D^G)^{-1/2}$. Consequently, by 1.8(1), the expression (1) above is absolutely convergent as a double integral. This legitimates the above computation and moreover shows that we have an equality

$$\widehat{\theta}(Y) = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,Y)dX$$

almost everywhere. To conclude, it suffices to prove that the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,.)dX$$

is absolutely convergent in $QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. By definition of the topology on this space, it suffices to show that for all compact modulo conjugation open subset $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,.)_{|\omega}dX$$

is absolutely convergent in $QC_c(\omega)$. But by Howe conjecture, the space spanned by the quasi-characters $\widehat{j}(X,.)_{|\omega}$, $X \in Supp(\theta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, is finite dimensional and so the absolute convergence of the above integral educes to the pointwise absolute convergence already established.

(iv) and (v) are obvious from the definitions. ■

4.2 Quasi-characters on the Lie algebra for $F = \mathbb{R}$

In this subsection and until the end of subsection 4.4, we assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ be a completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open subset. A quasi-character on ω is a function $\theta \in C^\infty(\omega_{reg})^G$ which satisfies the two following conditions

- For all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\partial(u)\theta$ is locally bounded on ω (so that by 1.8(1), the function $\partial(u)\theta$ is locally integrable on ω);
- For all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, we have the following equality of distributions on ω

$$\partial(u)T_\theta = T_{\partial(u)\theta}$$

Remark that the notion of quasi-character is local for the invariant topology: if $\theta \in C^\infty(\omega_{reg})^G$ then θ is a quasi-character on ω if and only if for all $X \in \omega_{ss}$ there exists $\omega' \subseteq \omega$ a completely G-invariant open neighborhood of X such that $\theta_{|\omega'}$ is a quasi-character on ω'. We will say that a quasi-character θ on ω is compactly supported if its support (in ω) is compact modulo conjugation. Finally, a Schwartz quasi-character is a quasi-character θ on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ and for all integer $N \geqslant 1$, we have an inequality

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}|\partial(u)\theta(X)| \ll \|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$. Note that a compactly supported quasi-character is automatically a Schwartz quasi-character.

Any invariant distribution T on some completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open subset $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\dim(I(\mathfrak{g})T) < \infty$ is the distribution associated to a quasi-character on ω. This follows from the representation theorem of Harish-Chandra on the Lie algebra, cf theorem 28 p.95 of [Va]. In particular, the functions $\widehat{j}(X,.)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$, and the functions $\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},.)$, $\mathcal{O} \in Nil(\mathfrak{g})$, are quasi-characters on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. In our study of quasi-characters, we will need the following lemma which reduces essentially to proposition 11 p.159 of [Va] using semi-simple descent to maximal tori (cf the remark after lemma 3.2.1).

Lemma 4.2.1 *Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ be a completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open subset. Let $J \subset I(\mathfrak{g})$ be a subalgebra such that the extension $I(\mathfrak{g})/J$ is finite. Let us define the following topological vector spaces*

- *$L^\infty_{loc}(\omega, (D^G)^{1/2}, Diff)^G$ is the space of all invariant functions $\theta \in C^\infty(\omega_{reg})^G$ such that*

$$q_{L,D}(\theta) = \sup_{X \in L_{reg}} D^G(X)^{1/2}|D\theta(X)| < \infty$$

  for all $D \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$ and all invariant compact modulo conjugation subset $L \subseteq \omega$. We equip $L^\infty_{loc}(\omega, (D^G)^{1/2}, Diff)^G$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms $q_{L,D}$ for all D and L as before.

- *$L^\infty_{loc}(\omega, (D^G)^{1/2}, I)^G$ is the space of all invariant functions $\theta \in C^\infty(\omega_{reg})^G$ such that*

$$q_{L,u}(\theta) < \infty$$

  for all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ and all invariant compact modulo conjugation subset $L \subseteq \omega$. We equip $L^\infty_{loc}(\omega, (D^G)^{1/2}, I)^G$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms $q_{L,u}$ for all u and L as before.

- *$L^\infty_{loc}(\omega, (D^G)^{1/2}, J)^G$ is the space of all invariant functions $\theta \in C^\infty(\omega_{reg})^G$ such that*

$$q_{L,u}(\theta) < \infty$$

  for all $u \in J$ and all invariant compact modulo conjugation subset $L \subseteq \omega$. We equip $L^\infty_{loc}(\omega, (D^G)^{1/2}, J)^G$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms $q_{L,u}$ with L and u as before.

- *$L^\infty_{loc}(\omega, (D^G)^{1/2}, T)^G$ is the space of all invariant functions $\theta \in C^\infty(\omega_{reg})^G$ such that*

$$q_{T,u,L_T} = \sup_{X \in L_{T,reg}} |\partial(u)\theta_T(X)| < \infty$$

  for all maximal torus $T \subset G$, all $u \in S(\mathfrak{t})$ and all compact subset $L_T \subset \omega_T$ (recall that $\omega_T = \omega \cap \mathfrak{t}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\theta_T(X) = D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)$ for all $X \in \omega_{T,reg}$). We equip $L^\infty_{loc}(\omega, (D^G)^{1/2}, T)^G$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms q_{T,u,L_T} with T, u and L_T as before.

Then, we have the following equalities of topological vector spaces

$$L^\infty_{loc}(\omega,(D^G)^{1/2},Diff)^G=L^\infty_{loc}(\omega,(D^G)^{1/2},I)^G=L^\infty_{loc}(\omega,(D^G)^{1/2},J)^G=L^\infty_{loc}(\omega,(D^G)^{1/2},T)^G$$

Proposition 4.2.1 *1. Let $\theta\in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R}))^G$ and assume that there exists $k\geqslant 0$ such that for all $N\geqslant 1$ we have an inequality*

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}|\theta(X)|\ll\log\left(2+D^G(X)^{-1}\right)^k\|X\|^{-N}_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}$$

for all $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. Then

(i) The function θ is locally integrable, the distribution T_θ is tempered and there exists a quasi-character $\widehat{\theta}$ on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\widehat{T_\theta}=T_{\widehat{\theta}}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\widehat{\theta}(Y)=\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,Y)dX$$

for all $Y\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$, the integral being absolutely convergent, and the function $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})\mapsto D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{\theta}(X)$ is (globally) bounded.

(ii) Assume moreover that θ is a quasi-character and that for all $u\in I(\mathfrak{g})$ there exists $k\geqslant 0$ such that for all $N\geqslant 1$ we have an inequality

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}|\partial(u)\theta(X)|\ll\log\left(2+D^G(X)^{-1}\right)^k\|X\|^{-N}_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}$$

for all $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. Then the function $\widehat{\theta}$ is a Schwartz quasi-character and so is θ.

(iii) The Fourier transform preserves the space of Schwartz quasi-characters on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$, that is: for all Schwartz quasi-character θ on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$, the distribution T_θ is tempered and there exists a Schwartz quasi-character $\widehat{\theta}$ such that $\widehat{T_\theta}=T_{\widehat{\theta}}$.

(iv) For all Schwartz quasi-character θ on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ and for all $D\in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$, the function $D\theta$ is a Schwartz quasi-character and we have $DT_\theta=T_{D\theta}$.

2. Let $\omega\subseteq\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ be a completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open subset and let $\theta\in C^\infty(\omega_{reg})^G$. Then

(i) Let $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{ss}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega_X\subseteq\mathfrak{g}_X(\mathbb{R})$ be a G-good open neighborhood of X. Assume that $\omega=\omega_X^G$. Then θ is a quasi-character on ω if and only if θ_{X,ω_X} is a quasi-character on ω_X.

(ii) Let $J \subseteq I(\mathfrak{g})$ be a subalgebra such that the extension $I(\mathfrak{g})/J$ is finite. Assume that θ satisfies the two following conditions

- *For all $u \in J$, the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\partial(u)\theta$ is locally bounded on ω;*
- *For all $u \in J$, we have the equality of distributions on ω*

$$\partial(u)T_\theta = T_{\partial(u)\theta}$$

Then, θ is a quasi-character on ω.

(iii) Assume that θ is a quasi-character on ω. Then for all $D \in Diff^\infty(\omega)^G$ the function $D\theta$ is also a quasi-character on ω and we have the following equality of distributions on ω

$$DT_\theta = T_{D\theta}$$

Proof:

1. (i) First, note that the function θ is locally integrable and the distribution T_θ is tempered by 1.8(2) and 1.8(3). Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$. Then, by the Weyl integration formula, we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})} \theta(X)\widehat{\varphi}(X)dX = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)J_G(X,\widehat{\varphi})dX$$

Moreover, by definition of the function $\widehat{j}(.,.)$, we have

$$J_G(X,\widehat{\varphi}) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})} \widehat{j}(X,Y)\varphi(Y)dY$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, we get

$$(1) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})} \theta(X)\widehat{\varphi}(X)dX = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}\int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,Y)\varphi(Y)dYdX$$

If we introduce an absolute value inside the double integral above we get an expression which by 1.7(3) is essentially bounded by

$$\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}|\theta(X)|dX \int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})} D^G(Y)^{-1/2}|\varphi(Y)|dY$$

This product is finite by 1.8(1) and 1.8(2). Hence the double integral (1) is absolutely convergent. Switching the two integrals, we get

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})} \theta(X)\widehat{\varphi}(X)dX = \int_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})} \widehat{\theta}(Y)\varphi(Y)dY$$

where

$$\widehat{\theta}(Y) = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,Y)dX$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$, the integral being absolutely convergent. This shows that $\widehat{T_\theta}$ is represented by the locally integrable function $\widehat{\theta}$. It follows from 1.7(3) and 1.8(2), that the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\widehat{\theta}$ is globally bounded. Let us now show that $\widehat{\theta}$ is a quasi-character. For this, it suffices to show that for all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ the distribution $\partial(u)T_{\widehat{\theta}}$ is representable by a function which is locally essentially bounded by $(D^G)^{-1/2}$. Let $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $T_{\widehat{\theta}} = \widehat{T_\theta}$, the distribution $\partial(u)T_{\widehat{\theta}}$ is the Fourier transform of $p_uT_\theta = T_{p_u\theta}$. But it is not hard to see that $p_u\theta$ satisfies the same hypothesis as θ and so its Fourier transform is also representable by a function which is (globally) essentially bounded by $(D^G)^{-1/2}$. This shows that $\widehat{\theta}$ is indeed a quasi-character.

(ii) Let $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ and $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Then the function $p\partial(u)\theta$ satisfies the same hypothesis as θ in 1.(i) and we have $T_{p\partial(u)\theta} = p\partial(u)T_\theta$ (since θ is a quasi-character). Hence, by 1.(i), the Fourier transform of $p\partial(u)T_\theta$ is representable by a function, which is necessarily $\partial(u_p)(p_u\widehat{\theta})$, and moreover the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\partial(u_p)(p_u\widehat{\theta})$ is globally bounded. Let us show

(2) For all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ and all $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, the function

$$X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto D^G(X)^{1/2}|p(X)||\partial(u)\widehat{\theta}(X)|$$

is bounded.

Let u and p be as above. Since p is bounded (in absolute value) by an element in $I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ which is positive and bounded by below on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ (just take $1 + p\overline{p}$), we may assume that p has this property. By what we just saw, for all integer $k \geqslant 1$ the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\partial(u)(p^k\widehat{\theta})$ is bounded. Consider the endomorphisms $R(p), L(p)$ and $ad(p)$ of $Diff(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $R(p)D = Dp$, $L(p)D = pD$ and $ad(p) = L(p) - R(p)$. They all commute with each other and $ad(p)$ is locally nilpotent. Hence, there exists an integer $M \geqslant 1$ such that $ad(p)^M(\partial(u)) = 0$. It follows that for all integer $n \geqslant M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^n\partial(u) = L(p)^n(\partial(u)) &= (R(p) + ad(p))^n\,\partial(u) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{M-1}\binom{n}{k}(R(p)^{n-k}ad(p)^k)(\partial(u)) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{M-1}\binom{n}{k}ad(p)^k(\partial(u))p^{M-1-k}\right)p^{n+1-M} \end{aligned}$$

The last sum above stays in a subspace of dimension less than M as n varies. It easily follows that we may find two integers $n, m \geqslant 1$ and scalars $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$p^{n+m}\partial(u) = \lambda_1 p^{n+m-1}\partial(u)p + \ldots + p^n\partial(u)p^m$$

Because the functions $(D^G)^{1/2}\partial(u)(p^k\widehat{\theta})$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$, are all globally bounded, we get an inequality

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}|p(X)|^{n+m}|\partial(u)\widehat{\theta}(X)| \ll |p(X)|^{n+m-1} + \ldots + |p(X)|^n$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $|p|$ is bounded by below, the last sum above is essentially bounded by $|p(X)|^{n+m-1}$. Then, after dividing by $|p(X)|^{n+m-1}$, we obtain (2).

It is easy to see that we may find $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ such that $\|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} \ll |p(X)|$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, it follows from (2) and 1.(i) that $\widehat{\theta}$ is a Schwartz quasi-character. It implies in particular that $\widehat{\theta}$ satisfies the same condition as θ, hence its Fourier transform, which is just the function $X \mapsto \theta(-X)$, is also a Schwartz quasi-character. This shows that θ is itself a Schwartz quasi-character.

(iii) This follows directly from 1.(ii) (Note that a Schwartz quasi-character θ satisfies the assumptions of 1.(ii)).

(iv) Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the subalgebra of $Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$ consisting in the operators D such that for all Schwartz quasi-character θ, the function $D\theta$ is also a Schwartz quasi-character and $DT_\theta = T_{D\theta}$. We want to show that $\mathcal{A} = Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$. Obviously we have $I(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathcal{A}$. Since the Fourier transform preserves the space of Schwartz quasi-characters, it easily follows that $I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is also included in $\mathcal{A}$. By proposition 3.1.1(vi), it follows that $\mathcal{A} = Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$.

2. We are going to prove 2.(i), 2.(ii) and 2.(iii) by induction on $\dim(G)$. If $\dim(G) = 1$, then G is a torus and everything is obvious. We henceforth assume that 2.(i), 2.(ii) and 2.(iii) hold for all connected reductive groups G' with $\dim(G') < \dim(G)$.

   We first establish 2.(i). The direction θ_{X,ω_X} quasi-character $\Rightarrow$ θ quasi-character is easy using lemma 3.2.1(i). So assume that θ is a quasi-character. If $G_X = G$, there is nothing to prove. If $G_X \neq G$, by lemma 3.2.1(i), we see that θ_{X,ω_X} satisfies 2.(ii) for $J \subseteq I(\mathfrak{g}_X)$ the image of $I(\mathfrak{g})$ by the morphism $u \mapsto u_X$. By the induction hypothesis, it follows that θ_{X,ω_X} is indeed a quasi-character and this ends the proof of 2.(i).

   We now prove 2.(ii) and 2.(iii) together. That is, we take θ and J as in 2.(ii) and we are going to prove that for all $D \in Diff^\infty(\omega)^G$ the function $D\theta$ is a quasi-character on ω and that we have the equality $DT_\theta = T_{D\theta}$ of distributions on ω. By definition, this amounts to show the two following facts

(3) For all $D \in Diff^\infty(\omega)^G$ the function $(D^G)^{1/2} D\theta$ is locally bounded on ω.

(4) For all $D \in Diff^\infty(\omega)^G$ we have the equality $DT_\theta = T_{D\theta}$ of distributions on ω.

By proposition 3.1.1(v), we are immediately reduced to prove (3) and (4) only for $D \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$. By lemma 4.2.1, we already know that for all $D \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$ the function $(D^G)^{1/2} D\theta$ is locally bounded on ω. Hence, we only need to show the following

(5) For all $D \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$, we have the equality $DT_\theta = T_{D\theta}$ of distributions on ω.

Let $D \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$. The question is local for the invariant topology i.e. we only need to prove that the equality holds near every $X \in \omega_{ss}$. So let $X \in \omega_{ss}$. Assume first that $G_X \neq G$. In this case, we can use semi-simple descent and the induction hypothesis on G_X. More precisely, let $\omega_X \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_X(\mathbb{R})$ be a G-good open neighborhood of X such that $\omega_X^G \subseteq \omega$. Then, by lemma 3.2.1(i), the function θ_{X,ω_X} satisfies the assumptions of 2.(ii) on ω_X with J replace by its image in $I(\mathfrak{g}_X)$ via the morphism $u \mapsto u_X$. Since the two extensions $I(\mathfrak{g}_X)/I(\mathfrak{g})$ and $I(\mathfrak{g})/J$ are finite, so is $I(\mathfrak{g}_X)/J$. Hence, applying 2.(ii) to G_X, we see that θ_{X,ω_X} is a quasi-character on ω_X. Then applying 2.(iii) to G_X, we see that we have the equality of distributions $D_X T_{\theta_{X,\omega_X}} = T_{D_X \theta_{X,\omega_X}}$ on ω_X. By lemma 3.2.1(i), this implies that the equality of distributions $DT_\theta = T_{D\theta}$ holds on ω_X^G. This proves the claim (5) near X in this case. Now assume that $G_X = G$. This condition is equivalent to $X \in \mathfrak{z}_G(\mathbb{R}) \cap \omega$, where $\mathfrak{z}_G$ denotes the Lie algebra of Z_G. Let G_{der} be the derived subgroup of G and let $\mathfrak{g}_{der}$ denote its Lie algebra. We have the decompositions $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}_G \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{der}$ and $I(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{z}_G) I(\mathfrak{g}_{der})$. The question being local at X, we may replace ω by any completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open neighborhood of X that is contained in ω. In particular, we may assume without lost of generality that $\omega = \omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \times \omega_{der}$ where $\omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \subseteq \mathfrak{z}_G(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega_{der} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{der}(\mathbb{R})$ are open and completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant. Note that we have $\omega_{reg} = \omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \times \omega_{der,reg}$. Let $f_{der} \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_{der}(\mathbb{R}))^G$ be such that

- $Supp_{\omega_{der}}(f_{der})$ is compact modulo conjugation;
- $f_{der} = 1$ near 0.

Then, we claim that the function $f_{der}\theta$ satisfies the same hypothesis as θ, that is:

(6) for all $u \in J$, the function $(D^G)^{1/2} \partial(u)(f_{der}\theta)$ is locally bounded on ω and we have the equality $\partial(u) T_{f_{der}\theta} = T_{\partial(u)(f_{der}\theta)}$ of distributions on ω.

This is true near $\omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \times \{0\}$ since on some neighborhood of it $f_{der}\theta$ coincide with θ. For $X \in \omega_{ss} \backslash \omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \times \{0\}$, we can use semi-simple descent and the induction hypothesis (note that $G_X \neq G$) to show that (6) holds near X. Indeed, we already saw that there exists $\omega_X \subseteq \omega$ a G-good open neighborhood of X such that θ_{X,ω_X} is a quasi-character on ω_X. By the property 2.(iii) applied to G_X, it follows that $(f_{der})_{X,\omega_X}\theta_{X,\omega_X}$ is also a quasi-character on ω_X. Hence, by 2.(i), the function $f_{der}\theta$ is a quasi-character on ω_X^G and so a fortiori (6) is satisfied on ω_X^G.

Since $f_{der}\theta$ and θ coincide near X, we may replace θ by $f_{der}\theta$ (recall that we want to show that the equality $DT_\theta = T_{D\theta}$ holds near X). Doing this, the function θ will now satisfy the following additional assumption

(7) There exists a $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant compact modulo conjugation subset $L_{der} \subset \omega$ such that $Supp(\theta) \subseteq \omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \times L_{der}$.

Let us now show the following

(8) For all $u \in S(\mathfrak{z}_G)$ and all $v \in J$, we have $\partial(uv)T_\theta = T_{\partial(uv)\theta}$ on ω.

Indeed, for all $u \in S(\mathfrak{z}_G)$, all $v \in J$ and all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\omega (\partial(v^*u^*)\varphi)(Y)\theta(Y)dY &= \int_\omega (\partial(u^*)\varphi)(Y)(\partial(v)\theta)(Y)dY \\ &= \int_{\omega_{der,reg}}\int_{\omega_{\mathfrak{z}}} (\partial(u^*)\varphi)(Y_{\mathfrak{z}}+Y_{der})(\partial(v)\theta)(Y_{\mathfrak{z}}+Y_{der})dY_{\mathfrak{z}}dY_{der} \\ &= \int_{\omega_{der,reg}}\int_{\omega_{\mathfrak{z}}} \varphi(Y_{\mathfrak{z}}+Y_{der})(\partial(uv)\theta)(Y_{\mathfrak{z}}+Y_{der})dY_{\mathfrak{z}}dY_{der} \\ &= \int_\omega \varphi(Y)(\partial(uv)\theta)(Y)dY\end{aligned}$$

where in the first equality we have used the equality $\partial(v)T_\theta = T_{\partial(v)\theta}$, in the third equality we have used the fact that the function $Y_{\mathfrak{z}} \in \omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \mapsto (\partial(v)\theta)(Y_{\mathfrak{z}}+Y_{der})$ is smooth for all $Y_{der} \in \omega_{der,reg}$ and in the fourth equality we have used the fact that the function $\partial(uv)\theta$ is locally integrable (since it is locally bounded by $(D^G)^{-1/2}$). This proves (8). Up to replacing J by $S(\mathfrak{z}_G)J$, we may now assume that $S(\mathfrak{z}_G) \subseteq J$.

Choose $f_{\mathfrak{z}} \in C_c^\infty(\omega_{\mathfrak{z}})$ such that $f_{\mathfrak{z}} = 1$ near X. Then the function $f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta$ coincide near θ with X. By 1.(iv), we thus only need to show that $f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta$ is a Schwartz quasi-character on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. Since, by (7), the support of this function in ω is compact modulo conjugation, we even only need to prove that it is a quasi-character. Actually, we are going to prove this for all $f_{\mathfrak{z}} \in C_c^\infty(\omega_{\mathfrak{z}})$. For all $N \geqslant 1$, the function $\|.\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^N(D^G)^{1/2}f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta$ is globally bounded (since it is locally bounded, invariant and compactly supported modulo conjugation).

Hence, the function $f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta$ satisfies the assumption of 1.(i) (with $k=0$) and so we know that the distribution $T_{f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta}$ is tempered and that its Fourier transform is representable by a quasi-character $\widehat{f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta}$ on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ which is globally bounded by $(D^G)^{-1/2}$. We claim that we have the following

(9) For all $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, the function

$$Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto D^G(Y)^{1/2} p(Y) \widehat{f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta}(Y)$$

is bounded.

Since $u \mapsto p_u$ is an isomorphism $I(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $I(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{z}_G) I(\mathfrak{g}_{der})$, we only need to prove (9) when p is a product $p_{u_{\mathfrak{z}}} p_{u_{der}}$ with $u_{\mathfrak{z}} \in S(\mathfrak{z}_G)$ and $u_{der} \in I(\mathfrak{g}_{der})$. Note that the function $p_{u_{\mathfrak{z}}}(\widehat{f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta})$ is the Fourier transform of $\partial(u_{\mathfrak{z}}) f_{\mathfrak{z}} T_\theta$. Since $S(\mathfrak{z}_G) \subset J$ and the function $f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta$ is supported in ω, this distribution is represented by a function of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\partial(u_i) f_{\mathfrak{z}})(\partial(v_i)\theta)$$

with $u_i, v_i \in S(\mathfrak{z}_G)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$. Note that the function $\partial(v_i)\theta$ satisfies the same assumptions as θ (including (7)) and that the functions $\partial(u_i) f_{\mathfrak{z}}$ belong to $C_c^\infty(\omega_{\mathfrak{z}})$. Hence $\partial(u_{\mathfrak{z}}) f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta$ is a sum of functions of the same type than $f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta$. It follows that we only need to prove (9) for $p = p_u$ with $u \in I(\mathfrak{g}_{der})$. Since p is bounded (in absolute value) by an element of $I(\mathfrak{g}^*_{der})$ that is positive and bounded by below on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$, we may assume that p has this property. Because the extension $I(\mathfrak{g})/J$ is finite, we may find an integer $n \geqslant 1$ and elements $v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1} \in J$ such that

$$u^n = v_{n-1} u^{n-1} + \ldots + v_1 u + v_0$$

The function $p_u^n(\widehat{f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta})$ is the Fourier transform of

$$\partial(u^n) f_{\mathfrak{z}} T_\theta = f_{\mathfrak{z}} \partial(u^n) T_\theta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_{\mathfrak{z}} \partial(u^i) \partial(v_i) T_\theta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \partial(u^i) f_{\mathfrak{z}} T_{\partial(v_i)\theta}$$

Note that, although the equality $\partial(v_i) T_\theta = T_{\partial(v_i)\theta}$ only holds on ω, we can use it here as if it holds everywhere since the support of $f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta$ in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in ω. Applying the Fourier transform to this equality, we obtain

$$(10) \quad p_u^n \widehat{T}_{f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_u^i \widehat{T}_{f_{\mathfrak{z}}\partial(v_i)\theta}$$

Note that for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, the function $f_{\mathfrak{z}}\partial(v_i)\theta$ is globally bounded by $(D^G)^{-1/2}$ and compactly supported modulo conjugation. Consequently, its Fourier transform is also representable by a function that is globally bounded by $(D^G)^{-1/2}$ (by 1.(i)). Hence, by (10), we get an inequality

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}|p_u(X)|^n|\widehat{f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta}(X)| \ll 1 + |p_u(X)| + \ldots + |p_u(X)|^{n-1}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $|p_u|$ is bounded by below, we easily deduce that the function $(D^G)^{1/2}p_u(\widehat{f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta})$ is bounded. This proves (9).

Since there exists $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ such that $\|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} \ll |p(X)|$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows from (9) that the function $\widehat{f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta}$ satisfies the assumptions of 1.(i). Hence, its Fourier transform, which is the function $Y \mapsto (f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta)(-Y)$, is a quasi-character. This proves the claim that $f_{\mathfrak{z}}\theta$ is a quasi-character and ends the proof of 2.(ii) and 2.(iii). ■

Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ be a completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open subset. We will denote by $QC(\omega)$ the space of quasi-characters on ω and by $QC_c(\omega)$ the subspace of compactly supported quasi-characters on ω. If $L \subset \omega$ is invariant and compact modulo conjugation, we will also denote by $QC_L(\omega) \subset QC_c(\omega)$ the subspace of quasi-characters with support in L. Finally, we will denote by $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ the space of Schwartz quasi-characters on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$.

We will endow these spaces with locally convex topologies as follows. For $L \subset \omega$ as before and $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, we define a semi-norm $q_{L,u}$ on $QC(\omega)$ by

$$q_{L,u}(\theta) = \sup_{X \in L_{reg}} D^G(X)^{1/2}|\partial(u)\theta(X)|, \quad \theta \in QC(\omega)$$

Then, we equip $QC_L(\omega)$ with the topology defined by the semi-norm $(q_{L,u})_{u \in I(\mathfrak{g})}$ and $QC(\omega)$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms $(q_{L,u})_{L,u}$ where L runs through the invariant compact modulo conjugation subsets of ω and u runs through $I(\mathfrak{g})$. We have a natural isomorphism

$$QC_c(\omega) \simeq \varinjlim_{L} QC_L(\omega)$$

and we endow $QC_c(\omega)$ with the direct limit topology. Finally, we put on $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ the topology defined by the semi-norms

$$q_{u,N}(\theta) = \sup_{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})} \|X\|^N_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}|\partial(u)\theta(X)|, \quad \theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$$

where u runs through $I(\mathfrak{g})$ and N runs through all positive integers.

Lemma 4.2.2 *Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ be a completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open subset and $L \subseteq \omega$ be invariant and compact modulo conjugation. Then* *(i)* *$QC(\omega)$, $QC_L(\omega)$ and $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ are Frechet spaces whereas $QC_c(\omega)$ is a LF space. The inclusions $QC_c(\omega) \subset QC(\omega)$ and $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})) \subset QC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ are continuous and moreover $QC_c(\omega)$, $QC_L(\omega)$ and $QC(\omega)$ are nuclear spaces.*

(ii) *Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega_X \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_X(\mathbb{R})$ be a G-good open neighborhood of X. Assume that $\omega = \omega_X^G$. Then the linear map*

$$\theta \mapsto \theta_{X,\omega_X}$$

induces topological isomorphisms $QC(\omega) \simeq QC(\omega_X)$ and $QC_c(\omega) \simeq QC_c(\omega_X)$.

(iii) *The Fourier transform $\theta \mapsto \widehat{\theta}$ is a continuous linear automorphism of $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ and for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, we have*

$$\widehat{\theta} = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,.)dX$$

the integral above being absolutely convergent in $QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Moreover, for all $D \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$ the linear map

$$\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})) \mapsto D\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$$

is continuous.

(iv) *The two bilinear maps*

$$Diff^{\infty}(\omega)^G \times QC_c(\omega) \to QC_c(\omega) \quad Diff^{\infty}(\omega)^G \times QC(\omega) \to QC(\omega)$$
$$(D,\theta) \mapsto D\theta$$

are separately continuous.

(v) *$QC_c(\omega)$ is dense in $QC(\omega)$ and $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$.*

Proof:

(i) The claim about inclusions is obvious. Using proposition 3.1.1(i), it is clear that the topologies on $QC(\omega)$, $QC_L(\omega)$ and $SQC(\omega)$ may be defined by a countable number of semi-norms and that $QC_c(\omega)$ is the direct limit of a countable family $(QC_{L_n}(\omega))_{n\geqslant 1}$ where $(L_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ is an increasing sequence of invariant compact modulo conjugation subsets of ω. Moreover, $QC_L(\omega)$ is a closed subspace of $QC(\omega)$ and the topology on $QC_L(\omega)$ is induced from the one on $QC(\omega)$. Hence, it suffices to show that $QC(\omega)$ and $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ are complete and that $QC(\omega)$ is nuclear. Let $(\theta_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$. Then, it is also a Cauchy sequence in $QC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$. If this sequence admits a limit θ in $QC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$, then it is clear that θ belongs to $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ and that it is also a limit of the sequence $(\theta_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ in $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$. Hence, we are only left with proving that $QC(\omega)$ is nuclear and complete. Let $\mathcal{T}(G)$ be a set of representatives for the $G(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy classes of maximal tori in G. For all $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ set $\omega_T = \omega \cap \mathfrak{t}(\mathbb{R})$, $\omega_{T,reg} = \omega \cap \mathfrak{t}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ and define $C_b^\infty(\omega_{T,reg}, \omega_T)$ to be the space of all smooth functions $f : \omega_{T,reg} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\partial(u)f$ is locally bounded in ω_T for all $u \in S(\mathfrak{t})$. We endow this space with the topology defined by the semi-norms

$$q_{T,u,L_T}(f) = \sup_{X \in L_{T,reg}} |(\partial(u)f)(X)|\,, \quad f \in C_b^\infty(\omega_{T,reg}, \omega_T)$$

for all $u \in S(\mathfrak{t})$ and all compact subset $L_T \subset \omega_T$. Then by lemma A.5.1, the spaces $C_b^\infty(\omega_{T,reg}, \omega_T)$, $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$, are all nuclear Frechet spaces (note that since $\omega_{T,reg}$ is the complement in ω_T of a finite union of subspaces of $\mathfrak{t}(\mathbb{R})$, the pair $(\omega_{T,reg}, \omega_T)$ trivially satisfies the assumption of lemma A.5.1). Moreover, by lemma 4.2.1, the linear map

$$\theta \mapsto (\theta_T)_{T \in \mathcal{T}(G)}$$

where $\theta_T(X) = D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)$ for all $X \in \omega_{T,reg}$, induces a closed embedding

$$QC(\omega) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{T \in \mathcal{T}(G)} C_b^\infty(\omega_{T,reg}, \omega_T)$$

The result follows.

(ii) By proposition 4.2.1(i), the map $\theta \mapsto \theta_{X,\omega_X}$ induces linear isomorphisms $QC(\omega) \simeq QC(\omega_X)$ and $QC_c(\omega) \simeq QC_c(\omega_X)$. Moreover, the inverses of these isomorphisms are easily seen to be continuous. By the open mapping theorem, it follows that these are indeed topological isomorphisms.

(iii) First we prove the claim about the Fourier transform. By the closed graph theorem and proposition 4.2.1(i), it is sufficient to prove that for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ the integral

$$(11) \quad \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,.)dX$$

is absolutely convergent in $QC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ and that the linear map

$$SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})) \to QC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$$
$$\theta \mapsto \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X)\widehat{j}(X,.)dX$$

is continuous. Let $L \subset \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ be invariant and compact modulo conjugation and let $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\partial(u)\widehat{j}(X,.) = p_u(-X)\widehat{j}(X,.)$, by 1.7(3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}|\theta(X)|q_{L,u}(\widehat{j}(X,.))dX &\ll \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}|p_u(-X)\theta(X)|dX \\ &\leqslant q_{1,N}(\theta)\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}|p_u(-X)|\|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N}dX\end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ and all $N \geqslant 1$. There exists $N_0 \geqslant 0$ such that $|p_u(-X)| \ll \|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{N_0}$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ and so by 1.8(2), the last integral above is absolutely convergent for N sufficiently large (depending on u). This proves the convergence and the continuity in $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ of the integral (11).

We now prove the claim about differential operators. Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the subalgebra of differential operators $D \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$ that induce a continuous endomorphism of $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$. It is obvious that $I(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathcal{A}$. Since the Fourier transform exchange the actions of $I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ with the action of $I(\mathfrak{g})$, it follows that we also have $I(\mathfrak{g}^*) \subset \mathcal{A}$. Hence, by proposition 3.1.1(vi), we have $\mathcal{A} = Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$.

(iv) By the closed graph theorem, we only need to prove that the bilinear map

$$\begin{gathered} Diff^\infty(\omega)^G \times QC(\omega) \to QC(\omega) \\ (D,\theta) \mapsto D\theta \end{gathered}$$

is separately continuous. Since for all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ multiplication by $\partial(u)$ is a continuous endomorphism of $Diff^\infty(\omega)^G$, it suffices to prove that the bilinear map above is separately continuous when the target space is equipped with the topology defined by the semi-norms $(q_{L,1})_L$. By definition of the topology on $Diff^\infty(\omega)^G$, it is even sufficient to prove the following

(12) For all invariant and compact modulo conjugation subset $L \subseteq \omega$ and for all $n \geqslant 1$, there exist a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{n,L}$ on $Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\omega)^G$ and a continuous semi-norm $\mu_{n,L}$ on $QC(\omega)$ such that

$$q_{L,1}(D\theta) \leqslant \nu_{n,L}(D)\mu_{n,L}(\theta)$$

for all $D \in Diff^\infty_{\leqslant n}(\omega)^G$ and all $\theta \in QC(\omega)$.

By proposition 3.1.1(v), to prove (12), we only need to show that for all $D \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$ the linear map

$$QC(\omega) \to QC(\omega)$$
$$\theta \mapsto D\theta$$

is continuous. This follows from lemma 4.2.1.

(v) The density of $QC_c(\omega)$ in $QC(\omega)$ follows from the existence for all invariant compact modulo conjugation subset $L \subseteq \omega$ of a function $\varphi_L \in C^\infty(\omega)^G$ that is compactly supported modulo conjugation and such that $\varphi_L = 1$ on some neighborhood of L. Let now $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))^G$ be compactly supported modulo conjugation and such that $\varphi = 1$ in some neighborhood of 0. Let us set $\varphi_t(X) = \varphi(t^{-1}X)$ for all $t > 0$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. The density of $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ in $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ will follow from the following claim

(13) For all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi_t\theta = \theta$$

in $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$.

We need to see that for all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ and all integer $N \geqslant 0$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} q_{u,N}(\theta - \varphi_t\theta) = 0$$

Of course, it is sufficient to deal with the case where u is homogeneous. We henceforth fix an element $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ which is homogeneous. Let $\omega \subset \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ be a completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open neighborhood of 0 on which φ equals 1. It is not hard to see that

$$\|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-1} \ll t^{-1}$$

for all $t > 0$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) - t\omega$. Since for all $t > 0$ the function $\theta - \varphi_t\theta$ is supported in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) - t\omega$, it follows that for all integers $N, k \geqslant 0$, we have an inequality

$$q_{u,N}(\theta - \varphi_t\theta) \ll t^{-k} q_{u,N+k}(\theta - \varphi_t\theta)$$

for all $t > 0$. Hence, it suffices to show the existence of an integer d_u such that for all integer $N \geqslant 1$, we have an inequality

$$(14) \quad q_{u,N}(\varphi_t\theta) \ll t^{d_u}$$

for all $t > 1$. We define an action of $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ on $Diff^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ as follows: for all $(t, D) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times Diff^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$, we define $D_t \in Diff^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$ by

$$D_t f = (Df_{t^{-1}})_t$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$, where as before $f_t(X) = f(t^{-1}X)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and all $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$. Let $N \geqslant 1$ be an integer. Then, we have

$$(15) \quad q_{u,N}(\varphi_t\theta) = q_N(\partial(u)(\varphi_t\theta)) = t^{-\deg(u)}q_N((\partial(u)\circ\varphi)_t\theta)$$

for all $t > 0$, where we have set $q_N = q_{1,N}$ and where $\partial(u) \circ \varphi$ denotes the differential operator obtained by composing $\partial(u)$ with the multiplication by φ. By proposition 3.1.1(v), there exists an integer $n \geqslant 1$, functions $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))^G$ and operators $D_1, \ldots, D_n \in Diff(\mathfrak{g})^G$ such that

$$\partial(u) \circ \varphi = \varphi_1 D_1 + \ldots + \varphi_n D_n$$

Of course, we may assume that the functions $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$ are compactly supported modulo conjugation and that for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ there exists an integer d_i such that $(D_i)_t = t^{d_i} D_i$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. It then easily follows from (15) that

$$q_{u,N}(\varphi_t\theta) \ll \sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{d_i - \deg(u)} q_N(D_i\theta)$$

for all $t > 0$. Since the functions $D_i\theta$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ are Schwartz quasi-characters, the semi-norms $q_N(D_i\theta)$ are finite. We deduce that (14) holds for $d_u = \max_{1\leqslant i \leqslant n}(d_i) - \deg(u)$. This proves (13) and ends the proof of (v). ■

4.3 Local expansions of quasi-characters on the Lie algebra when $F = \mathbb{R}$

Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ be a completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open subset and θ a quasi-character on ω. Recall that, for $T \subseteq G$ a maximal torus, we denote by θ_T the function on $\omega \cap \mathfrak{t}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$\theta_T(X) = D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X), \quad X \in \omega \cap \mathfrak{t}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$$

Lemma 4.3.1 *(i) Let $T \subseteq G$ be a maximal torus. Then for all connected component $\Gamma \subseteq \omega \cap \mathfrak{t}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ and all $u \in S(\mathfrak{t})$ the function $X \in \Gamma \mapsto (\partial(u)\theta_T)(X)$ extends continuously to the closure of Γ in $\omega \cap \mathfrak{t}(\mathbb{R})$.*

(ii) Let $X \in \omega_{ss}$. Then, there exist constants $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(X)$ for $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}_X)$ such that

$$D^G(X+Y)^{1/2}\theta(X+Y) = D^G(X+Y)^{1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}_X)} c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(X)\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},Y) + O(|Y|)$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{X,reg}(\mathbb{R})$ sufficiently near 0.

Proof:

(i) This follows directly from lemma 4.2.1 and the mean value theorem (Note that $\mathfrak{t}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ is the complement in $\mathfrak{t}(\mathbb{R})$ of a finite union of subspaces).

(ii) By lemma 4.2.2(ii), we are immediately reduced to the case where $X \in \mathfrak{z}_G(\mathbb{R})$. By translation, we may even assume that $X = 0$. Define a function θ_0 on $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta_0(X) = \lim_{t\to 0^+} D^G(tX)^{1/2}\theta(tX)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$. Note that for $t > 0$ sufficiently small, we have $tX \in \omega_{reg}$ and that the limit exists by (i). Moreover, the function θ_0 is invariant and homogeneous of degree $-\delta(G)/2$, that is

$$(1) \quad \theta_0(tX) = t^{-\delta(G)/2}\theta_0(X)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ and all $t > 0$. Also, the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\theta_0$ is (globally) bounded since $(D^G)^{1/2}\theta$ is bounded near 0. By (i) again, for all maximal torus $T \subset G$ and all connected component $\Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X) = D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta_0(X) + O(|X|)$$

as $X \in \Gamma\cap\omega$ goes to 0. Since there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of maximal tori, that for all of them $\mathfrak{t}_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ has only finitely many connected components and that $|X| \ll |g^{-1}Xg|$ for all $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that for some completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant neighborhood $\omega' \subseteq \omega$ of 0, we have

$$(2) \quad D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X) = D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta_0(X) + O(|X|)$$

for all $X \in \omega'_{reg}$. It remains to show that the function θ_0 is a linear combination of functions $\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},.)$ for $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$. Since the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\theta_0$ is bounded, the function θ_0 is locally integrable and so it defines a distribution T_{θ_0}. Let $I^+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset I(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the subalgebra of elements without constant term. We first establish the following

(3) For all $u \in I^+(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $\partial(u)T_{\theta_0} = 0$.

Let $u \in I^+(\mathfrak{g})$ be homogeneous of degree $d > 0$. It follows easily from (1) that the distribution $\partial(u)T_{\theta_0}$ is homogeneous of degree $-d - \delta(G)/2$ in the following sense: for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$, we have

$$(4) \quad (\partial(u)T_{\theta_0})(f_t) = t^{\dim(\mathfrak{g})-d-\delta(G)/2}(\partial(u)T_{\theta_0})(f)$$

for all $t > 0$ and where $f_t(X) = f(t^{-1}X)$. Since the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\partial(u)\theta$ is locally bounded and $(D^G)^{-1/2}$ locally integrable, it is easy to see that for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$, we have

$$(5) \quad |(\partial(u)T_\theta)(f_t)| = \left|T_{\partial(u)\theta}(f_t)\right| \ll t^{\dim(\mathfrak{g})-\delta(G)/2}$$

for all $t > 0$ sufficiently small (in particular so that $Supp(f_t) \subseteq \omega$). Set $R = T_{\theta-\theta_0}$. It is a distribution on ω. By (2), we know that $X \mapsto |X|^{-1}D^G(X)^{1/2}|\theta(X) - \theta_0(X)|$ is bounded on some neighborhood of 0. It follows easily that for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}))$, we have

$$(6) \quad |(\partial(u)R)(f_t)| \ll t^{1+\dim(\mathfrak{g})-d-\delta(G)/2}$$

for all $t > 0$ sufficiently small. Since we have $\partial(u)R = \partial(u)T_\theta - \partial(u)T_{\theta_0}$, the equality (4) and the inequalities (5) and (6) cannot be compatible unless $\partial(u)T_{\theta_0} = 0$. This proves (3).

By lemma 2.2 of [BV], every homogeneous distribution is tempered. Hence, T_{θ_0} is tempered. Consider its Fourier transform $\widehat{T_{\theta_0}}$. It is an invariant and homogeneous distribution of degree $-\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \delta(G)/2$. Also, by (3), we have $p_u\widehat{T_{\theta_0}} = 0$ for all $u \in I^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Since the common zero locus of the polynomials p_u for all $u \in I^+(\mathfrak{g})$ is the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $Supp(\widehat{T_{\theta_0}}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Hence, by 1.7(2), $\widehat{T}_{\theta_0}$ is a linear combination of the distributions $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ for $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$ and we are done. ■

4.4 Quasi-characters on the group when $F = \mathbb{R}$

In this subsection we still assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Omega \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ be a completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open subset. A quasi-character on Ω is a function $\theta \in C^\infty(\Omega_{reg})^G$ that satisfies the two following conditions

- For all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, the function $(D^G)^{1/2}z\theta$ is locally bounded on Ω (so that by 1.8(1) the function $z\theta$ is locally integrable on Ω);

- For all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have the following equality of distributions on Ω

$$zT_\theta = T_{z\theta}$$

We say that a quasi-character θ is compactly supported if it is compactly supported modulo conjugation. We will denote by $QC(\Omega)$ the space of quasi-characters on Ω and by $QC_c(\Omega)$ the subspace of compactly supported quasi-characters. If $L \subset \Omega$ is invariant and compact modulo conjugation we also introduce the subspace $QC_L(\Omega) \subset QC_c(\Omega)$ of quasi-characters supported in L. We endow $QC_L(\Omega)$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms

$$q_{L,z}(\theta) = \sup_{x \in L_{reg}} D^G(x)^{1/2} |z\theta(x)|$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and we equip $QC(\Omega)$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms $(q_{L,z})_{L,z}$ where L runs through the invariant compact modulo conjugation subsets of Ω and z runs through $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. Finally, we put on $QC_c(\Omega)$ the inductive limit topology relative to the natural isomorphism

$$QC_c(\Omega) \simeq \varinjlim_{L} QC_L(\Omega)$$

As in the case of the Lie algebra, by the representation theorem of Harish-Chandra, any invariant distribution T defined on some completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open subset $\Omega \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})T) < \infty$ is the distribution associated to a quasi-character on Ω. In particular, for every admissible irreducible representation π of $G(\mathbb{R})$ the character θ_π of π is a quasi-character on $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 4.4.1 *Let $\Omega \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ be a completely $G(\mathbb{R})$-invariant open subset and let $L \subseteq \Omega$ be invariant and compact modulo conjugation. Then*

(i) Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ be a G-excellent open subset and assume that $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$. Then the linear map

$$\theta \mapsto \theta_\omega$$

induces topological isomorphisms $QC(\Omega) \simeq QC(\omega)$ and $QC_c(\Omega) \simeq QC_c(\omega)$.

(ii) $QC(\Omega)$ and $QC_L(\Omega)$ are nuclear Frechet spaces and $QC_c(\Omega)$ is a nuclear LF space.

(iii) Let $x \in G_{ss}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(\mathbb{R})$ be a G-good open neighborhood of x. Assume that $\Omega = \Omega_x^G$. Then the linear map

$$\theta \mapsto \theta_{x,\Omega_x}$$

induces topological isomorphisms $QC(\Omega) \simeq QC(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)(F)}$ and $QC_c(\Omega) \simeq QC_c(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)(F)}$.

(iv) For all $D \in Diff^\infty(\Omega)^G$ and all $\theta \in QC(\Omega)$, the function $D\theta$ is a quasi-character on Ω and we have $DT_\theta = T_{D\theta}$. Moreover, the two bilinear maps

$$Diff^\infty(\Omega)^G \times QC(\Omega) \to QC(\Omega), \quad Diff^\infty(\Omega)^G \times QC_c(\Omega) \to QC_c(\Omega)$$
$$(D,\theta) \mapsto D\theta$$

are separately continuous.

(v) If $G = G_1 \times G_2$ with G_1 and G_2 two reductive connected groups over F, and $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ where $\Omega_1 \subseteq G_1(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\Omega_2 \subseteq G_2(\mathbb{R})$) is a completely $G_1(\mathbb{R})$-invariant (resp. completely $G_2(\mathbb{R})$-invariant) open subset then there is a canonical isomorphism of topological vector spaces

$$QC(\Omega) \simeq QC(\Omega_1)\widehat{\otimes}_p QC(\Omega_2)$$

(vi) For all $\theta \in QC(\Omega)$ and all $x \in G_{ss}(\mathbb{R})$, there exist constants $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(x) \in \mathbb{C}$, for $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$, such that

$$D^G(xe^Y)^{1/2}\theta(xe^Y) = D^G(xe^Y)^{1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(x)\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},Y) + O(|Y|)$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{x,reg}(\mathbb{R})$ sufficiently near 0.

Proof: (i) is a straightforward consequence of 3.3(3). (vi) follows from (i), (iii) and lemma 4.3.1(ii). Before proving (ii)-(v), we need to show the following

(1) Let $J \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ be a subalgebra such that the extension $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})/J$ is finite. Assume that $\theta \in C^\infty(\Omega_{reg})^G$ satisfies the two following conditions

- For all $z \in J$, the function $(D^G)^{1/2}z\theta$ is locally bounded on Ω;
- For all $z \in J$, we have the equality of distributions on Ω

$$zT_\theta = T_{z\theta}$$

Then, θ is a quasi-character on Ω.

The proof is by induction on $\dim(G)$, the case of a torus being obvious. Let θ and J be as in (1). We need to show that θ is a quasi-character near every semi-simple point $x \in \Omega_{ss}$. If $G_x \neq G$, then we can use semi-simple descent (cf lemma 3.2.1(ii)) and the induction hypothesis for G_x to conclude. Assume that $G_x = G$ i.e. $x \in Z_G(F)$, then translating θ and

Ω by x, we may assume that $x = 1$. But then the result follows from (i), 3.3(3) and the analogous result for the Lie algebra (proposition 4.2.1.2.(ii)).

We may now proceed to the proof of (ii)-(v).

(i) Using proposition 3.1.1(i), it is easy to see that the topology on $QC(\Omega)$ is defined by a countable number of semi-norms and that $QC_c(\Omega)$ is the direct limit of a countable family $(QC_{L_n}(\Omega))_{n\geqslant 1}$ where $(L_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ is an increasing sequence of invariant and compact modulo conjugation subsets of Ω. Moreover, $QC_L(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $QC(\Omega)$ and its topology is induced from the one on $QC(\Omega)$. Hence, we only need to show that $QC(\Omega)$ is nuclear and complete. For every $x \in \Omega_{ss}$, choose $\omega_x \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_x(F)$ a G_x-excellent open subset such that $\Omega_x = x\exp(\omega_x) \subseteq G_x(F)$ is a G-good open neighborhood of x such that $\Omega_x^G \subseteq \Omega$. The linear map

$$QC(\Omega) \to \prod_{x\in\Omega_{ss}} QC(\Omega_x^G)$$

$$\theta \mapsto \left(\theta_{|\Omega_x^G}\right)_{x\in\Omega_{ss}}$$

is a closed embedding. Hence, it suffices to show that the spaces $QC(\Omega_x^G)$, $x \in \Omega_{ss}$, are nuclear and complete. Let $x \in \Omega_{ss}$ and consider the map

$$(2) \quad \theta \in QC(\Omega_x^G) \mapsto (\theta_{x,\Omega_x})_{\omega_x} \in C^\infty(\omega_{x,reg})^{Z_G(x)}$$

Set $J = \{u_{z_x};\ z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})\}$. It is a subalgebra of $I(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ with the property that the extension $I(\mathfrak{g}_x)/J$ is finite. By (1), (i) and proposition 4.2.1.2.(ii), we see that the linear map (2) induces a linear isomorphism $QC(\Omega_x^G) \simeq QC(\omega_x)^{Z_G(x)}$. By lemma 4.2.1, it is even a topological isomorphism. Hence, by lemma 4.2.2(i), $QC(\Omega_x^G)$ is a nuclear Frechet space and this ends the proof of (ii).

(ii) Once again, by (1) (where we take $J = \{z_x,\ z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})\}$) and lemma 3.2.1(i), the linear map $\theta \mapsto \theta_{x,\Omega_x}$ induces linear isomorphisms $QC(\Omega) \simeq QC(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)}$ and $QC_c(\Omega) \simeq QC_c(\Omega_x)^{Z_G(x)}$. The inverse of these isomorphisms are obviously continuous. Hence, by the open-mapping theorem these are topological isomorphisms.

(iii) The first part of (iv) follows easily from (i), (iii) and proposition 4.2.1.2.(iii). Choose, as in the proof of (i), for every $x \in \Omega_{ss}$ a G_x-excellent open subset $\omega_x \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_x(F)$ such that $\Omega_x = x\exp(\omega_x) \subseteq G_x(F)$ is G-good and $\Omega_x^G \subseteq \Omega$. Then, by the closed graph theorem, it suffices to show that for every $x \in \Omega_{ss}$ the bilinear map

$$Diff^\infty(\Omega_x^G)^G \times QC(\Omega_x^G) \to QC(\Omega_x^G)$$

$$(D,\theta) \mapsto D\theta$$

is separately continuous. But by (i), (iii) and lemma 3.2.1(ii), we have topological isomorphisms

$$QC(\Omega_x^G) \simeq QC(\omega_x)^{Z_G(x)}$$
$$\theta \mapsto (R(x)\theta_{x,\Omega_x})_{\omega_x}$$

$$\overline{Diff^\infty(\Omega_x^G)^G} \simeq \overline{Diff^\infty(\omega_x)^{Z_G(x)}}$$
$$D \mapsto (R(x)D_{x,\Omega_x})_{\omega_x}$$

Hence, we are reduced to show that the bilinear map

$$Diff^\infty(\omega_x)^{Z_G(x)} \times QC(\omega_x)^{Z_G(x)} \to QC(\omega_x)^{Z_G(x)}$$
$$(D,\theta) \mapsto D\theta$$

is separately continuous. This follows from lemma 4.2.2(iv).

(iv) The natural bilinear map

$$QC(\Omega_1) \times QC(\Omega_2) \to QC(\Omega)$$
$$(\theta_1,\theta_2) \mapsto [(g_1,g_2) \mapsto \theta_1(g_1)\theta_2(g_2)]$$

is continuous. Hence it extends to a continuous linear map

$$QC(\Omega_1)\widehat{\otimes}_p QC(\Omega_2) \to QC(\Omega)$$

and we would like to show that this is a topological isomorphism. By the open mapping theorem and proposition A.5.1 of the appendix, this amounts to proving that for all $\theta \in QC(\Omega)$ the two following conditions are satisfied

(1) For all $g_1 \in \Omega_{1,reg}$, the function $g_2 \in \Omega_{2,reg} \mapsto \theta(g_1,g_2)$ belongs to $QC(\Omega_2)$;

(2) For all $\lambda \in QC(\Omega_2)'$, the function $g_1 \mapsto \lambda(\theta(g_1,.))$ belongs to $QC(\Omega_1)$.

The first condition is easy to check and left to the reader. Let $\theta \in QC(\Omega)$. In order to prove that the condition (4) is satisfied, it is obviously sufficient to establish the following

(5) The function $g_1 \in \Omega_{1,reg} \mapsto \theta(g_1,.) \in QC(\Omega_2)$ is smooth, for all $z_1 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$

and all invariant and compact modulo conjugation subset $L_1 \subseteq \Omega_1$, the set

$$\{D^{G_1}(g_1)^{1/2}\,(R_1(z_1)\theta)\,(g_1,.),\ g_1 \in L_{1,reg}\}$$

is bounded in $QC(\Omega_2)$ and for all $\varphi_1 \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)$, we have the equality

$$\int_{\Omega_1} (R_1(z_1)\theta)\,(g_1,.)\varphi_1(g_1)dg_1 = \int_{\Omega_1} \theta(g_1,.)\,(z_1^*\varphi_1)\,(g_1)dg_1$$

in $QC(\Omega_2)$.

(the index 1 in $R_1(z_1)$ is here to emphasize that we are deriving in the first variable). Note that if the function $g_1 \in \Omega_{1,reg} \mapsto \theta(g_1,.) \in QC(\Omega_2)$ is smooth then for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, the derivative $R(u)\,(g_1 \mapsto \theta(g_1,.))\,(g_1) \in QC(\Omega_2)$ is necessarily equal to $(R_1(u)\theta)\,(g_1,.)$, which is why we are using the function $(R_1(z_1)\theta)\,(g_1,.)$ above. The second claim in (5) is obvious and the last equality of (5) need only to be checked after applying to it the continuous linear forms

$$\theta_2 \in QC(\Omega_2) \mapsto \int_{\Omega_2} \theta_2(g_2)\varphi_2(g_2)dg_2, \quad \varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_{2,reg})$$

(because these linear forms separate elements of $QC(\Omega_2)$) where then it is an obvious consequence of θ being a quasi-character. Hence, we are only left with proving that the map $g_1 \in \Omega_{1,reg} \mapsto \theta(g_1,.) \in QC(\Omega_2)$ is smooth. This fact follows easily from the next claim

(6) For all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ and all $g_1 \in \Omega_{1,reg}$, the function $(R_1(u)\theta)(g_1,.)$ is a quasi-character on Ω_2 and for all compact subset $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq \Omega_{1,reg}$, the family

$$\{(R_1(u)\theta)(g_1,.),\ g_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1\}$$

is bounded in $QC(\Omega_2)$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$. In order to get (6), we only need to check the following

(7) For all $z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$, all compact subsets $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq \Omega_{1,reg}$ and all invariant and compact modulo conjugation subset $L_2 \subseteq \Omega_2$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$D^{G_2}(g_2)^{1/2}\,|(R_2(z_2)R_1(u)\theta)\,(g_1,g_2)| \leqslant C$$

for all $(g_1,g_2) \in \mathcal{K}_1 \times L_{2,reg}$.

and

(8) For all $\varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_2)$ and for all $z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$, we have the equality

$$\int_{\Omega_2} \left(R_2(z_2)R_1(u)\theta\right)(g_1, g_2)\varphi_2(g_2)dg_2 = \int_{\Omega_2} \left(R_1(u)\theta\right)(g_1, g_2)\left(R(z_2^*)\varphi_2\right)(g_2)dg_2$$

for all $g_1 \in \Omega_{1,reg}$.

If (7) is satisfied, then both sides of the equality (8) are continuous in $g_1 \in \Omega_{1,reg}$. Hence, the equality need only to be checked after integrating it against a function $\varphi_1 \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_{1,reg})$ where it is again an easy consequence of θ being a quasi-character. Let us prove (7). Fix $z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ and an invariant and compact modulo conjugation subset $L_2 \subseteq \Omega_2$. Then the functions $\left(R_2(z_2)\theta\right)(., g_2)$, $g_2 \in L_{2,reg}$, are all quasi-characters on Ω_1 and the family

$$\{D^{G_2}(g_2)^{1/2}\left(R_2(z_2)\theta\right)(., g_2),\ g_2 \in L_{2,reg}\}$$

is bounded in $QC(\Omega_1)$. Hence, to get (7) it suffices to see that for all compact subset $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq \Omega_{1,reg}$, the linear forms

$$\theta_1 \in QC(\Omega_1) \mapsto \left(R(u)\theta_1\right)(g_1),\quad g_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$$

form a bounded subset of $QC(\Omega_1)'$. This follows from example from (iii) (where we take points $x \in \Omega_1$ which are regular). This ends the proof of (v). ■

4.5 Functions c_θ

We henceforth drop the condition that $F = \mathbb{R}$ so that F can be p-adic as well. Let θ be a quasi-character on $G(F)$. Then for all $x \in G_{ss}(F)$, we have a local expansion

$$D^G(xe^X)^{1/2}\theta(xe^X) = D^G(xe^X)^{1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(x)\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, X) + O(|X|)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{x,reg}(F)$ sufficiently near 0 (in the p-adic case, this follows from the fact that $D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, X) = O(|X|)$ near 0 for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil(\mathfrak{g})\backslash Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$). It follows from the homogeneity property of the functions $\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}, .)$ and their linear independence that the coefficients $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(x)$, $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$, are uniquely defined. We set

$$c_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{|Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}_x)|} \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}_x)} c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(x)$$

for all $x \in G_{ss}(F)$. This defines a function

$$c_\theta : G_{ss}(F) \to \mathbb{C}$$

Similarly, to any quasi-character θ on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ we associate a function

$$c_\theta : \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(F) \to \mathbb{C}$$

Proposition 4.5.1 *1. Let θ be a quasi-character on $G(F)$ and let $x \in G_{ss}(F)$. Then*

(i) If G_x is not quasi-split then $c_\theta(x) = 0$.

(ii) Assume that G_x is quasi-split. Let $B_x \subset G_x$ be a Borel subgroup and $T_{qd,x} \subset B_x$ be a maximal torus (both defined over F). Then, we have

$$D^G(x)^{1/2} c_\theta(x) = |W(G_x, T_{qd,x})|^{-1} \lim_{x' \in T_{qd,x}(F) \to x} D^G(x')^{1/2} \theta(x')$$

(in particular, the limit exists).

(iii) The function $(D^G)^{1/2} c_\theta$ is locally bounded on $G(F)$. More precisely, for all invariant and compact modulo conjugation subset $L \subset G(F)$, there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_L on $QC(G(F))$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in L_{ss}} D^G(x)^{1/2} |c_\theta(x)| \leqslant \nu_L(\theta)$$

for all $\theta \in QC(G(F))$.

(iv) Let $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ be a G-good open neighborhood of x. Then, we have

$$D^G(y)^{1/2} c_\theta(y) = D^{G_x}(y)^{1/2} c_{\theta_{x,\Omega_x}}(y)$$

for all $y \in \Omega_{x,ss}$.

2. Let θ be a quasi-character on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ and let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(F)$. Then

(i) If G_X is not quasi-split then $c_\theta(X) = 0$.

(ii) Assume that G_X is quasi-split. Let $B_X \subset G_X$ be a Borel subgroup and $T_{qd,X} \subset B_X$ be a maximal torus (both defined over F). Then, we have

$$D^G(X)^{1/2} c_\theta(X) = |W(G_X, T_{qd,X})|^{-1} \lim_{X' \in \mathfrak{t}_{qd,X}(F) \to X} D^G(X')^{1/2} \theta(X')$$

(in particular, the limit exists).

(iii) *The function* $(D^G)^{1/2}c_\theta$ *is locally bounded on* $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. *More precisely, for all invariant and compact modulo conjugation subset* $L \subset \mathfrak{g}(F)$, *there exists a continuous semi-norm* ν_L *on* $QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ *such that*

$$\sup_{X \in L_{ss}} D^G(X)^{1/2}|c_\theta(X)| \leqslant \nu_L(\theta)$$

for all $\theta \in QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

(iv) *For all* $\lambda \in F^\times$ *let* $M_\lambda\theta$ *be the quasi-character defined by* $(M_\lambda\theta)(X) = |\lambda|^{-\delta(G)/2}\theta(\lambda^{-1}X)$ *for all* $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. *Then we have*

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}c_{M_\lambda\theta}(X) = D^G(\lambda^{-1}X)^{1/2}c_\theta(\lambda^{-1}X)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(F)$ *and all* $\lambda \in F^\times$.

(v) *Assume that* G *is quasi-split. Let* $B \subset G$ *be a Borel subgroup and* $T_{qd} \subset B$ *be a maximal torus (both defined over* F*). Then, for all* $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F)$, *we have*

$$c_{\widehat{j}(X,.)}(0) = 1$$

Proof: 1.(i) and 2.(i) are obvious since for G_x not quasi-split, $Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ is empty. 1.(ii) and 2.(ii) follow easily from 3.4(7) whereas 1.(iii), 1.(iv), 2.(iii) and 2.(iv) are direct consequences of the preceding points and the fact that the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\theta$ is locally bounded by a continuous semi-norm on $QC(G(F))$ (resp. on $QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$) for all $\theta \in QC(G(F))$ (resp. for all $\theta \in QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$). Finally, 2.(v) follows from 2.(ii) and 3.4(5). ■

4.6 Homogeneous distributions on spaces of quasi-characters

For all $\lambda \in F^\times$, let us denote by M_λ the continuous operator on $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ (resp. on $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, resp. on $QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$) given by

$$M_\lambda\theta = |\lambda|^{-\delta(G)/2}\theta_\lambda, \quad \theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F)) \text{ (resp. } \theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F)), \text{ resp. } \theta \in QC(\mathfrak{g}(F)))$$

(recall that $\theta_\lambda(X) = \theta(\lambda^{-1}X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$).

Proposition 4.6.1 *Let* $\lambda \in F^\times$ *be such that* $|\lambda| \neq 1$. *Then, we have the followings*

For all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ *(resp.* $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$*) such that* $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(0) = 0$ *for all* $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$ *and for all integer* $d \geqslant 1$, *there exist* $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ *(resp.* $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$*) such that*

- (i) $\theta = (M_\lambda - 1)^d\theta_1 + \theta_2$;
- $0 \notin Supp(\theta_2)$.

(ii) *Let ℓ be a continuous linear form on $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that*

$$\ell(M_\lambda\theta) = \ell(\theta)$$

for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Then ℓ extends by continuity to $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

Proof:

(i) Let $\lambda \in F^\times$ such that $|\lambda| \neq 1$. Since $M_\lambda M_{\lambda^{-1}} = Id$, we may assume that $|\lambda| > 1$. Denote by $QC_0(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ the space of quasi-characters $\theta \in QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(0) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. It is a closed subspace of $QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Obviously, we only need to prove that $(M_\lambda - 1)$ is a linear bijection of $QC_0(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ onto itself. This will follow from the next claim

(1) For all $\theta \in QC_0(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(M_\lambda)^n\theta$$

converges in $QC_0(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

Let $\theta \in QC_0(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Assume first that F is p-adic. Then, we need to show that for all open invariant and compact modulo conjugation subset $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$, the series

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{1}_\omega(M_\lambda)^n\theta$$

converges in $QC_c(\omega)$. In some invariant neighborhood of 0, we have

$$\theta(X) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in Nil(\mathfrak{g})} c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(0)\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},X)$$

Hence, for n sufficiently large, by 1.7(5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(M_\lambda)^n\theta(X) &= |\lambda|^{-n\delta(G)/2}\sum_{\mathcal{O}\in Nil(\mathfrak{g})} c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(0)\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O},\lambda^{-n}X)\\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in Nil(\mathfrak{g})} |\lambda|^{n(\dim(\mathcal{O})-\delta(G)/2)}c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(0)\widehat{j}(\lambda^n\mathcal{O},X)\end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in \omega_{reg}$. This shows that the family of quasi-characters $\{\mathbb{1}_\omega(M_\lambda)^n\theta;\ n \geqslant 1\}$ generates a finite dimensional space. Moreover, by the hypothesis made on θ and since

$\dim(\mathcal{O}) < \delta(G)/2$ for all nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}$ that is not regular, we see that the series (2) converges in that finite dimensional space.

Assume now that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then, we need to show that for all invariant and compact modulo conjugation subset $L \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ and all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{L,u}((M_\lambda)^n\theta)$$

converges. Actually, we are going to show that

$$(3) \quad q_{L,u}(M_{\lambda^n}\theta) \ll |\lambda|^{-n}$$

for all $n \geqslant 0$. Obviously, we may assume that u is homogeneous. We distinguish two cases. First assume that $\deg(u) > 0$. Enlarging L if necessary, we may assume that $\lambda^{-1}L \subseteq L$. Then, for all $n \geqslant 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_{L,u}(M_{\lambda^n}\theta) &= |\lambda|^{-n\deg(u)} \sup_{X\in L_{reg}} D^G(\lambda^{-n}X)^{1/2}|(\partial(u)\theta)(\lambda^{-n}X)| \\ &= |\lambda|^{-n\deg(u)} \sup_{X\in \lambda^{-n}L_{reg}} D^G(X)^{1/2}|(\partial(u)\theta)(X)| \\ &\leqslant |\lambda|^{-n\deg(u)} q_{L,u}(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

and this proves (3)in this case. Now assume that $u = 1$. Since $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(0) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta(X) = O(|X|)$ for X in some invariant neighborhood of 0. Hence, L being compact modulo conjugation, we have

$$q_{L,1}(M_{\lambda^n}\theta) = \sup_{X\in L_{reg}} D^G(\lambda^{-n}X)^{1/2}|\theta(\lambda^{-n}X)| \ll |\lambda|^{-n}$$

for all $n \geqslant 0$. This proves (3) in this case too and this ends the proof of (1).

(ii) There is nothing to prove in the p-adic case (since $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F)) = QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$) so we assume $F = \mathbb{R}$. Fix $\lambda \in F^\times$ such that $|\lambda| < 1$. Consider the series

$$S(\theta) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (M_\lambda)^n\theta$$

for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Then, it is not hard to prove as above that this series converges in $QC(\mathfrak{g}(F) - 0)$ and that this defines a continuous linear map $S : SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F)) \to QC(\mathfrak{g}(F) - 0)$. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))^G$ be compactly supported modulo conjugation and such that $\varphi = 1$ near 0. Then, we claim that

(4) For all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, the quasi-character $(1-\varphi)S(\theta)$ is a Schwartz quasi-character.

Let $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. By proposition 4.2.1(iii), $(1-\varphi)S(\theta)$ is a quasi-character on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. Denote by L the support of φ. Let $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$ and $N \geqslant 1$. Since $(1-\varphi)S(\theta) = S(\theta)$ outside L, we only need to show that

$$(5) \quad D^G(X)^{1/2}|(\partial(u)S(\theta))(X)| \ll \|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F) - L$. Of course, we may assume that u is homogeneous and $N > \deg(u)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D^G(X)^{1/2}|(\partial(u)S(\theta))(X)| &\leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D^G(X)^{1/2}|(\partial(u)(M_{\lambda^n}\theta))(X)| \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{-n\deg(u)} D^G(\lambda^{-n}X)^{1/2}|(\partial(u)\theta)(\lambda^{-n}X)| \\ &\leqslant q_{N,u}(\theta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{-n\deg(u)} \|\lambda^{-n}X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N} \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F) - L$. Since L is an invariant neighborhood of 0, we have an inequality $\|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} \ll |\mu| \|\mu^{-1}X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F) - L$ and all $\mu \in F^\times$ such that $|\mu| \leqslant 1$. Hence, the last sum above is essentially bounded by

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{n(N-\deg(u))}\right) \|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F) - L$. Since we are assuming that $N > \deg(u)$ and $|\lambda| < 1$, this last term is finite and this shows (5). This ends the proof of (4).

Consider the linear map

$$L_\varphi : SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F)) \to QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$$
$$L_\varphi(\theta) = \theta + (M_\lambda - 1)\left[(1-\varphi)S(\theta)\right]$$

It indeed takes value in $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ since $(M_\lambda - 1)\left[(1-\varphi)S(\theta)\right] = -\theta$ outside $Supp(\varphi)$. Moreover, it is continuous by lemma 4.2.2(iv) and the closed graph theorem. Let ℓ be a continuous linear form on $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ that satisfies $\ell(M_\lambda\theta) = \ell(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Then, we can extend ℓ to a continuous linear form on $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ by setting

$$\ell(\theta) = \ell(L_\varphi(\theta))$$

for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. It is indeed an extension since $(1-\varphi)S(\theta) \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. ■

4.7 Quasi-characters and parabolic induction

Let M be a Levi subgroup of G. Let us fix for all $x \in G_{ss}(F)$ a system of representatives $\mathcal{X}^M(x)$ for the $M(F)$-conjugacy classes of elements in $M(F)$ that are $G(F)$-conjugated to x.

Proposition 4.7.1 *Let θ^M be a quasi-character on $M(F)$. Then,*

(i) The parabolic induction $i_M^G(\theta^M)$ is a quasi-character.

(ii) Let $\theta = i_M^G(\theta^M)$. Then, we have

$$D^G(x)^{1/2}c_\theta(x) = [Z_G(x)(F) : G_x(F)] \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)} [Z_M(y)(F) : M_y(F)]^{-1} D^M(y)^{1/2} c_{\theta^M}(y)$$

for all $x \in G_{ss}(F)$.

Proof:

(i) If F is p-adic, this is proved in [Wa1]. Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. We already know (cf subsection 3.4) that $i_M^G(\theta^M)$ is representable by a smooth function θ on $G_{sreg}(F)$. It is not hard to see using 3.4(2), that θ extends to a smooth function on $G_{reg}(F)$ and that the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\theta$ is locally bounded. Moreover, by 3.4(1) and since θ^M is a quasi-character, for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ the distribution zT_θ is represented by the function $i_M^G(z_M\theta^M)$. It easily follows that θ is a quasi-character.

(ii) Assume first that G_x is not quasi-split. Then, by proposition 4.5.1.1.(i), both sides are easily seen to be zero (remark that for $y \in M_{ss}(F)$, M_y is a Levi subgroup of G_y). Assume now that G_x is quasi-split. Let us fix $B_x \subset G_x$ a Borel subgroup and $T_{qd,x} \subset B_x$ a maximal torus (both defined over F). Then, by proposition 4.5.1.1.(ii), we have the formula

$$(1) \quad D^G(x)c_\theta(x) = |W(G_x, T_{qd,x})|^{-1} \lim_{x' \in T_{qd,x}(F) \to x} D^G(x')^{1/2}\theta(x')$$

Moreover, by 3.4(2), we know that for all $x' \in T_{qd,x}(F) \cap G_{sreg}(F)$ we have

$$(2) \quad D^G(x')^{1/2}\theta(x') = \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{X}^M(x')} D^M(y')^{1/2}\theta^M(y')$$

For all $y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)$, the group G_y is quasi-split and M_y is one of its Levi subgroup. Hence, we may fix for all $y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)$ a Borel subgroup $B_y \subset G_y$ and a maximal torus

$T_{qd,y} \subset B_y$ (both again defined over F) such that $T_{qd,y} \subset M_y$. Let us also fix for all $y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)$ an element $g_y \in G(F)$ such that $g_y^{-1}xg_y = y$ and $g_y^{-1}T_{qd,x}g_y = T_{qd,y}$. We claim the following

(3) Let $x' \in T_{qd,x}(F) \cap G_{sreg}(F)$. Then, for all $y' \in \mathcal{X}^M(x')$, there exist $y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)$ and $g \in Norm_{Z_G(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})$ such that y' and $g^{-1}g_y^{-1}x'g_yg$ are $M(F)$-conjugated. Moreover, for all $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)$ and all $g_i \in Norm_{Z_G(y_i)(F)}(T_{qd,y_i})$, $i = 1, 2$, the elements $g_1^{-1}g_{y_1}^{-1}x'g_{y_1}g_1$ and $g_2^{-1}g_{y_2}^{-1}x'g_{y_2}g_2$ are $M(F)$-conjugated if and only if $y_1 = y_2$ and $g_2 \in g_1Norm_{Z_M(y_1)(F)}(T_{qd,y_1})$.

Let $x' \in T_{qd,x}(F) \cap G_{sreg}(F)$ and $y' \in \mathcal{X}^M(x')$. Choose $\gamma \in G(F)$ such that $y' = \gamma^{-1}x'\gamma$. Then the centralizer of y' in G is the maximal torus $\gamma^{-1}T_{qd,x}\gamma$ which is contained in M. It follows that $\gamma^{-1}x\gamma \in M(F)$. By definition of $\mathcal{X}^M(x)$, up to translating γ by an element of $M(F)$ (and conjugating y' by the same element), we may assume that there exists $y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)$ such that $y = \gamma^{-1}x\gamma$. Then $\gamma^{-1}B_x\gamma$ is a Borel subgroup of G_y and $\gamma^{-1}T_{qd,x}\gamma$ is a maximal torus of $\gamma^{-1}B_x\gamma$ which is the centralizer of $\gamma^{-1}x'\gamma$ and so is contained in M_y. Hence, up to translating γ by an element of $M_y(F)$ we may further assume that $\gamma^{-1}T_{qd,x}\gamma = T_{qd,y}$. Consider the element $g = g_y^{-1}\gamma$. It centralizes y and normalizes $T_{qd,y}$. It follows that $g \in Norm_{Z_G(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})$ and this proves the first part of the claim. Let y_1, y_2, g_1 and g_2 be as in the second part of the claim and assume that $g_1^{-1}g_{y_1}^{-1}x'g_{y_1}g_1$ and $g_2^{-1}g_{y_2}^{-1}x'g_{y_2}g_2$ are $M(F)$-conjugated. These two elements are conjugated by $m = g_1^{-1}g_{y_1}^{-1}g_{y_2}g_2$. Since the centralizer of $g_1^{-1}g_{y_1}^{-1}x'g_{y_1}g_1$ is the torus T_{qd,y_1} which is contained in M, we have $m \in M(F)$. But, we easily check that $my_2m^{-1} = y_1$. By definition of $\mathcal{X}^M(x)$, it follows that $y_1 = y_2$ and hence $m = g_1^{-1}g_2 \in Norm_{Z_G(y_1)(F)}(T_{qd,y_1}) \cap M(F) = Norm_{Z_M(y_1)(F)}(T_{qd,y_1})$ and this ends the proof of (3).

Let us fix for all $y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)$, a set $N_M^G(y)$ of representatives for the left cosets of $Norm_{Z_M(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})$ in $Norm_{Z_G(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})$. By (3), we may assume that for all $x' \in T_{qd,x}(F) \cap G_{sreg}(F)$ we have

$$\mathcal{X}^M(x') = \{g^{-1}g_y^{-1}x'g_yg;\;\; y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)\; g \in N_M^G(y)\}$$

Hence, by (2), we get

$$(4)\quad D^G(x')^{1/2}\theta(x') = \sum_{y\in\mathcal{X}^M(x)}\sum_{N_M^G(y)} D^M(g^{-1}g_y^{-1}x'g_yg)^{1/2}\theta^M(g^{-1}g_y^{-1}x'g_yg)$$

for all $x' \in T_{qd,x}(F) \cap G_{sreg}(F)$. Remark that for all $y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)$ and all $g \in N_M^G(y)$, we have $g^{-1}g_y^{-1}T_{qd,x}g_yg = T_{qd,y}$ and $g^{-1}g_y^{-1}x'g_yg \to y$ as $x' \to x$. Hence, taking the limit in (4) as $x' \to x$, we get, by (1) and proposition 4.5.1.1.(ii),

$$D^G(x)^{1/2}c_\theta(x) = |W(G_x, T_{qd,x})|^{-1} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)} |N_M^G(y)||W(M_y, T_{qd,y})|D^M(y)^{1/2}c_{\theta^M}(y)$$

To conclude, it suffices now to show that for all $y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)$, we have

$$(5) \quad |W(G_x, T_{qd,x})|^{-1}|N_M^G(y)||W(M_y, T_{qd,y})| = [Z_G(x)(F) : G_x(F)][Z_M(y)(F) : M_y(F)]^{-1}$$

Let $y \in \mathcal{X}^M(x)$. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |N_M^G(y)| &= \left|Norm_{Z_G(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})/Norm_{Z_M(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})\right| \\ &= \left|Norm_{Z_G(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})/T_{qd,y}(F)\right| \times \left|Norm_{Z_M(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})/T_{qd,y}(F)\right|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Since the pairs $(x, T_{qd,x})$ and $(y, T_{qd,y})$ are $G(F)$-conjugated, we have

$$\left|Norm_{Z_G(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})/T_{qd,y}(F)\right| = \left|Norm_{Z_G(x)(F)}(T_{qd,x})/T_{qd,x}(F)\right|$$

Hence, the left hand side of (5) is the product of the two following terms

$$|W(G_x, T_{qd,x})|^{-1} \left|Norm_{Z_G(x)(F)}(T_{qd,x})/T_{qd,x}(F)\right|$$

and

$$|W(M_y, T_{qd,y})| \left|Norm_{Z_M(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})/T_{qd,y}(F)\right|^{-1}$$

Let us look at the first term above. Every coset of $G_x(F)$ in $Z_G(x)(F)$ contains an element that normalizes $T_{qd,x}$. Indeed, for all $z \in Z_G(x)(F)$ the torus $zT_{qd,x}z^{-1}$ is also a maximal torus of G_x which is contained in a Borel subgroup, hence there exists $g \in G_x(F)$ such that $gzT_{qd,x}z^{-1}g^{-1} = T_{qd,x}$. It now follows easily from this that we have

$$(6) \quad |W(G_x, T_{qd,x})|^{-1} \left|Norm_{Z_G(x)(F)}(T_{qd,x})/T_{qd,x}(F)\right| = [Z_G(x)(F) : G_x(F)]$$

We similarly prove that

$$(7) \quad |W(M_y, T_{qd,y})| \left|Norm_{Z_M(y)(F)}(T_{qd,y})/T_{qd,y}(F)\right|^{-1} = [Z_M(y)(F) : M_y(F)]^{-1}$$

Now (5) follows from (6) and (7) and this ends the proof of the proposition. ■

4.8 Quasi-characters associated to tempered representations

Recall that a Whittaker datum for G is a $G(F)$-conjugacy class of pairs (U_B, ξ_B) where U_B is the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup B of G, defined over F, and $\xi_B : U_B(F) \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is a generic character on $U_B(F)$ (generic means that the stabilizer of ξ_B in $B(F)$ coincides with $Z_G(F)U_B(F)$). Of course, Whittaker data for G exist if and only if G is quasi-split. Using the bilinear form $B(.,.)$ and the additive character ψ, we can define a bijection $\mathcal{O} \mapsto (U_{\mathcal{O}}, \xi_{\mathcal{O}})$ between $Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the set of Whittaker data for G as follows. Let $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$. Pick $Y \in \mathcal{O}$ and extend it to an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple (Y, H, X). Then X is a regular nilpotent element and hence belongs to exactly one Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ that is defined over F. Let $B_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the corresponding Borel subgroup and $U_{\mathcal{O}}$ be its unipotent radical. The assignment $u \in U_{\mathcal{O}}(F) \mapsto \xi_{\mathcal{O}}(u) = \psi\left(B(Y, \log(u))\right)$ defines a generic character on $U_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$. Moreover, the $G(F)$-conjugacy class of $(U_{\mathcal{O}}, \xi_{\mathcal{O}})$ only depends on $\mathcal{O}$ and this defines the desired bijection.

Let π be a tempered irreducible representation of $G(F)$. For $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(F)$, we will say that π has a Whittaker model of type $\mathcal{O}$ if there exists a continuous linear form $\ell : \pi^\infty \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\ell \circ \pi(u) = \xi_{\mathcal{O}}(u)\ell$ for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{O}}(F)$. Recall that the character θ_π of π is a quasi-character on $G(F)$. For all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$, we set $c_{\pi,\mathcal{O}}(1) = c_{\theta_\pi,\mathcal{O}}(1)$. In subsection 2.7, we have defined a space $\underline{\mathcal{X}}(G)$ of virtual tempered representations of $G(F)$. The character of a virtual representation is defined by linearity.

Proposition 4.8.1 *(i) Let $\pi \in Temp(G)$. Then, for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have*

$$c_{\pi,\mathcal{O}}(1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{if } \pi \textit{ has a Whittaker model of type } \mathcal{O} \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(ii) The map

$$\pi \in \underline{\mathcal{X}}(G) \mapsto \theta_\pi \in QC(G(F))$$

is smooth. Moreover, if $F = \mathbb{R}$, for all continuous semi-norm ν on $QC(G(F))$, there exists an integer $k \geqslant 0$ such that

$$\nu(\theta_\pi) \ll N(\pi)^k$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}(G)$.

Proof:

(i) In the case where F is p-adic and G is split, this is due to Rodier ([Ro]). The same proof works equally well for general quasi-split groups and is contained in the more general results of [MW]. Finally, when $F = \mathbb{R}$ it is a theorem of Matumoto (theorem C of [Mat]).

(ii) The first part is easy to prove. Indeed, this amounts to showing that for all Levi subgroup M of G and for all $\sigma \in \underline{\mathcal{X}}_{ell}(M)$ the map

$$\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto \theta_{\pi_\lambda} \in QC(G(F))$$

is smooth, where we have set $\pi_\lambda = i_M^G(\sigma_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$. By 3.4(3), we have $\theta_{\pi_\lambda} = i_M^G(\theta_{\sigma_\lambda})$ for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$. The linear map

$$i_M^G : QC(M(F)) \to QC(G(F))$$

is easily seen to be continuous using 3.4(1) and 3.4(2), whereas the map

$$\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^* \mapsto \theta_{\sigma_\lambda} \in QC(M(F))$$

is obviously smooth. This handles the first part of the proposition.

Let us now prove the second part of the proposition. So assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of Levi subgoups of G. Recall that by definition of $\mathcal{X}(G)$, we have

$$\mathcal{X}(G) = \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} i_M^G(\mathcal{X}_{ell}(M))$$

Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $M \neq G$. Then, by induction, we may assume that the result is true for M. As we just saw, the linear map $i_M^G : QC(M(F)) \to QC(G(F))$ is continuous. It immediately follows that for all continuous semi-norm ν on $QC(G(F))$, there exists $k \geqslant 0$ such that

$$\nu(\theta_\pi) \ll N(\pi)^k$$

for all $\pi \in i_M^G(\mathcal{X}_{ell}(M))$. Combining these inequalities for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $M \neq G$, we are left with proving the inequality of the proposition only for $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$, that is

(1) For all continuous semi-norm ν on $QC(G(F))$, there exists $k \geqslant 0$ such that

$$\nu(\theta_\pi) \ll N(\pi)^k$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$.

For all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ we have $z\theta_\pi = \chi_\pi(z)\theta_\pi$ and there exists $k_0 \geqslant 0$ such that $|\chi_\pi(z)| \ll N(\pi)^{k_0}$ for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$. Hence, it clearly suffices to prove the existence of $C > 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad \sup_{x\in G_{reg}(F)} D^G(x)^{1/2}\,|\theta_\pi(x)| \leqslant C$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$. Harish-Chandra has completely described the characters θ_π of elliptic representations $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$. More precisely, let T be a maximal torus of G which is elliptic (if such a torus doesn't exist then G has no elliptic representations). Denote by $T(\mathbb{R})^*$ the group of continuous unitary characters of $T(\mathbb{R})$. Then, to each element $b^* \in T(\mathbb{R})^*$, Harish-Chandra associates a certain function θ_{b^*} on $G_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ (cf theorem 24p.261 of [Va]). These are invariant eigendistributions on $G(\mathbb{R})$ and some of them might be equal to zero. Moreover, by theorem 24 (c) p.261 of [Va], there exists $C>0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \sup_{x\in G_{reg}(F)} D^G(x)^{1/2}\,|\theta_{b^*}(x)| \leqslant C$$

for all $b^* \in T(\mathbb{R})^*$. Now let $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$. Recall that π is a linear combination of constituents of a certain induced representation $i_M^G(\sigma)$, M a Levi subgroup of G and $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$. Let us denote, as in subsection 2.7, by $W(\sigma)$ the stabilizer of σ in $W(G,M)$. Then, by the first equality after theorem 13 of [HC], there exists $b^* \in T(\mathbb{R})^*$ such that the equality

$$\theta_\pi = |W(\sigma)|\theta_{b^*}$$

holds up to a scalar of module one (recall that π itself is defined up to such a scalar). Of course the term $|W(\sigma)|$ is bounded independently of π. Hence, (2) follows from (3) and this ends the proof of the proposition. ■

5 Strongly cuspidal functions

5.1 Definition, first properties

For all parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ of G, we define continuous linear maps

$$f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \mapsto f^P \in \mathcal{C}(M(F))$$

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F)) \mapsto \varphi^P \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{m}(F))$$

by setting

$$f^P(m) = \delta_P(m)^{1/2}\int_{U(F)} f(mu)du \text{ and } \varphi^P(X) = \int_{\mathfrak{u}(F)} \varphi(X+N)dN$$

We will say that a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ (resp. $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$) is strongly cuspidal if $f^P = 0$ (resp. $\varphi^P = 0$) for all proper parabolic subgroup P of G. We will denote by $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$, $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(G(F))$ and $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ the subspaces of strongly cuspidal functions in $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$, $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ respectively. More generally, if $\Omega \subseteq G(F)$ (resp. $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$) is a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset, we will set $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega) = \mathcal{S}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(G(F))$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\omega) = \mathcal{S}(\omega) \cap \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$) (the subspaces $\mathcal{S}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\omega)$ have been defined in subsection 3.1). In the real case, we have $(zf)^P = z_M f^P$ and $(\partial(u)\varphi)^P = \partial(u_M)\varphi^P$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$, all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence, the action of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ preserves the spaces $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$, $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(G(F))$ and $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega)$ and the action of $I(\mathfrak{g})$ preserves the spaces $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\omega)$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. By the usual variable change we see that

$$f^P(m) = D^G(m)^{1/2} D^M(m)^{-1/2} \int_{U(F)} f(u^{-1}mu)du$$

for all parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ and all $m \in M(F) \cap G_{reg}(F)$. Hence, an equivalent condition for f to be strongly cuspidal is that the integral

$$\int_{U(F)} f(u^{-1}mu)du$$

is zero for all proper parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ and all $m \in M(F) \cap G_{reg}(F)$. Similarly, a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ is strongly cuspidal if and only if the integral

$$\int_{U(F)} \varphi(u^{-1}Xu)du$$

is zero for all proper parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{m}(F) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. It follows from these descriptions and proposition 3.1.1(iv) that for all function $\varphi \in C^\infty(G(F))^G$ (resp. $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))^G$) which is compactly supported modulo conjugation, multiplication by φ preserves $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(G(F))$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$). Moreover, choosing $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))^G$ compactly supported modulo conjugation and such that $\varphi = 1$ in a neighborhood of 0, it is not hard to see that $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\infty} \varphi_\lambda f = f$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ (recall that $\varphi_\lambda(X) = \varphi(\lambda^{-1}X)$). Hence, we have

(1) The subspace of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ that are compactly supported modulo conjugation is dense in $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a G-excellent open subset and set $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$. Then the map $f \mapsto f_\omega$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\omega)$$

(this follows from lemma 3.3.1). Finally, we leave to the reader the simple task of checking that the Fourier transform preserves $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

5.2 Weighted orbital integrals of strongly cuspidal functions

Let M be a Levi subgroup of G. Recall that in subsection 1.10, we have defined a family of tempered distributions $J_L^Q(x,.)$ on $G(F)$ for all $x \in M(F) \cap G_{reg}(F)$, all $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(L)$. We have also defined tempered distributions $J_L^Q(X,.)$ on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{m}(F) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, all $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(L)$. These distributions depended on the choice of a maximal compact subgroup K which is special in the p-adic case.

Lemma 5.2.1 *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$) be a strongly cuspidal function and fix $x \in M(F) \cap G_{reg}(F)$ (resp. $X \in \mathfrak{m}(F) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$). Then*

(i) For all $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(L)$, if $L \neq M$ or $Q \neq G$, we have

$$J_L^Q(x,f) = 0 \quad (resp.\ J_L^Q(X,f) = 0)$$

(ii) The weighted orbital integral $J_M^G(x,f)$ (resp. $J_M^G(X,f)$) doesn't depend on the choice of K;

(iii) If $x \notin M(F)_{ell}$ (resp. $X \notin \mathfrak{m}(F)_{ell}$), we have

$$J_M^G(x,f) = 0 \quad (resp.\ J_M^G(X,f) = 0)$$

(iv) For all $y \in G(F)$, we have

$$J^G_{yMy^{-1}}(yxy^{-1},f) = J_M^G(x,f) \quad (resp.\ J^G_{yMy^{-1}}(yXy^{-1},f) = J_M^G(X,f))$$

Proof: This is proved in [Wa1] when F is p-adic. The proof works equally well for $F = \mathbb{R}$. ■

For all $x \in G_{reg}(F)$, let us denote by $M(x)$ the centralizer of A_{G_x} in G. It is the minimal Levi subgroup of G containing x. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$. Then, we set

$$\theta_f(x) = (-1)^{a_G - a_{M(x)}} \nu(G_x)^{-1} D^G(x)^{-1/2} J^G_{M(x)}(x,f)$$

for all $x \in G_{reg}(F)$. By the point (iv) of the above lemma, the function θ_f is invariant. We define similarly an invariant function θ_f on $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, by setting

$$\theta_f(X) = (-1)^{a_G - a_{M(X)}} \nu(G_X)^{-1} D^G(X)^{-1/2} J^G_{M(X)}(X,f)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, where $M(X)$ denotes the centralizer of A_{G_X} in G.

Lemma 5.2.2 *Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then,*

1. *For all* $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, θ_f *is a smooth function on* $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ *and we have* $\partial(u)\theta_f = \theta_{\partial(u)f}$ *for all* $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$. *Moreover, there exists* $k \geqslant 0$ *such that for all* $N \geqslant 1$ *there exists a continuous semi-norm* ν_N *on* $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ *such that*

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}|\theta_f(X)| \leqslant \nu_N(f)\log\left(2+D^G(X)^{-1}\right)^k \|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N}$$

   for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ *and all* $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

2. *For all* $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$, θ_f *is a smooth function on* $G_{reg}(F)$ *and we have* $z\theta_f = \theta_{zf}$ *for all* $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof: By semi-simple descent (lemma 3.2.1), the first point of (i) and (ii) follow directly from lemma 1.10.1 and the point (i) of the last lemma. The estimates in (i) is a direct consequence of 1.10(1). ■

5.3 Spectral characterization of strongly cuspidal functions

Let $P = MU$ be a parabolic subgroup of G and σ a tempered representation of $M(F)$. We have a natural isomorphism $End(i_P^G(\sigma))^\infty \simeq i_{P\times P}^{G\times G}(End(\sigma))^\infty$ sending a function $\varphi \in i_{P\times P}^{G\times G}(End(\sigma))^\infty$ to the operator

$$e \in i_P^G(\sigma) \mapsto \left(g \mapsto \int_{P(F)\backslash G(F)} \varphi(g,g')e(g')dg'\right)$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. A direct computation shows that the operator $i_P^G(\sigma,f) \in End(i_P^G(\sigma))^\infty$ corresponds to the function $i_P^G(\sigma,f)(.,.) \in i_{P\times P}^{G\times G}(End(\sigma))^\infty$ given by

$$i_P^G(\sigma,f)(g,g') = \sigma\left[\left(L(g)R(g')f\right)^P\right], \quad g,g' \in G(F)$$

In particular, we have $\sigma(f^P) = i_P^G(\sigma,f)(1,1)$. Since the function f^P is zero if and only if it acts trivially on every representation in $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(M)$ (by theorem 2.6.1), we deduce that

(1) A function $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is strongly cuspidal if and only if for all proper parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ and all $\sigma \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(M)$, we have $i_P^G(\sigma,f)(1,1) = 0$.

Recall that in subsection 2.6, we have defined a topological space $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ of smooth sections $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto T_\pi \in End(\pi)^\infty$ and that the map that associates to $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ its Fourier transform $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \pi(f)$ induces a topological isomorphism $\mathcal{C}(G(F)) \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ (theorem 2.6.1). Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ the image by this isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$. Then, we have the following

Lemma 5.3.1 *(i) The subspace* $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G),\mathcal{E}(G))$ *is stable by multiplication by functions* $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G))$*;*

(ii) The subspace of functions $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ having a Fourier transform $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \pi(f)$ that is compactly supported is dense in $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$.

Proof:

(i) This follows directly from the above characterization of strongly cuspidal functions;

(ii) There is nothing to say on the p-adic case since every function $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ has a compactly supported Fourier transform. In the real case this follows from (i) once we observe that there exists a sequence $(\varphi_N)_{N\geqslant 1}$ of functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G))$ such that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \varphi_N T = T$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$. ■

5.4 Weighted characters of strongly cuspidal functions

Let M be a Levi subgroup of G and σ a tempered representation of $M(F)$. Recall that in subsection 2.5, we have defined tempered distributions $J_L^Q(\sigma, .)$ on $G(F)$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(L)$. These distributions depended on the choice of a maximal compact subgroup K which is special in the p-adic case and also on the way we normalized intertwining operators (cf subsection 2.4).

Lemma 5.4.1 *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ be a strongly cuspidal function.*

(i) For all $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(L)$, if $L \neq M$ or $Q \neq G$, then we have

$$J_L^Q(\sigma, f) = 0$$

(ii) The weighted character $J_M^G(\sigma, f)$ doesn't depend on the choice of K or on the way we normalized the intertwining operators;

(iii) If σ is induced from a proper parabolic subgroup of M then

$$J_M^G(\sigma, f) = 0$$

(iv) For all $x \in G(F)$, we have

$$J_{xMx^{-1}}^G(x\sigma x^{-1}, f) = J_M^G(\sigma, f)$$

Proof:

(i) First we do the case where $Q = SU_Q$ is different from G. Following the definition, we see that

$$J_L^Q(\sigma, f) = J_L^Q(i_M^L(\sigma), f)$$

Hence, we may assume without lost of generality that $L = M$. We will treat the natural isomorphisms $i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda) \simeq i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$, where $K_P = K \cap P(F)$, as identifications. Choose $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ such that $P \subset Q$. We have

$$J_M^Q(\sigma, f) = Trace(\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma, P) i_P^G(\sigma, f))$$

where the operator $\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma, P) \in End(i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty)$ is associated with the (S, M)-family

$$\mathcal{R}_R^Q(\lambda, \sigma, P) = R_{Q(R)|P}(\sigma)^{-1} R_{Q(R)|P}(\sigma_\lambda), \quad R \in \mathcal{P}^S(M), \lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$$

where $Q(R) = RU_Q \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Let K_S be the projection of $K_Q = K \cap Q(F)$ onto $S(F)$ and for all $R \in \mathcal{P}^S(M)$, set $K_R = K_S \cap R(F)$. Then K_S is a maximal compact subgroup of $S(F)$ that is special in the p-adic case. Hence, we have isomorphisms $i_R^S(\sigma_\lambda) \simeq i_{K_R}^{K_S}(\sigma_{K_R})$ for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ and all $R \in \mathcal{P}^S(M)$. Also, for all $R \in \mathcal{P}^S(M)$, we have the isomorphism of induction by stages $i_{K_{Q(R)}}^K(\sigma_{K_{Q(R)}}) \simeq i_{K_Q}^K(i_{K_R}^{K_S}(\sigma_{K_R}))$. In all what follows, we will treat these isomorphisms as identifications. Setting $P_S = P \cap S$, by 2.4(6) we have the equality $R_{Q(R)|P}(\sigma_\lambda) = i_{K_Q}^K(R_{R|P_S}(\sigma_\lambda))$ for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ and all $R \in \mathcal{P}^S(M)$ (meaning that the K-homomorphism $R_{Q(R)|P}(\sigma_\lambda)$ is deduced from the K_S-homomorphism $R_{R|P_S}(\sigma_\lambda) : i_{K_{P_S}}^{K_S}(\sigma_{K_{P_S}})^\infty \to i_{K_R}^{K_S}(\sigma_{K_R})^\infty$ by functoriality). We deduce immediately that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma, P) = i_{K_Q}^K\left(\mathcal{R}_M^S(\sigma, P_S)\right)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_M^S(\sigma, P_S) \in End(i_{K_{P_S}}^{K_S}(\sigma_{K_{P_S}})^\infty) = End(i_{P_S}^S(\sigma)^\infty)$ is associated with the (S, M)-family

$$\mathcal{R}_R^S(\lambda, \sigma, P_S) = R_{R|P_S}(\sigma)^{-1} R_{R|P_S}(\sigma_\lambda), \quad R \in \mathcal{P}^S(M), \lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$$

Recall that we have a natural isomorphism $End(i_P^G(\sigma))^\infty \simeq i_{Q\times Q}^{G\times G}\left(End(i_{P_S}^S(\sigma))\right)^\infty$ (cf subsection 5.3) which sends the operator $i_P^G(\sigma, f)$ to the function

$$i_P^G(\sigma, f)(g_1, g_2) = i_{P_S}^S\left(\sigma, (L(g_1)R(g_2)f)^Q\right), \quad g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$$

It follows from this and (1) that the action of the operator $\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma, P) i_P^G(\sigma, f)$ on $i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty \simeq i_{K_Q}^K(i_{K_{P_S}}^{K_S}(\sigma_{K_{P_S}}))^\infty$ is given by

$$\left(\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma,P)i_P^G(\sigma,f)e\right)(k)=\int_{K_Q\backslash K}\mathcal{R}_M^S(\sigma,P_S)i_{P_S}^S(\sigma,(L(k)R(k')f)^Q)e(k')dk'$$

for all $e\in i_{K_Q}^K(i_{K_{P_S}}^{K_S}(\sigma_{K_{P_S}}))^\infty$ and all $k\in K$. We may now write

$$\begin{aligned}J_M^Q(\sigma,f)&=Trace\left(\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma,P)i_P^G(\sigma,f)\right)\\&=\int_K Trace\left(\mathcal{R}_M^S(\sigma,P_S)i_{P_S}^S(\sigma,({}^kf)^Q)\right)dk=0\end{aligned}$$

This proves the vanishing (i) in the case $Q\neq G$. Assume now $L\neq M$ but $Q=G$, applying the descent formula 1.9(3), we see that

$$J_L^G(\sigma,f)=\sum_{L'\in\mathcal{L}(M)}d_M^G(L,L')J_M^{Q'}(\sigma,f)$$

By what we just saw, the terms in that sum corresponding to $L'\neq G$ vanish. Since $L\neq M$, we also have $d_M^G(L,G)=0$. Hence all terms in the sum above are zero.

(ii) First we prove the independence in K. Let $\widetilde{K}$ be another maximal compact subgroup that is special in the p-adic case. Let $P\in\mathcal{P}(M)$. Using $\widetilde{K}$ instead of K, we may define another (G,M)-family $(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{P'}(\sigma,P))_{P'\in\mathcal{P}(M)}$ taking values in $End(i_P^G(\sigma)^\infty)$. We deduce from this (G,M)-family another weighted character $\widetilde{J}_M^G(\sigma,.)$. For all $P'\in\mathcal{P}(M)$ and all $\lambda\in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$, we have a chain of natural isomorphisms

$$i_{P'}^G(\sigma)\simeq i_{\widetilde{K}_{P'}}^{\widetilde{K}}(\sigma_{\widetilde{K}_{P'}})\simeq i_{P'}^G(\sigma_\lambda)\simeq i_{K_{P'}}^K(\sigma)\simeq i_{P'}^G(\sigma)$$

where $\widetilde{K}_{P'}=K\cap P'(F)$. We will denote by $I_{P'}(\lambda,\sigma):i_{P'}^G(\sigma)\simeq i_{P'}^G(\sigma)$ their composition and we set $D_{P'}(\lambda,\sigma,P)=R_{P'|P}(\sigma)^{-1}I_{P'}(\lambda,\sigma)R_{P'|P}(\sigma)$. Then the family $(D_{P'}(\sigma,P))_{P'\in\mathcal{P}(M)}$ is a (G,M)-family (taking values in $End(i_P^G(\sigma))$) and we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{P'}(\lambda,\sigma,P)=D_{P'}(\lambda,\sigma,P)\mathcal{R}_{P'}(\lambda,\sigma,P)I_P(\lambda,\sigma)$$

for all $P'\in\mathcal{P}(M)$ and all $\lambda\in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$. We remark that the term $\lambda\mapsto I_P(\lambda,\sigma)$ doesn't depend on P' and satisfies $I_P(0,\sigma)=Id$. Applying the splitting formula 1.9(1), we get

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_M(\sigma,P)=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{F}(M)}D_Q'(\sigma,P)\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma,P)$$

Hence, we have

$$\widetilde{J}_M^G(\sigma,f)=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{F}(M)} Trace\left(D'_Q(\sigma,P)\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma,P)i_P^G(\sigma,f)\right)$$

and the term indexed by $Q=G$ is precisely $J_M^G(\sigma,f)$ (the weighted character defined using K). Consequently, it suffices to show the following

$$(2)\quad Trace\left(D'_Q(\sigma,P)\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma,P)i_P^G(\sigma,f)\right)=0$$

for all $Q\in\mathcal{F}(M)$ such that $Q\neq G$. Fix such a parabolic subgroup $Q=SU_Q$. Remark that for all $P'\in\mathcal{P}(M)$, we have

$$D'_Q(\sigma,P)=R_{P'|P}(\sigma)^{-1}D'_Q(\sigma,P')R_{P'|P}(\sigma)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma,P)=R_{P'|P}(\sigma)^{-1}\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma,P')R_{P'|P}(\sigma)$$

$$i_P^G(\sigma,f)=R_{P'|P}(\sigma)^{-1}i_{P'}^G(\sigma,f)R_{P'|P}(\sigma)$$

so that the trace (2) doesn't change if we replace P by P'. Hence, we may assume without lost of generality that $P\subset Q$. The operator $D'_Q(\sigma,P)$ then only depends on the function $\lambda\mapsto D_P(\lambda,\sigma,P)=I_P(\lambda,\sigma)$. We now use again the isomorphism $i_P^G(\sigma)\simeq i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})$ as an identification. Direct computation shows that

$$\left(I_P(\lambda,\sigma)e\right)(k)=e^{\langle\lambda,H_M(\widetilde{m}_P(k))\rangle}e(k)$$

for all $e\in i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})$, all $\lambda\in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$ and all $k\in K$, where $\widetilde{m}_P:G(F)\to M(F)$ is any map such that $\widetilde{m}_P(g)^{-1}g\in U(F)\widetilde{K}$ for all $g\in G(F)$. It follows easily that there exists a smooth function $d'_Q(\sigma,P):K\to\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\left(D'_Q(\sigma,P)e\right)(k)=d'_Q(k,\sigma,P)e(k)$$

for all $e\in i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})$ and all $k\in K$. We have the isomorphism of induction by stage $i_{K_P}^K(\sigma_{K_P})^\infty\simeq i_{K_Q}^K(i_{P_S}^S(\sigma)_{K_Q})^\infty$ and we saw during the proof of (i) that $\mathcal{R}_M^Q(\sigma,P)$ is obtained by functoriality from the K_Q-endomorphism $\mathcal{R}_M^S(\sigma,P_S)$ of $i_{P_S}^S(\sigma)^\infty$. The image of $i_P^G(\sigma,f)$ via the natural isomorphism $End(i_P^G(\sigma))^\infty\simeq i_{K_Q\times K_Q}^{K\times K}\left(End(i_{P_S}^S\sigma)_{K_Q}\right)^\infty$ is the function given by

$$i_P^G(\sigma,f)(k_1,k_2)=i_{P_S}^S\left(\sigma,(L(k_1)R(k_2)f)^Q\right)$$

for all $k_1, k_2 \in K$. Hence, we see that the operator $D'_Q(\sigma, P)\mathcal{R}^Q_M(\sigma, P)i^G_P(\sigma, f)$ acting on $i^K_{K_P}(\sigma) \simeq i^K_{K_Q}(i^S_{P_S}(\sigma)_{K_Q})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(D'_Q(\sigma, P)\mathcal{R}^Q_M(\sigma, P)i^G_P(\sigma, f)e\big)(k)\\ &\qquad = \int_{K_Q\backslash K} d'_Q(k, \sigma, P)\mathcal{R}^S_M(\sigma, P_S)i^S_{P_S}\left(\sigma, (L(k)R(k')f)^Q\right)e(k')dk'\end{aligned}$$

for all $e \in i^K_{K_Q}(i^S_{P_S}(\sigma)_{K_Q})$ and all $k \in K$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&Trace\left(D'_Q(\sigma, P)\mathcal{R}^Q_M(\sigma, P)i^G_P(\sigma, f)\right)\\ &\qquad = \int_{K_Q\backslash K} d'_Q(k, \sigma, P)Trace\left(\mathcal{R}^S_M(\sigma, P_S)i^S_{P_S}\left(\sigma, ({}^k f)^Q\right)\right)dk = 0\end{aligned}$$

This proves the vanishing (2) and ends the proof of the independence in K.

We now prove that $J^G_M(\sigma, f)$ does not depend on the way we normalized the intertwining operators. Assume we choose different normalization factors $\lambda \mapsto \widetilde{r}_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)$, $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, yielding new normalized intertwining operators $\widetilde{R}_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}^*_M$, $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$). Using these new normalized intertwining operators, we construct new (G, M)-families $(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{P'}(\sigma, P))_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$ ($P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$) from which we derive a new weighted character $\widetilde{J}^G_M(\sigma, f)$. Fix $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. For all $P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, the quotient $r_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)\widetilde{r}_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)^{-1}$ is well-defined and nonzero for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}^*_M$. Let us set

$$d_{P'}(\lambda, \sigma, P) = r_{P'|P}(\sigma)^{-1}\widetilde{r}_{P'|P}(\sigma)r_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)\widetilde{r}_{P'|P}(\sigma_\lambda)^{-1}$$

for all $P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}^*_M$. Then the family $(d_{P'}(\sigma, P))_{P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)}$ is a scalar-valued (G, M)-family and we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{P'}(\lambda, \sigma, P) = d_{P'}(\lambda, \sigma, P)\mathcal{R}_{P'}(\lambda, \sigma, P)$$

for all $P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}^*_M$. Hence by the splitting formula 1.9(1) and the definition of the weighted characters, we have

$$\widetilde{J}^G_M(\sigma, f) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}(M)} d'_Q J^Q_M(\sigma, f)$$

The term indexed by $Q = G$ in the above sum is equal to $J^G_M(\sigma, f)$ whereas by (i) all the other terms vanish. Hence we have the equality $\widetilde{J}^G_M(\sigma, f) = J^G_M(\sigma, f)$. This proves indeed that $J^G_M(\sigma, f)$ doesn't depend on the way we normalized the intertwining operators.

(iii) Assume that there exists a proper Levi subgroup $M_1 \subset M$ and a tempered representation σ_1 of $M_1(F)$ such that $\sigma = i_{M_1}^M(\sigma_1)$. Following the definition, we have

$$J_M^G(\sigma, f) = J_M^G(\sigma_1, f)$$

and by (i) the right hand side above is zero.

(iv) By (ii), we may assume that to define $J^G_{xMx^{-1}}(x\sigma x^{-1}, f)$ we have used the maximal compact subgroup xKx^{-1} and normalization factors given by $r_{xPx^{-1}|xP'x^{-1}}((x\sigma x^{-1})_\lambda) = r_{P|P'}(\sigma_\lambda)$ (for $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_M^*$). Then by "transport de structure", we have the equality

$$J^G_{xMx^{-1}}(x\sigma x^{-1}, f) = J_M^G(\sigma, f)$$

■

In subsection 2.7, we have defined a set $\underline{\mathcal{X}}(G)$ of virtual tempered representations of $G(F)$. Let $\pi \in \underline{\mathcal{X}}(G)$. Then, there exists a pair (M, σ) where M is a Levi subgroup of G and $\sigma \in \underline{\mathcal{X}}_{ell}(M)$ such that $\pi = i_M^G(\sigma)$. We set

$$\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi) = (-1)^{a_G - a_M} J_M^G(\sigma, f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ (recall that the weighted character $J_M^G(\sigma, .)$ is extended by linearity to all virtual tempered representations). This definition makes sense by the point (iv) of the lemma above since the pair (M, σ) is well-defined up to conjugacy.

Lemma 5.4.2 *(i) If F is p-adic, then for all compact-open subgroup $K \subseteq G(F)$, there exists a compact subset $\Omega_K \subset \mathcal{X}(G)$ and a continuous semi-norm ν_K on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ such that*

$$|\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)| \leqslant \nu_K(f) \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_K}(\pi)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp,K}(G(F))$ and all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}(G)$.

(ii) If $F = \mathbb{R}$, then for all integer $k \geqslant 1$ there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_k on $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ such that

$$|\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)| \leqslant \nu_k(f) N(\pi)^{-k}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ and all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}(G)$.

Proof: The point (i) follows from 2.2(3) and 2.6(1) whereas the point (ii) is a consequence of lemma 2.5.1 together with 2.3(1). ■

5.5 The local trace formulas for strongly cuspidal functions

Let us set

$$K^A_{f,f'}(g_1,g_2)=\int_{G(F)} f(g_1^{-1}gg_2)f'(g)dg$$

for all $f,f'\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $g_1,g_2\in G(F)$. The integral above is absolutely convergent by proposition 1.5.1(v). We also define

$$K^A_{f,f'}(x,x)=\int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} f(x^{-1}Xx)f'(X)dX$$

for all $f,f'\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $x\in A_G(F)\backslash G(F)$.

The two theorems below are slight variation around the local trace formula of Arthur (cf [A1]) and its version for Lie algebras due to Waldspurger (cf [Wa3]). The proof of these two theorems will appear elsewhere ([Beu2]).

Theorem 5.5.1 *(i) For all $d\geqslant 0$, there exists $d'\geqslant 0$ and a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{d,d'}$ on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that*

$$|K^A_{f.f'}(g_1,g_2)|\leqslant \nu_{d,d'}(f)\nu_{d,d'}(f')\Xi^G(g_1)\sigma(g_1)^{-d}\Xi^G(g_2)\sigma(g_2)^{d'}$$

and

$$|K^A_{f.f'}(g_1,g_2)|\leqslant \nu_{d,d'}(f)\nu_{d,d'}(f')\Xi^G(g_1)\sigma(g_1)^{d'}\Xi^G(g_2)\sigma(g_2)^{-d}$$

for all $f,f'\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and for all $g_1,g_2\in G(F)$.

(ii) For all $d\geqslant 0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_d on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$|K^A_{f,f'}(x,x)|\leqslant \nu_d(f)\nu_d(f')\Xi^G(x)^2\sigma_{A_G\backslash G}(x)^{-d}$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$, all $f'\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $x\in A_G(F)\backslash G(F)$.

(iii) Let $f,f'\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ with f strongly cuspidal. Then, there exists $c>0$ such that for all $d\geqslant 0$ there exists $d'\geqslant 0$ such that

$$|K^A_{f,f'}(g,hg)|\ll \Xi^G(g)^2\sigma_{A_G\backslash G}(g)^{-d}e^{c\sigma(h)}\sigma(h)^{d'}$$

for all $h,g\in G(F)$.

By the point (ii), the function $x\in A_G(F)\backslash G(F)\mapsto K^A_{f,f'}(x,x)$ is integrable as soon as f is strongly cuspidal. We set

$$J^A(f,f')=\int_{A_G(F)\backslash G(F)} K^A_{f,f'}(x,x)dx$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ *and all* $f'\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$.

(iv) *We have the geometric expansion*

$$J^A(f,f')=\int_{\Gamma(G)} D^G(x)^{1/2}\theta_f(x)J_G(x,f')dx$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ *and all* $f'\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$, *the integral above being absolutely convergent.*

(v) *We have the spectral expansion*

$$J^A(f,f')=\int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\theta_{\overline{\pi}}(f')d\pi$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ *and all* $f'\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$, *the integral above being absolutely convergent.*

Theorem 5.5.2 (i) *For all* $N\geqslant 0$, *there exists a continuous semi-norm* ν_N *on* $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ *such that*

$$|K^A_{f,f'}(x,x)|\leqslant \nu(f)\nu(f')\|x\|^{-N}_{A_G\backslash G}$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, *all* $f'\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ *and all* $x\in A_G(F)\backslash G(F)$.

In particular the function $x\in A_G(F)\backslash G(F)\mapsto K^A_{f,f'}(x,x)$ *is integrable as soon as* f *is strongly cuspidal. We set*

$$J^A(f,f')=\int_{A_G(F)\backslash G(F)} K^A_{f,f'}(x,x)dx$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ *and all* $f'\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

(ii) *We have the "geometric" expansion*

$$J^A(f,f')=\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta_f(X)J_G(X,f')dX$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ *and all* $f'\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, *the integral above being absolutely convergent.*

(iii) We have the "spectral" expansion

$$J^A(f,f') = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta_{\widehat{f}}(X)J_G(-X,\widehat{f'})dX$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $f' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, the integral above being absolutely convergent.

5.6 Strongly cuspidal functions and quasi-characters

Proposition 5.6.1 *(i) For all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, the function θ_f is a Schwartz quasi-character and we have $\widehat{\theta}_f = \theta_{\widehat{f}}$. Moreover, if G admits an elliptic maximal torus, then the linear map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F)) &\to SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F)) \\ f &\mapsto \theta_f \end{aligned}$$

has dense image and for all completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ which is relatively compact modulo conjugation, the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\omega) &\to QC_c(\omega) \\ f &\mapsto \theta_f \end{aligned}$$

also has dense image.

(ii) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$. Then, the function θ_f is a quasi-character on $G(F)$ and we have an equality of quasi-characters

$$\theta_f = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\overline{\theta_\pi}d\pi$$

where the integral above is absolutely convergent in $QC(G(F))$.

Proof:

(i) If F is p-adic, all of this is contained in [Wa1] (Note that for p-adic group there always exists a maximal elliptic torus). Let us assume now that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. By the estimates of lemma 5.2.2(i), the function θ_f satisfies the assumption of proposition 4.2.1.1.(i). Hence, by this proposition, there exists a quasi-character $\widehat{\theta}_f$ such that $\widehat{T}_{\theta_f} = T_{\widehat{\theta}_f}$. By theorem 5.5.2(ii) and (iii) and the Weyl integration formula, we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} \theta_f(X)\widehat{f'}(X)dX = \int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} \theta_{\widehat{f}}(X)f'(X)dX$$

for all $f' \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. It follows that $\widehat{\theta}_f = \theta_{\widehat{f}}$. Applying this to the inverse Fourier transform of f, we see that θ_f is a quasi-character. In particular for all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $\partial(u)T_{\theta_f} = T_{\partial(u)\theta_f}$. For all $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, $\partial(u)f$ is also strongly cuspidal and by lemma 5.2.2(i) we have $\partial(u)\theta_f = \theta_{\partial(u)f}$. Hence, applying the estimates of lemma 5.2.2(i) to the functions $\theta_{\partial(u)f}$, $u \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, we see that θ_f satisfies the assumption of proposition 4.2.1.1.(ii). It follows from this proposition that θ_f is a Schwartz quasi-character.

Let us now assume that G admits an elliptic maximal torus, hence $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)_{ell} \neq \emptyset$. We first show that the linear map

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F)) \to SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$$
$$f \mapsto \theta_f$$

has dense image. We start by proving the following

(2) For all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that $\theta_f(X) \neq 0$.

Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. Every function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ which is supported in $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)_{ell}$ is strongly cuspidal. Let f be such a function. Since $\widehat{\theta}_f = \theta_{\widehat{f}}$, by lemma 4.2.2(iii), we have

$$(3) \quad \theta_{\widehat{f}}(X) = \int_{\Gamma_{ell}(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(Y)^{1/2}\theta_f(Y)\widehat{j}(Y,X)dY$$

By 1.7(4), there exist $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)_{ell}$ such that $\widehat{j}(Y_0, X) \neq 0$. Now, the term $\theta_f(Y_0)$ is just the orbital integral of f at Y_0 and it is not hard to see that we may choose f such that this orbital integral is nonzero (just take $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F)_{ell})$ positive and such that $f(Y_0) \neq 0$). Up to multiplying f by a well chosen invariant function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))^G$ that is positive, equals 1 near Y_0 and is supported in a small compact modulo conjugation invariant neighborhood of Y_0, we see that we may arrange the right hand side of (3) to be nonzero. This proves (2).

We now prove the following

(4) For all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all integer $N \geqslant 1$, there exists a constant $c_N > 0$ such that for all invariant and compact modulo conjugation subset $L \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}|\theta(X)-\theta_f(X)|\leqslant c_N\|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N}\mathbb{1}_{L^c}(X)$$

for all $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ (where $\mathbb{1}_{L^c}$ denotes the characteristic function of $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)-L$).

From (2) and the existence of smooth invariant partition of unity (proposition 3.1.1(ii)), we easily deduce that for all $\theta\in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ whose support is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ there exists $f\in\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that $\theta=\theta_f$. Now let $\theta\in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and $L\subseteq\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ be an invariant compact modulo conjugation subset. Choose $\varphi\in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))^G$ such that $0\leqslant\varphi\leqslant 1$, $\varphi=1$ on L and the support of φ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ and compact modulo conjugation. Then, by what we just saw, there exists $f\in\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that $\theta_f=\varphi\theta$. Since

$$|\theta(X)-\theta_f(X)|=|(1-\varphi(X))\theta(X)|\leqslant|\theta(X)|$$

for all $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, we have

$$D^G(X)^{1/2}|\theta(X)-\theta_f(X)|\leqslant c_N\|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N}\mathbb{1}_{L^c}(X)$$

for all $N\geqslant 1$ and all $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, where

$$c_N=\sup_{X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)}\|X\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{N}D^G(X)^{1/2}|\theta(X)|$$

This ends the proof of (4).

With notations of subsection 4.2, we set $q_u=q_{u,0}$ for all $u\in I(\mathfrak{g})$ (these are continuous semi-norms on $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$). We now deduce from (4) the following

(5) For all $\theta\in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, all finite family $\{u_1,\ldots,u_k\}\subset I(\mathfrak{g})$ and all $\epsilon>0$, there exists $f\in\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that

$$q_{u_i}(\theta-\theta_f)\leqslant\epsilon$$

for all $1\leqslant i\leqslant k$.

Let $\theta\in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and let us fix a finite family $\{u_1,\ldots,u_k\}\subset I(\mathfrak{g})$. By lemma 4.2.2(iii), for all $f\in\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, we have

$$\widehat{\theta}(X)-\theta_{\widehat{f}}(X)=\int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}D^G(Y)^{1/2}\left(\theta(Y)-\theta_f(Y)\right)\widehat{j}(Y,X)dY$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. For all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, let $p_i \in I(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ be such that $u_{p_i} = u_i$. Applying the above equality to $p_i\theta$ and $p_i f$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, we obtain

$$\partial(u_i)\left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_{\widehat{f}}\right)(X) = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(Y)^{1/2} p_{u_i}(Y)\left(\theta(Y) - \theta_f(Y)\right)\widehat{j}(Y, X)dY$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Hence, by 1.7(3), we get

$$q_{u_i}(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_{\widehat{f}}) \ll \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} |p_{u_i}(Y)| D^G(Y)^{1/2} \left|\theta(Y) - \theta_f(Y)\right| dY$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Of course, there exists $N_0 \geqslant 1$ such that $|p_{u_i}(Y)| \ll \|Y\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{N_0}$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Hence, it follows from (4) that for all $N \geqslant 1$ we have an inequality

$$(6) \qquad \inf_{f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))} \sup_{i=1,\ldots,k} q_{u_i}(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_{\widehat{f}}) \ll \inf_L \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} \|Y\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N} \mathbb{1}_{L^c}(Y) dY$$

where L runs through the invariant and compact modulo conjugation subsets of $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. Choose $N \geqslant 1$ such that the function $Y \mapsto \|Y\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N}$ is integrable on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$. Then it is not hard to see that

$$\inf_L \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} \|Y\|_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}^{-N} \mathbb{1}_{L^c}(Y) dY = 0$$

Hence, replacing θ by $\widehat{\theta}$, (5) follows from the inequality (6) above.

We are now in position to prove that the image of the linear map (1) is dense. By lemma 4.2.2(v), it suffices to prove that the intersection

$$(7) \quad QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F)) \cap \{\theta_f;\ \ f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))\}$$

is dense in $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ (for its own topology). Let $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Then, it follows directly from (5) that we may find a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geqslant 1}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_{f_n} = \theta$$

in $QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))^G$ be compactly supported modulo conjugation and such that $\varphi = 1$ on $Supp(\theta)$. Then, by lemma 4.2.2(iv) and the closed graph theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi\theta_{f_n} = \varphi\theta = \theta$$

in $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. But $\varphi\theta_{f_n} = \theta_{\varphi f_n}$ and $\varphi f_n \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ for all $n \geqslant 1$ (by proposition 3.1.1(iv)). Hence, the subspace (7) is indeed dense in $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and this ends the proof that the linear map (1) has dense image. Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset which is relatively compact modulo conjugation. The argument we just used actually also show that the linear map

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\omega) \to QC_c(\omega) \\ f \mapsto \theta_f \end{gathered}$$

has dense image since for $\theta \in QC_c(\omega)$, we can choose φ as before which is supported in ω, hence the functions φf_n, for $n \geqslant 1$, will belong to $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\omega)$. This ends the proof of (i).

(ii) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$. By theorem 5.5.1(iv) and (v) and the Weyl integration formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G(F)} \theta_f(x) f'(x) dx &= \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\theta_{\overline{\pi}}(f')d\pi \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi) \int_{G(F)} \overline{\theta_\pi(x)} f'(x) dx d\pi \end{aligned}$$

for all $f' \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$. By proposition 4.8.1(ii), lemma 5.4.2 and 1.8(2) the above double integral is absolutely convergent. It follows that

$$\int_{G(F)} \theta_f(x) f'(x) dx = \int_{G(F)} \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\overline{\theta_\pi(x)} d\pi f'(x) dx$$

for all $f' \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$. Hence, we have

$$\theta_f(x) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\overline{\theta_\pi(x)} d\pi$$

for almost all $x \in G_{reg}(F)$. Consequently, to prove the point (ii), it suffices to show that the integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\overline{\theta_\pi} d\pi$$

is absolutely convergent in $QC(G(F))$. But this follows easily from proposition 4.8.1(ii) combined with lemma 5.4.2. ■

5.7 Lifts of strongly cuspidal functions

Proposition 5.7.1 *Let $x \in G(F)_{ell}$ be elliptic and let $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ be a G-good open neighborhood of x which is relatively compact modulo conjugation. Set $\Omega = \Omega_x^G$. Then, there exists a linear map*

$$\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x) \to \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega)$$
$$f \mapsto \widetilde{f}$$

such that

(i) For all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x)$, we have

$$(\theta_{\widetilde{f}})_{x,\Omega_x} = \sum_{z \in Z_G(x)(F)/G_x(F)} {}^z\theta_f$$

(ii) There exists a function $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(Z_G(x)(F)\backslash G(F))$ satisfying

$$\int_{Z_G(x)(F)\backslash G(F)} \alpha(g)dg = 1$$

and such that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x)$ and all $g \in G(F)$, there exists $z \in Z_G(x)(F)$ such that

$$({}^{zg}\widetilde{f})_{x,\Omega_x} = \alpha(g)f$$

Proof: Let us denote by $\pi : G(F) \to Z_G(x)(F)\backslash G(F)$ the natural projection. It is an F-analytic locally trivial fibration. Let us fix an open subset $\mathcal{U} \subseteq Z_G(x)(F)\backslash G(F)$ and an F-analytic section

$$s : \mathcal{U} \to G(F)$$

Since Ω_x is a G-good open subset, the map

$$\beta : \Omega_x \times \mathcal{U} \to G(F)$$
$$(y, g) \mapsto \beta(y, g) = s(g)^{-1}ys(g)$$

is an open embedding of F-analytic spaces. For all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega_x)$ and all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U})$, we define a function f_φ on $G(F)$ by

$$f_\varphi(\gamma) = \begin{cases} f(y)\varphi(g) & \text{if } \gamma = s(g)^{-1}ys(g) \text{ for some } g \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and some } y \in \Omega_x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us now prove the following

(1) For all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ and all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega_x)$, the function f_φ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\Omega)$.

This is almost straightforward in the p-adic case. Assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega_x)$. The only thing which is not obvious is to prove that for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ the function $L(u)f_\varphi$ is rapidly decreasing. This follows at once from the two following facts

(2) The function f_φ is rapidly decreasing i.e. for all $N \geqslant 1$ we have

$$|f_\varphi(\gamma)| \ll \|\gamma\|^{-N}$$

for all $\gamma \in G(F)$.

(3) For all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$, there exist an integer $k \geqslant 1$, functions $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ and Schwartz functions $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega_x)$ such that

$$L(X)f_\varphi = (f_1)_{\varphi_1} + \ldots + (f_k)_{\varphi_k}$$

The claim (2) follows from the fact that there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and integers $N_1, N_2 \geqslant 1$ such that

$$c_1^{-1}\|y\|^{1/N_1} \leqslant \left\|s(g)^{-1}ys(g)\right\| \leqslant c_2\|y\|^{N_2}$$

for all $g \in Supp(\varphi)$ and all $y \in \Omega_x$ together with the fact that f is itself rapidly decreasing. Let us focus on (3) now. Fix $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$. Using a partition of unity if necessary, we may assume that $\mathcal{U}$ is parallelizable (i.e. its tangent bundle is trivial). Assume this is so and let us fix a trivialization of the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{U}$

$$T\mathcal{U} \simeq \mathcal{U} \times V$$

where V is some finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. Let us also fix trivializations $TG(F) \simeq G(F) \times \mathfrak{g}(F)$ and $TG_x(F) \simeq G_x(F) \times \mathfrak{g}_x(F)$ using right translations. For all $\gamma \in G(F)$, we have

$$(4) \quad (L(X)f_\varphi)(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \left[\partial\left(d\beta_{(y,g)}^{-1}(X)\right)(f \otimes \varphi)\right](y,g) & \text{if } \gamma = s(g)^{-1}ys(g) \text{ for some } g \in \mathcal{U},\ y \in \Omega_x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $d\beta_{(y,g)} : \mathfrak{g}_X(F) \oplus V \simeq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ denotes the differential of β at (y,g). A painless computation shows that

$$d\beta_{(y,g)}(Y,Z) = Ad(s(g))^{-1}\left[Y + (Ad(y) - 1)\, ds_g(Z)\right]$$

for all $(y,g) \in \Omega_x \times \mathcal{U}$ and all $(Y,Z) \in \mathfrak{g}_x(F) \oplus V$, where $ds_g : V \to \mathfrak{g}(F)$ denotes the differential at g of the section s. It is obvious from this description that there exist an integer $r \geqslant 1$, polynomials $P_1, \ldots, P_r \in \mathbb{R}[G_x]$, smooth functions $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ and vectors $X_1, \ldots, X_r \in \mathfrak{g}_x(F) \oplus V$ such that

$$(5) \quad d\beta^{-1}_{(y,g)}(X) = \eta_x^{alg}(y)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^r P_i(y)\psi_i(g)X_i$$

for all $(y, g) \in \Omega_x \times \mathcal{U}$, where we have set $\eta_x^{alg}(y) = \det(1 - Ad(y))_{|\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_x}$. Writing $X_i = Y_i + Z_i$ where $Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}_x(F)$ and $Z_i \in V$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$, we get from (4) and (5) that

$$(L(X)f_\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^r (f_i')_{\varphi_i'} + (f_i'')_{\varphi_i''}$$

where $f_i' = (\eta_x^{alg})^{-1}P_i(L(Y_i)f)$, $f_i'' = (\eta_x^{alg})^{-1}P_i f$, $\varphi_i' = \psi_i\varphi$ and $\varphi_i'' = \psi_i(\partial(Z_i)\varphi)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. The claim (3) follows once we remark that multiplication by $(\eta_x^{alg})^{-1}$ preserves $\mathcal{S}(\Omega_x)$ (this is a consequence of proposition 3.1.1(iv), here we use the fact that Ω_x is relatively compact modulo conjugation so that functions in $\mathcal{S}(\Omega_x)$ are compactly supported modulo conjugation).

We now construct a linear form as in the proposition. The construction is as follows. Choose a function $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\int_{Z_G(x)(F)\backslash G(F)} \alpha(g)dg = 1$$

and set $\widetilde{f} = \left(\left(\eta_x^G\right)^{-1/2} f\right)_\alpha$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x)$. The second point of the proposition is obvious from this definition. We need to check the two following facts

(6) For all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x)$, the function $\widetilde{f}$ is strongly cuspidal.

(7) For all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x)$, we have

$$(\theta_{\widetilde{f}})_{x,\Omega_x} = \sum_{z \in Z_G(x)(F)/G_x(F)} {}^z\theta_f$$

Let us prove (6). Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x)$ and let $P = MU$ be a proper parabolic subgroup of G. Let $m \in M(F) \cap G_{reg}(F)$. We want to show that

$$\int_{U(F)} \widetilde{f}(u^{-1}mu)du = 0$$

If the conjugacy class of m does not meet Ω_x then the function $u \in U(F) \mapsto \widetilde{f}(u^{-1}mu)$ is identically zero. Assume now that $m = g^{-1}m_x g$ for some $g \in G(F)$ and some $m_x \in \Omega_x$. Set $M' = gMg^{-1}$, $U' = gUg^{-1}$ and $P' = gPg^{-1} = M'U'$. Then, x belongs to $M'(F)$ and $P'_x = M'_x U'_x$ is a parabolic subgroup of G_x which is proper (since x is elliptic). We may now write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{U(F)}\widetilde{f}(u^{-1}mu)du &= \int_{U'(F)}{}^g\widetilde{f}(u'^{-1}m_xu')du' \\ &= \int_{U'_x(F)\backslash U'(F)}\int_{U'_x(F)}({}^{u'g}\widetilde{f})_{x,\Omega_x}(u'^{-1}_xm_xu'_x)du'_xdu'\end{aligned}$$

By (ii), for all $u' \in U'(F)$ there exists $z \in Z_G(x)(F)$ such that the function $({}^{u'g}\widetilde{f})_{x,\Omega_x}$ is a scalar multiple of ${}^zf$. Since f is strongly cuspidal, it follows that the inner integral above is zero. This ends the proof of (6).

We now prove (7). Let $y \in \Omega_{x,reg}$. Set $M(y) = Z_G(A_{G_y})$ and $M(y)_x = Z_{G_x}(A_{G_y})$. Returning to the definitions, we need to show that

$$(8)\quad J_{M(y)}(y,\widetilde{f}) = \sum_{z\in G_x(F)\backslash Z_G(x)(F)} J_{M(y)_x}(y,{}^zf)$$

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(9)\quad J_{M(y)}(y,\widetilde{f}) &= D^G(y)^{1/2}\int_{G_y(F)\backslash G(F)}\widetilde{f}(g^{-1}yg)v_{M(y)}(g)dg \\ &= \int_{Z_G(x)(F)\backslash G(F)}\sum_{z\in G_x(F)\backslash Z_G(x)(F)} D^{G_x}(y)^{1/2} \\ &\int_{G_y(F)\backslash G_x(F)}\left({}^g\widetilde{f}\right)_{x,\Omega_x}(z^{-1}g_x^{-1}yg_xz)v_{M(y)}(g_xzg)dg_xdg\end{aligned}$$

Let $g \in G(F)$. By (ii), up to translating g by an element of $Z_G(x)(F)$, we have $\left({}^g\widetilde{f}\right)_{x,\Omega_x} = \alpha(g)f$. Hence, the inner term of the last expression above becomes

$$(10)\quad \alpha(g)\sum_{z\in G_x(F)\backslash Z_G(x)(F)} D^{G_x}(y)^{1/2}\int_{G_y(F)\backslash G_x(F)}{}^zf(g_x^{-1}yg_x)v_{M(y)}(g_xzg)dg_x$$

By lemma 3.3 of [Wa1], we have the descent formula

$$v_{M(y)}(g_x\gamma) = \sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}(M(y)_x)}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{L})} v^{Q_x}_{M(y)_x}(g_x)u_Q\left(H_Q(g_x\gamma) - H_{Q_x}(g_x)\right)$$

for all $g_x \in G_x(F)$ and all $\gamma \in G(F)$, where $\mathcal{L}(M(y)_x)$ denotes the set of Levi subgroups of G_x containing $M(y)_x$ and for $L \in \mathcal{L}(M(y)_x)$, $\boldsymbol{L}$ denotes the centralizer of A_L in G (a Levi subgroup of G), the other terms appearing in the formula above have been defined in subsection 1.10 (they depend on the choice of two maximal compact subgroup K and K_x of $G(F)$ and $G_x(F)$ which are special in the p-adic case). We may thus decompose the expression (10) further as a sum over $L \in \mathcal{L}(M(y)_x)$, $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{L})$ and $z \in G_x(F)\backslash Z_G(x)(F)$ of

$$\alpha(g)D^{G_x}(y)^{1/2}\int_{G_y(F)\backslash G_x(F)} {}^z f(g_x^{-1}yg_x)v^{Q_x}_{M(y)_x}(g_x)u_Q\left(H_Q(g_xzg)-H_{Q_x}(g_x)\right)dg_x$$

Since the function $g_x \mapsto v^{Q_x}_{M(y)_x}(g_x)u_Q\left(H_Q(g_xzg)-H_{Q_x}(g_x)\right)$ is invariant by left translation by $U_{Q_x}(F)$ (the unipotent radical of $Q_x(F)$), $y \in Q_x(F)$ and ${}^z f$ is strongly cuspidal, this last term is zero unless $Q_x = G_x$. As x is elliptic in $G(F)$, this last condition is equivalent to $Q = G$ and $L = G_x$. In this case the expression above reduces to $\alpha(g)J_{M(y)_x}(y, {}^z f)$, hence (10) is equal to

$$\alpha(g)\sum_{z\in G_x(F)\backslash Z_G(x)(F)} J_{M(y)_x}(y, {}^z f)$$

Going back to (9) and recalling that we choose α so that $\int_{Z_G(x)(F)\backslash G(F)}\alpha(g)=1$, we immediately get (8). This ends the proof of (7) and of the proposition. ■

Corollary 5.7.1 *Assume that G admits an elliptic maximal torus and that the center of $G(F)$ is compact. Then*

(i) There exists $\Omega \subseteq G(F)$ a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset which is relatively compact modulo conjugation and contains $G(F)_{ell}$ such that the linear map

$$f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega) \mapsto \theta_f \in QC_c(\Omega)$$

has dense image (in particular in the p-adic case this map is surjective).

(ii) If F is p-adic, then for all $\theta \in QC(G(F))$, there exists a compact subset $\Omega_\theta \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{ell}(G)} D^G(x)\theta(x)\theta_\pi(x)dx = 0$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G) - \Omega_\theta$, the integral above being absolutely convergent.

(iii) If $F = \mathbb{R}$, then for all $k \geqslant 0$ there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_k on $QC(G(F))$ such that

$$\left|\int_{\Gamma_{ell}(G)} D^G(x)\theta(x)\theta_\pi(x)dx\right| \leqslant \nu_k(\theta)N^G(\pi)^{-k}$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ and all $\theta \in QC(G(F))$, the integral above being absolutely convergent.

(iv) *For all* $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ *there exists* $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ *such that for all* $\pi' \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ *we have*

$$\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi') \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi' = \pi$$

Proof:

(i) For all $x \in G(F)_{ell}$, choose $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ a G-good open neighborhood of x which is relatively compact modulo conjugation and such that there exists $\omega_x \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_x(F)$ a G_x-excellent open subset with $\Omega_x = x\exp(\omega_x)$. Since $G(F)_{ell}$ is compact modulo conjugation, we may find $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in G(F)_{ell}$ such that the family $(\Omega_{x_i}^G)_{1\leqslant i\leqslant k}$ covers $G(F)_{ell}$. Let us set

$$\Omega = \Omega_{x_1}^G \cup \ldots \cup \Omega_{x_k}^G$$

By the existence of smooth invariant partition of unity (proposition 3.1.1(ii)), we see that the natural continuous linear map

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} QC_c(\Omega_{x_i}^G) \to QC_c(\Omega)$$

is surjective. Hence, it is sufficient to show that for all $x \in G(F)_{ell}$, the linear map

$$f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x^G) \mapsto \theta_f \in QC_c(\Omega_x^G)$$

has dense image. Let $x \in G(F)_{ell}$. By proposition 4.4.1(iii) and the previous proposition, it suffices to prove that the linear map

$$f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x) \mapsto \theta_f \in QC_c(\Omega_x)$$

has dense image. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & QC_c(\Omega_x) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\omega_x) \arrow[r] & QC_c(\omega_x)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the two vertical arrows are given by $f \mapsto (R(x)f)_{\omega_x}$ and $\theta \mapsto (R(x)\theta)_{\omega_x}$ respectively and the two horizontal arrows are both given by $f \mapsto \theta_f$. By proposition 5.6.1(i), the bottom map has dense image.On the other hand, by lemma 3.3.1 and proposition 4.4.1(i) the two vertical maps are topological isomorphisms. Hence the top map also has dense image. This ends the proof of (i).

(ii) The integral is absolutely convergent since for all quasi-character θ the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\theta$ is locally bounded. We may of course assume that $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$, where Ω is as in (i) so that we may find $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega)$ such that $\theta = \theta_f$. But then, by the Weil integration formula, we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_{ell}(G)} D^G(x)\theta(x)\theta_\pi(x)dx = \theta_\pi(f)$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ and the result follows from 2.6(1).

(iii) The integral is absolutely convergent for the same reason as before. Let Ω be as in (i) and let $\varphi \in C^\infty(G(F))^G$ be an invariant function that is supported in Ω and equals 1 in some neighborhood of $G(F)_{ell}$. By proposition 4.4.1(iv) and the closed graph theorem, the linear map

$$\theta \in QC(G(F)) \mapsto \varphi\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$$

is continuous. Hence, we only need to prove the estimate for $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$. Since for all $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$ the function $(D^G)^{1/2}\theta$ is locally bounded by a continuous semi-norm, for all $\pi \in \underline{\mathcal{X}}_{ell}(G)$, the linear map

$$\theta \in QC_c(\Omega) \mapsto \int_{\Gamma_{ell}(G)} D^G(x)^{1/2}\theta(x)\theta_\pi(x)dx$$

is continuous. Hence, by (i), we only need to prove the estimates for $\theta = \theta_f$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega)$. By the Weyl integration formula and lemma 5.2.2(ii), for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\pi(z)\int_{\Gamma_{ell}(G)} D^G(x)\theta_f(x)\theta_\pi(x)dx &= \int_{\Gamma_{ell}(G)} D^G(x)\theta_f(x)(z\theta)_\pi(x)dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_{ell}(G)} D^G(x)(z^*\theta_f)(x)\theta_\pi(x)dx\end{aligned}$$

There exists $z_1, \ldots, z_k \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$|\chi_\pi(z_1)| + \ldots + |\chi_\pi(z_k)| \geqslant N^G(\pi)$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ so that the estimates now follows from proposition 4.8.1(ii).

(iv) Let $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ and identify it with one of its preimage in $\underline{\mathcal{X}}_{ell}(G)$. By (i) there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ of functions in $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ such that the sequence of functions $\left((D^G)^{1/2}\theta_{f_n}\right)_{n\geqslant 1}$ converge uniformly to $(D^G)^{1/2}\overline{\theta}_\pi$ on $G_{reg}(F)_{ell}$. By proposition 5.6.1(ii), we have

$$(11)\quad \theta_{f_n} = \sum_{\pi'\in\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)} D(\pi')\widehat{\theta}_{f_n}(\pi')\overline{\theta_{\pi'}} + \int_{\mathcal{X}_{ind}(G)} D(\pi')\widehat{\theta}_{f_n}(\pi')\overline{\theta_{\pi'}}d\pi'$$

for all $n \geqslant 1$. By the orthogonality relations of Arthur (corollary 6.2 of [A4]), we have that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{ell}(G)} D^G(x)\theta_{\pi_0}(x)\overline{\theta_{\pi_1}}(x)dx = \begin{cases} D(\pi_0)^{-1} & \text{if } \pi_0 = \pi_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for all $\pi_0, \pi_1 \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$. Moreover, all $\pi' \in \mathcal{X}_{ind}(G)$ is properly induced and so has a character that vanish identically on $G_{reg}(F)_{ell}$. Hence, we deduce from (11) that

$$(12)\quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \widehat{\theta}_{f_n}(\pi') = \begin{cases} D(\pi)^{-1} & \text{if } \pi' = \pi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the map

$$(13)\quad \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G) \to \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$$
$$\pi' \mapsto \Pi'$$

where for all $\pi' \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$, Π' denotes the unique element of $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ such that π' is built up from the irreducible subrepresentations of Π'. Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ be the image of π. Then there exists a compact neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of Π in $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ such that the inverse image of $\mathcal{U}$ by (13) is a finite set $\{\pi_0 = \pi, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$. By (12) we can certainly find a function $f' \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ that is a finite linear combination of the f_n, $n \geqslant 1$, such that

$$\widehat{\theta}_{f'}(\pi_i) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi_i = \pi$$

for all $i = 0, \ldots, n$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G))$ be such that $\varphi(\Pi) = 1$ and $Supp(\varphi) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. By lemma 5.3.1(i), there exists a unique function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ such that

$$\Pi'(f) = \varphi(\Pi')\Pi'(f')$$

for all $\Pi' \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$. This function obviously has the desired property. ■

6 The Gan-Gross-Prasad triples

6.1 Hermitian spaces and unitary groups

We will henceforth fix a quadratic extension E of F. We will denote by $x \mapsto \overline{x}$ the nontrivial F-automorphism of E and by $N_{E/F}$ and $Tr_{E/F}$ the norm and the trace of this extension respectively. We also fix a nonzero element $\eta \in E$ with zero trace. We will set $\overline{E} = E \otimes_F \overline{F}$. This is an etale 2-extension of $\overline{F}$ and as such is isomorphic to $\overline{F} \times \overline{F}$ but we won't fix such an isomorphism.

By an hermitian space we will mean a finite dimensional E-vector space equipped with a non-degenerate hermitian form h which is linear in the second argument. For V an hermitian space, we will denote by $U(V)$ the corresponding unitary group and by $\mathfrak{u}(V)$ the Lie algebra of $U(V)$. Set $V = V \otimes_F \overline{F}$. Then h has a natural extension, still denoted by h, to an $\overline{E}$-sesquilinear form on $V_{\overline{F}}$. Then $U(V)$ is the group of $\overline{E}$-linear automorphisms of $V_{\overline{F}}$ preserving the form h. We will identify $\mathfrak{u}(V)$ to the subspace of antihermitian, with respect to h, elements in $End_{\overline{E}}(V_{\overline{F}})$. For $v, v' \in V_{\overline{F}}$, we will denote by $c(v,v')$ the element of $\mathfrak{u}(V)$ defined by

$$c(v,v')(v'') = h(v,v'')v' - h(v',v'')v$$

The set $\{c(v,v');\ v,v' \in V\}$ generates $\mathfrak{u}(V)(F)$ as a F-vector space.

Every parabolic subgroup P of $U(V)$ is the stabilizer of a flag of completely isotropic subspaces

$$Z_1 \subset Z_2 \subset \ldots \subset Z_k$$

If M is a Levi component of P, then there exists completely isotropic subspaces $Z'_{\pm i}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, such that $Z_i = Z_{i-1} \oplus Z'_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ and $Z_i^\perp = Z_{i+1}^\perp \oplus Z'_{-i-1}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$, where we have set $Z_0 = 0$, such that M is the stabilizer in $U(V)$ of the subspaces $Z'_{\pm i}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Then, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, the form h induces a perfect conjugate-duality between Z'_i and Z'_{-i}. If $\widetilde{V}$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $\displaystyle\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} Z'_i \oplus Z'_{-i}$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$M \simeq GL_E(Z'_1) \times \ldots \times GL_E(Z'_k) \times U(\widetilde{V})$$

where for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, $GL_E(Z'_i)$ denotes the restriction of scalars from E to F of the general linear group of Z'_i.

We are now going to describe the regular nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{u}(V)(F)$. If $U(V)$ is not quasi-split then there are no such orbit. Assume that $U(V)$ is quasi-split. If $\dim(V)$ is odd or zero, then there is only one regular nilpotent orbits. Assume moreover that $\dim(V) > 0$ is even. Then, there are exactly two nilpotent orbits. Since $U(V)$ is quasi-split, there exists a basis $(z_i)_{i=\pm 1,\ldots,\pm k}$ such that $h(z_i, z_j) = \delta_{i,-j}$ for all $i,j \in \{\pm 1, \ldots, \pm k\}$ (where $\delta_{i,-j}$ denotes the Kronecker symbol). Let B be the stabilizer in $U(V)$ of the flag

$$\langle z_k\rangle \subset \langle z_k, z_{k-1}\rangle \subset \ldots \subset \langle z_k,\ldots,z_1\rangle$$

Then, B is a Borel subgroup of $U(V)$. Denote by U its unipotent radical. For all $\mu \in Ker\ Tr_{E/F}$, define an element $X(\mu) \in \mathfrak{u}(F)$ by the assignments

$X(\mu)z_k = 0,\ X(\mu)z_i = z_{i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$, $X(\mu)z_{-1} = \mu z_1,\ X(\mu)z_{-i} = -z_{1-i}$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant k$

Then, for all $\mu \in Ker\ Tr_{E/F}$ which is non-zero, $X(\mu)$ is regular nilpotent. Moreover the orbits of $X(\mu)$ and $X(\mu')$ coincide if and only if $\mu N_{E/F}(E^\times) = \mu' N_{E/F}(E^\times)$. It follows that for all $\lambda \in F^\times \backslash N_{E/F}(E^\times)$, the elements $X(\eta)$ and $X(\lambda\eta)$ are representatives of the two regular nilpotent conjugacy classes. Remark that in particular multiplication by any element of $F^\times\backslash N_{E/F}(E^\times)$ permutes the two regular nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{u}(V)(F)$.

6.2 Definition of GGP triples

Let (W,V) be a pair of hermitian spaces. We will call (W,V) an admissible pair if there exists an hermitian space Z satisfying

- $V \simeq W \oplus^\perp Z$;
- Z is odd dimensional and $U(Z)$ is quasi-split.

This last condition admits the following more explicit translation: it means that there exist $\nu \in F^\times$ and a basis $(z_{-r},\ldots,z_{-1},z_0,z_1,\ldots,z_r)$ of Z such that

$$(1)\quad h(z_i,z_j) = \nu\delta_{i,-j}$$

for all $i,j \in \{0,\pm 1,\ldots,\pm r\}$.

Let (W,V) be an admissible pair. Set $G = U(W)\times U(V)$. We are going to associate to (W,V) a triple (G,H,ξ) where H is an algebraic subgroup of G and $\xi : H(F) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a continuous character of $H(F)$ and this triple will be unique up to $G(F)$-conjugacy. Fix an embedding $W \subseteq V$ and set $Z = W^\perp$. We also fix $\nu \in F^\times$ and a basis $(z_i)_{i=0,\pm1,\ldots,\pm r}$ ($r \geqslant 0$ an integer) of Z satisfying (1). Denote by P_V the stabilizer in $U(V)$ of the following flag of totally isotropic subspaces of V

$$\langle z_r\rangle \subset \ldots \subset \langle z_r,\ldots,z_1\rangle$$

Then, P_V is a parabolic subgroup of $U(V)$. We will denote by N its unipotent radical. Let M_V the stabilizer in P_V of the lines $\langle z_i\rangle$ for $i = \pm 1,\ldots,\pm r$. It is a Levi component of P_V. Set $P = U(W)\times P_V$. Then, P is a parabolic subgroup of G with unipotent radical N and $M = U(W)\times M_V$ is a Levi component of it. Identifying $U(W)$ with its image by the diagonal embedding $U(W) \hookrightarrow G$, we have $U(W) \subseteq M$. In particular, conjugation by $U(W)$ preserves N and we set

$$H = U(W) \ltimes N$$

It only remains to define the character ξ. Let us define a morphism $\lambda : N \to \mathbb{G}_a$ by

$$\lambda(n) = Tr_{E/F}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} h(z_{-i-1}, nz_i)\right), \quad n \in N$$

It is easy to check that λ is $U(W)$-invariant, hence it admits an unique extension, still denoted by λ, to a morphism $H \to \mathbb{G}_a$ which is trivial on $U(W)$. We denote by $\lambda_F : H(F) \to F$ the morphism induced on the groups of F-points. Recall that we have fixed a non-trivial continuous additive character ψ of F. We set

$$\xi(h) = \psi(\lambda_F(h))$$

for all $h \in H(F)$. This ends the definition of the triple (G, H, ξ). We easily check that this definition depends on the various choices only up to $G(F)$-conjugacy. We will call a triple obtained in this way (i.e. from an admissible pair (W, V)) a Gan-Gross-Prasad triple or GGP triple for short.

From now on and until the end of section 11, we fix an admissible pair of hermitian spaces (W, V). We also fix datas and notations as above, that is: an embedding $W \subseteq V$, $Z = W^\perp$, an element $\nu \in F^\times$ and a basis $(z_i)_{i=0,\pm1,\ldots,\pm r}$ of $Z^\perp$ satisfying (1), the parabolic subgroup $P = MN$, the algebraic character λ and the character ξ. We will denote by (G, H, ξ) the GGP triple constructed as above. We will also use the following additional notations

- $d = dim(V)$ and $m = dim(W)$
- $Z_+ = \langle z_r, \ldots, z_1\rangle$, and $Z_- = \langle z_{-1}, \ldots, z_{-r}\rangle$;
- $D = Ez_0$ and $V_0 = W \oplus D$;
- $H_0 = U(W)$ and $G_0 = U(W) \times U(V_0)$. We consider H_0 as a subgroup of G_0 via the diagonal embedding $H_0 \hookrightarrow G_0$. The triple $(G_0, H_0, 1)$ is the GGP triple associated to the admissible pair (W, V_0);
- T the subtorus of $U(V)$ preserving the lines $\langle z_i\rangle$, for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $i = -1, \ldots, -r$ and acting trivially on V_0. We have $M = T \times G_0$;
- A the split part of the torus T, it is also the split part of the center of M;
- ξ the character of $\mathfrak{h}(F)$, where $\mathfrak{h} = Lie(H)$, which is trivial on $\mathfrak{u}(W)(F)$ and equal to $\xi \circ \exp$ on $\mathfrak{n}(F)$.

- $B(.,.)$ is the following non-degenerate G-invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$:

  $$B\left((X_W,X_V),(X'_W,X'_V)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(Tr_{E/F}\left(Trace(X_WX'_W)\right)+Tr_{E/F}\left(Trace(X_VX'_V)\right)\right)$$

  We will use $B(.,.)$ to normalize the Haar measures on both $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ and $G(F)$ as explained in subsection 1.6. We also fix Haar measures on all algebraic subgroups of $G(F)$ and their Lie algebras as explained in subsection 1.6.

Note that when $r=0$ (that is when $Z=D$ is a line), we have $G=G_0$, $H=H_0$ and $\xi=1$. If this is the case, we will say that we are in "the codimension one case".

We will need the following

Lemma 6.2.1 *(i) The map $G\to H\backslash G$ has the norm descent property (cf subsection 1.2).*

(ii) The orbit under M-conjugacy of λ in $(\mathfrak{n}/[\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{n}])^*$ is a Zariski open subset.

Proof:

(i) We have a natural identification $H\backslash G=N\backslash U(V)$, so that it is sufficient to prove that $U(V)\to N\backslash U(V)$ has the norm descent property. Since this map is $U(V)$-equivariant for the obvious transitive actions, we only need to show that it admits a section over a nonempty Zariski-open subset. If we denote by $\overline{P}_V=M_V\overline{N}$ the parabolic subgroup opposite to P_V with respect to M_V, the multiplication map $N\times M_V\times\overline{N}\to U(V)$ is an open immersion. The image of that open subset is open in $N\backslash U(V)$ and the restriction of the projection $U(V)\to N\backslash U(V)$ to that open set is $N\times M_V\times\overline{N}\to M_V\times\overline{N}$. This map obviously has a section.

(ii) If $r=0$, i.e. if we are in the codimension one case, we have $\mathfrak{n}=0$ and the result is trivial. Assume now that $r\geqslant 1$. It suffices to show that the dimension of the orbit $M.\lambda$ is equal to the dimension of $\mathfrak{n}/[\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{n}]$. We easily compute

$$\dim\left(\mathfrak{n}/[\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{n}]\right)=2(m+r)$$

and

$$\dim(M)=m^2+2r+(m+1)^2$$

The stabilizer M_λ of λ is easily seen to be $M_\lambda=Z(G)\left(U(W)\times U(W)\right)$ (where $Z(G)$ denotes the center of G). Hence, we have

$$\dim(M_\lambda)=1+2m^2$$

and the dimension of the orbit $M.\lambda$ is

$$\dim(M.\lambda) = \dim(M) - \dim(M_\lambda) = m^2 + 2r + (m+1)^2 - 1 - 2m^2 = 2(r+m)$$

which is the same as $\dim(\mathfrak{n}/[\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{n}])$. ■

6.3 The multiplicity $m(\pi)$

For $\pi \in Temp(G)$, let us denote by $Hom_H(\pi, \xi)$ the space of all continuous linear form $\ell : \pi^\infty \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\ell(\pi(h)e) = \xi(h)\ell(e)$$

for all $e \in \pi^\infty$ and for all $h \in H(F)$. We define the multiplicity $m(\pi)$ to be the dimension of that space of linear forms, that is

$$m(\pi) = \dim\ Hom_H(\pi, \xi), \quad \pi \in Temp(G)$$

We have the following multiplicity one result which follows from theorem A of [JSZ] in the real case and from the combination of theorem (1') of [AGRS] and theorem 15.1 of [GGP] in the p-adic case.

Theorem 6.3.1 *We have*

$$m(\pi) \leqslant 1$$

for all $\pi \in Temp(G)$.

Note that we have

$$(1) \quad m(\pi) = m(\overline{\pi})$$

for all $\pi \in Temp(G)$. Indeed, the conjugation map $\ell \mapsto \overline{\ell}$ induces an isomorphism

$$Hom_H(\pi, \xi) \simeq Hom(\overline{\pi}, \overline{\xi})$$

and as we easily check, there exists an element $a \in A(F)$ such that $\xi(aha^{-1}) = \overline{\xi(h)}$ for all $h \in H(F)$, hence the linear map $\ell \mapsto \ell \circ \pi(a)$ induces an isomorphism

$$Hom(\overline{\pi}, \overline{\xi}) \simeq Hom_H(\overline{\pi}, \xi)$$

and (1) follows.

6.4 $H\backslash G$ is a spherical variety, good parabolic subgroups

We will say that a parabolic subgroup $\overline{Q}$ of G is good if $H\overline{Q}$ is Zariski-open in G. This condition is equivalent to $H(F)\overline{Q}(F)$ being open in $G(F)$.

Proposition 6.4.1 *(i) There exist minimal parabolic subgroups of G that are good and they are all conjugated under $H(F)$. Moreover, if $\overline{P}_{min} = M_{min}\overline{U}_{min}$ is a good minimal parabolic subgroup we have $H \cap \overline{U}_{min} = \{1\}$ and the complement of $H(F)\overline{P}_{min}(F)$ in $G(F)$ has null measure;*

(ii) A parabolic subgroup $\overline{Q}$ of G is good if and only if it contains a good minimal parabolic subgroup;

(iii) Let $\overline{P}_{min} = M_{min}\overline{U}_{min}$ be a good minimal parabolic subgroup and let $A_{min} = A_{M_{min}}$ be the maximal split central subtorus of M_{min}. Set

$$A^+_{min} = \{a \in A_{min}(F); |\alpha(a)| \geqslant 1 \ \forall \alpha \in R(A_{min}, \overline{P}_{min})\}$$

Then, we have inequalities

(a) $\sigma(h) + \sigma(a) \ll \sigma(ha)$ for all $a \in A^+_{min}$, $h \in H(F)$.

(b) $\sigma(h) \ll \sigma(a^{-1}ha)$ for all $a \in A^+_{min}$, $h \in H(F)$.

Proof:

(i) Set $w_0 = z_0$ and choose a family $(w_1, \ldots, w_\ell)$ of mutually orthogonal vectors in W which is maximal subject to the condition

$$h(w_i) = (-1)^i \nu, \ i = 1, \ldots, \ell$$

Let $\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \rceil$ (resp. $\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor$) be the smallest (resp. the largest) integer which is not less (resp. not greater) than $\frac{\ell}{2}$. We define u_i, for $i = 1, \ldots, \lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \rceil$ by

$$u_i = w_{2i-2} + w_{2i-1}$$

and u'_i, for $i = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor$, by

$$u'_i = w_{2i-1} + w_{2i}$$

Then, the subspaces

$$Z_{V_0} = \langle u_1, \ldots, u_{\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \rceil} \rangle$$

$$Z_W = \langle u'_1, \ldots, u'_{\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor} \rangle$$

are maximal isotropic subspaces of V_0 and W respectively. Let $\overline{P}_{V_0}$ and $\overline{P}_W$ to be the stabilizers in $U(V_0)$ and $U(W)$ of the completely isotropic flags

$$\langle u_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \langle u_1, \ldots, u_{\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \rceil} \rangle$$

and

$$\langle u'_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle u'_1, u'_2 \rangle \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \langle u'_1, \ldots, u'_{\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor} \rangle$$

respectively. Then $\overline{P}_{V_0}$ and $\overline{P}_W$ are minimal parabolic subgroups of respectively $U(V_0)$ and $U(W)$. Set

$$\overline{P}_0 = \overline{P}_W \times \overline{P}_{V_0}$$

It is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G_0. Let W_{an} be the orthogonal complement in W of $\langle w_1, \ldots, w_\ell \rangle$. We claim the following

(1) We have $H_0 \cap \overline{P}_0 = U(W_{an})$ and $H_0\overline{P}_0$ is Zariski-open in G_0 (i.e. $\overline{P}_0$ is a good parabolic subgroup of G_0).

The second claim follows from the first one by dimension consideration. We prove the first claim. Let $h_0 \in H_0 \cap \overline{P}_0$. Consider the action of h_0 on V_0. Since h_0 belongs to H_0, h_0 must stabilize $w_0 = z_0$. On the other hand, since h_0 belongs to $\overline{P}_0$, h_0 must stabilize the line $\langle w_0 + w_1 \rangle$. Because w_0 is orthogonal to w_1, it follows that h_0 stabilizes w_1. We show similarly that h_0 stabilizes $w_2, \ldots, w_\ell$, hence $h_0 \in U(W_{an})$. This ends the proof of (1).

Let $\overline{P} = M\overline{N}$ be the parabolic subgroup opposite to P with respect to M and set

$$\overline{P}_{min} = \overline{P}_0 T \overline{N}$$

it is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G. We deduce easily from (1) the following

(2) $\overline{P}_{min}$ is a good parabolic subgroup and we have $\overline{P}_{min} \cap H = U(W_{an})$.

This already proves that there exists minimal parabolic subgroup that are good. Let $\overline{P}'_{min}$ be another good minimal parabolic subgroup and let us show that $\overline{P}_{min}$ and $\overline{P}'_{min}$ are conjugated under $H(F)$. Let $g \in G(F)$ such that $\overline{P}'_{min} = g\overline{P}_{min}g^{-1}$. Set

$\mathcal{U} = H\overline{P}_{min}$ and $\mathcal{Z} = G - \mathcal{U}$. Then, $\mathcal{Z}$ is a proper Zariski-closed subset of G which is obviously $H \times \overline{P}_{min}$-invariant (for the left and right multiplication respectively). If $g \in \mathcal{Z}$, then we would have

$$H\overline{P}'_{min} = Hg\overline{P}_{min}g^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}g^{-1}$$

which is impossible since $\overline{P}'_{min}$ is a good parabolic subgroup. Hence, we have $g \in \mathcal{U} \cap G(F) = \mathcal{U}(F)$. If we can prove that $g \in H(F)\overline{P}_{min}(F)$, then we will be done. Hence, it suffices to show that

$$(3)\quad \mathcal{U}(F) = H(F)\overline{P}_{min}(F)$$

by a standard argument, this follows from

(4) The map $H^1(F, H \cap \overline{P}_{min}) \to H^1(F, H)$ is injective.

By (2), we have $H^1(F, H \cap \overline{P}_{min}) = H^1(F, U(W_{an}))$. Since $H = U(W) \ltimes N$ with N unipotent, we also have $H^1(F, H) = H^1(F, U(W))$. The two sets $H^1(F, U(W_{an}))$ and $H^1(F, U(W))$ classify the (isomorphism classes of) hermitian spaces of the same dimension as W_{an} and W respectively. Moreover, the map $H^1(F, U(W_{an})) \to H^1(F, U(W))$ we are considering sends W'_{an} to $W'_{an} \oplus W_{an}^{\perp}$, where $W_{an}^{\perp}$ denotes the orthogonal complement of W_{an} in W. By Witt's theorem, this map is injective. This proves (4) and ends the proof that all good minimal parabolic subgroups are conjugated under $H(F)$.

It only remains to show the last part of (i) that is: $H \cap \overline{U}_{min} = \{1\}$ and the complement of $H(F)\overline{P}_{min}(F)$ in $G(F)$ has null measure for all good minimal parabolic subgroup $\overline{P}_{min} = M_{min}\overline{U}_{min}$. Since we already proved that all good minimal parabolic subgroups are $H(F)$-conjugated, we only need to consider one of them. Choosing for $\overline{P}_{min}$ the parabolic subgroup that we constructed above, the result follows directly from (2) and (3).

(ii) Let $\overline{Q}$ be a good parabolic subgroup and choose $P_{min} \subseteq \overline{Q}$ a minimal parabolic subgroup. Set

$$\mathcal{G} := \{g \in G; g^{-1}P_{min}g \text{ is good}\}$$

It is a Zariski-open subset of G since it is the inverse image of the Zariski-open subset $\{\mathcal{V} \in Gr_n(\mathfrak{g}); \mathcal{V} + \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}\}$ of the Grassmannian variety $Gr_n(\mathfrak{g})$, where $n = \dim(P_{min})$, by the regular map $g \in G \mapsto g^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_{min}g \in Gr_n(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, it is non-empty (since by (i) there exists good minimal parabolic subgroups). Since $\overline{Q}$ is good, the intersection $\overline{Q}H \cap \mathcal{G}$ is non-empty too. Hence, we may find $\overline{q}_0 \in \overline{Q}$ such that $\overline{q}_0^{-1}P_{min}\overline{q}_0$ is a good parabolic subgroup. This parabolic subgroup is contained in $\overline{Q}$ but it may not be defined over F. Define

$$\mathcal{Q} := \{\overline{q} \in \overline{Q}; \overline{q}^{-1}P_{min}\overline{q} \text{ is good}\}$$

Then again $\mathcal{Q}$ is a Zariski-open subset of $\overline{Q}$ and we just proved that it is non-empty. Since $\overline{Q}(F)$ is Zariski-dense in $\overline{Q}$, the set $\mathcal{Q}(F)$ is non-empty. Then, for all $\overline{q} \in \mathcal{Q}(F)$ the parabolic subgroup $\overline{q}^{-1}P_{min}\overline{q}$ has all the desired properties.

(iii) First we prove that both (a) and (b) don't depend on the particular pair $(\overline{P}_{min}, M_{min})$ chosen. Let $(\overline{P}'_{min}, M'_{min})$ be a similar pair, that is : $\overline{P}'_{min}$ is a good parabolic subgroup and M'_{min} is a Levi component of it. Then, by (i), there exists $h \in H(F)$ such that $\overline{P}'_{min} = h\overline{P}_{min}h^{-1}$ and obviously the inequalities (a) and (b) are true for the pair $(\overline{P}_{min}, M_{min})$ if and only if they are true for the pair $(h\overline{P}_{min}h^{-1}, hM_{min}h^{-1}) = (\overline{P}'_{min}, hM_{min}h^{-1})$. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that $\overline{P}_{min} = \overline{P'}_{min}$. Then, there exists $\overline{u} \in \overline{U}_{min}(F)$ such that $M'_{min} = \overline{u}M_{min}\overline{u}^{-1}$ and we have $A'^{+}_{min} = \overline{u}A^{+}_{min}\overline{u}^{-1}$. By definition of A^{+}_{min}, the sets $\{a^{-1}\overline{u}a;\ a \in A^{+}_{min}\}$ and $\{a^{-1}\overline{u}^{-1}a;\ a \in A^{+}_{min}\}$ are bounded. It follows that

$$\sigma\left(h\overline{u}a\overline{u}^{-1}\right) \sim \sigma(ha)$$

$$\sigma\left(\overline{u}a^{-1}\overline{u}^{-1}h\overline{u}a\overline{u}^{-1}\right) \sim \sigma(a^{-1}ha)$$

for all $a \in A^{+}_{min}$ and all $h \in H(F)$. We easily deduce that the inequalities (a) and (b) are satisfies for the pair $(\overline{P}_{min}, M_{min})$ if and only if they are satisfied for the pair $(\overline{P}'_{min}, M'_{min})$.

We now reduce the proof of (a) and (b) to the codimension one case. Let $\overline{P}_0 = M_0\overline{U}_0$ be a good minimal parabolic subgroup of G_0. Let $A_0 = A_{M_0}$ be the split part of the center of M_0 and let

$$A_0^{+} = \{a_0 \in A_0(F);\ |\alpha(a)| \geqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_0, \overline{P}_0)\}$$

Set $\overline{P}_{min} = \overline{P}_0T\overline{N}$ and $M_{min} = M_0T$. Then, $\overline{P}_{min}$ is a good parabolic subgroup of G, M_{min} is a Levi component of it and $A^{+}_{min} \subseteq A(F)A_0^{+}$. We have

$$\sigma(nh_0aa_0) \gg \sigma(n) + \sigma(a) + \sigma(h_0a_0)$$

for all $h = nh_0 \in H(F) = N(F)H_0(F)$ and all $(a, a_0) \in A(F) \times A_0^{+}$. Since, $\sigma(aa_0) \sim \sigma(a) + \sigma(a_0)$ and $\sigma(nh_0) \sim \sigma(n) + \sigma(h_0)$ for all $(a, a_0) \in A(F) \times A_0^{+}$ and all $(n, h_0) \in N(F) \times H_0(F)$, the inequality (a) will follow from

$$(5) \quad \sigma(h_0a_0) \gg \sigma(h_0) + \sigma(a_0), \text{ for all } a_0 \in A_0^+ \text{ and all } h_0 \in H_0(F).$$

On the other hand, we have $\sigma(a^{-1}na) \gg \sigma(n)$ for all $a \in A_{min}^+$ and all $n \in N(F)$. Hence,

$$\sigma(a^{-1}nh_0a) \gg \sigma(n) + \sigma(a^{-1}h_0a) = \sigma(n) + \sigma(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0)$$

for all $(n, h_0) \in N(F) \times H_0(F)$ and all $a \in A_{min}^+$, where a_0 denote the unique element of A_0^+ such that $aa_0^{-1} \in A(F)$. Hence, the point (b) will follow from

$$(6) \quad \sigma(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0) \gg \sigma(h_0), \text{ for all } a_0 \in A_0^+ \text{ and all } h_0 \in H_0(F).$$

Of course, to prove (5) and (6) we may work with any pair $(\overline{P}_0, M_0)$ that we want. Introduce a sequence $(w_0, \ldots, w_\ell)$ and a parabolic subgroup $\overline{P}_0 = \overline{P}_W \times \overline{P}_{V_0}$ of G_0 as in (i). By (1), $\overline{P}_0$ is a good parabolic subgroup of G_0. Let M_{V_0} be the Levi component of P_{V_0} that preserves the lines

$$\langle u_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle u_{\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \rceil} \rangle \text{ and } \langle u_{-1} \rangle, \ldots, \langle u_{-\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \rceil} \rangle$$

where we have set $u_{-i} = w_{2i-2} - w_{2i-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \rceil$, and let M_W be the Levi component of $\overline{P}_W$ that preserves the lines

$$\langle u'_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle u'_{\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor} \rangle \text{ and } \langle u'_{-1} \rangle, \ldots, \langle u'_{-\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor} \rangle$$

where we have set $u'_{-i} = w_{2i-1} - w_{2i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor$. Set

$$M_0 = M_W \times M_{V_0}$$

It is a Levi component of $\overline{P}_0$. We are going to prove (5) and (6) for the particular pair $(\overline{P}_0, M_0)$. We have a decomposition

$$A_0^+ = A_W^+ \times A_{V_0}^+$$

where A_W^+ and $A_{V_0}^+$ are defined in the obvious way. For all $a_{V_0} \in A_{V_0}^+$ (resp. $a_W \in A_W^+$) let us denote by $a_{V_0}^1, \ldots, a_{V_0}^{\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \rceil}$ (resp. $a_W^1, \ldots, a_W^{\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor}$) the eigenvalues of a_{V_0} (resp. a_W) acting on $u_1, \ldots, u_{\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \rceil}$ (resp. on $u'_1, \ldots, u'_{\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor}$). Then, we have

$$|a_{V_0}^1| \geqslant \ldots \geqslant |a_{V_0}^{\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \rceil}| \geqslant 1$$

$$|a_W^1| \geqslant \ldots \geqslant |a_W^{\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor}| \geqslant 1$$

for all $a_{V_0} \in A_{V_0}^+$ and all $a_W \in A_W^+$.

Of course we have

$$\sigma(h_0) + \sigma(a_0) \ll \sigma(h_0 a_0) + \sigma(h_0)$$
$$\sigma(h_0) \ll \sigma_{U(V_0)}(h_0 a_{V_0}) + \sigma_{U(V_0)}(a_{V_0})$$
$$\sigma_{U(V_0)}(h_0 a_{V_0}) \ll \sigma(h_0 a_0)$$

for all $a_0 = (a_W, a_{V_0}) \in A_0^+ = A_W^+ \times A_{V_0}^+$ and all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$. Hence (5) will follow from

(7) $\sigma_{U(V_0)}(a_{V_0}) \ll \sigma_{U(V_0)}(h_0 a_{V_0})$, for all $a_{V_0} \in A_{V_0}^+$ and all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$.

We have

$$(8) \quad \sigma(a_{V_0}) \sim \log\left(1 + |a_{V_0}^1|\right)$$

for all $a_{V_0} \in A_{V_0}^+$. Moreover, for all $a_{V_0} \in A_{V_0}^+$ and all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$ we have

$$h(h_0 a_{V_0} u_1, w_0) = a_{V_0}^1 h(h_0 u_1, w_0) = a_{V_0}^1 \left(h(w_0, w_0) + h(h_0 w_1, w_0)\right) = a_{V_0}^1 \nu$$

Since $\sigma_{U(V_0)}(g) \gg \log\left(1 + |h(gu_1, w_0)|\right)$ for all $g \in U(W)$, (7) follows.

We now concentrate on the proof of (6). Obviously, we only need to prove the following

(9) For all $v, v' \in V_0$, we have an inequality

$$\log\left(2 + |h(h_0 v, v')|\right) \ll \sigma(a_0^{-1} h_0 a_0)$$

for all $a_0 \in A_0^+$ and all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$.

By sesquilinearity and since $|h(h_0 v, v')| = |h(h_0^{-1} v', v)|$, it suffices to prove (9) in the following cases

- $v = w_i$ and $v' \in \langle w_i, \ldots, w_\ell \rangle \oplus W_{an}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$;
- $v, v' \in W_{an}$

(recall that W_{an} denote the orthogonal complement of $\langle w_0, \ldots, w_\ell \rangle$ in V_0). The proof of (9) in the second case is easy since we have $h(a_{V_0}^{-1} h_0 a_{V_0} v, v') = h(h_0 v, v')$ for all $a_{V_0} \in A_{V_0}(F)$, all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$ and all $v, v' \in W_{an}$. Let us do the first case. The proof is by induction on i. For $i = 0$, the result is obvious since $h_0 w_0 = w_0$ for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$. Let $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$ and assume that (9) is satisfied for $v = w_{i-1}$ and all $v' \in \langle w_{i-1}, \ldots, w_\ell \rangle \oplus W_{an}$. If i is odd, then the subspace $\langle w_{i-1}, \ldots, w_\ell \rangle \oplus W_{an}$ is preserved by $A_{V_0}(F)$. Obviously, we only need to prove (9) for v' an eigenvector for the action of $A_{V_0}(F)$ on that subspace. For all $a_{V_0} \in A_{V_0}^+$, the eigenvalue of a_{V_0} on v' have an absolute value which is greater or equal to $|a_{V_0}^{(i-1)/2}|^{-1}$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(a_0^{-1} h_0 a_0) &\gg \log\left(2 + |h(a_{V_0}^{-1} h_0 a_{V_0} u_{(i-1)/2}, v')|\right) \\ &\gg \log\left(2 + |a_{V_0}^{(i-1)/2}||h(h_0 u_{(i-1)/2}, a_{V_0} v')|\right) \\ &\gg \log\left(2 + |h(h_0 u_{(i-1)/2}, v')|\right)\end{aligned}$$

for all $a_0 = (a_W, a_{V_0}) \in A_0^+ = A_W^+ \times A_{V_0}^+$ and all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$. On the other hand we have $w_i = u_{(i-1)/2} - w_{i-1}$, so that

$$\log\left(2 + |h(h_0 w_i, v')|\right) \ll \log\left(2 + |h(h_0 u_{(i-1)/2}, v')|\right) + \log\left(2 + |h(h_0 w_{i-1}, v')|\right)$$

for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$. Combining the two previous inequalities and the induction hypothesis we get the desired inequality. If i is even, the proof is similar using the action on W rather than on V_0. ■

6.5 Some estimates

Lemma 6.5.1 *(i) There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the integral*

$$\int_{H_0(F)} \Xi^{G_0}(h_0) e^{\epsilon \sigma(h_0)} dh_0$$

is absolutely convergent.

(ii) There exists $d > 0$ such that the integral

$$\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(h) \sigma(h)^{-d} dh$$

is absolutely convergent.

(iii) For all $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the integral

$$\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(h) e^{\epsilon \sigma(h)} \left(1 + |\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh$$

is absolutely convergent (where $\lambda : H \to \mathbb{G}_a$ *is the homomorphism defined in subsection 6.2).*

Let $\overline{P}_{min} = M_{min}\overline{U}_{min}$ *be a good minimal parabolic subgroup of* G*. We have the following*

(iv) For all $\delta > 0$ *there exists* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that the integral*

$$I^1_{\epsilon,\delta}(m_{min}) = \int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(hm_{min})e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)}\left(1 + |\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh$$

is absolutely convergent for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$ *and there exists* $d > 0$ *such that*

$$I^1_{\epsilon,\delta}(m_{min}) \ll \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{min})^{-1/2}\sigma(m_{min})^d$$

for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$*.*

(v) Assume moreover that A *is contained in* $A_{M_{min}}$*. Then, for all* $\delta > 0$ *there exists* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that the integral*

$$I^2_{\epsilon,\delta}(m_{min}) = \int_{H(F)}\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(hm_{min})\Xi^G(h'hm_{min})e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)}e^{\epsilon\sigma(h')}\left(1 + |\lambda(h')|\right)^{-\delta} dh'dh$$

is absolutely convergent for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$ *and there exists* $d > 0$ *such that*

$$I^2_{\epsilon,\delta}(m) \ll \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{min})^{-1}\sigma(m_{min})^d$$

for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$*.*

Proof:

(i) This follows from the following fact

(1) There exists $\epsilon' > 0$ such that

$$\Xi^{G_0}(h_0) \ll \Xi^{H_0}(h_0)^2 e^{-\epsilon'\sigma(h_0)}$$

for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$.

If F is p-adic, this is proved in [Beu1] (lemme 12.0.5). The proof works equally well in the real case.

(ii) Let $d > 0$. By proposition 1.5.1(iv), if d is sufficiently large, we have

$$\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(h)\sigma(h)^{-d}dh = \int_{H_0(F)}\int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(h_0n)\sigma(h_0n)^{-d}dndh_0$$
$$\ll \int_{H_0(F)} \Xi^{G_0}(h_0)dh_0$$

(Note that $\delta_P(h_0) = 1$ and $\Xi^M(h_0) = \Xi^{G_0}(h_0)$ for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$) and this last integral is absolutely convergent by (i).

(iii) By (i) and since $\sigma(h_0n) \ll \sigma(h_0)+\sigma(n)$ for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$ and all $n \in N(F)$, it suffices to establish

(2) For all $\delta > 0$ and all $\epsilon_0 > 0$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the integral

$$I^0_{\epsilon,\delta}(h_0) = \int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(nh_0)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda(n)|\right)^{-\delta}dn$$

is absolutely convergent for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$ and satisfies the inequality

$$I^0_{\epsilon,\delta}(h_0) \ll \Xi^{G_0}(h_0)e^{\epsilon_0\sigma(h_0)}$$

for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$.

Let $\delta > 0$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We want to prove that (2) holds if ϵ is sufficiently small (compared to δ and ϵ_0). We shall introduce an auxiliary parameter $b > 0$ that we will precise later. For all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$, we have $I^0_{\epsilon,\delta}(h_0) = I^0_{\epsilon,\delta,\leqslant b}(h_0) + I^0_{\epsilon,\delta,>b}(h_0)$ where

$$I^0_{\epsilon,\delta,\leqslant b}(h_0) = \int_{N(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma\leqslant b}(n)\Xi^G(nh_0)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda(n)|\right)^{-\delta}dn$$

$$I^0_{\epsilon,\delta,>b}(h_0) = \int_{N(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma>b}(n)\Xi^G(nh_0)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda(n)|\right)^{-\delta}dn$$

For all $d > 0$, we have

$$I^0_{\epsilon,\delta,\leqslant b}(h_0) \leqslant e^{\epsilon b}b^d\int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(nh_0)\sigma(n)^{-d}dn$$

for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$ and all $b > 0$. By proposition 1.5.1(iv), we may choose $d > 0$ such that the last integral above is essentially bounded by $\delta_P(h_0)^{1/2}\Xi^M(h_0) = \Xi^{G_0}(h_0)$ for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$. We henceforth fix such a $d > 0$. Hence, we have

$$(3)\quad I^0_{\epsilon,\delta,\leqslant b}(h_0) \ll e^{\epsilon b} b^d \Xi^{G_0}(h_0)$$

for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$ and all $b > 0$.

There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\Xi^G(g_1 g_2) \ll e^{\alpha\sigma(g_2)} \Xi^G(g_1)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$. It follows that

$$(4)\quad I^0_{\epsilon,\delta,>b}(h_0) \ll e^{\alpha\sigma(h_0)-\sqrt{\epsilon}b} \int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(n) e^{(\epsilon+\sqrt{\epsilon})\sigma(n)} \left(1+|\lambda(n)|\right)^{-\delta} dn$$

for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$ and all $b > 0$. Assume one moment that the last integral above is convergent if ϵ is sufficiently small. Taking ϵ that sufficiently small and combining (3) with (4), we get

$$I^0_{\epsilon,\delta}(h_0) \ll e^{\epsilon b} b^d \Xi^{G_0}(h_0) + e^{\alpha\sigma(h_0)-\sqrt{\epsilon}b}$$

for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$ and for all $b > 0$. There exists $\beta > 0$ such that $e^{-\beta\sigma(h_0)} \ll \Xi^{G_0}(h_0)$ for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$. Plugging $b = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\sigma(h_0)$ in the last inequality, we obtain

$$I^0_{\epsilon,\delta}(h_0) \ll e^{\sqrt{\epsilon}(\alpha+\beta+1)\sigma(h_0)} \Xi^{G_0}(h_0)$$

for all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$. Hence, for $\epsilon \leqslant \epsilon_0^2(\alpha+\beta+1)^{-2}$, (2) indeed holds.

It remains to prove the convergence of the integral on the right hand side of (4) for ϵ sufficiently small. If P is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G then it follows from corollary B.3.1 (since in this case λ is a generic additive character of N). Assume this is not the case. Then, we can find two isotropic vectors $z_{0,+}, z_{0,-} \in V_0$ such that $z_0 = z_{0,+} - z_{0,-}$. We have a decomposition $\lambda = \lambda_+ - \lambda_-$ where

$$\lambda_+(n) = Tr_{E/F}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} h(z_{-i-1}, nz_i) + h(z_{-1}, nz_{0,+})\right), \quad n \in N$$

$$\lambda_-(n) = Tr_{E/F}\left(h(z_{-1}, nz_{0,-})\right), \quad n \in N$$

Note that the additive character λ_+ is the restriction to N of a generic additive character of the unipotent radical of a minimal parabolic subgroup contained in P. Hence, corollary B.3.1 applies to λ_+. Choose a one-parameter subgroup $a : \mathbb{G}_m \to M$ such that $\lambda_+(a(t)na(t)^{-1}) = t\lambda_+(n)$ and $\lambda_-(a(t)na(t)^{-1}) = t^{-1}\lambda_-(n)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$ and all $n \in N$ (such a one-parameter subgroup is easily seen to exist). Let $\mathcal{U} \subset F^\times$ be a compact neighborhood of 1. Then, for all $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(n)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda(n)|\right)^{-\delta} dn \ll \int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(n)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda(a(t)na(t)^{-1})|\right)^{-\delta} dn$$
$$= \int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(n)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|t\lambda_+(n)-t^{-1}\lambda_-(n)|\right)^{-\delta} dn$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{U}$. Integrating this last inequality over $\mathcal{U}$, we get that for all $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(n)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda(n)|\right)^{-\delta} dn \ll \int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(n)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)} \int_{\mathcal{U}}\left(1+|t\lambda_+(n)-t^{-1}\lambda_-(n)|\right)^{-\delta} dtdn$$

By lemma B.1.1, there exists $\delta' > 0$ depending only on $\delta > 0$ such that the last expression above is essentially bounded by

$$\int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(n)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda_+(n)|\right)^{-\delta'} dn$$

Now by corollary B.3.1, this last integral is convergent if ϵ is sufficiently small.

(iv) Let $\delta > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We want to show that (iv) holds if ϵ is sufficiently small (compared to δ). Since $\Xi^G(g^{-1}) \sim \Xi^G(g)$, $\sigma(g^{-1}) \sim \sigma(g)$ and $\lambda(h^{-1}) = -\lambda(h)$ for all $g \in G(F)$ and all $h \in H(F)$, it is equivalent to show the following

(5) If ϵ is sufficiently small the integral

$$J^1_{\epsilon,\delta}(m_{min}) = \int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(m_{min}h)e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)}\left(1+|\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh$$

is absolutely convergent for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$ and there exists $d > 0$ such that

$$J^1_{\epsilon,\delta}(m_{min}) \ll \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{min})^{1/2}\sigma(m_{min})^d$$

for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$.

Let K be a maximal parabolic subgroup of $G(F)$ that is special in the p-adic case. Fix a map $m_{\overline{P}_{min}} : G(F) \to M_{min}(F)$ such that $g \in m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(g)\overline{U}_{min}(F)K$ for all $g \in G(F)$. By proposition 1.5.1(ii), there exists $d > 0$ such that we have

$$J^1_{\epsilon,\delta}(m_{min}) \ll \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{min})^{1/2}\sigma(m_{min})^d \int_{H(F)} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(h))^{1/2}\sigma(h)^d e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)}\left(1+|\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh$$

for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$. Of course, for any $\epsilon' > \epsilon$ we have $\sigma(h)^d e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} \ll e^{\epsilon'\sigma(h)}$, for all $h \in H(F)$. Hence, we only need to prove that for ϵ sufficiently small the integral

$$(6) \quad \int_{H(F)} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(h))^{1/2} e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} \left(1 + |\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh$$

is absolutely convergent. Since $\overline{P}_{min}$ is a good parabolic subgroup, we may find compact neighborhood of the identity $\mathcal{U}_K \subset K$, $\mathcal{U}_H \subset H(F)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\overline{P}} \subset \overline{P}_{min}(F)$ such that $\mathcal{U}_K \subset \mathcal{U}_{\overline{P}}\mathcal{U}_H$. We have inequalities

$$e^{\epsilon\sigma(k_H h)} \ll e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} \text{ and } \left(1 + |\lambda(k_H h)|\right)^{-\delta} \ll \left(1 + |\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta}$$

for all $h \in H(F)$ and for all $k_H \in \mathcal{U}_H$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{H(F)} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(h))^{1/2} e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} \left(1 + |\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh \\
&\ll \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(k_{\overline{P}})^{1/2} \int_{H(F)} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(k_H h))^{1/2} e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} \left(1 + |\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh \\
&= \int_{H(F)} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(k_{\overline{P}} k_H h))^{1/2} e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} \left(1 + |\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k_H \in \mathcal{U}_H$ and all $k_{\overline{P}} \in \mathcal{U}_{\overline{P}}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{H(F)} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(h))^{1/2} e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} \left(1 + |\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh \\
&\ll \int_{H(F)} \int_{\mathcal{U}_K} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(kh))^{1/2} dk e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} \left(1 + |\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh \\
&\ll \int_{H(F)} \int_{K} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(kh))^{1/2} dk e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} \left(1 + |\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh
\end{aligned}$$

By proposition 1.5.1(iii), the inner integral above is equal to $\Xi^G(h)$ (for a suitable normalization) and the convergence of (6) for ϵ sufficiently small now follows from (iii).

(v) Let $\delta > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We want to prove that (v) holds if ϵ is sufficiently small (compared to δ). After the variable change $h' \mapsto h'h^{-1}$, we are left with proving that for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small the integral

$$I^3_{\epsilon,\delta}(m_{min}) = \int_{H(F)} \int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(hm_{min}) \Xi^G(h'm_{min}) e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} e^{\epsilon\sigma(h')} \left(1 + |\lambda(h') - \lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta} dh' dh$$

is absolutely convergent for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$ and that there exists $d > 0$ such that

$$(7)\quad I^3_{\epsilon,\delta}(m_{min}) \ll \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{min})^{-1}\sigma(m_{min})^d$$

for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$. Let $a : \mathbb{G}_m \to A$ be a one-parameter subgroup such that $\lambda(a(t)ha(t)^{-1}) = t\lambda(h)$ for all $h \in H$ and all $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset F^\times$ be a compact neighborhood of 1. Since A is in the center of M_{min}, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &I^3_{\epsilon,\delta}(m_{min}) \\ &\ll \int_{H(F)}\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(hm_{min})\Xi^G(h'm_{min})e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)}e^{\epsilon\sigma(h')}\int_{\mathcal{U}}\left(1+|\lambda(a(t)h'a(t)^{-1})-\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta}dtdh'dh \\ &= \int_{H(F)}\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(hm_{min})\Xi^G(h'm_{min})e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)}e^{\epsilon\sigma(h')}\int_{\mathcal{U}}\left(1+|t\lambda(h')-\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta}dtdh'dh \end{aligned}$$

for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$. By lemma B.1.1, there exists $\delta' > 0$ depending only on δ such that the last integral above is essentially bounded by

$$\int_{H(F)}\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(hm_{min})\Xi^G(h'm_{min})e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)}e^{\epsilon\sigma(h')}\left(1+|\lambda(h')|\right)^{-\delta'}\left(1+|\lambda(h)|\right)^{-\delta'}dh'dh$$

for all $m_{min} \in M_{min}(F)$. This last integral is equal to $I^1_{\epsilon,\delta'}(m_{min})^2$. Hence, the inequality (7) for ϵ sufficiently small now follows from (iv). ■

6.6 Relative weak Cartan decompositions

6.6.1 Relative weak Cartan decomposition for G_0

Recall that in subsection 6.2, we have defined two subgroups G_0 and H_0 of G and H respectively. The triple $(G_0, H_0, 1)$ is a GGP triple which fall into the "codimension one" case. Of course, proposition 6.4.1 apply as well to this case. In particular, G_0 admits good minimal parabolic subgroups. Let $\overline{P}_0 = M_0\overline{U}_0$ be such a minimal parabolic subgroup of G_0 and denote by $A_0 = A_{M_0}$ the maximal central split subtorus of M_0. Set

$$A_0^+ = \{a \in A_0(F);\ |\alpha(a)| \geqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_0, \overline{P}_0)\}$$

Proposition 6.6.1 *There exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K}_0 \subseteq G_0(F)$ such that*

$$G_0(F) = H_0(F)A_0^+\mathcal{K}_0$$

Proof: First, we prove that the result doesn't depend on the particular pair $(\overline{P}_0, M_0)$ that has been chosen. Let $(\overline{P}'_0, M'_0)$ be another such pair i.e. $\overline{P}'_0$ is a good minimal parabolic subgroup of G_0 and M'_0 is a Levi component of it. By proposition 6.4.1(i), there exists $h \in H(F)$ such that $\overline{P}'_0 = h\overline{P}_0h^{-1}$. Obviously, the result of the proposition for $(\overline{P}_0, M_0)$ implies the same result for the pair $(h\overline{P}_0h^{-1}, hM_0h^{-1}) = (\overline{P}'_0, hM_0h^{-1})$. Moreover, there exists a $\overline{p}'_0 \in \overline{P}'_0(F)$ such that $hM_0h^{-1} = \overline{p}'_0M'_0\overline{p}'^{-1}_0$. The result for the pair $(\overline{P}'_0, hM_0h^{-1})$ now implies the result for $(\overline{P}'_0, M'_0)$ because, by definition of A'^{+}_0, the set

$$\{a'^{-1}_0\overline{p}'_0a'_0,\ a'_0 \in A'^{+}_0\}$$

is bounded. Thus, it suffices to prove that the proposition holds for one particular pair $(\overline{P}_0, M_0)$. In the p-adic case, this follows from proposition 11.0.1 of [Beu1]. We could argue that in the real case the same proof works. Instead, we prefer to rely on the main result of [KKSS]. Fix a good minimal parabolic subgroup $\overline{P}_0 \subseteq G_0$. By proposition 6.4.1(i), there exists a Levi component M_0 of $\overline{P}_0$ such that $H_0 \cap \overline{P}_0 \subseteq M_0$. By theorem 5.13 of [KKSS], there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K}_0 \subseteq G_0(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$G_0(\mathbb{R}) = H_0(\mathbb{R})F''A^{-}_Z\mathcal{K}_0$$

where A^{-}_Z is a certain submonoid of $A_0(\mathbb{R})$ (the exponential of the so-called "compression cone" associated to the real spherical variety $Z = H_0(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_0(\mathbb{R})$, cf section 5.1 of [KKSS]) and F'' is a subset of $N_{G_0(\mathbb{R})}(H_0)F$, F being any set of representatives for the open $H_0(\mathbb{R}) \times \overline{P}_0(\mathbb{R})$ double cosets in $G_0(\mathbb{R})$. By proposition 6.4.1(i), we can take $F = \{1\}$. Moreover, we easily check that $N_{G_0(\mathbb{R})}(H_0) = H_0(\mathbb{R})Z_{G_0}(\mathbb{R})$. As $Z_{G_0}(\mathbb{R})$ is compact, up to multiplying $\mathcal{K}_0$ by $Z_{G_0}(\mathbb{R})$, we may also assume that $F'' = \{1\}$. To end the proof of the proposition, it only remains to see that $A^{-}_Z \subseteq A^{+}_0$ (note that our convention for the positive chamber is the opposite to that of [KKSS], this is because we are denoting our good parabolic subgroup by $\overline{P}_0$ and not by P_0). But this follows from the fact that the real spherical variety $Z = H_0(\mathbb{R})\backslash G_0(\mathbb{R})$ is wavefront (cf definition 6.1 of [KKSS] noting that here $\mathfrak{a}_H = 0$, the notion of wavefront spherical variety has been first introduced in [SV]). To see this, we can proceed as follows. Consider the complex homogeneous space $Z_{\mathbb{C}} = H_0(\mathbb{C})\backslash G_0(\mathbb{C}) \simeq GL_{d-1}(\mathbb{C})\backslash (GL_{d-1}(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_d(\mathbb{C}))$. It is spherical (it follows for example from proposition 6.4.1(i) applied to GGP triples of codimension one with G quasi-split) and wavefront by remark 6.2 of [KKSS]. On the other hand, it is easy to see from the characterization of the compression cone given in lemma 5.9 of [KKSS] that a sufficient condition for a real spherical variety to be wavefront is that its complexification is spherical and wavefront. Thus Z is wavefront and this ends the proof of the proposition in the real case. ■

6.6.2 Relative weak Cartan decomposition for G

Let the quadruple $(\overline{P}_0, M_0, A_0, A^{+}_0)$ be as in the previous section. Denote by $\overline{P} = M\overline{N}$ the parabolic subgroup opposite to P with respect to M and define the following subgroups of G:

$$A_{min} = A_0A \subseteq M_{min} = M_0T \subseteq \overline{P}_{min} = \overline{P}_0T\overline{N}$$

Then, $\overline{P}_{min}$ is a parabolic subgroup, M_{min} is a Levi component of it and A_{min} is the maximal split central subtorus of M_{min}. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\overline{P}_{min}$ is a good parabolic subgroup of G. Set

$$A_{min}^+ = \{a \in A_{min}(F);\ |\alpha(a)| \geqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_{min}, \overline{P}_{min})\}$$

We will denote by P_{min} the parabolic subgroup opposite to $\overline{P}_{min}$ with respect to M_{min}. We have $P_{min} \subseteq P$. Let Δ be the set of simple roots of A_{min} in P_{min} and $\Delta_P = \Delta \cap R(A_{min}, N)$ be the subset of simple roots appearing in $\mathfrak{n} = Lie(N)$. For $\alpha \in \Delta_P$, we will denote by $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ the corresponding root space. Recall also that we have defined in subsection 6.2, a character ξ of $\mathfrak{n}(F)$.

Lemma 6.6.1 *We have the followings*

(i)

$$A_{min}^+ = \{a \in A_0^+ A(F);\ |\alpha(a)| \leqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in \Delta_P\}$$

(ii) There exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K}_G \subseteq G(F)$ *such that*

$$G(F) = H(F)A_0^+ A(F)\mathcal{K}_G$$

(iii) For all $\alpha \in \Delta_P$*, the restriction of* ξ *to* $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha(F)$ *is nontrivial.*

Proof: (i) is obvious, so we only provide a proof of (ii) and (iii).

(ii) Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case. Then we have the Iwasawa decomposition

$$(1)\quad G(F) = P(F)K = N(F)G_0(F)T(F)K$$

Since $A = A_T$ is the maximal split subtorus of T, there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K}_T \subseteq T(F)$ such that

$$(2)\quad T(F) = A(F)\mathcal{K}_T$$

Also by proposition 6.6.1, we know there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K}_0 \subseteq G_0(F)$ such that

$$(3)\quad G_0(F) = H_0(F)A_0^+\mathcal{K}_0$$

Combining (1), (2) and (3), and since A and G_0 centralize each other, we get

$$G(F) = H(F)A_0^+ A(F)\mathcal{K}_G$$

where $\mathcal{K}_G = \mathcal{K}_0\mathcal{K}_T K$.

(iii) Let $\alpha \in \Delta_P$ and assume, by way of contradiction, that ξ is trivial when restricted to $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha(F)$. Recall that ξ is the composition $\xi = \psi \circ \lambda_F$ where λ is an algebraic additive character $\mathfrak{n} \to \mathbb{G}_a$. Since $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ is a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{n}$, the condition that ξ is trivial on $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha(F)$ amounts to saying that λ is trivial on $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$. This has the advantage of reducing everything to a statement over $\overline{F}$. Since λ is invariant by H_0-conjugation and $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ is invariant by both T-conjugation and $\overline{P}_0$-conjugation, it follows that λ is trivial on $m\mathfrak{n}_\alpha m^{-1}$ for all $m \in H_0\overline{P}_0T$. But $\overline{P}_0$ being a good parabolic subgroup of G_0, $H_0\overline{P}_0T$ is Zariski-dense in $M = G_0T$. Hence, λ is trivial on $m\mathfrak{n}_\alpha m^{-1}$ for all $m \in M$. This is a contradiction in view of lemma 6.2.1(ii) (since $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ is not included in $[\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{n}]$). ■

6.7 The function $\Xi^{H\backslash G}$ and the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space of $H\backslash G$

Let $C \subseteq G(F)$ be a compact subset with nonempty interior. We define a function $\Xi_C^{H\backslash G}$ on $H(F)\backslash G(F)$ by

$$\Xi_C^{H\backslash G}(x) = vol_{H\backslash G}(xC)^{-1/2}$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. It is not hard to see that if $C' \subseteq G(F)$ is another compact subset with nonempty interior, we have

$$\Xi_C^{H\backslash G}(x) \sim \Xi_{C'}^{H\backslash G}(x)$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. From now on, we will assume implicitly fixed a compact subset with nonempty interior $C \subseteq G(F)$ and we will set

$$\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x) = \Xi_C^{H\backslash G}(x)$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. The precise choice of C won't matter because the function $\Xi^{H\backslash G}$ will only be used for estimates purposes.

Proposition 6.7.1 *(i) For all compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq G(F)$, we have the following equivalences of functions*

(a)
$$\Xi^{H\backslash G}(xk) \sim \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x) \quad .$$

(b)
$$\sigma_{H\backslash G}(xk) \sim \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x) \quad .$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$ and all $k \in \mathcal{K}$.

(ii) Let $\overline{P}_0 = M_0\overline{U}_0 \subseteq G_0$ be a good minimal parabolic subgroup of G_0 and $A_0 = A_{M_0}$ be the split part of the center of M_0. Set

$$A_0^+ = \{a_0 \in A_0(F);\ |\alpha(a_0)| \geqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_0,\overline{P}_0)\}$$

then there exists a positive constant $d > 0$ such that

(a) $$\Xi^{G_0}(a_0)\delta_P(a)^{1/2}\sigma(a_0)^{-d} \ll \Xi^{H\backslash G}(aa_0) \ll \Xi^{G_0}(a_0)\delta_P(a)^{1/2} \quad .$$

(b) $$\sigma_{H\backslash G}(aa_0) \sim \sigma_G(aa_0) \quad .$$

for all $a_0 \in A_0^+$ and all $a \in A(F)$.

(iii) There exists $d > 0$ such that the integral

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-d} dx$$

is absolutely convergent.

(iv) For all $d > 0$, there exists $d' > 0$ such that

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma_{H\backslash G}\leqslant c}(x) \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{d} dx \ll c^{d'}$$

for all $c \geqslant 1$.

(v) There exist $d > 0$ and $d' > 0$ such that

$$\int_{H(F)} \Xi^{G}(x^{-1}hx)\sigma_G(x^{-1}hx)^{-d} dh \ll \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{d'}$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$.

(vi) For all $d > 0$, there exists $d' > 0$ such that

$$\int_{H(F)} \Xi^{G}(hx)\sigma(hx)^{-d'} dh \ll \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x) \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-d}$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$.

(vii) Let $\delta > 0$ and $d > 0$. Then, the integral

$$I_{\delta,d}(c,x) = \int_{H(F)} \int_{H(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma\geqslant c}(h') \Xi^{G}(hx) \Xi^{G}(h'hx) \sigma(hx)^{d} \sigma(h'hx)^{d} \left(1+|\lambda(h')|\right)^{-\delta} dh' dh$$

is absolutely convergent for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$ and all $c \geqslant 1$. Moreover, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $d' > 0$ such that

$$I_{\delta,d}(c,x) \ll \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{d'} e^{-\epsilon c}$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$ and all $c \geqslant 1$.

Proof: Since $G \to H\backslash G$ has the norm descent property (lemma 6.2.1(i)), we may assume (and we will) that

$$(1) \quad \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x) = \inf_{h \in H(F)} \sigma_G(hx)$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$.

(i) is easy and left to the reader.

(ii) (a) Let $\overline{P} = M\overline{N}$ be the parabolic subgroup opposite to P with respect to M. Fix compact subsets with nonempty interior

$$C_{\overline{N}} \subseteq \overline{N}(F),\ C_T \subseteq T(F),\ C_0 \subseteq G_0(F) \text{ and } C_N \subseteq N(F)$$

Then $C = C_N C_T C_0 C_{\overline{N}}$ is a compact subset of $G(F)$ with nonempty interior. We have

$$\Xi^{H\backslash G}(g) \sim vol_{H\backslash G}(H(F)gC)^{-1/2}, \text{ for all } g \in G(F)$$

and there exists a $d > 0$ such that

$$\Xi^{G_0}(g_0)\sigma(g_0)^{-d} \ll vol_{G_0}(C_0 g_0 C_0)^{-1/2} \ll \Xi^{G_0}(g_0), \text{ for all } g_0 \in G_0(F).$$

So (ii)(a) is equivalent to

$$\delta_P(a)^{-1} vol_{G_0}(C_0 a_0 C_0) \sim vol_{H\backslash G}(H(F) a a_0 C)$$

for all $a_0 \in A_0^+$ and all $a \in A(F)$. We have

$$H(F) a a_0 C = H(F) a a_0 C_{\overline{P}}$$

for all $a_0 \in A_0(F)$ and $a \in A(F)$, where $C_{\overline{P}} = C_T C_0 C_{\overline{N}}$. Hence, we need to prove that

$$(2) \quad \delta_P(a)^{-1} vol_{G_0}(C_0 a_0 C_0) \sim vol_{H\backslash G}(H(F) a a_0 C_{\overline{P}}), \text{ for all } (a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F).$$

Let $C_{H_0} \subseteq H_0(F)$ be a compact subset with nonempty interior and set $C_H = C_N C_{H_0}$. It is a compact subset of $H(F)$ with nonempty interior. We claim that

$$(3) \quad vol_{H\backslash G}(H(F) a a_0 C_{\overline{P}}) \sim vol_G(C_H a a_0 C_{\overline{P}}), \text{ , for all } (a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F).$$

We have

$$vol_G(C_H a a_0 C_{\overline{P}}) = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{H(F)} \mathbb{1}_{C_H a a_0 C_{\overline{P}}}(hx)\, dh\, dx$$

for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F)$. The inner integral above is nonzero only if $x \in H(F)aa_0C_{\overline{P}}$ and is then equal to

$$vol_H\left(H(F) \cap C_H aa_0 C_{\overline{P}} x^{-1}\right) = vol_H\left(C_H\left(H(F) \cap aa_0 C_{\overline{P}} x^{-1}\right)\right)$$

Hence, to get (3), it is suffices to show that

$$vol_H\left(C_H\left(H(F) \cap aa_0 C_{\overline{P}} x^{-1}\right)\right) \sim 1$$

for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F)$ and all $x \in aa_0C_{\overline{P}}$. For such an x, we have $C_H \subseteq C_H\left(H(F) \cap aa_0C_{\overline{P}}x^{-1}\right)$, so that we easily get the inequality

$$vol_H\left(C_H\left(H(F) \cap aa_0 C_{\overline{P}} x^{-1}\right)\right) \gg 1$$

for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F)$ and all $x \in aa_0C_{\overline{P}}$. Let $C'_{\overline{P}} = C_{\overline{P}}.C_{\overline{P}}^{-1}$. To get the reverse inequality, it suffices to show that the subsets $H(F) \cap aa_0C'_{\overline{P}}(aa_0)^{-1}$ remain uniformly bounded as (a, a_0) runs through $A_0^+ \times A(F)$. Since $\overline{P} \cap H = H_0$, we have

$$H(F) \cap aa_0 C'_{\overline{P}}(aa_0)^{-1} = H_0(F) \cap a_0 C'_0 a_0^{-1}$$

for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F)$, where $C'_0 = C'_{\overline{P}} \cap G_0(F)$. Now, the subsets $H_0(F) \cap a_0C'_0a_0^{-1}$, $a_0 \in A_0^+$, are uniformly bounded by proposition 6.4.1(iii)(b). This ends the proof of (3).

By (3), (2) is now equivalent to

(4) $\delta_P(a)^{-1} vol_{G_0}\left(C_0 a_0 C_0\right) \sim vol_G\left(C_H aa_0 C_{\overline{P}}\right)$, for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F)$.

Recall that we have $C_H = C_N C_{H_0}$ and $C_{\overline{P}} = C_T C_0 C_{\overline{N}}$. Hence

$$C_H aa_0 C_{\overline{P}} = C_N\left(aC_T\right)\left(C_{H_0} a_0 C_0\right) C_{\overline{N}}$$

for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0(F) \times A(F)$. For suitable choices of Haar measures, we have the decomposition $dg = \delta_P(t)^{-1} dndtdg_0d\overline{n}$ where dn, dt, dg_0 and $d\overline{n}$ are Haar measures on respectively $N(F)$, $T(F)$, $G_0(F)$ and $\overline{N}(F)$. From these, it follows easily that

$$vol_G\left(C_H aa_0 C_{\overline{P}}\right) \sim \delta_P(a)^{-1} vol_{G_0}\left(C_{H_0} a_0 C_0\right)$$

for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0(F) \times A(F)$. Hence, the last thing to show to get (4) is

(5) $vol_{G_0}\left(C_0 a_0 C_0\right) \sim vol_{G_0}\left(C_{H_0} a_0 C_0\right)$, for all $a_0 \in A_0^+$.

The inequality $vol_{G_0}(C_{H_0}a_0C_0) \ll vol_{G_0}(C_0a_0C_0)$ is obvious. So, we only need to prove the reverse one. The choice of C_0 doesn't matter. Since $H_0(F)\overline{P}_0(F)$ is open in $G_0(F)$, we may assume that $C_0 = C_{H_0}C_{\overline{P}_0}$ where $C_{\overline{P}_0} \subseteq \overline{P}_0(F)$ is a compact subset with nonempty interior. By definition of A_0^+, the subsets $a_0^{-1}C_{\overline{P}_0}a_0$ remain uniformly bounded as a_0 runs through A_0^+. Hence, there exists a compact subset $C_0' \subseteq G_0(F)$ such that

$$a_0^{-1}C_{\overline{P}_0}a_0C_0 \subseteq C_0'$$

for all $a_0 \in A_0^+$. From this, we get

$$vol_{G_0}(C_0a_0C_0) \leqslant vol_{G_0}(C_{H_0}a_0C_0') \ll vol_{G_0}(C_{H_0}a_0C_0)$$

for all $a_0 \in A_0^+$. This ends the proof of (5) and hence the proof of (ii)(a).

(b) Obviously, we have the inequality $\sigma_{H\backslash G}(g) \ll \sigma_G(g)$, for all $g \in G(F)$. So, we only need to show that

$$\sigma_G(a_0a) \ll \sigma_{H\backslash G}(a_0a)$$

for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F)$. Because of (1), this is equivalent to the inequality

$$(6) \quad \sigma_G(a_0a) \ll \sigma_G(ha_0a), \text{ for all } (a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F) \text{ and all } h \in H(F).$$

Every $h \in H(F)$ may be written $h = nh_0$ where $n \in N(F)$, $h_0 \in H_0(F)$, and we have

$$\sigma_G(ng_0t) \gg \sigma_{G_0}(g_0) + \sigma_G(t)$$

for all $n \in N(F)$, $g_0 \in G_0(F)$ and $t \in T(F)$. Hence, we have

$$\sigma_G(nh_0a_0a) \gg \sigma_{G_0}(h_0a_0) + \sigma_G(a)$$

for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F)$, all $n \in N(F)$ and all $h_0 \in H_0(F)$. Since, $\sigma_G(a_0a) \sim \sigma_{G_0}(a_0) + \sigma_G(a)$ for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0(F) \times A(F)$, to get (6) it suffices to show that

$$\sigma_{G_0}(a_0) \ll \sigma_{G_0}(h_0a_0)$$

for all $a_0 \in A_0^+$ and $h_0 \in H_0(F)$. But, this inequality is a straightforward consequence of proposition 6.4.1(iii)(a).

(iii) Let $C \subseteq G(F)$ be a compact subset with non-empty interior. Let us first show that (iii) follows from the following fact

(7) There exists a positive integer $N > 0$ such that for all $R \geqslant 1$, the subset $B(R) = \{x \in H(F)\backslash G(F);\ \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x) < R\}$ may be covered by less than $(1+R)^N$ subsets of the form xC, $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$.

(we then say that $H(F)\backslash G(F)$ has polynomial growth following [Ber1]). Indeed, set

$$\lambda(R,d) = \int_{B(R+1)\backslash B(R)} \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-d} dx$$

For all $d > 0$ and $R \geqslant 1$. Then we have

$$(8) \quad \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-d} dx = \sum_{R=1}^{\infty} \lambda(R,d)$$

for all $d > 0$. By (7), for all $R \geqslant 1$, $B(R+1)\backslash B(R)$ may be covered by subsets $x_1C, \ldots, x_{k_R}C$ where $k_R \leqslant (R+2)^N$. Hence,

$$(9) \quad \lambda(R,d) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k_R} \int_{x_iC} \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-d} dx$$

for all $d > 0$ and all $R \geqslant 1$. By (i).(a) and (i).(b) and the definition of $\Xi^{H\backslash G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{yC} \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-d} dx &\ll vol_{H\backslash G}\,(yC)\, \Xi^{H\backslash G}(y)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(y)^{-d} \\ &\ll \sigma_{H\backslash G}(y)^{-d} \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. Consequently, by (9), we get

$$(10) \quad \lambda(R,d) \ll \sum_{i=1}^{k_R} \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x_i)^{-d}$$

for all $d > 0$ and all $R \geqslant 1$. We may of course assume that $x_iC \cap (B(R+1)\backslash B(R)) \neq \emptyset$ for all $R \geqslant 1$ and all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k_R$. Then by (i).(b), we have

$$\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x_i)^{-1} \ll R^{-1}$$

for all $R \geqslant 1$ and all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k_R$. Combining this with (10), we finally get

$$\lambda(R,d) \ll R^{-d}k_R \leqslant (R+2)^N R^{-d}$$

for all $d>0$ and $R \geqslant 1$. Hence, for $d > N+1$, (8) is absolutely convergent. This ends the proof that (7) implies (iii).

Let us now prove (7). By lemma 6.6.1(ii), there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq G(F)$ such that

$$(11) \quad G(F) = H(F)A_0^+A(F)\mathcal{K}$$

Thus by (i).(b) and (ii).(b), we see that there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$B(R) \subseteq H(F)\{a_0a;\ a_0 \in A_0^+ \ a \in A(F)\ \sigma_G(aa_0) \leqslant c_0R\}\mathcal{K}$$

for all $R \geqslant 1$. Set $A_{min} = A_0A$. Using the above, it is easy to see that (7) is a consequence of the following fact which is not hard to prove and left to the reader

> Let $C_{min} \subseteq A_{min}(F)$ be a compact subset with nonempty interior. Then, there exists a positive integer $N>0$ such that for all $R \geqslant 1$, the subset $\{a \in A_{min}(F); \sigma_G(a) < R\}$ may be covered by less than $(1+R)^N$ subsets of the form aC_{min}, $a \in A_{min}(F)$.

(iv) By similar arguments, this also follows from (7).

(v) By (i), (ii) and (11) together with the fact that $\Xi^G(kgk^{-1}) \sim \Xi^G(g)$ and $\sigma_G(kgk^{-1}) \sim \sigma_G(g)$ for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and $g \in G(F)$, we only need to show the existence of $d>0$ such that

$$(12) \quad \int_{H(F)} \Xi^G\left(a^{-1}a_0^{-1}ha_0a\right)\sigma_G\left(a^{-1}a_0^{-1}ha_0a\right)^{-d} dh \ll \Xi^{G_0}(a_0)^2\delta_P(a)$$

for all $(a_0,a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G\left(a^{-1}a_0^{-1}ha_0a\right)\sigma_G\left(a^{-1}a_0^{-1}ha_0a\right)^{-d} dh \\ &= \int_{H_0(F)}\int_{N(F)} \Xi^G\left(a^{-1}a_0^{-1}h_0na_0a\right)\sigma_G\left(a^{-1}a_0^{-1}h_0na_0a\right)^{-d} dndh_0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(a_0,a) \in A_0(F)\times A(F)$ and all $d>0$. After the variable change $n \mapsto a_0ana^{-1}a_0$, the last integral above becomes

$$\delta_P(a)\int_{H_0(F)}\int_{N(F)}\Xi^G\left(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0n\right)\sigma_G\left(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0n\right)^{-d}dndh_0$$

By proposition 1.5.1(iv), for $d>0$ sufficiently large, we have

$$\int_{N(F)}\Xi^G\left(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0n\right)\sigma_G\left(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0n\right)^{-d}dn\ll\Xi^{G_0}\left(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0\right)$$

for all $a_0\in A_0(F)$ and all $h_0\in H_0(F)$. Hence, for $d>0$ sufficiently large we have

$$\int_{H(F)}\Xi^G\left(a^{-1}a_0^{-1}ha_0a\right)\sigma_G\left(a^{-1}a_0^{-1}ha_0a\right)^{-d}dh\ll\delta_P(a)^{-1}\int_{H_0(F)}\Xi^{G_0}\left(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0\right)dh_0$$

for all $(a_0,a)\in A_0(F)\times A(F)$. Thus to get (12), it is sufficient to show that

$$\text{(13)}\quad\int_{H_0(F)}\Xi^{G_0}\left(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0\right)dh_0\ll\Xi^{G_0}(a_0)^2$$

for all $a_0\in A_0^+$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{H_0}\subset H_0(F)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\overline{P}_0}\subset\overline{P}_0(F)$ be compact neighborhood of the identity. Since the subsets $a_0^{-1}\mathcal{U}_{\overline{P}_0}a_0$ remain uniformly bounded as a_0 runs through A_0^+, we have

$$\int_{H_0(F)}\Xi^{G_0}\left(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0\right)dh_0\ll\int_{H_0(F)}\Xi^{G_0}\left(a_0^{-1}k^1_{\overline{P}_0}k^1_{H_0}h_0k^2_{H_0}k^2_{\overline{P}_0}a_0\right)dh_0$$

for all $a_0\in A_0^+$, all $k^1_{H_0},k^2_{H_0}\in\mathcal{U}_{H_0}$ and all $k^1_{\overline{P}_0},k^2_{\overline{P}_0}\in\mathcal{U}_{\overline{P}_0}$. Let K_0 be a maximal compact subgroup of $G_0(F)$. Since $\overline{P}_0$ is a good parabolic subgroup of G_0, there exists a compact neighborhood of the identity $\mathcal{U}_{K_0}\subset K_0$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{K_0}\subset\mathcal{U}_{\overline{P}_0}\mathcal{U}_{H_0}\cap\mathcal{U}_{H_0}\mathcal{U}_{\overline{P}_0}$. From the last inequality above, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{H_0(F)}\Xi^{G_0}\left(a_0^{-1}h_0a_0\right)dh_0&\ll\int_{H_0(F)}\int_{\mathcal{U}_{K_0}^2}\Xi^{G_0}\left(a_0^{-1}k^1h_0k^2a_0\right)dk_1dk_2dh_0\\&\ll\int_{H_0(F)}\int_{K_0^2}\Xi^{G_0}\left(a_0^{-1}k^1h_0k^2a_0\right)dk_1dk_2dh_0\end{aligned}$$

for all $a_0\in A_0^+$. By the "doubling principle" (proposition 1.5.1(vi)), this last integral is essentially bounded by

$$\Xi^{G_0}(a_0)^2\int_{H_0(F)}\Xi^{G_0}(h_0)dh_0$$

for all $a_0\in A_0^+$. By lemma 6.5.1(i), the last integral above is convergent. This proves (13) and ends the proof of (v).

(vi) By (i), (ii), (1) and (11), it suffices to show the following

There exist $d > 0$ such that

$$\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(haa_0)\sigma(haa_0)^{-d} dh \ll \delta_P(a)^{1/2}\Xi^{G_0}(a_0)$$

for all $(a_0, a) \in A_0^+ \times A(F)$.

Using again proposition 1.5.1(iv), this will follow from the following inequality

$$\int_{H_0(F)} \Xi^{G_0}(h_0a_0)dh_0 \ll \Xi^{G_0}(a_0), \text{ for all } a_0 \in A_0^+..$$

To obtain this last inequality, we can argue as in the end of the proof of (v), using the "doubling principle" (proposition 1.5.1(vi)) and the fact that $\overline{P}_0$ is a good parabolic subgroup of G_0 to reduce it to the convergence of the integral

$$\int_{H_0(F)} \Xi^{G_0}(h_0)dh_0$$

which is a consequence of lemma 6.5.1(i).

(vii) By (i), (ii) and (11) and since for all $d > 0$ and all $\epsilon > 0$ we have $\mathbb{1}_{\sigma \geqslant c}(h)\sigma(h)^d \ll e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)}e^{-\epsilon c/2}$ for all $h \in H(F)$, it suffices to show the following

(14) For all $\delta > 0$, there exist $d > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_{H(F)}\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(haa_0)\Xi^G(h'haa_0)e^{\epsilon\sigma(h')}e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)} \left(1+|\lambda(h')|\right)^{-\delta} dh'dh$$
$$\ll \delta_P(a)\Xi^{G_0}(a_0)^2\sigma(aa_0)^d$$

for all $a_0 \in A_0^+$ and all $a \in A(F)$.

Let $\delta > 0$. Let $\overline{P} = M\overline{N}$ be the parabolic subgroup opposite to P with respect to M and set $\overline{P}_{min} = \overline{P}_0T\overline{N}$, $M_{min} = M_0T$. Then $\overline{P}_{min}$ is a good parabolic subgroup of G and M_{min} is a Levi component of it that contains A. Hence, by lemma 6.5.1(v), there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and $d > 0$ such that

$$\int_{H(F)}\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(haa_0)\Xi^G(h'haa_0)e^{\epsilon\sigma(h')}e^{\epsilon\sigma(h)}\left(1+|\lambda(h')|\right)^{-\delta} dh'dh \ll \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(aa_0)^{-1}\sigma(aa_0)^d$$

for all $a_0 \in A_0^+$ and all $a \in A(F)$. We have $\delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(aa_0)^{-1} = \delta_P(a)\delta_{\overline{P}_0}(a_0)$ and by proposition 1.5.1(i), we have $\delta_{\overline{P}_0}(a_0) \ll \Xi^{G_0}(a_0)^2$ for all $a_0 \in A_0^+$. It follows that the inequality (14) is satisfied for such an $\epsilon > 0$ and such a $d > 0$. ■

6.8 Parabolic degenerations

Proposition 6.8.1 *Let $\overline{Q}$ be a good parabolic subgroup of G. Denote by $U_{\overline{Q}}$ its unipotent radical and by $G_{\overline{Q}} = \overline{Q}/U_{\overline{Q}}$ its maximal reductive quotient. Set $H_{\overline{Q}} = H \cap \overline{Q}$. Then, we have the followings*

(i) $H_{\overline{Q}} \cap U_{\overline{Q}} = \{1\}$ so that $H_{\overline{Q}}$ is naturally a subgroup of $G_{\overline{Q}}$;

(ii) $\delta_{\overline{Q}}(h_{\overline{Q}}) = \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})$ for all $h_{\overline{Q}} \in H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$.

(iii) There exists $d > 0$ such that the integral

$$\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})\sigma(h_{\overline{Q}})^{-d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_Lh_{\overline{Q}}$$

is convergent.

If we are in the codimension one case (that is if $G = G_0$ and $H = H_0$), then for all $d > 0$ the integral

$$\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})\sigma(h_{\overline{Q}})^{d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_Lh_{\overline{Q}}$$

is convergent.

(iv) Let $\overline{P}_{min} = M_{min}\overline{U}_{min} \subseteq \overline{Q}$ be a good minimal parabolic subgroup of G and let $A_{min} = A_{M_{min}}$ be the maximal central split subtorus of M_{min}. Set

$$A_{min}^+ = \{a \in A_{min}(F);\ |\alpha(a)| \geqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_{min}, \overline{P}_{min})\}$$

Then, there exists $d > 0$ such that

$$\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}a)\sigma(a^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}a)^{-d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_Lh_{\overline{Q}} \ll \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a)^2$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^+$.

Proof:

(i) This follows directly from proposition 6.4.1(i).

(ii) This is equivalent to

$$(1)\quad \det\left(Ad(h_{\overline{Q}})_{|\overline{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{Q}}}\right)=1$$

for all $h_{\overline{Q}}\in H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$. We have $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}+\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}$ (because $\overline{Q}$ is a good parabolic subgroup) and $\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{Q}}=\mathfrak{h}\cap\overline{\mathfrak{q}}$, hence the inclusion $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}\subseteq\mathfrak{g}$ induces an isomorphism $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{Q}}\simeq\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, from which it follows that

$$\det\left(Ad(h_{\overline{Q}})_{|\overline{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{Q}}}\right)=\det\left(Ad(h_{\overline{Q}})_{|\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}\right)=\det\left(Ad(h_{\overline{Q}})_{|\mathfrak{g}}\right)\det\left(Ad(h_{\overline{Q}})_{|\mathfrak{h}}\right)^{-1}$$

for all $h_{\overline{Q}}\in H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$. But since G and H are unimodular groups, we have $\det\left(Ad(h_{\overline{Q}})_{|\mathfrak{g}}\right)=\det\left(Ad(h_{\overline{Q}})_{|\mathfrak{h}}\right)=1$ for all $h_{\overline{Q}}\in H_{\overline{Q}}$ and (1) follows.

(iii) By proposition 6.4.1(ii), we may find a good minimal parabolic subgroup $\overline{P}_{min}=M_{min}\overline{U}_{min}\subseteq\overline{Q}$. Let L be the unique Levi subgroup of $\overline{Q}$ containing M_{min}. Note that we have a natural identification $L=G_{\overline{Q}}$. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case and which is in good position with respect to L. Set $K_L=K\cap L(F)$. This is a maximal compact subgroup of $L(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case. Set $\tau=i_{\overline{P}_{min}\cap L}^{L}(1)$ and $\pi=i_{\overline{Q}}^{G}(\tau)$. We will denote by $(.,.)$ and $(.,.)_\tau$ the scalar products on π and τ respectively. Let $e_K\in\pi^\infty$ and $e_{K_L}\in\tau^\infty$ be the unique K-fixed and K_L-fixed vectors respectively. Note that we have $e_K(k)=e_{K_L}$ for all $k\in K$. We may assume that the functions Ξ^G and $\Xi^L=\Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}$ are given by

$$(2)\quad \Xi^G(g)=(\pi(g)e_K,e_K)\ \ ,\ \ g\in G(F)$$

$$(3)\quad \Xi^L(l)=(\tau(l)e_{K_L},e_{K_L})_\tau\ \ ,\ \ l\in L(F)$$

(Note that by the process of induction by stages, we have a natural isomorphism $\pi\simeq i_{\overline{P}_{min}}^{G}(1)$). If we choose Haar measures suitably, (2) gives

$$\Xi^G(g)=\int_{\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F)}(e_K(g'g),e_K(g'))_\tau dg'$$

for all $g\in G(F)$. Since $\overline{Q}$ is a good parabolic subgroup, by proposition 6.4.1(i) the subset $H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)\subseteq\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F)$ has a complement which is negligible. Hence, by (ii), if we choose Haar measures compatibly, we have

$$\int_{\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F)} \varphi(g)dg = \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)} \varphi(h)dh$$

for all $\varphi \in L^1(\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F), \delta_{\overline{Q}})$. Hence, in particular we get

$$(4) \quad \Xi^G(g) = \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)} (e_K(hg), e_K(h))_\tau dh$$

for all $g \in G(F)$.

By lemma 6.5.1(ii), there exists $d > 0$ such that the integral

$$\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(h)\sigma(h)^{-d}dh$$

converges. Choose such a $d > 0$. Then by (4), we have

$$\int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(h)\sigma(h)^{-d}dh = \int_{H(F)} \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)} (e_K(h'h), e_K(h'))_\tau dh'\sigma(h)^{-d}dh$$

Note that this last double integral is absolutely convergent. Indeed, since the integral is convergent in that order, it suffices to check that $(e_K(h'h), e_K(h'))_\tau$ is positive for all $h', h \in H(F)$. But by the Iwasawa decomposition and (3) the terms $(e_K(h'h), e_K(h'))_\tau$ are values of Ξ^L hence positive. Switching the two integrals, making the variable change $h \mapsto h'^{-1}h$ and decomposing the integral over $H(F)$ as a double integral over $H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)$ and $H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$, we get that the expression

$$\int_{\left(H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)\right)^2} \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \left(\tau(h_{\overline{Q}})e_K(h), e_K(h')\right)_\tau \sigma(h'^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}h)^{-d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_Lh_{\overline{Q}}dhdh'$$

is absolutely convergent. By Fubini, it follows that there exist $h, h' \in H(F)$ such that the inner integral

$$\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \left(\tau(h_{\overline{Q}})e_K(h), e_K(h')\right)_\tau \sigma(h'^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}h)^{-d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_Lh_{\overline{Q}}$$

is absolutely convergent. Fix such $h, h' \in H(F)$. By the Iwasawa decomposition we may write $h = luk$ and $h' = l'u'k'$ with $l, l' \in L(F)$, $u, u' \in U_{\overline{Q}}(F)$ and $k, k' \in K$. Then, by (3) we have $\left(\tau(h_{\overline{Q}})e_K(h), e_K(h')\right)_\tau = \delta_{\overline{Q}}(l'l)^{1/2}\Xi^L(l'^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}l)$ for all $h_{\overline{Q}} \in H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$. Since $\Xi^L(h_{\overline{Q}}) \ll \Xi^L(l'^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}l)$ and $\sigma(h'^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}h) \ll \sigma(h_{\overline{Q}})$ for all $h_{\overline{Q}} \in H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$, it follows that the integral

$$\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \Xi^L(h_{\overline{Q}})\sigma(h_{\overline{Q}})^{-d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_L h_{\overline{Q}}$$

is also absolutely convergent. As $\Xi^L = \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}$, this proves the first part of (iii). The second part follows from the same arguments using lemma 6.5.1(i) instead of lemma 6.5.1(ii).

(iv) By proposition 6.4.1(iii)(b), for all $d > 0$, we have

$$\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}a)\sigma(a^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}a)^{-d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_L h_{\overline{Q}} \\ \ll \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}a)\sigma(h_{\overline{Q}})^{-d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_L h_{\overline{Q}}$$

for all $a \in A^+_{min}$. Hence, it is sufficient to prove the existence of a $d > 0$ such that

$$(5) \quad \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}a)\sigma(h_{\overline{Q}})^{-d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_L h_{\overline{Q}} \ll \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a)^2$$

for all $a \in A^+_{min}$. Define $\overline{P}_{min,\overline{Q}}$ to be the image of $\overline{P}_{min}$ by the projection $\overline{Q} \to G_{\overline{Q}}$. Then $\overline{P}_{min,\overline{Q}}$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup of $G_{\overline{Q}}$ and since $\overline{P}_{min}$ is good, $\overline{P}_{min,\overline{Q}}H_{\overline{Q}}$ is open in $G_{\overline{Q}}$. Using this, and the doubling principle (proposition 1.5.1(vi)), we show as in the proof of proposition 6.7.1(v) that

$$\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}a)\sigma(h_{\overline{Q}})^{-d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_L h_{\overline{Q}} \\ \ll \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a)^2 \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})\sigma(h_{\overline{Q}})^{-d}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_L h_{\overline{Q}}$$

for all $a \in A^+_{min}$. This proves (5) since the right hand side is absolutely convergent for d sufficiently large by (iii). ■

7 The distributions J and J^{Lie}

7.1 The distribution J

For all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, let us define a function $K(f,.)$ on $H(F)\backslash G(F)$ by

$$K(f,x)=\int_{H(F)}f(x^{-1}hx)\xi(h)dh,\quad x\in H(F)\backslash G(F)$$

Remark that by lemma 6.5.1(ii), the above integral is absolutely convergent. The proposition below and proposition 6.7.1(iii) show that the integral

$$J(f)=\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)}K(f,x)dx$$

is absolutely convergent for all $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ and define a continuous linear form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))&\to\mathbb{C}\\ f&\mapsto J(f)\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7.1.1 *(i) There exists $d>0$ and a continuous semi-norm ν on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that*

$$|K(f,x)|\leqslant\nu(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^d$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $x\in H(F)\backslash G(F)$.

(ii) For all $d>0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_d on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$|K(f,x)|\leqslant\nu_d(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-d}$$

for all $x\in H(F)\backslash G(F)$ and all $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$.

Proof: For all $R>0$, we will denote by p_R the continuous semi-norm on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ given by

$$p_R(f)=\sup_{g\in G(F)}|f(g)|\Xi^G(g)^{-1}\sigma_G(g)^R$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$.

(i) For all $d'>0$, we have

$$|K(f,x)|\leqslant p_{d'}(f)\int_{H(F)}\Xi^G(x^{-1}hx)\sigma(x^{-1}hx)^{-d'}dh$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $x\in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. By proposition 6.7.1(v), if d' is sufficiently large, there exists $d>0$ such that

$$\int_{H(F)}\Xi^G(x^{-1}hx)\sigma(x^{-1}hx)^{-d'}dh\ll\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^d$$

for all $x\in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. This proves (i) for ν a scalar multiple of $p_{d'}$ for d' sufficiently large.

(ii) Let us adopt the notations of subsection 6.6.2. So we have the followings

- $\overline{P}_0$ is a good minimal parabolic subgroup of G_0, $M_0 \subseteq \overline{P}_0$ is a Levi component of it and A_0 is the maximal central split subtorus of M_0;
- $\overline{P}$ is the parabolic subgroup opposite to P with respect to M and $\overline{N}$ is its unipotent radical;
- $\overline{P}_{min} = \overline{P}_0 T\overline{N}$, $M_{min} = M_0 T$ and $A_{min} = A_0 A$ are respectively a good minimal parabolic subgroup of G, a Levi component of it and the maximal central split subtorus of M_{min};
- $A_0^+ = \{a \in A_0(F);\ |\alpha(a)| \geqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_0, \overline{P}_0)\}$ and $A_{min}^+ = \{a \in A_{min}(F);\ |\alpha(a)| \geqslant 1\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_{min}, \overline{P}_{min})\}$;
- P_{min} is the parabolic subgroup opposite to $\overline{P}_{min}$ with respect to M_{min} (we have $P_{min} \subseteq P$);
- Δ is the set of simple roots of A_{min} in P_{min} and $\Delta_P = \Delta \cap R(A_{min}, N)$ is the subset of simple roots appearing in $\mathfrak{n} = Lie(N)$.

By lemma 6.6.1(ii), we know there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq G(F)$ such that

$$G(F) = H(F)A_0^+ A(F)\mathcal{K}$$

Hence, by proposition 6.7.1(i), and the fact that for all semi-norm ν on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$, there exists a continuous semi-norm ν' on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that $\nu({}^k f) \ll \nu'(f)$ for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, it suffices to prove the estimate of the proposition for $x = a \in A_0^+ A(F)$. In the p-adic case, let us fix a compact-open subgroup $K \subseteq G(F)$. To uniformize the notations, we set $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F)) = \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and $\mathcal{C}_{scusp,K}(G(F)) = \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ when $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then, we need to prove

(1) For all $d > 0$, there exists a continuous seminorm $\nu_{d,K}$ on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ such that

$$|K(f, a)| \leqslant \nu_{d,K}(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-d}$$

for all $a \in A_0^+ A(F)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp,K}(G(F))$.

Let us set

$$\mathcal{A}_{min}^{a+} = \{a \in A_0^+ A(F);\ |\alpha(a)| \leqslant \sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)\ \forall \alpha \in \Delta_P\}$$

where the exponent "a" stand for "almost" since by lemma 6.6.1(i), elements of $\mathcal{A}_{min}^{a+}$ are "almost" in A_{min}^+. We first prove the following

(2) For all $d > 0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{d,K}$ on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ such that

$$|K(f,a)| \leqslant \nu_{d,K}(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-d}$$

for all $a \in A_0^+A(F)\backslash\mathcal{A}_{min}^{a+}$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$.

Let $a \in A_0^+A(F)\backslash\mathcal{A}_{min}^{a+}$. Then, there exists $\alpha \in \Delta_P$ such that $|\alpha(a)| > \sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)$. Since Δ_P is finite, we may as well fix $\alpha \in \Delta_P$ and prove the inequality (2) for all $a \in A_0^+A(F)$ such that $|\alpha(a)| > \sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)$. So, let us fix $\alpha \in \Delta_P$. By lemma 6.6.1(iii), we know that ξ is nontrivial on $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha(F)$. Choose $X \in \mathfrak{n}_\alpha(F)$ so that $\xi(X) \neq 1$. We now divide the proof of (2) according to whether F is real or p-adic.

Assume first that F is real. Denote by $d\xi : \mathfrak{n}(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ the differential of ξ at the origin. Since $\xi(X) \neq 1$ and ξ is a character, we have $d\xi(X) \neq 0$. By integration by part, for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ all $a \in A_{min}(F)$ and all positive integer N, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d\xi(X)^N K(f,a) &= \int_{H(F)} {}^a f(h)\left(L(X^N)\xi\right)(h)dh \\
&= (-1)^N \int_{H(F)} \left(L(X^N)^a f\right)(h)\xi(h)dh \\
&= (-1)^N \int_{H(F)} {}^a\left(L(a^{-1}X^N a)f\right)(h)\xi(h)dh \\
&= (-1)^N \alpha(a)^{-N} \int_{H(F)} \left(L(X^N)f\right)(a^{-1}ha)\xi(h)dh \\
&= (-1)^N \alpha(a)^{-N} K(L(X^N)f,a)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies in particular that for all positive integer N, we have

$$|K(f,a)| \ll |\alpha(a)|^{-N}|K(L(X^N)f,a)|$$

for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. By (i), it follows that for all $N > 0$ there exists a continuous seminorm ν_N on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$|K(f,a)| \leqslant \nu_N(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-N}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$ such that $|\alpha(a)| > \sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. For $N \geqslant d$, we get the desired inequality.

Assume now that F is p-adic. We are going to prove the following stronger result

(3) There exist a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$K(f,a) = 0$$

for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$ such that $|\alpha(a)| > C$ and for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F),K)$.

Set $K_N = K \cap N(F)$, $L_N = \log(K_N)$ and $L_\alpha = L_N \cap \mathfrak{n}_\alpha(F)$. Then L_α is a lattice of $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha(F)$. Hence, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\lambda^{-1}X \in L_\alpha$ for all $\lambda \in F^\times$ such that $|\lambda| > C$. Now, for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F),K)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(X)K(f,a) &= \int_{H(F)} f(a^{-1}ha)\xi(he^X)dh \\ &= \int_{H(F)} f\left(a^{-1}he^{-X}a\right)\xi(h)dh \\ &= \int_{H(F)} f\left(a^{-1}hae^{-X/\alpha(a)}\right)\xi(h)dh\end{aligned}$$

Assume moreover that $|\alpha(a)| > C$. Then $\alpha(a)^{-1}X \in L_\alpha$ and the last integral above is equal to $K(f,a)$. Since $\xi(X) \neq 0$, this implies $K(f,a) = 0$. This ends the proof of (3) and hence of (2).

Because of (2), to get (1) we are reduced to prove the following

For all $d > 0$, there exists a continuous seminorm $\nu_{d,K}$ on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ such that

$$|K(f,a)| \leqslant \nu_{d,K}(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-d}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_{min}^{a+}$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp,K}(G(F))$.

Actually, this inequality is a consequence of the seemingly weaker one

(4) For all $d > 0$, there exists a continuous seminorm $\nu_{d,K}$ on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ such that

$$|K(f,a)| \leqslant \nu_{d,K}(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-d}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^+$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp,K}(G(F))$.

This follows from the following easily checked fact

(F) Every $a \in \mathcal{A}_{min}^{a+}$ can be written $a = a_+a_-$ where $a_+ \in A_{min}^+$ and

$$\sigma(a_-) \ll \log\left(1 + \sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)\right)$$

together with the inequalities

(I1) If ν is a continuous seminorm on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$, there exist a continuous seminorm ν' on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\nu({}^g f) \leqslant \nu'(f) e^{c\sigma(g)}$$

for all $g \in G(F)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$.

(I2) There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\Xi^{H\backslash G}(xg) \ll \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x) e^{\alpha\sigma(g)}$ for all $g \in G(F)$ and all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$.

(I3) $\sigma_{H\backslash G}(xg)^{-1} \ll \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-1}\sigma(g)$ for all $g \in G(F)$ and all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$.

For any maximal proper parabolic subgroup $\overline{Q}$ of G containing $\overline{P}_{min}$ and with unipotent radical $U_{\overline{Q}}$ and any $\delta > 0$, let us set

$$A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta) = \{a \in A_{min}^+;\ |\alpha(a)| \geqslant e^{\delta\sigma(a)}\ \forall \alpha \in R(A_{min}, U_{\overline{Q}})\}$$

Obviously, we may find $\delta > 0$ such that the complement of

$$\bigcup_{\overline{Q}} A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$$

, the union being taken over all maximal proper parabolic subgroups $\overline{Q} \supseteq \overline{P}_{min}$, in A_{min}^+ is relatively compact. Let us fix such a $\delta > 0$. To prove (4) we only need to show the following

(5) For all proper maximal parabolic subgroup $\overline{Q} \supseteq \overline{P}_{min}$ and all $d > 0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{\overline{Q},d}$ on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ such that

$$|K(f,a)| \leqslant \nu_{\overline{Q},d}(f) \Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2 \sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-d}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp,K}(G(F))$.

Let us fix a proper maximal parabolic subgroup $\overline{Q} \supseteq \overline{P}_{min}$. Denote by U_{min} the unipotent radical of P_{min}. Let us define

$$\mathcal{U}_H = H(F) \cap \overline{P}_{min}(F) U_{min}(F)$$

Then $\mathcal{U}_H$ is an open subset of $H(F)$ containing the identity. Let

$$u : \mathcal{U}_H \to U_{min}(F)$$

be the F-analytic map sending $h \in \mathcal{U}_H$ to the unique element $u(h) \in U_{min}(F)$ such that $hu(h)^{-1} \in \overline{P}_{min}(F)$. We have

(6) The map u is submersive at the identity.

Indeed, the differential of u at 1 is given by $d_1u(X) = p_{\mathfrak{u}_{min}}(X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}(F)$, where $p_{\mathfrak{u}_{min}}$ is the linear projection of $\mathfrak{g}$ onto $\mathfrak{u}_{min}$ relative to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{min} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{min}$, and we have $p_{\mathfrak{u}_{min}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{u}_{min}$ since $\overline{P}_{min}$ is a good parabolic subgroup.

Because of (6), we may find a relatively compact open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{min}$ of 1 in $U_{min}(F)$ and an F-analytic section

$$\begin{aligned} h : \mathcal{U}_{min} &\to \mathcal{U}_H \\ u &\mapsto h(u) \end{aligned}$$

to the map u over $\mathcal{U}_{min}$ such that $h(1) = 1$. Let M_Q be the unique Levi component of $\overline{Q}$ such that $M_{min} \subseteq M_Q$. Denote by $Q = M_QU_Q$ the parabolic subgroup opposite to $\overline{Q}$ with respect to M_Q. Set

$$\mathcal{U}_Q = \mathcal{U}_{min} \cap U_Q(F),\ H_{\overline{Q}} = H \cap \overline{Q} \text{ and } \mathcal{U}_{H,Q} = H_{\overline{Q}}(F)h\left(\mathcal{U}_Q\right)$$

We will need the following fact

(7) The set $\mathcal{U}_{H,Q}$ is an open subset of $H(F)$ containing 1 and there exists a smooth function $j \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U}_Q)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_{H,Q}} \varphi(h)dh = \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \int_{\mathcal{U}_Q} \varphi(h_{\overline{Q}}h(u_Q))j(u_Q)du_Qdh_{\overline{Q}}$$

for all $\varphi \in L^1(\mathcal{U}_{H,Q})$.

Consider the map

$$H_{\overline{Q}}(F) \times \mathcal{U}_Q \to H(F)$$

$$(h_{\overline{Q}}, u_Q) \mapsto h_{\overline{Q}} h(u_Q)$$

It is not hard to see that it is an injective F-analytic local isomorphism. Hence, its image $\mathcal{U}_{H,Q}$ is an open subset of $H(F)$. Let j denotes the absolute value of the jacobian of this map. This is a smooth function on $H_{\overline{Q}}(F) \times \mathcal{U}_Q$ which is obviously invariant by $H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$-translation. The claim (7) follows.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ that we will assume sufficiently small in what follows. We will need the following

(8) Let $0 < \delta' < \delta$ and $c_0 > 0$. If ϵ is sufficiently small, we have

$$aU_Q\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1} \subseteq exp\left(B(0, c_0 e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}) \cap \mathfrak{u}_Q(F)\right)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$.

If $\sigma(a) \leqslant \epsilon^{-1}$, then the left hand side is empty. So we shall only prove the inclusion for $\sigma(a) > \epsilon^{-1}$. There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|\log(u)| \leqslant e^{\alpha\sigma(u)}$$

for all $u \in U_Q(F)$. Also, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\left|aXa^{-1}\right| \leqslant \beta e^{-\delta\sigma(a)}\left|X\right|$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{u}_Q(F)$ and all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. It follows that if $\epsilon > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\log\left(aua^{-1}\right)| = |alog(u)a^{-1}| &\leqslant \beta e^{-\delta\sigma(a)}|log(u)| \\ &\leqslant \beta e^{(\alpha\epsilon-\delta)\sigma(a)} \\ &= \beta e^{(\alpha\epsilon-\delta+\delta')\sigma(a)}e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $u \in U_Q\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right]$. Now, it suffices to choose ϵ sufficiently small such that we have $\beta e^{(\alpha\epsilon-\delta+\delta')\sigma(a)} \leqslant c_0$ for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$ such that $\sigma(a) > \epsilon^{-1}$.

By (8), if ϵ is sufficiently small we have

$$aU_Q\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_Q$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. We will henceforth assume that ϵ is that sufficiently small. This allows us to define

$$\mathcal{U}_{H,\overline{Q}}^{\epsilon,a} = H_{\overline{Q}}\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right]\; h\left(aU_Q\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}\right)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. The inequality (5) is obviously a consequence of the two following inequalities

(9) For all $d > 0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{d,K}$ on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ such that

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash\mathcal{U}_{H,\overline{Q}}^{\epsilon,a}} |f(a^{-1}ha)|dh \leqslant \nu_{d,K}(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-d}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$.

and

(10) If ϵ is sufficiently small, for all $d > 0$ there exists a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{d,K}$ on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ such that

$$\left|\int_{\mathcal{U}_{H,\overline{Q}}^{\epsilon,a}} f(a^{-1}ha)\xi(h)dh\right| \leqslant \nu_{d,K}(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-d}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp,K}(G(F))$.

We start by proving (9). For this we need the following

(11) We have an inequality

$$\sigma(a) \ll \sigma\left(a^{-1}ha\right)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $h \in H(F)\backslash\mathcal{U}_{H,\overline{Q}}^{\epsilon,a}$.

Combining proposition 1.3.1 with proposition 6.4.1(iii)(b), we see that it suffices to show the existence of $\epsilon' > 0$ such that

$$(12) \quad H(F) \cap \left(\overline{Q}\left[< \epsilon'\sigma(a)\right]\; aU_Q\left[< \epsilon'\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{H,\overline{Q}}^{\epsilon,a}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. Fix $\epsilon' > 0$ and let us show that the above inclusion is true if ϵ' is sufficiently small. Let $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. If $\sigma(a) \leqslant \epsilon'^{-1}$, then the left hand side of (12) is empty and there is nothing to prove. Hence, we may assume that $\sigma(a) > \epsilon'^{-1}$. Let $h \in H(F) \cap \left(\overline{Q}\left[< \epsilon'\sigma(a)\right]\; aU_Q\left[< \epsilon'\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}\right)$. Assume $\epsilon' < \epsilon$. Then, we have

$$aU_Q\left[< \epsilon'\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1} \subseteq aU_Q\left[< \epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_Q$$

and we may find $u \in aU_Q\left[< \epsilon'\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}$ such that $hh(u)^{-1} \in H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$. We only need to show that if ϵ' is sufficiently small then necessarily $\sigma(hh(u)^{-1}) < \epsilon\sigma(a)$. We have $\sigma\left(hh(u)^{-1}\right) \ll \sigma(h) + \sigma(h(u)) \ll \epsilon'\sigma(a) + \sigma(h(u))$. By (8), if ϵ' is sufficiently small, the sets $aU_Q\left[< \epsilon'\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}$ remain in a fixed compact subset of $\mathcal{U}_{min}$ as a varies in $A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. If this is so, $h(u)$ will be bounded independently of a and h. Hence $\sigma(h(u)) \ll 1 \ll \epsilon'\sigma(a)$ (because we are assuming $\sigma(a) > \epsilon'^{-1}$). Finally, we get $\sigma\left(hh(u)^{-1}\right) \ll 2\epsilon'\sigma(a)$ and hence $\sigma\left(hh(u)^{-1}\right) < \epsilon\sigma(a)$ for ϵ' sufficiently small. This proves that the inclusion (12) is satisfied for $\epsilon' > 0$ sufficiently small and this ends the proof of (11).

We are now in position to prove (9). Let $d > 0$. By (11), for all $d' > 0$ we have the inequality

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash \mathcal{U}_{H,\overline{Q}}^{\epsilon,a}} \left|f(a^{-1}ha)\right| dh \ll p_{d'}(f)\sigma(a)^{-d'/2} \int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(a^{-1}ha)\sigma(a^{-1}ha)^{-d'/2} dh$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$. By proposition 6.7.1(ii)(b) and (v), for d' sufficiently large the last term above is essentially bounded by

$$p_{d'}(f)\sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-d}\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^+$. This proves (9) for $\nu_{d,K}$ a positive constant times $p_{d'}$, where d' is sufficiently large.

We now go on to the proof of (10). By (7), we have

$$(13) \quad \int_{\mathcal{U}_{H,\overline{Q}}^{\epsilon,a}} f(a^{-1}ha)\xi(h)dh =$$

$$\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}[<\epsilon\sigma(a)]} \int_{aU_Q[<\epsilon\sigma(a)]a^{-1}} f\left(a^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}h(u_Q)a\right)\xi\left(h_{\overline{Q}}h(u_Q)\right)j(u_Q)du_Q dh_{\overline{Q}}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. Scaling the Haar measure du_Q if necessary, we may assume that $j(1) = 1$. Every $h_{\overline{Q}} \in H_{\overline{Q}}$ may be written as $h_{\overline{Q}} = u_{\overline{Q}}(h_{\overline{Q}})m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})$ where $u_{\overline{Q}}(h_{\overline{Q}}) \in U_{\overline{Q}}$ and $m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}}) \in M_Q$. Let us prove the following

(14) Let $0 < \delta' < \delta$ and $d' > 0$. There exists a continuous semi-norm $\mu_{d',K}$ on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ such that if ϵ is sufficiently small, we have the inequalities

$$(i) \quad |\xi(h(u_Q))j(u_Q)-1| \ll e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}j(u_Q)$$

$$\begin{aligned}(ii) \quad \left|f\left(a^{-1}ha\right)-f\left(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})u_Qa\right)\right| \\ \ll \mu_{d',K}(f)\Xi^G\left(a^{-1}ha\right)\sigma\left(a^{-1}ha\right)^{-d'}e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$, all $u_Q \in aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}$ and all $h_{\overline{Q}} \in H_{\overline{Q}}\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]$ where we have set $h = h_{\overline{Q}}h(u_Q)$.

We start by proving (i). The functions $\xi \circ h \circ exp$ and $j \circ exp$ are smooth functions on $\log(\mathcal{U}_Q) \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_Q(F)$. Let $\omega_Q^c \subseteq \log(\mathcal{U}_Q)$ be a compact neighborhood of 0 such that

- If F is p-adic, the functions $\xi \circ h \circ exp$ and $j \circ exp$ are constant on ω_Q^c;
- If F is real, ω_Q^c is star-like at 0.

Now, by (8), if ϵ is sufficiently small, we have

$$(15) \quad aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1} \subseteq exp(\omega_Q^c)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. In the case where F is p-adic, this implies that the left hand side of (14)(i) is always zero. Consider the case where $F = \mathbb{R}$. Since j doesn't vanish on $\mathcal{U}_{min}$, it is bounded by below on $\exp(\omega_Q^c)$. Hence, by (15), we can forget the term $j(u_Q)$ in the right hand side of (14)(i). Now, since ω_Q^c is compact and star-like at 0, by the mean value theorem we have an inequality

$$|\xi(h(u_Q))j(u_Q)-1| \ll |\log(u_Q)|$$

for all $u_Q \in \exp(\omega_Q^c)$. But by (8) again, if ϵ is sufficiently small, we also have

$$|\log(u_Q)| \ll e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $u_Q \in aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}$. Combining the two above inequalities with (15), we get (14)(i) in the real case.

Let us now prove (14)(ii). Let $\omega_G^c \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a compact neighborhood of 0 on which the exponential map is well-defined and such that

- If F is p-adic, $\exp(\omega_G^c) \subseteq K$;
- If F is real, ω_G^c is star-like at 0.

Then we have the following

(16) For all $d' > 0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm $\mu_{d',K}$ on $\mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ such that

$$\left|f\left(e^{-X}ge^{-Y}\right) - f(g)\right| \leqslant \mu_{d',K}(f)\Xi^G(g)\sigma(g)^{-d'}\left(|X| + |Y|\right)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$ and all $X, Y \in \omega_G^c$.

Indeed, in the p-adic case, the hypothesis on ω_G^c implies that the left hand side is always zero whereas in the real case it is just an application of the mean-value theorem (using that ω_G^c is star-like at 0). Now, for $h_{\overline{Q}} \in H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$, $u_Q \in \mathcal{U}_Q$ and $a \in A_{min}(F)$, we have

$$a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})u_Qa = k_1^{-1}a^{-1}hak_2^{-1}$$

where $h = h_{\overline{Q}}h(u_Q)$, $k_1 = a^{-1}u_{\overline{Q}}(h_{\overline{Q}})a$ and $k_2 = a^{-1}u_Q^{-1}h(u_Q)a$. By (16), in order to get (14)(ii) it is thus sufficient to prove the following

(17) Let $0 < \delta' < \delta$. Then, if ϵ is sufficiently small, we have

$$(i) \quad a^{-1}u_{\overline{Q}}(h_{\overline{Q}})a \in \exp\left(B(0, e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}) \cap \omega_G^c\right)$$

$$(ii) \quad a^{-1}u_Q^{-1}h(u_Q)a \in \exp\left(B(0, e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}) \cap \omega_G^c\right)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$, all $u_Q \in aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}$ and all $h_{\overline{Q}} \in H_{\overline{Q}}\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]$.

The proof of (i) is a slight variation of the proof of (8) and is left to the reader. Let us prove that (ii) is satisfied if ϵ is sufficiently small. Set $\overline{p}_{min}(u) = h(u)u^{-1}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_{min}$. Then $\overline{p}_{min}(.)$ defines an F-analytic map from $\mathcal{U}_{min}$ to $\overline{P}_{min}(F)$. We have

$$(18) \quad a^{-1}u_Q^{-1}h(u_Q)a = a^{-1}u_Q^{-1}\overline{p}_{min}(u_Q)u_Qa$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $u_Q \in aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}$. Since $\overline{p}_{min}(1) = 1$, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_Q' \subset \mathcal{U}_Q$ of 1 and an F-analytic map $u_Q \in \mathcal{U}_Q' \mapsto X(u_Q) \in \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{min}(F)$ such that $\overline{p}_{min}(u_Q) = e^{X(u_Q)}$ for all $u_Q \in \mathcal{U}_Q'$. By (8), for ϵ sufficiently small we have $aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_Q'$ for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. Assuming ϵ that sufficiently small, (18) becomes

$$a^{-1}u_Q^{-1}h(u_Q)a = e^{Ad(a^{-1}u_Q^{-1})X(u_Q)}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $u_Q \in aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}$. Hence, we are left with proving that for ϵ sufficiently small we have

$$\text{(19)} \quad Ad(a^{-1}u_Q^{-1})X(u_Q) \in B(0, e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}) \cap \omega_G^c$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $u_Q \in aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}$. There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\left|Ad(g^{-1})X\right| \leqslant e^{\alpha\sigma(g)}|X|$$

for all $g \in G(F)$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$. Hence, we have

$$\left|Ad(a^{-1}u_Q^{-1})X(u_Q)\right| = \left|Ad(a^{-1}u_Q^{-1}a)Ad(a^{-1})X(u_Q)\right| \leqslant e^{\alpha\epsilon\sigma(a)}\left|Ad(a^{-1})X(u_Q)\right|$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $u_Q \in aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}$. On the other hand, by definition of A_{min}^+, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\left|Ad(a^{-1})X\right| \leqslant \beta|X|$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^+$ and all $X \in \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{min}(F)$. So finally we get

$$\left|Ad(a^{-1}u_Q^{-1})X(u_Q)\right| \leqslant \beta e^{\alpha\epsilon\sigma(a)}|X(u_Q)|$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$ and all $u_Q \in aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}$. In order to get (19), we thus only need to show that for ϵ sufficiently small, we have

$$X\left(aU_Q\left[<\epsilon\sigma(a)\right]a^{-1}\right) \subseteq \beta^{-1}e^{-\alpha\epsilon\sigma(a)}\left(B(0, e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}) \cap \omega_G^c\right)$$

for all $a \in A_{min}^{\overline{Q},+}(\delta)$. This follows directly from (8) and the fact that $X(.)$ is an analytic map. This ends the proof of (17)(ii) and also of (14)(ii).

Choose any $0 < \delta' < \delta$. Let $d' > 0$ and assume that ϵ is sufficiently small so that (14) holds. Then, by (13), we see that the difference between the two integrals

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_{H,\overline{Q}}^{\epsilon,a}} f(a^{-1}ha)\xi(h)dh$$

and

$$\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}[<\epsilon\sigma(a)]}\int_{aU_Q[<\epsilon\sigma(a)]a^{-1}} f\left(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})u_Q a\right)\xi\left(h_{\overline{Q}}\right)du_Q dh_{\overline{Q}}$$

is essentially bounded by

$$e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}\left|\int_{\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon,a}_{H,\overline{Q}}} f(a^{-1}ha)\xi(h)dh\right| + e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}\mu_{d',K}(f)\int_{\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon,a}_{H,\overline{Q}}} \Xi^G(a^{-1}ha)\sigma(a^{-1}ha)^{-d'}dh$$
$$\leqslant e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)}\left(p_{d'}(f)+\mu_{d',K}(f)\right)\int_{H(F)}\Xi^G(a^{-1}ha)\sigma(a^{-1}ha)^{-d'}dh$$

for all $a \in A^{\overline{Q},+}_{min}(\delta)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$. By proposition 6.7.1(v), if d' is sufficiently large this last term is essentially bounded by $(p_{d'}(f)+\mu_{d',K}(f))\,\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2 e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)/2}$. Since for all $d>0$ we have the inequality $e^{-\delta'\sigma(a)/2} \ll \sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-d}$ for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$, to prove (10) it is now sufficient to establish the following

(20) For all $d>0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_d on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$\left|\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}[<\epsilon\sigma(a)]}\int_{aU_Q[<\epsilon\sigma(a)]a^{-1}} f\left(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})u_Q a\right)\xi\left(h_{\overline{Q}}\right)du_Q dh_{\overline{Q}}\right| \leqslant \nu_d(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(a)^{-d}$$

for all $a \in A^+_{min}$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$.

Denote by $K^{\epsilon}_{\overline{Q}}(f,a)$ the integral above (without the absolute value). After the variable change $u_Q \mapsto au_Qa^{-1}$, we get

$$K^{\epsilon}_{\overline{Q}}(f,a) = \delta_Q(a)\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}[<\epsilon\sigma(a)]}\int_{U_Q[<\epsilon\sigma(a)]} f\left(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})au_Q\right)du_Q\xi\left(h_{\overline{Q}}\right)dh_{\overline{Q}}$$

for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. Consider the inner integral in the last expression. If f is strongly cuspidal, we have

$$\int_{U_Q[<\epsilon\sigma(a)]} f\left(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})au_Q\right)du_Q = -\int_{U_Q[\geqslant\epsilon\sigma(a)]} f\left(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})au_Q\right)du_Q$$

for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$ and all $h_{\overline{Q}} \in H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$. For $d_1>0$, the last integral is bounded (in absolute value) by

$$p_{d_1}(f)\int_{U_Q[\geqslant\epsilon\sigma(a)]}\Xi^G\left(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})au_Q\right)\sigma\left(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})au_Q\right)^{-d_1}du_Q$$

for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$ and all $h_{\overline{Q}} \in H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$. We have an inequality $\sigma(m_Qu_Q)^{-1} \ll \sigma(u_Q)^{-1}$ for all $m_Q \in M_Q(F)$ and all $u_Q \in U_Q(F)$. Hence, for all $d_2>0$, the last term above is essentially bounded by

$$p_{d_1}(f)\sigma(a)^{-d_2}\int_{U_Q(F)}\Xi^G\left(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})au_Q\right)\sigma\left(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})au_Q\right)^{-d_1+d_2}du_Q$$

for all $a\in A_{min}(F)$ and all $h_{\overline{Q}}\in H_{\overline{Q}}(F)$. Let $d_3>0$. By proposition 1.5.1(iv), if d_1 is sufficiently large, we have an inequality

$$\int_{U_Q(F)}\Xi^G\left(m_Qu_Q\right)\sigma\left(m_Qu_Q\right)^{-d_1+d_2}du_Q\ll\delta_{\overline{Q}}(m_Q)^{1/2}\Xi^{M_Q}(m_Q)\sigma(m_Q)^{-d_3}$$

for all $m_Q\in M_Q(F)$. Hence, for d_1 is sufficiently large, $|K^{\epsilon}_{\overline{Q}}(f,a)|$ is essentially bounded by

$$\delta_Q(a)p_{d_1}(f)\sigma(a)^{-d_2}\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)}\Xi^{M_Q}(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})a)\sigma(a^{-1}m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}})a)^{-d_3}\delta_{\overline{Q}}(m_Q(h_{\overline{Q}}))^{1/2}dh_{\overline{Q}}\tag{21}$$

for all $a\in A_{min}(F)$ and all $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$. Denote by $G_{\overline{Q}}=\overline{Q}/U_{\overline{Q}}$ the maximal reductive quotient of $\overline{Q}$. Then we have a natural identification $M_Q=G_{\overline{Q}}$. Moreover, by proposition 6.8.1(i), $H_{\overline{Q}}$ is naturally a subgroup of $G_{\overline{Q}}$ and the expression (21) becomes

$$\delta_Q(a)p_{d_1}(f)\sigma(a)^{-d_2}\int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)}\Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}a)\sigma(a^{-1}h_{\overline{Q}}a)^{-d_3}\delta_{\overline{Q}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}dh_{\overline{Q}}$$

By proposition 6.8.1(iv), if d_3 is sufficiently large, the last term is essentially bounded by

$$\delta_Q(a)p_{d_1}(f)\sigma(a)^{-d_2}\Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a)^2$$

for all $a\in A^+_{min}$. Moreover, by proposition 1.5.1(i) and proposition 6.7.1(ii)(a), there exists $R>0$ such that

$$\delta_Q(a)\Xi^{G_{\overline{Q}}}(a)^2\ll\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2\sigma(a)^R$$

for all $a\in A^+_{min}$. Taking $d_2=d+R$, we conclude that for d_1 sufficiently large, we have an inequality

$$\left|K^{\epsilon}_{\overline{Q}}(f,a)\right|\ll p_{d_1}(f)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(a)^2\sigma(a)^{-d}$$

for all $a\in A^+_{min}$ and all $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$. By proposition 6.7.1(ii)(b), this proves (20) and so ends the proof of the proposition. ■

7.2 The distribution J^{Lie}

For all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, let us define a function $K^{Lie}(f,.)$ on $H(F)\backslash G(F)$ by

$$K^{Lie}(f,x) = \int_{\mathfrak{h}(F)} f(x^{-1}Xx)\xi(X)dX, \quad x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$$

the above integral being absolutely convergent. The proposition below, whose proof is similar to the proof of proposition 7.1.1, shows that the integral

$$J^{Lie}(f) = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} K^{Lie}(f,x)dx$$

is absolutely convergent for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and define a continuous linear form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F)) &\to \mathbb{C} \\ f &\mapsto J^{Lie}(f) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7.2.1 *(i) There exists $c > 0$ and a continuous semi-norm ν on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that*

$$|K^{Lie}(f,x)| \leqslant \nu(f)e^{c\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)}$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

(ii) For all $c > 0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_c on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that

$$|K(f,x)| \leqslant \nu_c(f)e^{-c\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)}$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

8 Explicit tempered intertwinings

8.1 The ξ-integral

For all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, the integral

$$\int_{H(F)} f(h)\xi(h)dh$$

is absolutely convergent by lemma 6.5.1(ii). Moreover, by lemma 6.5.1(ii) again, this defines a continuous linear form on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$. Recall that $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is a dense subspace of the weak Harish-Chandra-Schwartz space $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ (1.5(1)).

Proposition 8.1.1 *The linear form*

$$f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \mapsto \int_{H(F)} f(h)\xi(h)dh$$

extends continuously to $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$.

Proof: Let us fix a one-parameter subgroup $a : \mathbb{G}_m \to A$ such that $\lambda(a(t)ha(t)^{-1}) = t\lambda(h)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$ and all $h \in H$ (Recall that $\lambda : H \to \mathbb{G}_a$ is the algebraic character such that $\xi = \psi \circ \lambda_F$), such a one-parameter subgroup is easy to construct. We shall now divide the proof according to whether F is p-adic or real.

- If F is a p-adic field, then we may fix a compact-open subgroup $K \subseteq G(F)$ and prove that the linear form

  $$f \in \mathcal{C}_K(G(F)) \mapsto \int_{H(F)} f(h)\xi(h)dh$$

  extends continuously to $\mathcal{C}^w_K(G(F))$. Set $K_a = a^{-1}(K \cap A(F)) \subseteq F^\times$. Then for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_K(G(F))$, we have

  $$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \int_{H(F)} f(h)\xi(h)dh &= meas(K_a)^{-1} \int_{K_a} \int_{H(F)} f(a(t)^{-1}ha(t))\xi(h)dhd^\times t \\ &= meas(K_a)^{-1} \int_{H(F)} f(h) \int_{K_a} \xi(a(t)ha(t)^{-1})d^\times tdh \\ &= meas(K_a)^{-1} \int_{H(F)} f(h) \int_{K_a} \psi\left(t\lambda(h)\right)|t|^{-1}dtdh \end{aligned}$$

  The function $x \in F \mapsto \int_{K_a} \psi(tx)|t|^{-1}dt$ is the Fourier transform of the function $|.|^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{K_a} \in C_c^\infty(F)$ hence it belongs to $C_c^\infty(F)$. Now by lemma 6.5.1(iii), the last integral of (1) is absolutely convergent for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^w_K(G(F))$ and defines a continuous linear form on that space. This is the extension we were looking for.

- Now assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote by Ad the adjoint action of $G(F)$ on $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ i.e. one has

  $$\left(Ad(g)f\right)(x) = f(g^{-1}xg), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F)), \quad g, x \in G(F)$$

  Set $Ad_a = Ad \circ a$. Then Ad_a is a smooth representation of $F^\times$ on $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ and hence induces an action, also denoted by Ad_a, of $\mathcal{U}\left(Lie(F^\times)\right)$ on $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$. Set $\Delta = 1 - \left(t\frac{d}{dt}\right)^2 \in \mathcal{U}\left(Lie(F^\times)\right)$. By elliptic regularity (2.1(2)), for all integer $m \geqslant 1$, there exist functions $\varphi_1 \in C_c^{2m-2}(F^\times)$ and $\varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(F^\times)$ such that

$$\varphi_1 * \Delta^m + \varphi_2 = \delta_1$$

Hence, we have the equality

$$Ad_a(\varphi_1)Ad_a(\Delta^m) + Ad_a(\varphi_2) = Id$$

It follows that for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad \int_{H(F)} f(h)\xi(h)dh &= \int_{H(F)} \left(Ad_a(\varphi_1)Ad_a(\Delta^m)f\right)\xi(h)dh + \int_{H(F)} \left(Ad_a(\varphi_2)f\right)(h)\xi(h)dh \\
&= \int_{H(F)} \left(Ad_a(\Delta^m)f\right)(h) \int_{F^\times} \varphi_1(t)\xi(a(t)ha(t)^{-1})\delta_P(a(t))d^\times tdh \\
&+ \int_{H(F)} f(h) \int_{F^\times} \varphi_2(t)\xi(a(t)ha(t)^{-1})\delta_P(a(t))d^\times tdh \\
&= \int_{H(F)} \left(Ad_a(\Delta^m)f\right)(h) \int_F \varphi_1(t)\delta_P(a(t))|t|^{-1}\psi(t\lambda(h))dtdh \\
&+ \int_{H(F)} f(h) \int_F \varphi_2(t)\delta_P(a(t))|t|^{-1}\psi(t\lambda(h))dtdh
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the functions $f_i : x \in F \mapsto \int_F \varphi_i(t)\delta_P(a(t))|t|^{-1}\psi(tx)dt$, $i = 1, 2$. These are the Fourier transforms of the functions $t \mapsto \varphi_i(t)\delta_H(a(t))|t|^{-1}$, $i = 1, 2$, which both belong to $C_c^{2m-2}(F)$. Hence, f_1 and f_2 are both essentially bounded by $(1 + |x|)^{-2m+2}$. Now, by lemma 6.5.1(iii), if $m \geqslant 2$ the two integrals in the last term of (2) are absolutely convergent for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ and define on that space continuous linear forms. The extension we were looking for is just the sum of these two integrals. ■

The continuous linear form on $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ whose existence is proved by the proposition above will be called the ξ-integral on $H(F)$ and will be denoted by

$$f \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F)) \mapsto \int_{H(F)}^* f(h)\xi(h)dh$$

or

$$f \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F)) \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(f)$$

We now note the following properties of the generalized ξ-integral:

Lemma 8.1.1 *(i) For all $f \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ and all $h_0, h_1 \in H(F)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(L(h_0)R(h_1)f) = \xi(h_0)\xi(h_1)^{-1}\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(f)$$

(ii) Let $a : \mathbb{G}_m \to A$ be a one-parameter subgroup such that $\lambda(a(t)ha(t)^{-1}) = t\lambda(h)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$ and all $h \in H$. Denote by Ad_a the representation of $F^\times$ on $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ given by $Ad_a(t) = L(a(t))R(a(t))$ for all $t \in F^\times$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(F^\times)$. Set $\varphi'(t) = |t|^{-1}\delta_P(a(t))\varphi(t)$ for all $t \in F^\times$ and denote by $\widehat{\varphi}'$ its Fourier transform, that is

$$\widehat{\varphi}'(x) = \int_F \varphi'(t)\psi(tx)dt, \quad x \in F$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(Ad_a(\varphi)f) = \int_{H(F)} f(h)\widehat{\varphi}'(\lambda(h))dh$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$, where the second integral is absolutely convergent.

Proof: In both (i) and (ii), both sides of the equality to be proved are continuous in $f \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ (for (ii) this follows from lemma 6.5.1(iii)). Hence it is sufficient to check the relations for $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ where by straightforward variable changes we can pass from the left hand side to the right hand side. ■

8.2 Definition of $\mathcal{L}_\pi$

Let π be a tempered representation of $G(F)$. For all $T \in End(\pi)^\infty$, the function

$$g \in G(F) \mapsto Trace\left(\pi(g^{-1})T\right)$$

belongs to the weak Harish-Chandra-Schwartz space $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$. We can thus define a linear form $\mathcal{L}_\pi : End(\pi)^\infty \to \mathbb{C}$ by setting

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(T) = \int_{H(F)}^* Trace\left(\pi(h^{-1})T\right)\xi(h)dh, \quad T \in End(\pi)^\infty$$

By lemma 8.1.1(i), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(h)T\pi(h')) = \xi(h)\xi(h')\mathcal{L}_\pi(T)$$

for all $h, h' \in H(F)$ and $T \in End(\pi)^\infty$. By 2.2(5), the map which associates to $T \in End(\pi)^\infty$ the function

$$g \mapsto Trace\left(\pi(g^{-1})T\right)$$

in $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ is continuous. Since the generalized ξ-integral is a continuous linear form on $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$, it follows that the linear form $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ is continuous.

Recall that we have a continuous $G(F) \times G(F)$-equivariant embedding with dense image $\pi^\infty \otimes \overline{\pi^\infty} \hookrightarrow End(\pi)^\infty$, $e \otimes e' \mapsto T_{e,e'}$ (which is an isomorphism in the p-adic case). In any case, $End(\pi)^\infty$ is naturally isomorphic to the completed projective tensor product $\pi^\infty\widehat{\otimes}_p\overline{\pi^\infty}$. Thus we may identify $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ with the continuous sesquilinear form on π^∞ given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(e,e'):=\mathcal{L}_\pi(T_{e,e'})$$

for all $e,e'\in\pi^\infty$. Expanding definitions, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(e,e')=\int^*_{H(F)}(e,\pi(h)e')\xi(h)dh$$

for all $e,e'\in\pi^\infty$. Fixing $e'\in\pi^\infty$, we see that the map $e\in\pi^\infty\mapsto\mathcal{L}_\pi(e,e')$ belongs to $Hom_H(\pi^\infty,\xi)$. By the density of $\pi^\infty\otimes\overline{\pi}^\infty$ in $End(\pi)^\infty$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi\neq 0\Rightarrow m(\pi)\neq 0$$

The purpose of this section is to prove the converse direction. Namely, we will show

Theorem 8.2.1 *For all $\pi\in Temp(G)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi\neq 0\Leftrightarrow m(\pi)\neq 0$$

As said in the introduction, this result has already been proved in [Beu1] (theorem 14.3.1) in the p-adic case following closely the proof of the analogous result for special orthogonal groups given by Y. Sakellaridis and A. Venkatesh (theorem 6.4.1 of [SV]). The proof that goes through the following subsections is closer to the original treatment of Waldspurger (proposition 5.7 of [Wa4]).

To end this subsection, we will content ourself with giving some of the basic properties of $\mathcal{L}_\pi$. First, since $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ is a continuous sesquilinear form on π^∞, it defines a continuous linear map

$$L_\pi:\pi^\infty\to\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}$$
$$e\mapsto\mathcal{L}_\pi(e,.)$$

where we recall that $\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}$ denotes the topological conjugate-dual of π^∞ endowed with the strong topology. This operator L_π has its image included in $\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}^{H,\xi}=Hom_H(\overline{\pi^\infty},\xi)$. By theorem 6.3.1, this subspace is finite-dimensional and even of dimension less than 1 if π is irreducible. Let $T\in End(\pi)^\infty$. Recall that it extends uniquely to a continuous operator $T:\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}\to\pi^\infty$. Thus, we may form the two compositions

$$TL_\pi:\pi^\infty\to\pi^\infty$$

$$L_\pi T:\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}\to\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}$$

which are both finite-rank operators. In particular, their traces are well-defined and we have, almost by definition,

$$(1) \quad Trace(TL_\pi) = Trace(L_\pi T) = \mathcal{L}_\pi(T)$$

Lemma 8.2.1 *We have the following*

(i) The maps

$$\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto L_\pi \in Hom(\pi^\infty, \overline{\pi^{-\infty}})$$

$$\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \mathcal{L}_\pi \in End(\pi)^{-\infty}$$

are smooth in the following sense: For all parabolic subgroup $Q = LU_Q$ of G, for all $\sigma \in \Pi_2(L)$ and for all maximal compact subgroup K of $G(F)$, which is special in the p-adic case, the maps

$$\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^* \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\pi_\lambda} \in End(\pi_\lambda)^{-\infty} \simeq End(\pi_K)^{-\infty}$$

$$\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^* \mapsto L_{\pi_\lambda} \in Hom(\pi_\lambda^\infty, \overline{\pi_\lambda^{-\infty}}) \simeq Hom(\pi_K^\infty, \overline{\pi_K^{-\infty}})$$

are smooth, where we have set $\pi_\lambda = i_Q^G(\sigma_\lambda)$ and $\pi_K = i_{Q\cap K}^K(\sigma)$.

(ii) Let π be in $Temp(G)$ or $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$. Then for all $S, T \in End(\pi)^\infty$, we have $SL_\pitchfork \in End(\pi)^\infty$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(S)\mathcal{L}_\pi(T) = \mathcal{L}_\pi(SL_\pi T)$$

(iii) Let $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$. Then, the section $\pi \in Temp(G) \mapsto S_\pi L_\pi T_\pi \in End(\pi)^\infty$ belongs to $C^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$.

(iv) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and assume that its Fourier transform $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \pi(f)$ is compactly supported (this condition is automatically satisfied when F is p-adic). Then, we have the equality

$$\int_{H(F)} f(h)\xi(h)dh = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(f))\mu(\pi)d\pi$$

both integrals being absolutely convergent.

(v) *Let $f, f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and assume that the Fourier transform of f is compactly supported. Then we have the equality*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(f))\overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))}\mu(\pi)d\pi = \int_{H(F)}\int_{H(F)}\int_{G(F)} f(hgh')f'(g)dg\xi(h')dh'\xi(h)dh$$

where the first integral is absolutely convergent and the second integral is convergent in that order but not necessarily as a triple integral.

Proof:

(i) Let $Q = LU_Q$, $\sigma \in \Pi_2(L)$ and K be as in the statement. Recall that our convention is to equip all the spaces that appear in the statement with the strong topology.

We have $End(\pi_K)^\infty \simeq \pi_K^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_p \overline{\pi_K^\infty}$. Hence, the space $End(\pi_K)^{-\infty}$ may be identified with the space of continuous sesquilinear forms on π_K^∞ and we get a natural continuous embedding

$$End(\pi_K)^{-\infty} \hookrightarrow Hom(\pi_K^\infty, \overline{\pi_K^{-\infty}})$$

Of course, the image of $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\lambda}$ by this map is L_{π_λ}, for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*$. Consequently, it suffices to prove the smoothness of the map $\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\pi_\lambda}$. By proposition A.3.1(iv), this is equivalent to the smoothness of

$$\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\pi_\lambda}(T)$$

for all $T \in End(\pi_K)^\infty$. Because the ξ-integral is a continuous linear form on $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$, the smoothness of this last map follows from lemma 2.3.1(ii).

(ii) The two inclusions $End(\pi)^\infty \subset Hom(\overline{\pi^{-\infty}}, \pi)$ and $End(\pi)^\infty \subset Hom(\pi, \pi^\infty)$ are continuous. It follows that the bilinear map

$$End(\pi)^\infty \times End(\pi)^\infty \to End(\pi)$$
$$(S, T) \mapsto SL_\pi T$$

is separately continuous. For all $S, T \in End(\pi)^\infty$, the maps $g \in G(F) \mapsto \pi(g)S \in End(\pi)^\infty$ and $g \in G(F) \mapsto T\pi(g) \in End(\pi)^\infty$ are smooth. Hence, by proposition A.3.1(v) in the real case, we have $SL_\pi T \in End(\pi)^\infty$ for all $S, T \in End(\pi)^\infty$. We now prove the equality

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(S)\mathcal{L}_\pi(T) = \mathcal{L}_\pi(SL_\pi T)$$

for all $S, T \in End(\pi)^\infty$. Assume first that $\pi \in Temp(G)$. Then, this follows directly from (1) since the operators $L_\pi S, L_\pi T : \overline{\pi^{-\infty}} \to \overline{\pi^{-\infty}}$ have their images contained in the same line (which is $Hom_H(\overline{\pi}^\infty, \xi)$). Assume now that $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$. We may then find a parabolic subgroup $Q = LU_Q$ of G and a square-integrable representation $\sigma \in \Pi_2(L)$ such that $\pi = i_P^G(\sigma)$. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case and set $\pi_K = i_{Q\cap K}^K(\sigma)$ and $\pi_\lambda = i_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*$. Then, we have isomorphisms $End(\pi_\lambda)^\infty \simeq End(\pi_K)^\infty$ for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*$. Let $S, T \in End(\pi)^\infty$ and identify them to their images in $End(\pi_K)^\infty$ by the previous isomorphism. For λ in a dense subset of $i\mathcal{A}_L^*$, the representation π_λ is irreducible. Hence, by what we just saw, for all such $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\lambda}(S)\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\lambda}(T) = \mathcal{L}_{\pi_\lambda}(SL_{\pi_\lambda}T)$$

By (i), the left hand side of the above equality is continuous in $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*$. To deduce the equality at $\lambda = 0$ (what we want), it thus suffices to show that the function

$$\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^* \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\pi_\lambda}(SL_{\pi_\lambda}T)$$

is continuous. We are even going to prove that it is a smooth function. By (i) and proposition A.3.1(v), it suffices to show that for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*$, the trilinear map

$$(2) \quad End(\pi_\lambda)^\infty \times Hom(\pi_\lambda^\infty, \overline{\pi_\lambda^{-\infty}}) \times End(\pi_\lambda)^\infty \to End(\pi_\lambda)^\infty$$
$$(S, L, T) \mapsto SLT$$

is separately continuous. As the inclusions $End(\pi_\lambda)^\infty \subset Hom(\overline{\pi_\lambda^{-\infty}}, \pi_\lambda)$ and $End(\pi_\lambda)^\infty \subset Hom(\pi_\lambda, \pi_\lambda^\infty)$ are continuous, the trilinear map

$$End(\pi_\lambda)^\infty \times Hom(\pi_\lambda^\infty, \overline{\pi_\lambda^{-\infty}}) \times End(\pi_\lambda)^\infty \to End(\pi_\lambda)$$
$$(S, L, T) \mapsto SLT$$

is separately continuous fo all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*$. By definition of the topology on $End(\pi_\lambda)^\infty$, this immediately implies that (2) is separately continuous for all $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*$. This ends the proof of (ii)

(iii) This is also a direct consequence of (i) and of the fact that the trilinear map (2) is separately continuous.

(iv) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. The left hand side of (iv) is absolutely convergent by lemma 6.5.1(ii). By lemma 2.3.1(ii), the map

$$\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \varphi(f, \pi) \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$$

where $\varphi(f,\pi)(g) = Trace(\pi(g^{-1})\pi(f))$, is continuous. By the hypothesis made on f, this map is also compactly supported. It follows that the function $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \mu(\pi)\varphi(f,\pi) \in \mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ is absolutely integrable. Hence, the function

$$\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \mu(\pi)\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(f)) = \mu(\pi)\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(\varphi(f,\pi))$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi} : \mathcal{C}^w(G(F)) \to \mathbb{C}$ denotes the generalized ξ-integral, is also absolutely integrable, proving the convergence of the right hand side of (iv). We also have the equality

$$f = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \varphi(f,\pi)\mu(\pi)d\pi$$

in $\mathcal{C}^w(G(F))$ (or its completion),indeed by the Harish-Chandra-Plancherel formula both sides are equal after applying the evaluation map at g for all $g \in G(F)$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(\varphi(\pi,f))\mu(\pi)d\pi$$

which is exactly the content of (iv).

(v) The right hand side of (v) may be rewritten as

$$(3) \quad \int_{H(F)}\int_{H(F)} (f'^\vee * L(h^{-1})f)(h')\xi(h')dh'\xi(h)dh$$

where $f'^\vee(g) = f'(g^{-1})$. The operator-valued Fourier transform of $f'^\vee * L(h^{-1})f$ is given by

$$\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \pi(f'^\vee * L(h^{-1})f) = \pi(f'^\vee)\pi(h^{-1})\pi(f)$$

In particular, it is compactly supported. Applying (iv) to $f'^\vee * L(h^{-1})f$, we deduce that the integral

$$\int_{H(F)} (f'^\vee * L(h^{-1})f)(h')\xi(h')dh'$$

is absolutely convergent and is equal to

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(f'^\vee)\pi(h^{-1})\pi(f))\mu(\pi)d\pi$$

By (1), this last integral is equal to

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} Trace(\pi(h^{-1})\pi(f)L_\pi\pi(f'^\vee))\mu(\pi)d\pi$$

By (iii), the section $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \pi(f)L_\pi\pi(f'^\vee) \in End(\pi)^\infty$ is smooth. Moreover, it is compactly supported and so it belongs to $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$. By the matricial Paley-Wiener theorem (theorem 2.6.1), it is thus the Fourier transform of a Harish-Chandra-Schwartz function. Applying (iv) to this function, we see that the exterior integral of (3) is absolutely convergent and that the whole expression is equal to the absolutely convergent integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(f)L_\pi\pi(f'^\vee))\mu(\pi)d\pi$$

which by (ii) is equal to

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(f))\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(f'^\vee))\mu(\pi)d\pi$$

The point (v) now follows from this and the easily check equality

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(f'^\vee)) = \overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))}, \quad \pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$$

■

8.3 Asymptotics of tempered intertwinings

Lemma 8.3.1 *(i) Let π be a tempered representation of $G(F)$ and $\ell \in Hom_H(\pi^\infty, \xi)$ be a continuous (H,ξ)-equivariant linear form. Then, there exist $d > 0$ and a continuous semi-norm ν_d on π^∞ such that*

$$|\ell(\pi(x)e)| \leqslant \nu_d(e)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^d$$

for all $e \in \pi^\infty$ and all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$.

(ii) For all $d > 0$, there exists $d' > 0$ and a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{d,d'}$ on $\mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ such that

$$|\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(R(x)L(y)\varphi)| \leqslant \nu_{d,d'}(\varphi)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(y)\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{d'}\sigma_{H\backslash G}(y)^{d'}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ and all $x, y \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$.

Proof: We will use the notations of subsection 6.6.2. Namely, we have

- $\overline{P}_0 = M_0\overline{N}_0$ is a good parabolic subgroup of G_0, A_0 the split component of M_0;
- $A_0^+ = \{a \in A_0(F); |\alpha(a)| \geqslant 1 \ \forall \alpha \in R(A_0, \overline{P}_0)\}$;
- $\overline{P} = M\overline{N}$ is the parabolic subgroup opposite to P with respect to M;
- $\overline{P}_{min} = \overline{P}_0 T\overline{N}$, $M_{min} = M_0 T$ and $A_{min} = A_0 A$;
- P_{min} the parabolic subgroup opposite to $\overline{P}_{min}$ with respect to M_{min};
- Δ the set of simple roots of A_{min} in P_{min} and $\Delta_P = \Delta \cap R(A_{min}, P)$.

Then, $\overline{P}_{min}$ is a good parabolic subgroup of G and by lemma 6.6.1(ii), there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq G(F)$ such that

$$(1) \quad G(F) = H(F)A_0^+ A(F)\mathcal{K}$$

(i) By (1), proposition 6.7.1(i) and (ii) and since the family $(\pi(k))_{k\in\mathcal{K}}$ is equicontinuous on π^∞, it is sufficient to prove the following

(2) There exists a continuous semi-norm ν on π^∞ such that

$$|\ell(\pi(a)e)| \leqslant \Xi^G(a)\nu(e)$$

for all $e \in \pi^\infty$ and all $a \in A_0^+ A(F)$.

We divide the proof of (2) according to whether F is p-adic or real.

- Assume first that F is p-adic. Since the topology on π^∞ is the finest locally convex topology, we only need to show that for all $e \in \pi^\infty$, we have

$$(3) \quad |\ell(\pi(a)e)| \ll \Xi^G(a)$$

for all $a \in A_0^+ A(F)$. Let $e \in \pi^\infty$ and let K be a compact-open subgroup of $G(F)$ such that $e \in (\pi^\infty)^K$. First, we show

(4) There exists $c = c_K \geqslant 1$ such that for all $a \in A_{min}(F)$, if there exists $\alpha \in \Delta_P$ such that $|\alpha(a)| \geqslant c$, then

$$\ell(\pi(a)e) = 0$$

Since Δ_P is finite, it is sufficient to fix $\alpha \in \Delta_P$ and prove that for $a \in A_{min}(F)$, if $|\alpha(a)|$ is big enough then $\ell(\pi(a)e) = 0$. So, let $\alpha \in \Delta_P$ and $a \in A_{min}(F)$.

By lemma 6.6.1(iii), there exists $X \in \mathfrak{n}_\alpha(F)$ (where $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ is the eigensubspace of $\mathfrak{n}$ corresponding to α) such that $\xi(e^X) \neq 1$. Now, if $|\alpha(a)|$ is big enough, we will have $a^{-1}e^X a \in K$ and so

$$\xi(e^X)\ell(\pi(a)e) = \ell(\pi(e^X)\pi(a)e) = \ell(\pi(a)e)$$

From which it follows that $\ell(\pi(a)e) = 0$. This ends the proof of (4).

Let $c \geqslant 1$ be as in (4) and set

$$A^+_{min}(c) = \{a \in A_{min}(F); |\alpha(a)| \leqslant c \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}$$

Note that we have $|\alpha(a)| \leqslant 1$ for all $a \in A_0^+ A(F)$ and all $\alpha \in \Delta \backslash \Delta_P$. Hence, by (4), it is sufficient to prove the inequality (3) for $a \in A^+_{min}(c)$. By definition of $A^+_{min}(c)$, there exists a compact-open subgroup $K'_{\overline{P}_{min}}$ of $\overline{P}_{min}(F)$ such that

$$K'_{\overline{P}_{min}} \subseteq aKa^{-1}$$

for all $a \in A^+_{min}(c)$. Also, let K'_H be a compact-open subgroup of $H(F)$ on which ξ is trivial. Since $\overline{P}_{min}$ is a good parabolic subgroup of G, we may find a compact-open subgroup K' of $G(F)$ such that $K' \subseteq K'_H K'_{\overline{P}_{min}}$. Let $k' = k'_H k'_{\overline{P}_{min}} \in K'$, where $k'_H \in K'_H$ and $k'_{\overline{P}_{min}} \in K'_{\overline{P}_{min}}$, then we have

$$\ell(\pi(k')\pi(a)e) = \ell\left(\pi(k'_H)\pi(a)\pi(a^{-1}k'_{\overline{P}_{min}}a)e\right) = \xi(k'_H)\ell(\pi(a)e) = \ell(\pi(a)e)$$

for all $a \in A^+_{min}(c)$. It follows that

$$\ell(\pi(a)e) = \ell(\pi(e_{K'})\pi(a)e)$$

for all $a \in A^+_{min}(c)$. We have $\ell \circ \pi(e_{K'}) \in \overline{\pi^\infty}$ and the inequality (3) for $a \in A^+_{min}(c)$ now follows from 2.2(3).

- Assume now that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let us set for all $I \subseteq \Delta$,

$$A^+_{min}(I) = \{a \in A_{min}(F); |\alpha(a)| \leqslant 1 \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta \backslash I \text{ and } |\alpha(a)| > 1 \ \forall \alpha \in I\}$$

Since $|\alpha(a)| \leqslant 1$ for all $a \in A_0^+ A(F)$ and all $\alpha \in \Delta \backslash \Delta_P$, we have

$$(5) \quad A_0^+ A(F) = \bigsqcup_{I \subseteq \Delta_P} A^+_{min}(I)$$

Hence, we may fix $I \subseteq \Delta_P$ and prove the inequality (2) restricted to $a \in A^+_{min}(I)$. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_p$ be a basis of $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{min}(F)$ and set

$$\Delta_{min} = 1 - \left(X_1^2 + \ldots + X_p^2\right) \in \mathcal{U}(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{min})$$

Let $k \geqslant \dim(\overline{P}_{min}) + 1$ be an integer. We will need the following

(6) There exists $u = u_{I,k} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$ such that the two maps

$$a \in A^+_{min}(I) \mapsto a^{-1}(\Delta^k_{min} u)a \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$a \in A^+_{min}(I) \mapsto a^{-1}ua \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$$

have bounded images and $d\xi(u) = 1$.

This follows rather easily from lemma 6.6.1(iii). We henceforth fix such an element $u = u_{I,k} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$. By elliptic regularity (2.1(2)), there exist two functions $\varphi_1 \in C_c^{k_1}(\overline{P}_{min}(F))$ and $\varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(\overline{P}_{min}(F))$, where $k_1 = 2k - \dim(\overline{P}_{min}) - 1$, such that

$$\pi(\varphi_1)\pi(\Delta^k_{min}) + \pi(\varphi_2) = Id_{\pi^\infty}$$

Choose a function $\varphi_H \in C_c^\infty(H(F))$ such that $\int_{H(F)} \varphi_H(h)\xi(h)dh = 1$. Then, for all $e \in \pi^\infty$ and all $a \in A^+_{min}(I)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell(\pi(a)e) &= d\xi(u)\ell(\pi(a)e) = \ell(\pi(u)\pi(a)e) \\
&= \ell\left(\pi(\varphi_1)\pi(\Delta^k_{min}u)\pi(a)e\right) + \ell\left(\pi(\varphi_2)\pi(u)\pi(a)e\right) \\
&= \ell\left(\pi(\varphi_1)\pi(a)\pi(a^{-1}(\Delta^k_{min}u)a)e\right) + \ell\left(\pi(\varphi_2)\pi(a)\pi(a^{-1}ua)e\right) \\
&= \ell\left(\pi(\varphi_H * \varphi_1)\pi(a)\pi(a^{-1}(\Delta^k_{min}u)a)e\right) + \ell\left(\pi(\varphi_H * \varphi_2)\pi(a)\pi(a^{-1}ua)e\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the functions $\varphi_H * \varphi_1$ and $\varphi_H * \varphi_2$ both belong to $C_c^{k_1}(G(F))$. The inequality (2) for $a \in A^+_{min}(I)$ is now a consequence of (6) and the equality above if we choose k sufficiently large by the following fact

(7) There exists an integer $k_1' \geqslant 1$ such that for all $\varphi \in C_c^{k_1'}(G(F))$, there exists a

continuous semi-norm ν_φ on π^∞ such that

$$|\ell(\pi(\varphi)\pi(g)e)| \leqslant \nu_\varphi(e)\Xi^G(g)$$

for all $e \in \pi^\infty$ and for all $g \in G(F)$.

(This is an easy consequence of the fact that ℓ is a continuous linear form and of 2.2(6)).

(ii) Let $d > 0$. Again by (1) and proposition 6.7.1(i) and (ii), we only need to prove the following

(8) There exists a continuous semi-norm ν_d on $\mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ such that

$$|\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(R(a_1)L(a_2)\varphi)| \leqslant \nu_d(\varphi)\Xi^G(a_1)\Xi^G(a_2)\sigma(a_1)^d\sigma(a_2)^d$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ and all $a_1, a_2 \in A_0^+A(F)$.

The proof of this fact is very close to the proof of (2). We shall only sketch it, distinguishing again between the case where F is p-adic and the case where F is real.

- Assume first that F is p-adic. Then, we may fix a compact-open subgroup $K \subset G(F)$ and we are reduced to prove the following

  (9) There exists a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{K,d}$ on $\mathcal{C}^w_{K,d}(G(F))$ such that

  $$|\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(R(a_1)L(a_2)\varphi)| \leqslant \nu_{K,d}(\varphi)\Xi^G(a_1)\Xi^G(a_2)\sigma(a_1)^d\sigma(a_2)^d$$

  for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^w_{K,d}(G(F))$ and all $a_1, a_2 \in A_0^+A(F)$.

  We prove as in the proof of (i) that there exists a constant $c = c_K \geqslant 1$ such that

  $$\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(R(a_1)L(a_2)\varphi) = 0$$

  for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^w_{K,d}(G(F))$ as soon as $a_1 \in A_0^+A(F) - A^+_{min}(c)$ or $a_2 \in A_0^+A(F) - A^+_{min}(c)$. We also prove as in the proof of (i) that there exists a compact-open subgroup $K' \subset G(F)$ such that

  $$\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(R(a_1)L(a_2)\varphi) = \mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(R(e_{K'})L(e_{K'})R(a_1)L(a_2)\varphi)$$

  for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^w_{K,d}(G(F))$ and all $a_1, a_2 \in A^+_{min}(c)$. The inequality (9) now follows from lemma 1.5.1(i)(a).

- Assume now that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then, by (5), we may fix $I, J \subseteq \Delta_P$ and just prove

  (10) There exists a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{I,J,d}$ on $\mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$ such that

  $$|\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(R(a_1)L(a_2)\varphi)| \leqslant \nu_{I,J,d}(\varphi)\Xi^G(a_1)\Xi^G(a_2)\sigma(a_1)^d\sigma(a_2)^d$$

  for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^w_d(G(F))$, all $a_1 \in A^+_{min}(I)$ and all $a_2 \in A^+_{min}(J)$.

Let $k \geqslant \dim(\overline{P}_{min}) + 1$ be an integer and choose elements $u_I = u_{I,k}, u_J = u_{J,k} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$ as in (6). Then, as in the proof of (i), we show that there exists functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4 \in C_c^{k_1}(G(F))$, where $k_1 = 2k - \dim(\overline{P}_{min}) - 1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}(R(a_1)L(a_2)\varphi) = \mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}&\left[R(\varphi_1)L(\varphi_3)R(a_1)L(a_2)R(a_1^{-1}\Delta_{min}^k u_I a_1)L(a_2^{-1}\Delta_{min}^k u_J a_2)\varphi\right] \\ &+ \mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}\left[R(\varphi_1)L(\varphi_4)R(a_1)L(a_2)R(a_1^{-1}\Delta_{min}^k u_I a_1)L(a_2^{-1}u_J a_2)\varphi\right] \\ &\mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}\left[R(\varphi_2)L(\varphi_3)R(a_1)L(a_2)R(a_1^{-1}u_I a_1)L(a_2^{-1}\Delta_{min}^k u_J a_2)\varphi\right] \\ &+ \mathcal{P}_{H,\xi}\left[R(\varphi_2)L(\varphi_4)R(a_1)L(a_2)R(a_1^{-1}u_I a_1)L(a_2^{-1}u_J a_2)\varphi\right]\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_d^w(G(F))$ and all $(a_1, a_2) \in A_{min}^+(I) \times A_{min}(J)^+$. The inequality (10) now follows from lemma 1.5.1(i)(b). ■

8.4 Explicit intertwinings and parabolic induction

Let $Q = LU_Q$ be a parabolic subgroup of G. Because $G = U(W) \times U(V)$, we have decompositions

$$(1) \quad Q = Q_W \times Q_V \text{ and } L = L_W \times L_V$$

where Q_W and Q_V are parabolic subgroups of $U(W)$ and $U(V)$ respectively and L_W, L_V are Levi components of these. By the explicit description of parabolic subgroups of unitary groups (cf subsection 6.1), we have

$$(2) \quad L_W = GL_E(Z_{1,W}) \times \ldots \times GL_E(Z_{a,W}) \times U(\widetilde{W})$$

$$(3) \quad L_V = GL_E(Z_{1,V}) \times \ldots \times GL_E(Z_{b,V}) \times U(\widetilde{V})$$

where $Z_{i,W}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant a$ (respectively $Z_{i,V}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant b$) are totally isotropic subspaces of W (respectively of V) and $\widetilde{W}$ (respectively $\widetilde{V}$) is a non-degenerate subspace of W (respectively of V). Let $\widetilde{G} = U(\widetilde{W}) \times U(\widetilde{V})$. The pair $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{W})$ is easily seen to be admissible up to permutation, hence it defines a GGP triple $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H}, \widetilde{\xi})$ well-defined up to $\widetilde{G}(F)$-conjugation where $\widetilde{G} = U(\widetilde{W}) \times U(\widetilde{V})$. For all tempered representation $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of $\widetilde{G}(F)$, we may define as in subsection 8.2 a continuous linear form $\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}} : End(\widetilde{\sigma})^\infty \to \mathbb{C}$.

Let σ be a tempered representation of $L(F)$ which decomposes according to the decompositions (1), (2) and (3) as a tensor product

$$(4) \quad \sigma = \sigma_W \boxtimes \sigma_V$$

$$(5) \quad \sigma_W = \sigma_{1,W} \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes \sigma_{a,W} \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}_W$$

$$(6) \quad \sigma_V = \sigma_{1,V} \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes \sigma_{b,V} \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}_V$$

where $\sigma_{i,W} \in Temp(GL_E(Z_{i,W}))$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant a$, $\sigma_{i,V} \in Temp(GL_E(Z_{i,V}))$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant b$, $\widetilde{\sigma}_W$ is a tempered representation of $U(\widetilde{W})(F)$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}_V$ is a tempered representation of $U(\widetilde{V})(F)$. Let us set $\tilde{\sigma} = \widetilde{\sigma}_W \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}_V$. It is a tempered representation of $\widetilde{G}(F)$. Finally, let us set $\pi = i_Q^G(\sigma)$, $\pi_W = i_{Q_W}^{U(W)}(\sigma_W)$ and $\pi_V = i_{Q_V}^{U(V)}(\sigma_V)$ for the parabolic inductions of σ, σ_W and σ_V respectively. We have $\pi = \pi_W \boxtimes \pi_V$.

Proposition 8.4.1 *With notations as above, we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\sigma}} \neq 0$$

Proof: We will use the following convenient notations. If X is an E-vector space of finite dimension, $Q_X = L_X U_X$ is a parabolic subgroup of $GL_E(X)$ with

$$L_X = GL_E(X_1) \times \ldots \times GL_E(X_c)$$

and we have tempered representations $\sigma_{i,X}$ of $GL_E(X_i)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant c$, then we will denote by

$$\sigma_{1,X} \times \ldots \times \sigma_{c,X}$$

the induced representation $i_{Q_X}^{GL_E(X)}(\sigma_{1,X} \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes \sigma_{c,X})$. Note that if all the $\sigma_{i,X}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant c$, are irreducible so is $\sigma_{1,X} \times \ldots \times \sigma_{c,X}$. Similarly, if X is an hermitian space, $Q_X = L_X U_X$ is a parabolic subgroup of $U(X)$ with

$$L_X = GL_E(Z_{1,X}) \times \ldots \times GL_E(Z_{d,X}) \times U(\widetilde{X})$$

and we have tempered representations $\sigma_{i,X}$ of $GL_E(Z_{i,X})$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$ and a tempered representation $\widetilde{\sigma}_X$ of $U(\widetilde{X})(F)$, then we will denote by

$$\sigma_{1,X} \times \ldots \times \sigma_{d,X} \times \widetilde{\sigma}_X$$

the induced representation $i_{Q_X}^{U(X)}(\sigma_{1,X} \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes \sigma_{d,X} \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}_X)$. In particular, with these notations, we have

$$\pi_W = \sigma_{1,W} \times \ldots \times \sigma_{a,W} \times \widetilde{\sigma}_W$$

and

$$\pi_V = \sigma_{1,V} \times \ldots \times \sigma_{b,V} \times \widetilde{\sigma}_V$$

By the process of induction by stages, we also have $\pi_W = \sigma'_W \times \widetilde{\sigma}_W$ and $\pi_V = \sigma'_V \times \widetilde{\sigma}_V$, where

$$\sigma'_W = \sigma_{1,W} \times \ldots \times \sigma_{a,W}$$

$$\sigma'_V = \sigma_{1,V} \times \ldots \times \sigma_{b,V}$$

These are tempered irreducible representations of general linear groups (over E). Hence, the statement immediately reduces to the case where $a \leqslant 1$ and $b \leqslant 1$.

First, we treat the particular case where $G = G_0$, $H = H_0$ (codimension one case) and $(a, b) = (0, 1)$. Then $Q = U(W) \times Q_V$ where Q_V is a maximal proper parabolic subgroup of G. Up to conjugating Q, we may assume that it is a good parabolic subgroup (cf subsection 6.4). Then, to fit with our general notations of section 6, we will change our notations and denote Q by $\overline{Q}$ and Q_V by $\overline{Q}_V$. Set $H_{\overline{Q}} = H \cap \overline{Q}$. By proposition 6.8.1(i), we have a natural embedding $H_{\overline{Q}} \hookrightarrow L$.

We may assume without loss of generality that the invariant scalar product on π is given by

$$(e, e') = \int_{\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F)} (e(g), e'(g))dg, \quad e, e' \in \pi$$

where the scalar product in the integral is the scalar product on σ. Since we are in the codimension one case, the integral defining $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ is absolutely convergent and we have

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{L}_\pi(e, e') = \int_{H(F)} \int_{\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F)} (e(g), e'(gh))dg\xi(h)dh$$

for all $e, e' \in \pi^\infty$. Let us show

(8) The expression (7) is absolutely convergent for all $e, e' \in \pi^\infty$.

Let $e, e' \in \pi^\infty$ and choose a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case. Then, for a suitable choice of Haar measure on K, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F)} |(e(g), e'(gh))| \, dg &= \int_K |(e(k), e'(kh))| \, dk \\ &= \int_K \delta_{\overline{Q}}(l_{\overline{Q}}(kh))^{1/2} \left|\left(e(k), \sigma\left(l_{\overline{Q}}(kh)\right) e'\left(k_{\overline{Q}}(kh)\right)\right)\right| dk \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H(F)$. Here, as usual $l_{\overline{Q}} : G(F) \to L(F)$ and $k_{\overline{Q}} : G(F) \to K$ are maps such that $l_{\overline{Q}}(g)^{-1} g k_{\overline{Q}}(g)^{-1} \in U_{\overline{Q}}(F)$ (the unipotent radical of $\overline{Q}(F)$) for all $g \in G(F)$. Since σ is tempered and the maps $k \in K \mapsto e(k) \in \sigma$, $k \in K \mapsto e'(k) \in \sigma$ have bounded image, it follows that

$$\int_{\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F)} |(e(g), e'(gh))| \, dg \ll \int_K \delta_{\overline{Q}}(l_{\overline{Q}}(kg))^{1/2} \Xi^L(l_{\overline{Q}}(kh))dk = \Xi^G(h)$$

for all $h \in H(F)$, where the last equality is proposition 1.5.1(iii). The absolute convergence of (7) now follows from lemma 6.5.1(i).

Since $\overline{Q}$ is a good parabolic subgroup, by proposition 6.4.1(i) the quotient $H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)$ has negligible complement in $\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F)$. Hence, if we choose Haar measures compatibly, we have

$$\int_{\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F)} \varphi(g)dg = \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)} \varphi(h)dh$$

for all $\varphi \in L^1\left(\overline{Q}(F)\backslash G(F), \delta_{\overline{Q}}\right)$. Hence, (7) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(e,e') = \int_{H(F)} \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)} (e(h'), e'(h'h))\, dh'dh$$

for all $e, e' \in \pi^\infty$, the double integral being absolutely convergent by (8). Switching the two integrals, we get

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{L}_\pi(e,e') = \int_{\left(H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)\right)^2} \mathcal{L}_\sigma(e(h), e'(h'))dhdh'$$

for all $e, e' \in \pi^\infty$, where we have set

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma(v,v') = \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \left(v, \sigma(h_{\overline{Q}})v'\right) \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2} d_L h_{\overline{Q}}$$

for all $v, v' \in \sigma^\infty$. The presence in the integral above of $\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}$ instead of $\delta_{\overline{Q}}$ follows from proposition 6.8.1(ii). More generally, as for $\mathcal{L}_\pi$, we may define

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma(T_\sigma) = \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} Trace\left(\sigma(h_{\overline{Q}}^{-1})T\right) \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2} d_L h_{\overline{Q}}$$

for all $T_\sigma \in End(\sigma)^\infty$

(10) The integral defining $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ is absolutely convergent and $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ is a continuous linear form on $End(\sigma)^\infty$.

Indeed, this follows from proposition 6.8.1(iii) because σ is tempered. We now prove the following

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{L}_\pi \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\sigma \neq 0$$

By (9) and the density of $\pi^\infty \otimes \overline{\pi^\infty}$ in $End(\pi)^\infty$, we see that if $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ is nonzero then $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ is nonzero. Let us prove the converse. The analytic fibration $H(F) \to H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)$ is locally trivial. Let $s : \mathcal{U} \to H(F)$ be an analytic section over an open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)$. For $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U}, \sigma^\infty)$ a smooth compactly supported function from $\mathcal{U}$ to σ^∞, the following assignment

$$e_\varphi(g) = \begin{cases} \delta_{\overline{Q}}(l)^{1/2}\sigma(l)\varphi(h) \text{ if } g = lus(h) \text{ with } l \in L(F), u \in U_{\overline{Q}}(F), h \in \mathcal{U} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

defines an element of π^∞. By (9), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(e_\varphi, e_{\varphi'}) = \int_{\left(H_{\overline{Q}}(F)\backslash H(F)\right)^2} \mathcal{L}_\sigma\left(\varphi(h), \varphi'(h')\right) dhdh'$$

for all $\varphi, \varphi' \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U}, \sigma^\infty)$. Now, assume that $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ is nonzero. Because $\sigma^\infty \otimes \overline{\sigma^\infty}$ is dense in $End(\sigma)^\infty$, there exists $v_0, v_0' \in \sigma^\infty$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(v_0, v_0') \neq 0$. Setting $\varphi(h) = f(h)v_0$ and $\varphi'(h) = \overline{f(h)v_0'}$ where $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ is nonzero in the formula above, we get $\mathcal{L}_\pi(e_\varphi, e_{\varphi'}) \neq 0$ hence $\mathcal{L}_\pi$ doesn't vanish. This ends the proof of (11).

By (11), we are now reduced to prove

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{L}_\sigma \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\sigma}} \neq 0$$

In order to prove (12), we will need a precise description of $H_{\overline{Q}}$ and of the embedding $H_{\overline{Q}} \hookrightarrow L$. Since $\overline{Q}_V$ is a maximal proper parabolic subgroup of $U(V)$ it is the stabilizer of a totally isotropic subspace Z' of V. The quotient $\overline{Q}\backslash G$ classify the totally isotropic subspaces of V of the same dimension than Z'. The action of $H = U(W)$ on that space has two orbits: one is open and corresponds to the subspaces Z'' as before such that $dim(Z'' \cap W) = dim(Z') - 1$, the other is closed and corresponds to the subspaces Z'' such that $dim(Z'' \cap W) = dim(Z')$. Since we are assuming that $\overline{Q}$ is a good parabolic subgroup, Z' is in the open orbit. Hence $Z'_W = Z' \cap W$ is an hyperplane in Z'. Moreover, we have

$$L_V = GL_E(Z') \times U(\widetilde{V})$$

where $\widetilde{V}$ is a non-degenerate subspace of V orthogonal to Z'. Since Z'_W is an hyperplane in Z', up to $\overline{Q}(F)$-conjugation we have $\widetilde{V} \subset W$ and so we may as well assume that it is the case. Note that we have a natural identification $H_{\overline{Q}} = U(W) \cap \overline{Q}_V$. The exact sequence

$$1 \to U_{\overline{Q}} \to \overline{Q}_V \to GL_E(Z') \times U(\widetilde{V}) \to 1$$

induces an exact sequence

$$(13) \quad 1 \to U^{\natural}_{\overline{Q}} \to H_{\overline{Q}} \to P_{Z'} \times U(\widetilde{V}) \to 1$$

where $U^{\natural}_{\overline{Q}} = U(W) \cap U_{\overline{Q}}$ and $P_{Z'}$ is the mirabolic subgroup of elements $g \in GL_E(Z')$ preserving the hyperplane Z'_W and acting trivially on the quotient Z'/Z'_W. On the other hand, we have

$$L = U(W) \times GL_E(Z') \times U(\widetilde{V})$$

and the embedding $H_{\overline{Q}} \hookrightarrow L$ is the product of the three following maps

- The natural inclusion $H_{\overline{Q}} \subseteq U(W)$;
- The projection $H_{\overline{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow P_{Z'}$ followed by the natural inclusion $P_{Z'} \subseteq GL_E(Z')$;

- The projection $H_{\overline{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow U(\widetilde{V})$.

Let $\widetilde{D}$ be a line such that $(Z'_W)^\perp \cap W = Z'_W \oplus \left(\widetilde{D} \oplus^\perp \widetilde{V}\right)$. Then, the unipotent group $U^\natural_{\overline{Q}}$ may be described as the subgroup of $U(W)$ stabilizing the subspace $\widetilde{D} \oplus Z'_W$. Fix a basis $z'_1, \ldots, z'_\ell$ of Z'_W and let $B_{Z'}$ be the Borel subgroup of $GL_E(Z')$ fixing the complete flag

$$\langle z'_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle z'_1, z'_2 \rangle \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq \langle z'_1, \ldots, z'_\ell \rangle = Z'_W \subsetneq Z'$$

and denote by $N_{Z'}$ its unipotent radical. Let $\widetilde{\xi}$ be a generic character of $N_{Z'}(F)$. Let us denote by $\widetilde{N}$ and $\widetilde{H}$ the inverse images in $H_{\overline{Q}}$ of the subgroups $N_{Z'}$ and $N_{Z'} \times U(\widetilde{V})$ of $P_{Z'} \times U(\widetilde{V})$ by the last map of (13). If we extend $\widetilde{\xi}$ to a character of $\widetilde{H}(F)$ through the projection $\widetilde{H}(F) \twoheadrightarrow N_{Z'}(F)$, then the triple $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H}, \widetilde{\xi})$ is a GGP triple corresponding to the pair of hermitian spaces $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{W})$. We can of course use this triple to define $\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$. We henceforth assume that it is the case.

The representation σ decomposes as $\sigma = \sigma_{1,V} \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}$ where $\sigma_{1,V}$ is a tempered irreducible representation of $GL_E(Z')$. The subspace $\sigma^\infty_{1,V} \otimes \widetilde{\sigma}^\infty$ is dense in σ^∞. Hence $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ is nonzero if and only if there exist vectors $\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{v}' \in \widetilde{\sigma}^\infty$ and $w, w' \in \sigma^\infty_{1,V}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma(w \otimes \widetilde{v}, w' \otimes \widetilde{v}') \neq 0$$

Let us fix a Whittaker model $\sigma^\infty_{1,V} \hookrightarrow C^\infty\left(N_{Z'}(F)\backslash GL_E(Z'), \widetilde{\xi}^{-1}\right)$ for $\sigma^\infty_{1,V}$ (such a model exists because $\sigma_{1,V}$ is a tempered representation of a general linear group). For $w \in \sigma^\infty_{1,V}$, we will denote by W_w the corresponding Whittaker function. We have the following

(14) For a suitable choice of Haar measures, we have the equality

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma(w \otimes \widetilde{v}, w' \otimes \widetilde{v}') = \int_{(N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F))^2} W_w(p)\overline{W_{w'}(p')}\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}(p)\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{\sigma}(p')\widetilde{v}'\right)\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(pp')^{-1/2} d_Rp d_Rp'$$

for all $w, w' \in \sigma^\infty_{1,V}$ and all $\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{v}' \in \widetilde{\sigma}^\infty$ and where the integral on the right is absolutely convergent.

Before proving this equality, let us explain how we can deduce (12) from it. The implication $\mathcal{L}_\sigma \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \neq 0$ is now obvious. For the converse direction, we use the fact that the Kirillov model of $\sigma^\infty_{1,V}$, that is the restriction of the Whittaker model to functions on $P_{Z'}(F)$, contains $C^\infty_c\left(N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F), \widetilde{\xi}^{-1}\right)$ (cf theorem 6 of [GK] in the p-adic case and theorem 1 of [Kem] in the real case). Since the analytic fibration $P_{Z'}(F) \to N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)$ is locally trivial, we can now argue in a similar way as in the proof of (11).

We will now prove (14). Let us fix $w, w' \in \sigma^\infty_{1,V}$. Let $H_\natural$ be the inverse image of $U(\widetilde{V})$ in $H_{\overline{Q}}$ via the last map of the exact sequence (13). The group $H_\natural(F)$ is unimodular and for suitable choices of Haar measures, we have $d_Lh_{\overline{Q}} = dh_\natural d_Lp$ where $dh_\natural$ is a Haar measure on $H_\natural(F)$ and d_Lp is a left Haar measure on $P_{Z'}(F)$. Moreover, the modular character $\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}$ is trivial on $H_\natural(F)$. Thus, because of the description of the embedding $H_{\overline{Q}} \hookrightarrow L$, we have

$$(15)\quad \mathcal{L}_\sigma(w\otimes\widetilde{v}, w'\otimes\widetilde{v}') = \int_{P_{Z'}(F)}\int_{H_\natural(F)} (\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{\sigma}(ph_\natural)\widetilde{v}')\,(w, \sigma_{1,V}(p)w')\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{1/2}dh_\natural d_Lp$$

for all $\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{v}' \in \widetilde{\sigma}^\infty$, the integral being absolutely convergent by (10). Let us define

$$\mathcal{P}_{\widetilde{H},\widetilde{\xi}}(\varphi) = \int^*_{\widetilde{H}(F)} \varphi(\widetilde{h})\widetilde{\xi}(\widetilde{h})d\widetilde{h}$$

$$\mathcal{P}^1_{w,w'}(\varphi) = \int_{(N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F))^2} W_w(p)\overline{W_{w'}(p')}\mathcal{P}_{\widetilde{H},\widetilde{\xi}}\left(L(p)R(p')\varphi\right)\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(pp')^{-1/2}d_Rpd_Rp'$$

$$\mathcal{P}^2_{w,w'}(\varphi) = \int_{P_{Z'}(F)}\int_{H_\natural(F)} \varphi(ph_\natural)(w, \sigma_{1,V}(p)w')\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{1/2}dh_\natural d_Lp$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^w(\widetilde{G}(F))$. The first expression above is the generalized $\widetilde{\xi}$-integral on $\widetilde{H}(F)$ of subsection 8.1. Because of (15) and since $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is tempered, the claim (14) will follow from

> (16) The integrals defining $\mathcal{P}^1_{w,w'}$ and $\mathcal{P}^2_{w,w'}$ are absolutely convergent, moreover they define continuous linear forms on $\mathcal{C}^w(\widetilde{G}(F))$ and if Haar measures are chosen suitably we have
>
> $$\mathcal{P}^1_{w,w'}(\varphi) = \mathcal{P}^2_{w,w'}(\varphi)$$
>
> for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^w(\widetilde{G}(F))$.

Let us start by proving the absolute convergence and continuity of $\mathcal{P}^2_{w,w'}$. It suffices to show that the integral

$$\int_{P_{Z'}(F)}\int_{H_\natural(F)} \Xi^{\widetilde{G}}(ph_\natural)\sigma_{\widetilde{G}}(ph_\natural)^d\,|(w, \sigma_{1,V}(p)w')|\,\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{1/2}dh_\natural d_Lp$$

is absolutely convergent for all $d > 0$. Since $\sigma_{1,V}$ is a tempered representation this last integral is essentially bounded by

$$\int_{P_{Z'}(F)}\int_{H_\natural(F)} \Xi^{\widetilde{G}}(ph_\natural)\sigma_{\widetilde{G}}(ph_\natural)^d\Xi^{GL_E(Z')}(p)\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{1/2}dh_\natural d_Lp = \int_{H_{\overline{Q}}(F)} \Xi^L(h_{\overline{Q}})\sigma(h_{\overline{Q}})^d\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(h_{\overline{Q}})^{1/2}d_Lh_{\overline{Q}}$$

which by proposition 6.8.1(iii) is an absolutely convergent integral.

Let us now show the absolute convergence and continuity of $\mathcal{P}^1_{w,w'}$. By lemma 8.3.1(ii), it is sufficient to show the following

(17) For all $d>0$ and all $w_0\in\sigma_{1,V}^\infty$ the integral

$$\int_{N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)} |W_{w_0}(p)|\,\Xi^{\widetilde{H}\backslash\widetilde{G}}(p)\sigma(p)^d\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{-1/2}d_Rp$$

is absolutely convergent.

Let $d>0$ and $w_0\in\sigma_{1,V}$. Let $\widetilde{T}$ be the maximal subtorus of $GL_E(Z'_W)$ stabilizing the lines $\langle z'_1\rangle,\ldots,\langle z'_\ell\rangle$. Remark that $\widetilde{T}$ plays the role of the torus T for the GGP triple $(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{H},\widetilde{\xi})$ (cf subsection 6.2). Also, let us fix a maximal (special in the p-adic case) compact subgroup $K_{Z'_W}$ of $GL_E(Z'_W)$. Then, we have $P_{Z'}(F)=N_{Z'}(F)\widetilde{T}(F)K_{Z'_W}$ together with a decomposition of the Haar measure $d_Rp=\delta_{P_{Z'}}(\widetilde{t})\delta_{B_{Z'}}(\widetilde{t})^{-1}dnd\widetilde{t}dk$. Hence,

$$\int_{N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)} |W_{w_0}(p)|\,\Xi^{\widetilde{H}\backslash\widetilde{G}}(p)\sigma(p)^d\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{-1/2}d_Rp=$$
$$\int_{K_{Z'_W}}\int_{\widetilde{T}(F)}\left|W_{w_0}(\widetilde{t}k)\right|\Xi^{\widetilde{H}\backslash\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{t}k)\sigma(\widetilde{t}k)^d\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(\widetilde{t})^{-1/2}\delta_{P_{Z'}}(\widetilde{t})\delta_{B_{Z'}}(\widetilde{t})^{-1}d\widetilde{t}dk$$

By proposition 6.7.1(i)(a) and (ii)(a), there exists a $d_1>0$ such that $\Xi^{\widetilde{H}\backslash\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{t}k)\ll\delta_{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{t})^{1/2}\sigma(\widetilde{t})^{d_1}$ for all $\widetilde{t}\in\widetilde{T}(F)$ and all $k\in K_{Z'_W}$. Also,we have $\sigma(\widetilde{t}k)\ll\sigma(\widetilde{t})$ for all $\widetilde{t}\in\widetilde{T}(F)$ and all $k\in K_{Z'_W}$. We have an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{T}\simeq\left(R_{E/F}\mathbb{G}_m\right)^\ell$$
$$\widetilde{t}\mapsto(\widetilde{t}_i)_{1\leqslant i\leqslant\ell}$$

where $\widetilde{t}_i$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant\ell$, denotes the eigenvalue of $\widetilde{t}$ acting on z'_i. Since $W_{w_0}(\widetilde{t}k)=W_{\sigma_{1,V}(k)w_0}(\widetilde{t})$ and the map $k\in K_{Z'_W}\mapsto\sigma_{1,V}(k)w_0$ has bounded image, by lemma B.2.1, it is sufficient to prove the existence of $R>0$ such that the integral

$$\int_{\widetilde{T}(F)}\Xi^{GL_E(Z')}(\widetilde{t})\delta_{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{t})^{1/2}\sigma(\widetilde{t})^{d+d_1}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(\widetilde{t})^{-1/2}\delta_{P_{Z'}}(\widetilde{t})\delta_{B_{Z'}}(\widetilde{t})^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\max(1,|\widetilde{t}_i|_E)^{-R}d\widetilde{t}$$

converges absolutely. Here, we have denoted by $|.|_E$ the normalized absolute value of E. By proposition 1.5.1(ii), there exists a $d_2>0$ such that $\Xi^{GL_E(Z')}(\widetilde{t})\ll\delta_{B_{Z'}}(\widetilde{t})^{1/2}\sigma(\widetilde{t})^{d_2}$ for all $\widetilde{t}\in\widetilde{T}(F)$. Moreover, we have $\delta_{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{t})=\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(\widetilde{t})\delta_{B_{Z'}}(\widetilde{t})\delta_{P_{Z'}}(\widetilde{t})^{-1}$ and

$$\delta_{P_{Z'}}(\widetilde{t})=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}|\widetilde{t}_i|_E$$

for all $\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}(F)$. Hence, it suffices to prove that there exists $R > 0$ such that the integral

$$\int_{\widetilde{T}(F)} \sigma(\widetilde{t})^{d+d_1+d_2} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} |\widetilde{t}_i|_E \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \max(1, |\widetilde{t}_i|_E)^{-R} d\widetilde{t}$$

converges. We have

$$\sigma(\widetilde{t}) \ll \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \log\left(1 + \max(|\widetilde{t}_i^{-1}|_E, |\widetilde{t}_i|_E)\right)$$

for all $\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}(F)$ and for suitable additive Haar measures $d\widetilde{t}_i$ on E, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$, we have

$$d\widetilde{t} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} |\widetilde{t}_i|_E^{-1} d\widetilde{t}_i$$

So finally, we only need to prove the existence of $R > 0$ such that the integral

$$\int_E \log\left(1 + \max(|x|_E^{-1}, |x|_E)\right)^{d+d_1+d_2} \max(1, |x|_E)^{-R} dx$$

converges. Any $R > 1$ fulfills this condition. This ends the proof of (17) and hence of the absolute convergence and continuity of $\mathcal{P}^1_{w,w'}$.

We are now only left with proving the equality of the functionals $\mathcal{P}^1_{w,w'}$ and $\mathcal{P}^2_{w,w'}$. Because we have shown that these two functionals are continuous linear forms on $\mathcal{C}^w(\widetilde{G}(F))$ and since $\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{G}(F))$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{C}^w(\widetilde{G}(F))$ (1.5(1)), we only need to prove the equality

$$\mathcal{P}^1_{w,w'}(\varphi) = \mathcal{P}^2_{w,w'}(\varphi)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{G}(F))$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{G}(F))$. By theorem 6.2 of [Ber2] in the p-adic case and theorem 10.3 of [Bar] in the real case, if we choose the Haar measures suitably, we have

$$(w_0, w_0') = \int_{N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)} W_{w_0}(p) \overline{W_{w_0'}(p)} d_R p$$

for all $w_0, w_0' \in \sigma^\infty_{1,V}$, the integral being absolutely convergent. Hence, we have

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{P}^2_{w,w'}(\varphi) = \int_{P_{Z'}(F)} \int_{H_\natural(F)} \varphi(ph_\natural) \int_{N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)} W_w(p') \overline{W_{w'}(p'p)} d_R p' \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{1/2} dh_\natural d_L p$$

Let us assume one moment that the above triple integral is absolutely convergent. Then, we would have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}^2_{w,w'}(\varphi) &= \int_{N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)} W_w(p') \int_{P_{Z'}(F)} \int_{H_\natural(F)} \varphi(ph_\natural)\overline{W_{w'}(p'p)}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{1/2} dh_\natural d_Lp d_Rp' \\
&= \int_{N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)} W_w(p') \int_{P_{Z'}(F)} \int_{H_\natural(F)} \varphi(p'^{-1}ph_\natural)\overline{W_{w'}(p)}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{1/2} dh_\natural d_Lp \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p')^{-1/2} d_Rp' \\
&= \int_{N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)} W_w(p') \int_{P_{Z'}(F)} \int_{H_\natural(F)} \varphi(p'^{-1}h_\natural p)\overline{W_{w'}(p)}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{1/2} \delta_{H_\natural}(p)^{-1} dh_\natural d_Lp \\
&\qquad \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p')^{-1/2} d_Rp' \\
&= \int_{N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)} W_w(p') \int_{P_{Z'}(F)} \int_{H_\natural(F)} \varphi(p'^{-1}h_\natural p)\overline{W_{w'}(p)}\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{-1/2} dh_\natural d_Rp \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p')^{-1/2} d_Rp' \\
&= \int_{N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)} W_w(p') \int_{N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F)} \overline{W_{w'}(p)} \int_{N_{Z'}(F)} \int_{H_\natural(F)} \varphi(p'^{-1}h_\natural np)\widetilde{\xi}(n) dh_\natural dn \\
&\qquad \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p)^{-1/2} d_Rp \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p')^{-1/2} d_Rp' \\
&= \int_{(N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F))^2} W_w(p')\overline{W_{w'}(p)} \int_{\widetilde{H}(F)} \varphi(p'^{-1}\widetilde{h}p)\widetilde{\xi}(\widetilde{h}) d\widetilde{h} \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(pp')^{-1/2} d_Rp d_Rp' \\
&= \int_{(N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F))^2} W_w(p')\overline{W_{w'}(p)} \mathcal{P}_{\widetilde{H},\widetilde{\xi}}(L(p')R(p)\varphi)\, \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(pp')^{-1/2} d_Rp d_Rp' \\
&= \mathcal{P}^1_{w,w'}(\varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where on the second line we made the variable change $p \mapsto p'^{-1}p$, on the third line we made the variable change $h_\natural \mapsto p^{-1}h_\natural p$ and on the fourth line we used the easily checked equality $\delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(p) d_Lp = \delta_{H_\natural}(p) d_Rp$. This proves the equality we wanted. Hence, it only remains to show the absolute convergence of (18). It is certainly equivalent to show the absolute convergence of the antepenultimate integral above i.e. we need to show that the integral

$$
\int_{(N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F))^2} |W_w(p')|\,|W_{w'}(p)| \int_{\widetilde{H}(F)} |\varphi(p'^{-1}\widetilde{h}p)| d\widetilde{h} \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(pp')^{-1/2} d_Rp d_Rp'
$$

converges. By proposition 6.7.1(v), there exists $d > 0$ such that this integral is essentially bounded by

$$
\int_{(N_{Z'}(F)\backslash P_{Z'}(F))^2} |W_w(p')|\,|W_{w'}(p)|\, \Xi^{\widetilde{H}\backslash\widetilde{G}}(p)\Xi^{\widetilde{H}\backslash\widetilde{G}}(p')\sigma(p)^d\sigma(p')^d \delta_{H_{\overline{Q}}}(pp')^{-1/2} d_Rp d_Rp'
$$

But by (17), we already know that such an integral converges. This ends the proof of (16) and hence of the proposition in the particular case we have been considering.

We now explain how the other cases reduces to the particular case we just treated. We distinguish three cases

- Case where $a = 1$ and $b = 0$. Let us define a new hermitian space (V', h') by $V' = V \oplus Ez_0'$ and $h'(v + \lambda z_0') = h(v) - N(\lambda)h(z_0)$. We have a natural inclusion of hermitian spaces $V \subset V'$ and the pair (V, V') is admissible and gives rise to a GGP triple (G', H', ξ') where $G' = U(V) \times U(V')$, $H' = U(V)$ and $\xi' = 1$. Note that this new GGP triple is of codimension one. Let $Z_+' = Z_+ \oplus Ez_{r+1}$ where $z_{r+1} = z_0 + z_0'$ (where Z_+ is defined as in subsection 6.2). Then the group $GL_E(Z_+') \times U(W)$ is a Levi subgroup of $U(V')$. Let σ' be any tempered irreducible representation of $GL_E(Z_+')$. Then, we have the chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi_W \boxtimes \pi_V} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\pi_V \boxtimes (\pi_W \times \sigma')} \neq 0 &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\pi_V \boxtimes (\widetilde{\sigma}_W \times (\sigma_{1,W} \times \sigma'))} \neq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}} = \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}_W \boxtimes \pi_V} \neq 0\end{aligned}$$

  where in the first and third equivalences we have used the case already treated (for the triple (G', H', ξ')) and in the second equivalence we have used induction by stages.

- Case where $a = 0$ and $b = 1$. Note that we already solved this case when the triple (G, H, ξ) is of codimension one. We proceed by induction on $\dim(V)$ (if $\dim(V) = 1$ then we are in the codimension one case). Let us introduce two GGP triples (G', H', ξ') and (G'', H'', ξ'') relative to the admissible pairs $(W \oplus Z, V)$ and $(\widetilde{V}, W \oplus Z)$ respectively (Z is defined in subsection 6.2). Let σ' be any irreducible tempered representation of $GL_E(Z_+)$. Then, we have the chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi_W \boxtimes \pi_V} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(\pi_W \times \sigma') \boxtimes \pi_V} = \mathcal{L}_{(\pi_W \times \sigma') \boxtimes (\sigma_{1,V} \times \widetilde{\sigma}_V)} \neq 0 &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}_V \boxtimes (\pi_W \times \sigma')} \neq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}} = \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}_V \boxtimes \pi_W} \neq 0\end{aligned}$$

  where in the first equivalence we applied the case $(a, b) = (1, 0)$ to the triple (G', H', ξ'), in the second equivalence we applied the case $(a, b) = (0, 1)$ to the same triple (which is of codimension one) and in the third equivalence we applied the induction hypothesis to the triple (G'', H'', ξ'').

- Case where $a = b = 1$. Then, we have the chain of equivalences

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{(\sigma_{1,W} \times \widetilde{\sigma}_W) \boxtimes \pi_V} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}_W \boxtimes (\sigma_{1,V} \times \widetilde{\sigma}_V)} = \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}_W \boxtimes \pi_V} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}} = \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}_W \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}_V} \neq 0$$

  where in the first equivalence we applied the case $(a, b) = (1, 0)$ and in the second equivalence we applied the case $(a, b) = (0, 1)$. ■

8.5 Proof of theorem 8.2.1

Let $\pi_0 \in Temp(G)$. We already saw in subsection 8.2 the implication $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_0} \Rightarrow m(\pi_0) \neq 0$. Let us prove the converse. Assume that $m(\pi_0) \neq 0$ and let $\ell \in Hom_H(\pi_0^\infty, \xi)$ be nonzero. We begin by establishing the following

(1) For all $e\in \pi_0^\infty$ and all $f\in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, the integral

$$\int_{G(F)}\ell(\pi_0(g)e)f(g)dg$$

is absolutely convergent.

Indeed, this is equivalent to the convergence of

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)}|\ell(\pi_0(x)e)|\int_{H(F)}|f(hx)|dhdx$$

By proposition 6.7.1(vi), for all $d>0$, we have an inequality

$$(2)\quad \int_{H(F)}|f(hx)|dh\ll \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-d}$$

for all $x\in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. On the other hand, by lemma 8.3.1(i), there exists $d'>0$ such that we have the inequality

$$(3)\quad |\ell(\pi_0(x)e)|\ll \Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{d'}$$

for all $x\in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. To conclude, it suffices to combine (2) and (3) with proposition 6.7.1(iii).

We may compute the integral (1) in two different ways. First, using the decomposition $\mathcal{C}(G(F))=C_c^\infty(G(F))*\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ (cf 2.1(1)), we easily get the equality

$$(4)\quad \int_{G(F)}\ell(\pi_0(g)e)f(g)dg=\ell(\pi_0(f)e)$$

for all $e\in\pi_0^\infty$ and all $f\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$. Indeed, by linearity and the aforementioned decomposition, we only need to prove (4) when f is of the form $f=\varphi*f'$ for some $\varphi\in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ and some $f'\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{G(F)}\ell(\pi_0(g)e)f(g)dg&=\int_{G(F)}\int_{G(F)}\ell(\pi_0(g)e)\varphi(\gamma)f'(\gamma^{-1}g)d\gamma dg\\&=\int_{G(F)}\int_{G(F)}\ell(\pi_0(\gamma g)e)\varphi(\gamma)d\gamma f'(g)dg\\&=\int_{G(F)}\ell(\pi_0(\varphi)\pi_0(g)e)f'(g)dg\end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we have performed the variable change $g\mapsto\gamma g$. This step requires the switch of the two integrals. This is legitimated by the fact that the double integral

$$\int_{G(F)}\int_{G(F)}|\ell(\pi_0(g)e)|\,|\varphi(\gamma)||f'(\gamma^{-1}g)|d\gamma dg$$

is absolutely convergent. But this easily follows from (1) since φ is compactly supported. Now, the vector $\ell \circ \pi_0(\varphi) \in \pi_0^{-\infty}$ actually belongs to $\overline{\pi}_0^\infty$ and by definition of the action of $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ on π_0^∞, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{G(F)} \ell(\pi_0(\varphi)\pi_0(g)e)f'(g)dg = \int_{G(F)} f'(g)\left(\pi_0(g)e, \ell \circ \pi_0(\varphi)\right)dg = (\pi_0(f')e, \ell \circ \pi_0(\varphi)) \\ = \ell\left(\pi_0(\varphi)\pi_0(f')e\right) = \ell(\pi_0(f)e)\end{aligned}$$

and (4) follows.

On the other hand, we may write

$$\int_{G(F)} \ell(\pi_0(g)e)f(g)dg = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \ell(\pi_0(x)e) \int_{H(F)} f(hx)\xi(h)dhdx$$

Hence, by lemma 8.2.1(iv), if $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \pi(f)$ is compactly supported, we have

$$(5) \quad \int_{G(F)} \ell(\pi_0(g)e)f(g)dg = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \ell(\pi_0(x)e) \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(f)\pi(x^{-1}))\mu(\pi)d\pi dx$$

for all $e \in \pi_0^\infty$.

Let $T \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$. Applying (4) and (5) to $f = f_T$, we get

$$(6) \quad \ell(T_{\pi_0}e) = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \ell(\pi_0(x)e) \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(T_\pi \pi(x^{-1}))\mu(\pi)d\pi dx$$

for all $e \in \pi_0^\infty$.

Let $Q = LU_Q$ be a parabolic subgroup of G and $\sigma \in \Pi_2(L)$ such that π_0 appears as a subrepresentation of $\pi' = i_Q^G(\sigma)$. Set

$$\mathcal{O} = \{i_Q^G(\sigma_\lambda);\ \lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$$

It is the connected component of $\pi' \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$. Let $e_0 \in \pi_0^\infty$ be such that $\ell(e_0) \neq 0$ and let $T_0 \in End(\pi_0)^\infty$ be such that $T_0 e_0 = e_0$. We may find a section $T^0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$, such that

- $T^0_{\pi_0} = T_0$;
- $Supp(T^0) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$.

Applying (6) to $e = e_0$ and $T = T^0$, we see that there exists $\lambda \in i\mathcal{A}_L^*$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\pi'_\lambda} \neq 0$, where $\pi'_\lambda = i_Q^G(\sigma_\lambda)$. Introducing datas as in subsection 8.4, we may write $\sigma = \sigma_{GL} \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}$ where σ_{GL} is a tempered representation of a product of general linear groups and $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is a tempered representation of a group $\widetilde{G}(F)$ which is the first component of a GGP triple $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H}, \widetilde{\xi})$. The action of $i\mathcal{A}_L^*$ on σ twists the representation σ_{GL} but it leaves $\widetilde{\sigma}$ invariant. By proposition 8.4.1, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi'_\lambda} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\pi'} \neq 0$$

Hence, $\mathcal{L}_{\pi'} \neq 0$. Thus, we may find a section $T^1 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\pi'}(T^1_{\pi'}) \neq 0$. By lemma 8.2.1(i), the function $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \mathcal{L}_\pi(T^1_\pi)$ is smooth. Since T^0 is compactly supported, it follows that the section $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto T^2_\pi = \mathcal{L}_\pi(T^1_\pi)T^0_\pi$ belongs to $C_c^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$. Applying (6) to $e = e_0$ and $T = T^2$, we get

$$(7)\quad \mathcal{L}_{\pi'}(T^1_{\pi'})\ell(e_0) = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \ell(\pi_0(x)e_0) \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(T^1_\pi)\mathcal{L}_\pi(T^0_\pi \pi(x^{-1}))\mu(\pi)d\pi dx$$

Remark that by the choices of T^1 and e_0, the left hand side of (7) is nonzero. On the other hand, by lemma 8.2.1(ii), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(T^1_\pi)\mathcal{L}_\pi(T^0_\pi \pi(x^{-1})) = \mathcal{L}_\pi(T^1_\pi L_\pi T^0_\pi \pi(x^{-1}))$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ and all $x \in G(F)$. By lemma 8.2.1(iii), the section $\pi \mapsto T^3_\pi = T^1_\pi L_\pi T^0_\pi$ belongs to $C_c^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$. Hence, applying (6) to $e = e_0$ and $T = T^3$, we also get

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \ell(\pi_0(x)e_0) \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(T^1_\pi)\mathcal{L}_\pi(T^0_\pi \pi(x^{-1}))\mu(\pi)d\pi dx = \ell(T^1_{\pi_0} L_{\pi_0} T^0_{\pi_0} e_0)$$

By the non-vanishing of the left hand side of (7), we deduce that $\ell(T^1_{\pi_0} L_{\pi_0} T^0_{\pi_0} e_0) \neq 0$. Hence, in particular $L_{\pi_0} \neq 0$ which is equivalent to the non-vanishing of $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_0}$ i.e. what we want. This ends the proof of theorem 8.2.1. ■

8.6 A corollary

Let us adopt the notations and hypothesis of subsection 8.4. In particular, $Q = LU_Q$ is a parabolic subgroup of G with L decomposing as in subsection 8.4 (1), (2) and (3), σ is a tempered representation of $L(F)$ admitting decompositions as in subsection 8.4 (4), (5) and (6) and we set $\widetilde{\sigma} = \widetilde{\sigma}_W \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}_V$. This is a tempered representation of $\widetilde{G}(F)$ where $\widetilde{G} = U(\widetilde{W}) \times U(\widetilde{V})$. Recall that the admissible pair $(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{V})$ (up to permutation) defines a GGP triple $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H}, \widetilde{\xi})$. Hence, we can define the multiplicity $m(\widetilde{\sigma})$ of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ relative to this GGP triple. We also set, as in subsection 8.4, $\pi = i_Q^G(\sigma)$.

Corollary 8.6.1 *(i) Assume that σ is irreducible, then we have*

$$m(\pi) = m(\widetilde{\sigma})$$

(ii) *Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ be a compact subset. There exists a section $T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(T_\pi) = m(\pi)$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{K}$ and moreover in this case, the same equality is satisfied for all subrepresentation π of some $\pi' \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proof:

(i) By proposition 8.4.1 and theorem 8.2.1, we have the chain of equivalences

$$m(\pi) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\pi \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow m(\widetilde{\sigma}) \neq 0$$

Moreover, by theorem 6.3.1 the multiplicity $m(\widetilde{\sigma})$ is at most one. Hence it suffices to show that

$$m(\pi) \leqslant 1$$

Equivalently: there is at most one irreducible subrepresentation of π with nonzero multiplicity. Assume this is not the case and let $\pi_1, \pi_2 \subset \pi$ be two orthogonal irreducible subrepresentations such that $m(\pi_1) = m(\pi_2) = 1$. By theorem 8.2.1, we have $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_1} \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_2} \neq 0$. Let $T_1 \in End(\pi_1)^\infty \subset End(\pi)^\infty$ and $T_2 \in End(\pi_2)^\infty \subset End(\pi)^\infty$ be such that $\mathcal{L}_\pi(T_1) = \mathcal{L}_{\pi_1}(T_1) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_\pi(T_2) = \mathcal{L}_{\pi_2}(T_2) \neq 0$. Then, by lemma 8.2.1(ii), we have $\mathcal{L}_\pi(T_1)\mathcal{L}_\pi(T_2) = \mathcal{L}_\pi(T_1 L_\pi T_2)$. But obviously $T_1 L_\pi T_2 = 0$ and this yields a contradiction.

(ii) Using a partition of unity, it clearly suffices to show that for all $\pi' \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ there exists a section $T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}, \mathcal{E}(G))$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(T_\pi) = m(\pi)$$

for π in some neighborhood of π' in $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$. By (i), we know that the function $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto m(\pi)$ is locally constant. If $m(\pi') = 0$, then there is nothing to prove (just take $T = 0$). If $m(\pi') \neq 0$, then by (i) there exists a unique irreducible subrepresentation $\pi_0 \subset \pi'$ such that $m(\pi_0) = 1$. Then by theorem 8.2.1, we may find $T_0 \in End(\pi_0)^\infty$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_0}(T_0) \neq 0$. Let $T^0 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$ be such that $T^0_{\pi_0} = T_0$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi'}(T^0_{\pi'}) = \mathcal{L}_{\pi_0}(T_0) \neq 0$$

By lemma 8.2.1(i), the function $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \mathcal{L}_\pi(T^0_\pi)$ is smooth and so we can certainly find a smooth and compactly supported function φ on $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ such that $\varphi(\pi)\mathcal{L}_\pi(T^0_\pi) = 1$ in some neighborhood of π'. It then suffices to take $T = \varphi T^0$. ■

9 Spectral expansion

9.1 The theorem

Let us set

$$J_{spec}(f)=\int_{\mathcal{X}(G)}D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)m(\overline{\pi})d\pi$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$. Note that by lemma 5.4.2 and 2.7(2), this integral is absolutely convergent. The purpose of this section is to show the following

Theorem 9.1.1 *For all $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$, we have*

$$J(f)=J_{spec}(f)$$

By lemma 5.4.2 and proposition 7.1.1, both sides of the equality of the theorem are continuous in $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$. Hence, by lemma 5.3.1(ii) it is sufficient to establish the equality for functions $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ which have a compactly supported Fourier transform. We fix until the end of subsection 9.3 a function $f\in\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ having a compactly supported Fourier transform.

9.2 Study of an auxiliary distribution

Let us introduce, for all $f'\in\mathcal{C}(G(F))$, the following integrals

$$K^A_{f,f'}(g_1,g_2)=\int_{G(F)}f(g_1^{-1}gg_2)f'(g)dg,\quad g_1,g_2\in G(F)$$

$$K^1_{f,f'}(g,x)=\int_{H(F)}K^A_{f,f'}(g,hx)\xi(h)dh,\quad g,x\in G(F)$$

$$K^2_{f,f'}(x,y)=\int_{H(F)}K^1_{f,f'}(h^{-1}x,y)\xi(h)dh,\quad x,y\in G(F)$$

$$J_{aux}(f,f')=\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)}K^2_{f,f'}(x,x)dx$$

Proposition 9.2.1 *(i) The integral defining $K^A_{f,f'}(g_1,g_2)$ is absolutely convergent. For all $g_1\in G(F)$ the map*

$$g_2\in G(F)\mapsto K^A_{f,f'}(g_1,g_2)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and for all $d>0$ there exists $d'>0$ such that for all continuous semi-norm ν on $\mathcal{C}^w_{d'}(G(F))$, there exists a continuous semi-norm μ on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$\nu\left(K^A_{f,f'}(g,.)\right) \leqslant \mu(f')\Xi^G(g)\sigma(g)^{-d}$$

for all $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $g \in G(F)$.

(ii) The integral defining $K^1_{f,f'}(g,x)$ is absolutely convergent. Moreover, for all $d > 0$, there exist $d' > 0$ and a continuous semi-norm $\nu_{d,d'}$ on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$\left|K^1_{f,f'}(g,x)\right| \leqslant \nu_{d,d'}(f')\Xi^G(g)\sigma(g)^{-d}\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{d'}$$

for all $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $g, x \in G(F)$.

(iii) The integral defining $K^2_{f,f'}(x,y)$ is absolutely convergent. Moreover, we have

$$K^2_{f,f'}(x,y) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(x)\pi(f)\pi(y^{-1}))\overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))}\mu(\pi)d\pi$$

for all $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $x, y \in G(F)$, the integral above being absolutely convergent.

(iv) The integral defining $J_{aux}(f,f')$ is absolutely convergent. More precisely, for every $d > 0$ there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_d on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$\left|K^2_{f.f'}(x,x)\right| \leqslant \nu_d(f')\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-d}$$

for all $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. In particular, we also get that the linear form

$$f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \mapsto J_{aux}(f,f')$$

is continuous.

Proof: The point (i) follows from theorem 5.5.1(i). The point (ii) follows from (i), lemma 6.5.1(ii) and lemma 8.3.1(ii). The absolute convergence of the integral defining $K^2_{f,f'}(x,y)$ follows from (ii) and lemma 6.5.1(ii). The spectral formula for $K^2_{f,f'}(x,y)$ is a direct application of lemma 8.2.1(v). We are thus only left with proving the estimate (iv). For $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ the section

$$T(f') : \pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))}\pi(f) \in End(\pi)^\infty$$

is smooth by lemma 8.2.1(i) and is compactly supported by the hypothesis on f. Hence it belongs to $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$ and by the matricial Paley-Wiener theorem (theorem 2.6.1) there exists a unique function $\varphi_{f'} \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that $\pi(\varphi_{f'}) = \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(f'))\pi(f)$ for all

$\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$. By lemma 5.3.1(i), the function $\varphi_{f'}$ is strongly cuspidal for all $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. By the formula (iii) for $K^2_{f,f'}$ and lemma 8.2.1(iv), we have

$$K^2_{f,f'}(x,x) = K(\varphi_{f'},x)$$

for all $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. Hence by proposition 7.1.1, for all $d > 0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm μ_d on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$|K^2_{f,f'}(x,x)| \leqslant \mu_d(\varphi_{f'})\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{-d}$$

for all $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. To conclude, it is thus sufficient to show that the linear map

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{C}(G(F)) \to \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \\ f' \mapsto \varphi_{f'}\end{gathered}$$

is continuous. By theorem 2.6.1, it suffices to show that the linear map

$$f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \mapsto \left(\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G) \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))}\right) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G))$$

is continuous, where the topology on the target space is the obvious one. This follows easily from lemma 8.2.1(i) and theorem 2.6.1. ■

Proposition 9.2.2 *We have the equality*

$$J_{aux}(f,f') = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))}d\pi$$

for all $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$.

Proof: Let $a : \mathbb{G}_m \to A$ be a one parameter subgroup such that $\lambda(a(t)ha(t)^{-1}) = t\lambda(h)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$ and all $h \in H$ (recall that we denote by $\lambda : H \to \mathbb{G}_a$ the additive character such that $\xi = \psi \circ \lambda_F$). We denote as usual by R, L and Ad the action by right translation, left translation and conjugation of $G(F)$ on functions on $G(F)$. We will set $R_a = R \circ a_F$, $L_a = L \circ a_F$ and $Ad_a = Ad \circ a_F$. These provide smooth representations of $F^\times$ on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$. Let $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. We want to prove the formula of the proposition for this function f'. By Dixmier-Malliavin in the real case, we may write f' as a finite sum $f' = \sum_{i=1}^k Ad_a(\varphi_i)(f''_i)$ where $\varphi_i \in C_c^\infty(F^\times)$ and $f''_i \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. By linearity, we may assume that this sum has only one element, that is $f' = Ad_a(\varphi)(f'')$ with $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ and $f'' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. By continuity of the linear form J_{aux}, we have

$$J_{aux}(f') = \int_{F^\times} \varphi(t)J_{aux}(Ad_a(t)f'')d^\times t$$

Returning to the definition of J_{aux}, we get

$$J_{aux}(f') = \int_{F^\times} \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \varphi(t) K^2_{f,Ad_a(t)f''}(x,x) dx d^\times t$$

By proposition 9.2.1(iv), this double integral is absolutely convergent. Doing the variable change $x \mapsto a(t)x$ and switching the two integrals, we obtain

$$(1) \quad J_{aux}(f') = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{F^\times} \varphi(t)\delta_H(a(t))^{-1} K^2_{f,Ad_a(t)f''}(a(t)x, a(t)x) d^\times t dx$$

By definition, the inner integral above is equal to

$$\int_{F^\times} \varphi(t)\delta_H(a(t))^{-1} \int_{H(F)} K^1_{f,Ad_a(t)f''}(ha(t)x, a(t)x)\xi(h)^{-1} dh d^\times t$$

By proposition 9.2.1(ii), this double integral is also absolutely convergent. Doing the variable change $h \mapsto a(t)ha(t)^{-1}$, switching the two integrals and remarking that $K^1_{f,Ad_a(t)f''}(a(t)hx, a(t)x) = K^1_{f,R_a(t)f''}(hx, a(t)x)$, we obtain the equality

$$(2) \quad \int_{F^\times} \varphi(t)\delta_H(a(t))^{-1} K^2_{f,Ad_a(t)f''}(a(t)x, a(t)x) d^\times t$$
$$= \int_{H(F)} \int_{F^\times} \varphi(t) K^1_{f,R_a(t)f''}(hx, a(t)x)\psi(-t\lambda(h)) d^\times t dh$$

By definition, the inner integral of the last expression above is equal to

$$\int_{F^\times} \varphi(t) \int_{H(F)} K^A_{f,R_a(t)f''}(hx, h'a(t)x)\xi(h') dh' \psi(-t\lambda(h)) d^\times t$$

After the variable change $h' \mapsto a(t)h'ha(t)^{-1}$, this becomes

$$\int_{F^\times} \varphi(t) \int_{H(F)} K^A_{f,f''}(hx, h'hx)\delta_H(a(t))\psi\left(t\lambda(h')\right) d^\times t dh'$$

By proposition 9.2.1(i), this double integral is absolutely convergent. Switching the two integrals, we obtain

$$(3) \quad \int_{F^\times} \varphi(t) K^1_{f,R_a(t)f''}(hx, a(t)x)\psi(-t\lambda(h)) d^\times t$$
$$= \int_{H(F)} \int_{F^\times} K^A_{f,f''}(hx, h'hx)\varphi(t)\delta_H(a(t))\psi\left(t\lambda(h')\right) d^\times t dh'$$

We have $d^\times t = |t|^{-1}dt$ where dt is an additive Haar measure on F. Let us set $\varphi'(t) = \varphi(t)\delta_H(a(t))|t|^{-1}$ and

$$\widehat{\varphi'}(x) = \int_F \varphi'(t)\psi(tx) dt, \quad x \in F$$

for its Fourier transform. By (1), (2) and (3), we have

$$(4)\quad J_{aux}(f') = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{H(F)} \int_{H(F)} K^A_{f,f''}(hx, h'hx)\widehat{\varphi}'(\lambda(h'))dh'dhdx$$

For $N > 0$ and $M > 0$, let us denote by $\alpha_N : H(F)\backslash G(F) \to \{0,1\}$ and $\beta_M : G(F) \to \{0,1\}$ the characteristic functions of the sets $\{x \in H(F)\backslash G(F); \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x) \leqslant N\}$ and $\{g \in G(F); \sigma(g) \leqslant M\}$ respectively. For all $N \geqslant 1$ and $C > 0$, we set

$$J_{aux,N}(f') = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \alpha_N(x) \int_{H(F)} \int_{H(F)} K^A_{f,f''}(hx, h'hx)\widehat{\varphi}'(\lambda(h'))dh'dhdx$$

$$J_{aux,N,C}(f') = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \alpha_N(x) \int_{H(F)} \int_{H(F)} \beta_{C\log(N)}(h') K^A_{f,f''}(hx, h'hx)\widehat{\varphi}'(\lambda(h'))dh'dhdx$$

By (4), we have

$$(5)\quad J_{aux}(f') = \lim_{N\to\infty} J_{aux,N}(f')$$

We have the following

(6) The triple integrals defining $J_{aux,N}(f')$ and $J_{aux,N,C}(f')$ are absolutely convergent. Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|J_{aux,N}(f') - J_{aux,N,C}(f')| \ll N^{-1}$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$.

Indeed, since $\widehat{\varphi}' \in \mathcal{S}(F)$, we have $|\widehat{\varphi}'(\lambda)| \ll (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in F$. Hence, by theorem 5.5.1(i), there exists $d > 0$ such that

$$|J_{aux,N}(f')| \ll \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \alpha_N(x) \int_{H(F)} \int_{H(F)} \Xi^G(hx)\Xi^G(h'hx)\sigma(hx)^d \sigma(h'hx)^d \left(1 + |\lambda(h')|\right)^{-1} dh'dhdx$$

$$|J_{aux,N,C}(f')| \ll \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \alpha_N(x) \int_{H(F)} \int_{H(F)} \beta_{C\log(N)}(h')\Xi^G(hx)\Xi^G(h'hx) \sigma(hx)^d\sigma(h'hx)^d \left(1 + |\lambda(h')|\right)^{-1} dh'dhdx$$

and

$$|J_{aux,N}(f') - J_{aux,N,C}(f')| \ll \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \alpha_N(x) \int_{H(F)} \int_{H(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma\geqslant C\log(N)}(h')\Xi^G(hx)\Xi^G(h'hx) \sigma(hx)^d\sigma(h'hx)^d \left(1 + |\lambda(h')|\right)^{-1} dh'dhdx$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $C > 0$. By proposition 6.7.1(vii) (applied to $c = 1$), there exists $d' > 0$ such that the first two integrals above are essentially bounded by

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \alpha_N(x)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{d'}dx$$

which is of course an absolutely convergent integral (the integrand is bounded and compactly supported). On the other hand, by proposition 6.7.1(vii), there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $d' > 0$ such that the third integral above is essentially bounded by

$$e^{-\epsilon C\log(N)}\int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \alpha_N(x)\Xi^{H\backslash G}(x)^2\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x)^{d'}dx$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $C > 0$. By proposition 6.7.1(iv), there exists $d'' > 0$ such that this last term is itself essentially bounded by $e^{-\epsilon C\log(N)}N^{d''}$, for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $C > 0$. Choosing C to be bigger than $(d''+1)/\epsilon$ gives the estimates (6).

Let us fix $C > 0$ which satisfies (6). By (5), it follows that

$$(7)\quad J_{aux}(f') = \lim_{N\to\infty} J_{aux,N,C}(f')$$

Since the triple integral defining $J_{aux,N,C}(f')$ is absolutely convergent, we may write

$$J_{aux,N,C}(f') = \int_{H(F)} \beta_{C\log(N)}(h)\widehat{\varphi}'(\lambda(h))\int_{G(F)}\alpha_N(g)K^A_{f,f''}(g,hg)dgdh$$

We now prove the following estimates

$$(8)\qquad \left|J_{aux,N,C}(f') - \int_{H(F)} \beta_{C\log(N)}(h)\widehat{\varphi}'(\lambda(h))\int_{G(F)} K^A_{f,f''}(g,hg)dgdh\right| \ll N^{-1}$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$.

Indeed, since f is strongly cuspidal, by theorem 5.5.1(iii), there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that for all $d > 0$, there exists $d' > 0$ such that

$$\left|K^A_{f,f''}(g,hg)\right| \ll \Xi^G(g)^2\sigma(g)^{-d}e^{c_1\sigma(h)}\sigma(h)^{d'}$$

for all $g \in G(F)$ and all $h \in H(F)$. Fix such a $c_1 > 0$. Also, choose $d_0 > 0$ such that the function $g \mapsto \Xi^G(g)^2\sigma(g)^{-d_0}$ is integrable over $G(F)$ (proposition 1.5.1(v)). Then, by the above inequality, for all $d > d_0$ there exists $d' > 0$ such that the left hand side of (8) is essentially bounded by

$$N^{c_1C-d+d_0}\log(N)^{d'}\int_{H(F)}\beta_{C\log(N)}(h)dh$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ (here the factor N^{d_0-d} comes from the fact that $\sigma(g)^{-1} \ll N^{-1}$ for all $g \in G(F)$ such that $\alpha_N(g) = 0$). Now, by lemma B.1.3, there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{H(F)} \beta_{C\log(N)}(h)dh \ll N^{c_2}$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$. Hence, it suffices to choose $d > c_1C + d_0 + c_2 + 1$ to get the estimate (8). From (7) and (8), we deduce that

$$(9)\quad J_{aux}(f') = \lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{H(F)} \beta_{C\log(N)}(h)\widehat{\varphi}'(\lambda(h)) \int_{G(F)} K^A_{f,f''}(g,hg)dgdh$$

Arthur's local trace formula allows us to express the inner integral above in spectral terms. Indeed, since f is strongly cuspidal, by theorem 5.5.1(v), we have the equality

$$(10)\quad \int_{G(F)} K^A_{f,f''}(g,hg)dg = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\theta_{\overline{\pi}}(R(h^{-1})f'')d\pi$$

for all $h \in H(F)$. By 2.2(5) and 2.2(7), it is easy to see that

$$|\theta_{\overline{\pi}}(R(h^{-1})f'')| \ll \Xi^G(h)$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}(G)$ and all $h \in H(F)$. Hence, by lemma 5.4.2 and 2.7(2), we have an inequality

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\left|\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\theta_{\overline{\pi}}(R(h^{-1})f'')\right| d\pi \ll \Xi^G(h)$$

for all $h \in H(F)$. Hence, by lemma 6.5.1(iii), the double integral

$$\int_{H(F)} \widehat{\varphi}'(\lambda(h)) \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\theta_{\overline{\pi}}(R(h^{-1})f'')d\pi dh$$

is absolutely convergent and by (9) and (10) this double integral is equal to $J_{aux}(f')$. Switching the two integrals and applying lemma 8.1.1(ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_{aux}(f') &= \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi\left(\pi(\overline{Ad_a(\varphi)f''})\right)}d\pi \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))}d\pi \end{aligned}$$

which is the equality we were looking for and this ends the proof of the proposition. ■

9.3 End of the proof of 9.1.1

Recall that we have fixed a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ having a compactly supported Fourier transform. By lemma 8.2.1(iv), we have

$$(1)\quad K(f,x) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(x)\pi(f)\pi(x^{-1}))\mu(\pi)d\pi$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. By corollary 8.6.1(ii), there exists a function $f' \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'})) = m(\pi)$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ such that $\pi(f) \neq 0$. Also, by theorem 8.2.1 and corollary 8.6.1(i), for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow m(\pi) = 1$$

Hence, by (1), we have the equality

$$K(f,x) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(x)\pi(f)\pi(x^{-1}))\overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))}\mu(\pi)d\pi$$

and by proposition 9.2.1(iii), it follows that

$$K(f,x) = K^2_{f,f'}(x,x)$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$. Consequently, we have the equality

$$J(f) = J_{aux}(f,f')$$

Applying proposition 9.2.2, we deduce that

$$J(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))}d\pi$$

Let $\pi \in \mathcal{X}(G)$ be such that $\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi) \neq 0$ and let π' be the unique representation in $\mathcal{X}_{temp}(G)$ such that π is a linear combination of subrepresentations of π'. Then, we have $\pi'(f) \neq 0$. Hence, by corollary 8.6.1(ii) and (2), we have $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\pi(\pi(\overline{f'}))} = \overline{m(\pi)} = m(\overline{\pi})$. It follows that

$$J(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)m(\overline{\pi})d\pi$$

and this ends the proof of theorem 9.1.1. ■

10 The spectral expansion of J^{Lie}

10.1 The affine subspace Σ

Denote as usual by $\overline{P} = M\overline{N}$ the parabolic subgroup opposite to P with respect to M. There exist an unique element $\Xi \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}(F)$ such that

$$\xi(N) = \psi(B(\Xi, N))$$

for all $N \in \mathfrak{n}(F)$. We have the following explicit description of Ξ:

(1) $\Xi z_i = z_{i-1}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, $\Xi z_{-i} = -z_{-i-1}$, for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$, $\Xi z_{-r} = 0$ and $\Xi.W = 0$

Set $\Sigma = \Xi + \mathfrak{h}^\perp$ where $\mathfrak{h}^\perp$ is the orthogonal of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ for $B(.,.)$.

Recall that we have fixed a Haar measure dX on $\mathfrak{h}(F)$. In this whole section we will denote this Haar measure by $d\mu_{\mathfrak{h}}(X)$. In subsection 1.6, we explained how to associate to $d\mu_{\mathfrak{h}}(X)$, using $B(.,.)$, a Haar measure $d\mu_{\mathfrak{h}}^\perp$ on $\mathfrak{h}^\perp(F)$. Let us denote by $d\mu_\Sigma$ the translate of this measure to $\Sigma(F)$. Then, by 1.6(1), we have the following equality

$$(2) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{h}(F)} f(X)\xi(X)d\mu_{\mathfrak{h}}(X) = \int_{\Sigma(F)} \widehat{f}(Y)d\mu_\Sigma(Y)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

10.2 Conjugation by N

We have the following explicit description of $\mathfrak{h}^\perp$: an element $X = (X_W, X_V) \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(V)$ is in $\mathfrak{h}^\perp$ if and only if we have a decomposition

$$X_V = -X_W + c(z_0, w) + \lambda c(z_0, \eta z_0) + A + N$$

for some $w \in W_{\overline{F}}$, $\lambda \in \overline{F}$, $A \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $N \in \mathfrak{n}$ (recall that $\eta \in E$ is a nonzero element with trace zero and cf subsection 6.1 for the notation $c(v, v')$). Thus for every element $X = (X_V, X_W)$ of Σ we have a decomposition

$$(1) \quad X_V = \Xi - X_W + c(z_0, w) + \lambda c(z_0, \eta z_0) + A + N$$

where w, λ, A and N are as above. Let us define the following affine subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}$:

- $\mathfrak{u}(W)^- = \{(X_W, -X_W);\ \ X_W \in \mathfrak{u}(W)\}$;
- Λ_0 is the subspace of $\mathfrak{u}(V) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ generated by the $c(z_i, \eta z_i)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r$, the $c(z_i, z_{i+1})$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r-1$, and the $c(z_r, w)$ for $w \in W$;
- $\Lambda = \Xi + \big(\mathfrak{u}(W)^- \oplus \Lambda_0\big)$.

Proposition 10.2.1 *Conjugation by N preserves Σ and induces an isomorphism of algebraic varieties:*

$$N \times \Lambda \to \Sigma$$
$$(n, X) \mapsto nXn^{-1}$$

Proof: First we show that the map

$$(2)\quad N \times \Lambda \to \Sigma$$

$$(n, X) \mapsto nXn^{-1}$$

is injective. This amounts to proving that for all $n \in N$ and all $X \in \Lambda$ if $nXn^{-1} \in \Lambda$ then $n = 1$. So let $n \in N$ and $X = (X_W, X_V) \in \Lambda$ be such that $nXn^{-1} \in \Lambda$. By definition of Λ, we may write X_V and nX_Vn^{-1} as

$$(3)\quad X_V = \Xi - X_W + c(z_r, w) + \sum_{i=0}^{r} \lambda_i c(z_i, \eta z_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mu_i c(z_i, z_{i+1})$$

$$(4)\quad nX_Vn^{-1} = \Xi - X_W + c(z_r, w') + \sum_{i=0}^{r} \lambda'_i c(z_i, \eta z_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mu'_i c(z_i, z_{i+1})$$

where $w, w' \in W_{\overline{F}}$, $\lambda_i, \lambda'_i \in \overline{F}$, $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, and $\mu_i, \mu'_i \in \overline{F}$, $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$. Let us prove first that

$$(5)\quad nz_i = z_i \text{ for all } 0 \leqslant i \leqslant r.$$

The proof is by descending induction. The result is trivial for $i = r$ by definition of N. Assume that the equality (5) is true for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. Then, from (3) we easily deduce that we have

$$(nX_Vn^{-1})z_i = nX_Vz_i = n\Xi z_i = nz_{i-1}$$

and from (4), we easily deduce that

$$(nX_Vn^{-1})z_i = \Xi z_i = z_{i-1}$$

so that we have the equality (5) for $i-1$ instead of i. This ends the proof of (5).

We now prove the following

$$(6)\quad nz_{-i} = z_{-i} \text{ for all } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r.$$

We prove this by strong induction on i. First, we do the case $i = 1$. By (3) and (5), we have

$$(nX_Vn^{-1})z_0 = nX_Vz_0 = n(-z_{-1} + 2\lambda_0\eta\nu z_0 + \mu_0\nu z_1) = -nz_{-1} + 2\lambda_0\eta\nu z_0 + \mu_0\nu z_1$$

On the other hand, by (4), we have

$$(nX_Vn^{-1})z_0 = -z_{-1} + 2\lambda'_0\eta\nu z_0 + \mu'_0\nu z_1$$

It follows that

$$nz_{-1} - z_{-1} = 2(\lambda_0 - \lambda'_0)\eta\nu z_0 + (\mu_0 - \mu'_0)\nu z_1$$

But, since $nz_0 = z_0$ and $n \in U(V)$ we have $h(nz_{-1}, z_0) = h(z_{-1}, z_0) = 0$ and $h(nz_{-1}, z_{-1}) \in \overline{F}\eta$. From this we deduce that $\lambda_0 = \lambda'_0$ and $\mu_0 = \mu'_0$ so that indeed $nz_{-1} = z_{-1}$. Let $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1$ and assume now that (6) is true for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j$. By (3) and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(nX_Vn^{-1})z_{-j} = nX_Vz_{-j} &= n(-z_{-j-1} + 2\lambda_j\eta\nu z_j - \mu_{j-1}\nu z_{j-1} + \mu_j\nu z_{j+1}) \\ &= -nz_{-j-1} + 2\lambda_j\eta\nu z_j - \mu_{j-1}\nu z_{j-1} + \mu_j\nu z_{j+1}\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (4), we have

$$(nX_Vn^{-1})z_{-j} = -z_{-j-1} + 2\lambda'_j\eta\nu z_j - \mu'_{j-1}\nu z_{j-1} + \mu'_j\nu z_{j+1}$$

It follows that

$$nz_{-j-1} - z_{-j-1} = 2(\lambda_j - \lambda'_j)\eta\nu z_j + (\mu'_{j-1} - \mu_{j-1})\nu z_{j-1} + (\mu_j - \mu'_j)\nu z_{j+1}$$

Since $nz_{-j} = z_{-j}$, $nz_{-j+1} = z_{-j+1}$ (by the induction hypothesis) and $n \in U(V)$, we have $h(nz_{-j-1}, z_{-j}) = h(z_{-j-1}, z_{-j}) = 0$, $h(nz_{-j-1}, z_{-j+1}) = h(z_{-j-1}, z_{-j+1}) = 0$ and $h(nz_{-j-1}, z_{j+1}) \in \overline{F}\eta$. From this we deduce that $\lambda_j = \lambda'_j$, $\mu'_{j-1} = \mu_{j-1}$ and $\mu_j = \mu'_j$ so that indeed $nz_{-j-1} = z_{-j-1}$. This ends the proof of (6).

From (5) and (6) and since $n \in N$, we may now deduce that $n = 1$. This ends the proof that the map (2) is injective. We easily compute

$$\dim(N\times\Lambda) = \dim(N)+\dim(\Lambda) = (2r^2+r+2mr)+(m^2+2m+2r+1) = 2r^2+3r+2mr+(m+1)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(\Sigma) = \dim(\mathfrak{h}^\perp) = \dim(G) - \dim(H) &= (m+2r+1)^2 + m^2 - m^2 - \dim(N) \\ &= 2r^2 + 3r + 2mr + (m+1)^2\end{aligned}$$

where $m = \dim(W)$. Hence, we have $\dim(\Sigma) = \dim(N \times \Lambda)$. Since we are in characteristic zero, it follows that the regular map (2) induces an isomorphism between $N \times \Lambda$ and a Zariski open subset of Σ. But, obviously $N \times \Lambda$ and Σ are both affine spaces so that the only Zariski open subset of Σ that can be isomorphic to $N \times \Lambda$ is Σ itself. It follows that the regular map (2) is indeed an isomorphism. ■

10.3 Characteristic polynomial

Let $X = (X_W, X_V) \in \Lambda$. By definition of Λ, we may write

$$(1) \quad X_V = \Xi - X_W + c(z_r, w) + \sum_{i=0}^{r} \lambda_i c(z_i, \eta z_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mu_i c(z_i, z_{i+1})$$

where $w \in W_{\overline{F}}$, $\lambda_i \in \overline{F}$ and $\mu_i \in \overline{F}$. Denote by P_{X_V} and P_{-X_W} the characteristic polynomials of X_V and $-X_W$ acting on $V_{\overline{F}}$ and $W_{\overline{F}}$ respectively (these are elements of $\overline{E}[T]$). Let D be the $\overline{E}$-linear endomorphism of $\overline{E}[T]$ given by $D(T^{i+1}) = T^i$, for $i \geqslant 0$ and $D(1) = 0$.

Proposition 10.3.1 *We have the following equality*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{X_V}(T) = &\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^r h(w, X_W^j w) D^{j+1}\big(P_{-X_W}(T)\big) + \\ &P_{-X_W}(T)\left(T^{2r+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} 2\lambda_j \eta T^{2r-2j} + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^{j+1} 2\mu_j T^{2r-1-2j}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(Recall that $m = \dim(W)$).

Proof: This can be proved by induction on r. The computation, fastidious but direct, is left to the reader. ■

Corollary 10.3.1 *The following $U(W)$-invariant polynomial functions on Λ*

$$X = (X_W, X_V) \mapsto \eta^j h(w, X_W^j w) \in \overline{F}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, m-1$$

(where we have written X_V as in (1)) extend to G-invariant polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{g}$ defined over F.

In particular, the polynomial function

$$X = (X_W, X_V) \mapsto \det\left(h\left(X_W^i w, X_W^j w\right)\right)_{0 \leqslant i,j \leqslant m-1} \in \overline{F}$$

extends to a G-invariant polynomial function on $\mathfrak{g}$ defined over F. Let us denote by Q_0 such an extension and set $Q = Q_0 d^G \in F[\mathfrak{g}]^G$, where d^G is the unique element of $F[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ such that $D^G(X) = |d^G(X)|$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. Let Λ' and Σ' be the non-vanishing loci of Q in Λ and Σ respectively. Remark that we have $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda_{reg}$ and $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma_{reg}$ (since d^G divides Q).

10.4 Characterization of Σ'

Proposition 10.4.1 *Σ' is precisely the set of $X = (X_W, X_V) \in \Sigma_{reg}$ such that the family*

$$z_r, X_V z_r, \ldots, X_V^{d-1} z_r$$

generates $V_{\overline{F}}$ as a $\overline{E}$-module (Recall that $d = \dim(V)$).

Proof: Let $X = (X_W, X_V) \in \Sigma$. It suffices to prove that the family

$$z_r, X_V z_r, \ldots, X_V^{d-1} z_r$$

generates $V_{\overline{F}}$ as a $\overline{E}$-module if and only if $Q_0(X) \neq 0$. By proposition 10.2.1 X is N-conjugated to an element of Λ. Since Q_0 is G-invariant and $nz_r = z_r$ for all $n \in N$, we may as well assume that $X \in \Lambda$. We assume that it is the case in what follows.

By the decomposition 10.3(1), we see that

$$X_V z_i = \Xi z_i = z_{i-1}$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. It follows that

$$(z_r, X_V z_r, \ldots, X_V^r z_r) = (z, z_{r-1}, \ldots, z_0)$$

Next, again by the decomposition 10.3(1), it is easy to see that

$$X_V z_{-i} \equiv \Xi z_{-i} = -z_{-i-1} \quad \mod \langle z_0, \ldots, z_r \rangle$$

for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$. Hence, we have

$$\left\langle z_r, \ldots, X_V^{2r} z_r \right\rangle = \langle z_r, \ldots, z_1, z_0, z_{-1}, \ldots, z_{-r} \rangle = W_{\overline{F}}^{\perp}$$

and

$$X_V^{2r} z_r \equiv (-1)^r z_{-r} \quad \mod W_{\overline{F}}^{\perp}$$

It follows that the family

$$z_r, X_V z_r, \ldots, X_V^{d-1} z_r$$

generates $V_{\overline{F}}$ as a $\overline{E}$-module if and only if the image of the family

$$X_V z_{-r}, \ldots, X_V^m z_{-r}$$

in $V_{\overline{F}}/W_{\overline{F}}^{\perp} \simeq W_{\overline{F}}$ is a basis of $W_{\overline{F}}$. But, using again the decomposition 10.3(1) we see that

$$(X_V z_{-r}, \ldots, X_V^m z_{-r}) \equiv \nu \left(w, -X_W w, \ldots, (-X_W)^{m-1} w\right) \quad \mod W_{\overline{F}}^{\perp}$$

Hence, the family

$$(X_V z_{-r}, \ldots, X_V^m z_{-r}) \quad \mod W_{\overline{F}}^{\perp}$$

generates $W_{\overline{F}}$ as an $\overline{E}$-module if and only if the determinant

$$Q_0(X) = \det\left(h\left(X_W^i w, X_W^j w\right)\right)_{0 \leqslant i,j \leqslant m-1} \in \overline{F}$$

is non-zero and this ends the proof of the proposition. ■

10.5 Conjugacy classes in Σ'

Proposition 10.5.1 *The action by conjugation of H on Σ' is free and moreover two elements of Σ' are G-conjugate if and only if they are H-conjugate.*

Proof: Recall that by definition, H acts freely on Σ' if the map

$$H \times \Sigma' \to \Sigma' \times \Sigma'$$
$$(h, X) \mapsto (X, hXh^{-1})$$

is a closed immersion. Because of proposition 10.2.1, this is equivalent to proving that

$$(1) \quad U(W) \times \Lambda' \to \Lambda' \times \Lambda'$$
$$(h, X) \mapsto (X, hXh^{-1})$$

is a closed immersion. For $X = (X_W, X_V) \in \mathfrak{g}$, we define the characteristic polynomial of X to be the pair $P_X = (P_{X_W}, P_{X_V})$. Let $\mathcal{Y} \subset \Lambda' \times \Lambda'$ be the closed subset of pairs (X, X') such that $P_X = P_{X'}$. We claim the following

(2) The map (1) is a closed immersion whose image is $\mathcal{Y}$.

This will prove the two points of the proposition (if two elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ are G-conjugate, they share the same characteristic polynomial). First, of course, the image of (1) is contained in $\mathcal{Y}$. Let $(X, X') \in \mathcal{Y}$. We may write

$$X_V = \Xi - X_W + c(z_r, w) + \sum_{i=0}^{r} \lambda_i c(z_i, \eta z_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mu_i c(z_i, z_{i+1})$$

$$X'_V = \Xi - X'_W + c(z_r, w') + \sum_{i=0}^{r} \lambda'_i c(z_i, \eta z_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mu'_i c(z_i, z_{i+1})$$

where $X = (X_V, X_W)$, $X' = (X'_V, X'_W)$, $w, w' \in W_{\overline{F}}$, $\lambda_i, \lambda'_i \in \overline{F}$ and $\mu_i, \mu'_i \in \overline{F}$. By proposition 10.3.1, we have $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r$, $\mu_i = \mu'_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r-1$ and

$$(3) \quad h(w, X_W^i w) = h(w', {X'}_W^i w') \text{ for } i = 0, \ldots, m-1$$

Moreover, by definition of Λ', $\big(w, X_W w, \ldots, X_W^{m-1} w\big)$ and $\big(w', X'_W w', \ldots, {X'}_W^{m-1} w'\big)$ are basis of $W_{\overline{F}}$. Let g be the unique $\overline{E}$-linear automorphism of $W_{\overline{F}}$ sending $X_W^i w$ to ${X'}_W^i w'$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, m-1$. By (3), we have $g \in U(W)$ and we easily check that $gXg^{-1} = X'$. It is also easy to see that g is the only element of $U(W)$ with this property. Hence, we have proved that the map (1) induces a bijection from $U(W) \times \Lambda'$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ and we have constructed the inverse, which is obviously a morphism of algebraic varieties. This proves the claim (2). ■

Corollary 10.5.1 *We have an inequality*

$$\sigma(t) \ll \sigma_{H\backslash G}(t)\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)$$

for all $X \in \Sigma'$ and all $t \in G_X$.

Proof: First, we prove that

$$(4) \quad \sigma_G(h) \ll \sigma_{\Sigma'}(X) + \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(hXh^{-1})$$

for all $h \in H$, $X \in \Sigma'$. From the previous proposition, we know that

$$H \times \Sigma' \to \Sigma' \times \Sigma'$$
$$(h, X) \mapsto (X, hXh^{-1})$$

is a closed immersion. Hence, we have

$$\sigma_G(h) \ll \sigma_{\Sigma'}(X) + \sigma_{\Sigma'}(hXh^{-1})$$

for all $X \in \Sigma'$ and $h \in H$. Moreover, since Σ' is the principal Zariski open subset of Σ defined by the non-vanishing of the polynomial Q and since Q is G-invariant, we have

$$\sigma_{\Sigma'}(hXh^{-1}) \sim \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(hXh^{-1}) + \log(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1})$$

for all $h \in H$, $X \in \Sigma'$. Combining this with the inequality

$$\log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right) \ll \sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)$$

for all $X \in \Sigma'$, we get (4). We now deduce the following inequality:

$$(5) \quad \sigma_G(h) \ll \sigma_{\Sigma'}(X) + \sigma_G(ht)$$

for all $h \in H$, $X \in \Sigma'$, $t \in G_X$. Indeed, since $(g, X) \in G \times \mathfrak{g} \mapsto gXg^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a regular map, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(hXh^{-1}) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(htX(ht)^{-1}) \ll \sigma_G(ht) + \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$$

for all $h \in H$, $X \in \Sigma$ and $t \in G_X$. Combining this with (4) and the inequality $\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \ll \sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)$ for all $X \in \Sigma'$, we get (5).

We are now in position to prove the lemma. By (5), we have the following chain of inequalities

$$\sigma_G(t) = \sigma(h^{-1}ht) \ll \sigma_G(h) + \sigma_G(ht) \ll \sigma_{\Sigma'}(X) + \sigma_G(ht) \ll \sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)\sigma_G(ht)$$

for all $h \in H$, $X \in \Sigma'$, $t \in G_X$. By lemma 6.2.1(i), taking the infimum over $h \in H$ gives the desired result. ■

10.6 Borel subalgebras and Σ'

Proposition 10.6.1 *Let $X \in \Sigma'$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ be a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ (defined over $\overline{F}$) containing X, then*

$$\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}$$

Proof: Let $X \in \Sigma'$ and $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ be a Borel subalgebra containing X. By proposition 10.2.1, up to $N(F)$-conjugation we may assume that $X \in \Lambda'$ and we will assume this is so henceforth. Write $X = (X_W, X_V)$ with $X_W \in \mathfrak{u}(W)$ and $X_V \in \mathfrak{u}(V)$. By definition of Λ, we have a decomposition

$$(1) \quad X_V = \Xi - X_W + c(z_r, w) + \sum_{i=0}^{r} \lambda_i c(z_i, \eta z_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mu_i c(z_i, z_{i+1})$$

where $w \in W_{\overline{F}}$, $\lambda_i \in \overline{F}$, $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ and $\mu_i \in \overline{F}$, $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$.

It is easy to check that $\dim(\mathfrak{b}) + \dim(\mathfrak{h}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g})$ so that it suffices to prove

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{h} = 0$$

There exist Borel subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}_W$ and $\mathfrak{b}_V$ of $\mathfrak{u}(W)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(V)$ respectively such that $X_W \in \mathfrak{b}_W$, $X_V \in \mathfrak{b}_V$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_W \times \mathfrak{b}_V$. Then obviously (2) is equivalent to

$$(3) \quad (\mathfrak{b}_W + \mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{b}_V = 0$$

Fix an F-embedding $E \hookrightarrow \overline{F}$ and set $\overline{V} = V \otimes_E \overline{F}$, $\overline{W} = W \otimes_E \overline{F}$. Denote by $\overline{V}^*$ and $\overline{W}^*$ the $\overline{F}$-dual of $\overline{V}$ and $\overline{W}$ respectively. Then, we have isomorphisms of $\overline{F}$-vector space

$$V_{\overline{F}} \simeq \overline{V} \oplus \overline{V}^*$$
$$W_{\overline{F}} \simeq \overline{W} \oplus \overline{W}^*$$

sending $v \otimes_F \lambda$ and $w \otimes_F \lambda$ to $(v \otimes_E \lambda, h(v,.) \otimes_E \lambda)$ and $(w \otimes_E \lambda, h(w,.) \otimes_E \lambda)$ respectively. For $v \in V$, we will denote by $\overline{v}$ and $\overline{v}^*$ the image of v in $\overline{V}$ and $\overline{V}^*$ respectively. Also, if U is a subspace of V we will set $\overline{U} = U \otimes_E \overline{F}$ and see it as a subspace of $\overline{V}$. We will adopt similar notations with respect to $\overline{W}$. We have an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{u}(V)_{\overline{F}} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(\overline{V})$$

which sends $X \in \mathfrak{u}(V)_{\overline{F}}$ to its restriction to $\overline{V}$ (the inverse is given by mapping $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(\overline{V})$ to the endomorphism of $V_{\overline{F}}$ acting as X on $\overline{V}$ and as $-{}^tX$ on $\overline{V}^*$). Similarly, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{u}(W)_{\overline{F}} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(\overline{W})$$

and we will use these isomorphisms as identifications. Then, $\mathfrak{b}_W$ is the stabilizer in $\mathfrak{gl}(\overline{W})$ of a complete flag

$$0 = \overline{W}_0 \subsetneq \overline{W}_1 \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq \overline{W}_m = \overline{W}$$

and similarly $\mathfrak{b}_V$ is the stabilizer in $\mathfrak{gl}(\overline{V})$ of a complete flag

$$\mathcal{F}: \quad 0 = \overline{V}_0 \subsetneq \overline{V}_1 \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq \overline{V}_d = \overline{V}$$

Let us define another complete flag

$$\mathcal{F}': \quad 0 = \overline{V}'_0 \subsetneq \overline{V}'_1 \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq \overline{V}'_d = \overline{V}$$

of $\overline{V}$ by setting:

- $\overline{V}'_i =< \overline{z}_r, \ldots, \overline{z}_{r-i+1} >$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r+1$;
- $\overline{V}'_{r++1+i} = \overline{Z}_+ \oplus \overline{D} \oplus \overline{W}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$;
- $\overline{V}'_{r+m+1+i} = \overline{Z}_+ \oplus \overline{V}_0 \oplus < \overline{z}_{-1}, \ldots, \overline{z}_{-i} >$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

For all $v \in \overline{V}$, let us denote by $V(X_V, v)$ the subspace of $\overline{V}$ generated by $v, X_V v, X_V^2 v, \ldots$. We will need the following lemma

Lemma 10.6.1 *Let $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, then we have*

(i) For all $v \in \overline{V}'_i$ which is nonzero, $\overline{V}'_{i-1} + V(X_V, v) = \overline{V}$;

(ii) $\overline{V}'_i \cap \overline{V}_{d-i} = 0$

Proof: First we prove that (i) implies (ii). Indeed, if $v \in \overline{V}'_i \cap \overline{V}_{d-i}$ is nonzero, then by (i), we would have $\dim(V(X_V, v)) \geqslant d+1-i$. But $V(X_V, v) \subset \overline{V}_{d-i}$ (since $v \in \overline{V}_{d-i}$ and $X_V \in \mathfrak{b}_V$ preserves $\overline{V}_{d-i}$), and so $\dim(V(X_V, v)) \leqslant dim(\overline{V}_{d-i}) = d-i$. This is a contradiction.

We now turn to the proof of (i). Let $v \in \overline{V}'_i$ be non-zero. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $v \in \overline{V}'_i \backslash \overline{V}'_{i-1}$ since otherwise the result with $i-1$ instead of i is stronger. We assume this is so henceforth and it follows that

$$(4) \quad \overline{V}'_{i-1} + V(X_V, v) = \overline{V}'_i + V(X_V, v)$$

Obviously $\overline{z}_r \in \overline{V}'_i + V(X_V, v)$ and so by proposition 10.4.1, it suffices to show that $\overline{V}'_{i-1} + V(X_V, v)$ is X_V-stable. The subspaces $V(X_V, v)$ is X_V-stable almost by definition. Hence, we are left with proving that

$$(5) \quad X_V \overline{V}'_{i-1} \subseteq \overline{V}'_i + V(X_V, v)$$

This is clear if $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+1$ or $r+m+2 \leqslant i \leqslant d = 2r+m+1$ since in this cases using the decomposition (1) we easily check that $X_V \overline{V}'_{i-1} \subseteq \overline{V}'_i$. It remains to show that (5) holds for $r+2 \leqslant i \leqslant r+m+1$. In this cases, again using the decomposition (1), we easily check that

$$(6) \quad X_V v' \in \overline{V}'_i + \langle \overline{z}_0^*, v' \rangle X_V \overline{z}_0$$

for all $v' \in \overline{V}'_i$ (where $X_V \overline{z}_0 = -\overline{z}_{-1} + 2\nu\eta\lambda_0 \overline{z}_0 + \mu_0 \nu \overline{z}_1$ if $r \geqslant 1$ and $X_V \overline{z}_0 = \nu \overline{w} + 2\nu\eta\lambda_0 \overline{z}_0$ if $r = 0$). Here, we have used the fact that $X_W \in \mathfrak{b}_W$ so that $\overline{W}_{i-r-2}$ and $\overline{W}_{i-r-1}$ are X_W-stable. As $v \in \overline{V}'_i$, it suffices to show that the existence of $k \geqslant 0$ such that $\langle \overline{z}_0^*, X_V^k v \rangle \neq 0$. By proposition 10.4.1, the family

$$\overline{z}_r^*, {}^t X_V \overline{z}_r^*, {}^t X_V^2 \overline{z}_r^*, \ldots$$

generates $\overline{V}^*$. Hence, since $v \neq 0$, there exists $k_0 \geqslant 0$ such that $\langle {}^t X_V^{k_0} \overline{z}_r^*, v \rangle = \langle \overline{z}_r^*, X_V^{k_0} v \rangle \neq 0$. This already settles the case where $r = 0$. In the case $r \geqslant 1$, since $\overline{V}'_i$ is included in the kernel of $\overline{z}_r^*$ this shows that the sequence $v, X_V v, X_V^2 v, \ldots$ eventually escapes from $\overline{V}'_i$ and by (6) this implies also the existence of $k \geqslant 0$ such that $\langle \overline{z}_0^*, X_V^k v \rangle \neq 0$. This ends the proof of (5) and of the lemma. ■

Let us now set $D_i = \overline{V}'_i \cap \overline{V}_{d+1-i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. By the previous lemma, these are one dimensional subspaces of $\overline{V}$ and we have

$$\overline{V} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} D_i$$

Let $Y \in \big(\mathfrak{b}_W + \mathfrak{n}\big) \cap \mathfrak{b}_V$. We want to prove that $Y = 0$ (to get (2)). Obviously Y must stabilize the flags $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ so that Y stabilizes the lines $D_1, \ldots, D_d$. We claim that

$$(7)\ Y(D_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, r+1 \text{ and all } i = r+m+2, \ldots, d.$$

Indeed, since $Y \in \mathfrak{b}_W + \mathfrak{n}$, we have $Y\overline{V}'_i \subseteq \overline{V}'_{i-1}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r+1$ and all $i = r+m+2, \ldots, d$ and so $YD_i \subseteq \overline{V}'_{i-1} \cap \overline{V}_{d+1-i} = 0$ (by the previous lemma).

To deduce that $Y = 0$, it only remains to show that

$$(8)\ Y(D_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i = r+2, \ldots, r+m+1.$$

Assume, by way of contradiction, that there exists $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$ such that $YD_{r+1+j} \neq 0$. Since $Y \in \mathfrak{b}_W + \mathfrak{n}$, we have $Y\overline{V}'_{r+1+j} \subseteq \overline{W}_j \oplus \overline{Z}_+$ so that $D_{r+1+j} = YD_{r+1+j} \subseteq \overline{W}_j \oplus \overline{Z}_+$. Let $v \in D_{r+1+j}$ be non-zero. We claim that

$$(9) \quad \left(\overline{Z}_+ \oplus \overline{W}_j\right) + V(X_V, v) = \overline{V}$$

Indeed by the previous lemma, it suffices to prove that $\overline{z}_0 \in \left(\overline{Z}_+ \oplus \overline{W}_j\right) + V(X_V, v)$. By the decomposition (1), we easily check that

$$X_V\left(\overline{Z}_+ \oplus \overline{W}_j\right) \subseteq \overline{Z}_+ \oplus \overline{W}_j \oplus \overline{F}\overline{z}_0$$

so that we only need to check that the sequence $v, X_V v, \ldots$ eventually escapes $\overline{Z}_+ \oplus \overline{W}_j$. From proposition 10.4.1, we know that there exists $k \geqslant 0$ such that $\langle \overline{z}_r^*, X_V^k v\rangle \neq 0$. Since $\overline{Z}_+ \oplus \overline{W}_j$ is included in the kernel of $\overline{z}_r^*$, this proves (9).

From (9), we deduce that $\dim V(X_V, v) \geqslant 1+d-j-r$. On the other hand, we have $v \in \overline{V}_{d-r-j}$ (since $v \in \overline{D}_{r+1+j}$) and X_V leaves $\overline{V}_{d-r-j}$ stable (since $X_V \in \mathfrak{b}_V$). As $\dim \overline{V}_{d-r-j} = d-r-j$ it is a contradiction. This ends the proof of (8) and of the proposition. ■

Recall that we have fixed a classical norm $|.|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $B(0,r)$, $r>0$, the closed ball of radius r centered at the origin in $\mathfrak{g}(F)$.

Corollary 10.6.1 *There exists $c>0$ such that, for all $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small, all $X \in \Sigma'(F)$ and all parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined over F and containing X, we have*

$$\exp\left[B\left(0, \epsilon e^{-c\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)}\right)\right] \subseteq H(F)\exp\left(B(0,\epsilon) \cap \mathfrak{p}(F)\right)$$

Proof:

Lemma 10.6.2 *There exists a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ and all $c_0 \geqslant 1$ satisfying*

$$B(0,1) \subseteq B(0,c_0) \cap \mathfrak{h}(F) + B(0,c_0) \cap \mathcal{V}$$

we have

$$\exp\left(B(0, \frac{\epsilon}{2c_0})\right) \subseteq H(F).\exp\left(B(0,\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{V}\right)$$

for all $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$.

Proof: Use the Campbell-Hausdorff formula and successive approximations. ■

Because of this lemma, it suffices to prove the following:

(10) There exists $c_1 > 0$ such that for all $X \in \Sigma'(F)$ and all parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined over F and containing X, we have

$$B(0,1) \subseteq B\left(0, e^{c_1\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)}\right) \cap \mathfrak{h}(F) + B\left(0, e^{c_1\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)}\right) \cap \mathfrak{p}(F)$$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the variety of all Borel subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the closed subvariety of $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}\times\mathcal{B}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{X} := \{(X,\mathfrak{b})\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}\times\mathcal{B};\quad X\in\mathfrak{b}\}$$

We will denote by p the natural projection $\mathcal{X}\to\mathfrak{g}_{reg}$ and by $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$ the inverse image by p of Σ'. By the previous proposition, for all $(X,\mathfrak{b})\in\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$ we have $\mathfrak{b}\oplus\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}$ and we will denote by $p^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ (resp. $p^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{b}}$) the projection with range $\mathfrak{b}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}$) and kernel $\mathfrak{h}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{b}$). Denote by $|.|$ the subordinate norm on $End_{\overline{F}}(\mathfrak{g})$ coming from the norm we fixed on $\mathfrak{g}$. We first prove the following fact

(11) There exists $c_2>0$ such that

$$|p^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{h}}|+|p^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{b}}|\leqslant e^{c_2\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)}$$

for all $(X,\mathfrak{b})\in\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$.

Since the map $(X,\mathfrak{b})\in\mathcal{X}_\Sigma\mapsto(p^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{h}},p^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{b}})\in End_{\overline{F}}(\mathfrak{g})^2$ is regular, we have an inequality

$$\log\left(|p^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{h}}|+|p^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{b}}|\right)\ll\sigma_{\mathcal{X}_\Sigma}(X,\mathfrak{b})$$

for all $(X,\mathfrak{b})\in\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$. Moreover, the morphism $p:\mathcal{X}\to\mathfrak{g}_{reg}$ is finite etale and therefore, so is its restriction $p_\Sigma:\mathcal{X}_\Sigma\to\Sigma'$. By lemma 1.2.1, we thus also have an inequality

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{X}_\Sigma}(X,\mathfrak{b})\ll\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)$$

for all $(X,\mathfrak{b})\in\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$. Combining this with the previous inequality, we get (11).

We will also need the following fact, that we leave as an exercise

(12) There exists a finite Galois extension K of F, contained in $\overline{F}$, such that for all $(X,\mathfrak{b})\in\mathcal{X}$ with $X\in\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ is defined over K.

We are now able to prove the corollary. Fix a Galois extension K as in (12) and a constant c_2 as in (11). For $r>0$, we will denote by $B_K(0,r)$ the closed ball of radius r centered at the origin in $\mathfrak{g}(K)$. Let X and $\mathfrak{p}$ be as in the corollary and let $\mathfrak{b}\in\mathcal{B}$ be such that $X\in\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}\subset\mathfrak{p}$. By (11) and (12), we have

$$(13)\quad B(0,1)\subseteq B_K\left(0,e^{c_2\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)}\right)\cap\mathfrak{b}(K)\oplus B_K\left(0,e^{c_2\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)}\right)\cap\mathfrak{h}(K)$$

Denote by P the projection $\mathfrak{g}(K)\to\mathfrak{g}(F)$ given by

$$P(Y)=\frac{1}{d_K}\sum_{\sigma\in Gal(K/F)}\sigma(Y)$$

where $d_K = [K : F]$. Let $|P|$ be the subordinate norm of P relative to the norms on $\mathfrak{g}(K)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ (obtained by restrictions of that on $\mathfrak{g}$). Then applying P to the inclusion (13), we get

$$B(0,1) \subseteq B_K\left(0, |P|e^{c_2\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)}\right) \cap \mathfrak{b}(K) \oplus B_K\left(0, |P|e^{c_2\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X)}\right) \cap \mathfrak{h}(K)$$

Since $P(\mathfrak{b}(K)) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}(F)$, we get (10). ■

10.7 The quotient $\Sigma'(F)/H(F)$

By proposition 10.2.1, we know that Σ' has a geometric quotient by N and that $\Sigma'/N \simeq \Lambda'$. Because $H = N \rtimes U(W)$ and $U(W)$ is reductive, the geometric quotient of Σ' by H exists and we have $\Sigma'/H \simeq \Lambda'/U(W)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}'$ the non-vanishing locus of Q in $\mathfrak{g}$ and by $\mathfrak{g}'/G$ the geometric quotient of $\mathfrak{g}'$ by G for the adjoint action. The natural map $\Sigma' \to \mathfrak{g}'/G$ factors through the quotient Σ'/H and we will denote by

$$\pi : \Sigma'/H \to \mathfrak{g}'/G$$

the induced morphism. We will also consider the F-analytic counterpart of this map:

$$\pi_F : \Sigma'(F)/H(F) \to \mathfrak{g}'(F)/G(F)$$

Recall that we put on $H(F)$ the Haar measure μ_H which lift the Haar measure $\mu_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on $\mathfrak{h}(F)$. Because $H(F)$ acts freely on $\Sigma'(F)$, we can define a measure $\mu_{\Sigma'/H}$ on $\Sigma'(F)/H(F)$ to be the quotient of μ_Σ and μ_H. It is the unique measure on $\Sigma'(F)/H(F)$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma(F)} \varphi(X) d\mu_\Sigma(X) = \int_{\Sigma'(F)/H(F)} \int_{H(F)} \varphi(h^{-1}Xh) dh d\mu_{\Sigma'/H}(X)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(\Sigma(F))$. Recall also that we have defined in subsection 1.8 a measure dX on $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)/G(F) = \Gamma_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}'(F)/G(F)$ is an open subset of $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)/G(F)$ and we will still denote by dX the restriction of this measure to $\mathfrak{g}'(F)/G(F)$.

Proposition 10.7.1 *We have the followings:*

(i) π is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties and π_F is an open embedding of F-analytic spaces ;

(ii) π_F sends the measure $d\mu_{\Sigma'/H}(X)$ to $D^G(X)^{1/2}dX$;

(iii) The natural projection $p : \Sigma' \to \Sigma'/H$ has the norm descent property.

Proof:

(i) Both Σ'/H and $\mathfrak{g}'/G$ are smooth. By proposition 10.5.1 π and π_F are injective. Moreover, using proposition 10.3.1 we see that π is surjective and so π is bijective. Since the tangent spaces at $X \in \Sigma'(F)/H(F)$ of $\Sigma'(F)/H(F)$ and Σ'/H are the same, we only need to prove that π is a local isomorphism (i.e. etale). Let $X \in \Sigma'$. The tangent space of Σ'/H at X is

$$T_X\Sigma'/H = \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}/ad(X)(\mathfrak{h})$$

and the tangent space of $\mathfrak{g}'/G$ at X is

$$T_X\left(\mathfrak{g}'/G\right) = \mathfrak{g}/ad(X)(\mathfrak{g})$$

Modulo these identifications, the differential of π at X is the natural inclusion of $\mathfrak{h}^{\perp}/ad(X)(\mathfrak{h})$ in $\mathfrak{g}/ad(X)(\mathfrak{g})$. We want to prove that it is an isomorphism. Choose a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ that contains X and denote by $\mathfrak{u}$ its nilpotent radical. By proposition 10.6.1, we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$ so that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^{\perp}$. But, we have $\mathfrak{b}^{\perp} = \mathfrak{u} \subset ad(X)(\mathfrak{g})$ and this establishes the surjectivity. On the other hand, from the equality $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$, we deduce that

$$ad(X)(\mathfrak{g}) = ad(X)(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus ad(X)(\mathfrak{b}) = ad(X)(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus \mathfrak{u}$$

We just saw that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ so that $\mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{u} = 0$. Hence, we have $\mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \cap ad(X)(\mathfrak{g}) = ad(X)(\mathfrak{h})$ and this proves the injectivity.

(ii) In what follows, we will use heavily the notions defined at the end of subsection 1.6. Let $X \in \Sigma'(F)$. Set $\mathfrak{g}_X = Ker(ad(X))$ and $\mathfrak{g}^X = Im(ad(X))$. Then we have natural identifications (as above)

$$T_X\Sigma'(F)/H(F) = \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}(F)/ad(X)(\mathfrak{h}(F))$$

$$T_X\mathfrak{g}'(F)/G(F) = \mathfrak{g}(F)/\mathfrak{g}^X(F)$$

and the tangent map of π_F at X, that we will denote by ι_X, is the natural inclusion (and this is an isomorphism). Let $F(X) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be such that

$$\iota_{X,*}\left(\mu_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\perp}/ad(X)_*\mu_{\mathfrak{h}}\right) = F(X)\ \ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mu_{\mathfrak{g}^X}$$

where $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}^X}$ are the autodual measures with respect to $B(.,.)$ on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ and $\mathfrak{g}^X(F)$ respectively. Then π_F sends the measure $d\mu_{\Sigma'/H}(X)$ to $F(X)dX$ and so we have to prove that $F(X) = D^G(X)^{1/2}$. Fix a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing X and let $\mathfrak{u}$ be its nilpotent radical. Then we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{g}_X \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. Let $\mu_{\mathfrak{u}}$ be the unique measure on $\mathfrak{u}$ such that

$$(1) \quad \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\perp} \otimes \mu_{\mathfrak{u}}$$

Then by 1.6(2) and 1.6(3), we have

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mu_{\mathfrak{u}}^{\perp}$$

But, $\mathfrak{u}^{\perp} = \mathfrak{b}$ and it is easy to see that $\mu_{\mathfrak{u}}^{\perp} = \mu_{\mathfrak{g}_X} \otimes \mu_{\mathfrak{u}}$, where $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}_X}$ is the autodual measure on $\mathfrak{g}_X(F)$. Hence, we have

$$(2) \quad \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mu_{\mathfrak{g}_X} \otimes \mu_{\mathfrak{u}}$$

Let T be the endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ that is equal to $ad(X)$ on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ and to Id on $\mathfrak{g}_X$. Then we have $det(T) = det(ad(X)_{|\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_X})$ so that $|det(T)| = D^G(X)$. Thus, using (2), we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} D^G(X)\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} = T_*\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} &= ad(X)_*\mu_{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mu_{\mathfrak{g}_X} \otimes ad(X)_*\mu_{\mathfrak{u}} \\ &= D^G(X)^{1/2} \left(ad(X)_*\mu_{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mu_{\mathfrak{g}_X} \otimes \mu_{\mathfrak{u}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{g}_X} \otimes \mu_{\mathfrak{g}^X}$ (relative to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_X \oplus \mathfrak{g}^X$). This implies $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}^X} = D^G(X)^{1/2} ad(X)_*\mu_{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mu_{\mathfrak{u}}$ (relative to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}^X = ad(X)(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus \mathfrak{u}$). From this and (1), we easily deduce that $F(X) = D^G(X)^{1/2}$.

(iii) By proposition 10.2.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\Lambda' \to \Lambda'/U(W)$$

has the norm descent property. Denote by Λ_{Q_0} the non-vanishing locus of Q_0 in Λ (where $Q_0 \in F[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ is defined in subsection 10.3). Then we have the following cartesian diagram where horizontal maps are open immersions

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Lambda' \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & \Lambda_{Q_0} \arrow[d] \\ \Lambda'/U(W) \arrow[r, hook] & \Lambda_{Q_0}/U(W) \end{tikzcd}$$

Thus, if we prove that $\Lambda_{Q_0} \to \Lambda_{Q_0}/U(W)$ has the norm descent property, we will be done. By definition of Λ (cf subsection 10.2), we have an $U(W)$-equivariant isomorphism

$$\Lambda \simeq \mathfrak{u}(W) \times W \times \mathbb{A}^{2r+1}$$

where the action of $U(W)$ on the right hand side is the product of the adjoint action on $\mathfrak{u}(W)$, the natural action on W and the trivial action on $\mathbb{A}^{2r+1}$. Denote by $\big(\mathfrak{u}(W)\times W\big)_0$ the open-Zariski subset of $\mathfrak{u}(W)\times W$ consisting of all pairs $(X,w)\in\mathfrak{u}(W)\times W$ such that $(w, Xw, X^2w, \ldots)$ generates W. Then Λ_{Q_0} corresponds via the previous isomorphism to $\big(\mathfrak{u}(W)\times W\big)_0\times\mathbb{A}^{2r+1}$. Since $U(W)$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{A}^{2r+1}$, we are reduced to show that

$$\big(\mathfrak{u}(W)\times W\big)_0 \to \big(\mathfrak{u}(W)\times W\big)_0/U(W)$$

has the norm descent property. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the variety of basis of W and let Pol_m be the variety of monic polynomial $P\in\overline{E}[T]$ of degree m. Consider the action of $U(W)$ on $Pol_m\times\mathcal{B}$ which is trivial on Pol_m and given by $g.(e_1,\ldots,e_m)=(ge_1,\ldots,ge_m)$ on $\mathcal{B}$. The map

$$\begin{gathered}(\mathfrak{u}(W)\times W)_0 \to Pol_m\times\mathcal{B}\\ (X,w)\to\big(P_X, w, Xw,\ldots,X^{m-1}w\big)\end{gathered}$$

is a $U(W)$-equivariant closed immersion. Passing to the quotient, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}(\mathfrak{u}(W)\times W)_0 \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & Pol_m\times\mathcal{B} \arrow[d]\\ (\mathfrak{u}(W)\times W)_0/U(W) \arrow[r, hook] & Pol_m\times\mathcal{B}/U(W)\end{tikzcd}$$

where horizontal maps are closed immersion (since $U(W)$ is reductive). Moreover the diagram is cartesian (because all $U(W)$-orbits in $\mathcal{B}$ are closed and so the quotient separates all orbits). Thus, we are finally reduced to show that $\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}/U(W)$ has the norm descent property. Choosing a particular basis of W, this amounts to proving that

$$GL(W)\to GL(W)/U(W)$$

has the norm descent property. Since this map is $GL(W)$-equivariant for the action by left translation, by lemma 1.2.2(i), it suffices to show the existence of a nonempty Zariski open subset of $GL(W)/U(W)$ over which the previous map has the norm descent property. Choose an orthogonal basis $(e_1,\ldots,e_m)$ of W and denote by B the standard Borel subgroup of $GL(W)$ relative to this basis. Then $B\cap U(W)=Z$ is the subtorus acting by multiplication by an element of $Ker\ N_{E/F}$ on each e_i (so that $Z\simeq\big(Ker\ N_{E/F}\big)^m$). Denote $B\times_Z U(W)$ the quotient of $B\times U(W)$ by the Z-action given by $z.(b,g)=(bz^{-1},zg)$. Then the multiplication map

$$B\times_Z U(W)\to GL(W)$$

$$(b,g) \mapsto bg$$

is an open immersion. Thus, it suffices to prove that

$$B \times_Z U(W) \to (B \times_Z U(W))/U(W) = B/Z$$

has the norm descent property. Let U be the unipotent radical of B and T the subtorus of B stabilizing the lines $\langle e_1\rangle, \ldots, \langle e_m\rangle$. Then the previous map is isomorphic to

$$U \times (T \times_Z U(W)) \to U \times T/Z$$

and so we are reduced to prove that $T \times_Z U(W) \to T/Z$ has the norm descent property. Let $S \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$ be the subgroup of elements of Z with eigenvalues ± 1 and let $T_i = (\mathbb{G}_m)^m$ be the split part of the torus $T = \left(R_{E/F}\mathbb{G}_m\right)^m$. Then we have $T = T_i \times_S Z$ and thus $T \times_Z U(W) = T_i \times_S U(W)$ and $T/Z = T_i/S$. So, we need to prove that the map $T_i \times_S U(W) \to T_i/S$ has the norm descent property. For all $c \in Ker\left(H^1(F,S) \to H^1(F,U(W))\right)$ let $g_c \in U(W)$ such that $c_\sigma = \sigma(g_c)g_c^{-1}$, for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the set of all g_c (this is a finite set). Let $t \in T_i$ and denote by $\bar{t}$ its image in T_i/S. Assume that $\bar{t} \in Im\left((T_i \times_S U(W))(F) \to T_i/S(F)\right)$. Then the 1-cocycle $\sigma \in \Gamma_F \mapsto c_\sigma = t\sigma(t)^{-1} \in S$ splits in $U(W)$. So there exists (a unique) $g_t \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $c_\sigma = \sigma(g_t)g_t^{-1}$, for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$. Moreover, the element $(t, g_t) \in (T_i \times_S U(W))(F)$ maps to $\bar{t}$ and we clearly have an inequality

$$\sigma_{T_i \times_S U(W)}(t, g_t) \ll \sigma_{T_i/S}(\bar{t})$$

for all such t. This proves that $T_i \times_S U(W) \to T_i/S$ has the norm descent property and this ends the proof of (iii). ■

10.8 The spectral expansion of J^{Lie}

Let us define $\Gamma(\Sigma)$ to be the subset of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ consisting in semi-simple conjugacy classes that meet $\Sigma(F)$. We equip this subset with the restriction of the measure defined on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus, if $\mathcal{T}(G)$ is a set of representatives for the $G(F)$-conjugacy classes of maximal tori in G and if for all $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ we denote by $\mathfrak{t}(F)_\Sigma$ the subset of elements $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)$ whose conjugacy class belongs to $\Gamma(\Sigma)$, then we have

$$\int_{\Gamma(\Sigma)} \varphi(X)dX = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}(G)} |W(G,T)|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)_\Sigma} \varphi(X)dX$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(\Gamma(\Sigma))$. Recall that in subsection 7.2, we defined a continuous linear form J^{Lie} on $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. The purpose of this subsection is to show the following.

Theorem 10.8.1 *We have*

$$J^{Lie}(f) = \int_{\Gamma(\Sigma)} D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{\theta}_f(X)dX$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. By proposition 7.2.1, lemma 5.2.2(i) and 1.8(2), both sides of the equality of the theorem are continuous in f. Hence, by 5.1(1), we may assume that $\overline{Supp(\widehat{f})^G}$ is compact modulo conjugation (this condition is automatic if F is p-adic). We assume this is so henceforth.

Let us fix a sequence $(\kappa_N)_{N\geqslant 1}$ of functions $\kappa_N : H(F)\backslash G(F) \to [0,1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) There exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$ and all $N \geqslant 1$, we have:

$$\sigma_{H\backslash G}(x) \leqslant C_1 N \Rightarrow \kappa_N(x) = 1$$

$$\kappa_N(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \sigma_{H\backslash G}(x) \leqslant C_2 N$$

(2) If F is p-adic, there exists an open-compact subgroup $K' \subset G(F)$ such that the function κ_N is right-invariant by K' for all $N \geqslant 1$.

(3) If $F = \mathbb{R}$, the functions κ_N are smooth and there exists a positive real number C such that

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt}\kappa_N(xe^{tX})_{|t=0} \right| \leqslant C.|X|_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

for all $x \in H(F)\backslash G(F)$, all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ and all $N \geqslant 1$.

Such a sequence of truncation functions is easy to construct. Indeed, pick any sequence $(\kappa_N^0)_{N\geqslant 1}$ of measurable functions $\kappa_N^0 : H(F)\backslash G(F) \to [0,1]$ that satisfy the condition (1) above, let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ be any positive function such that $\int_{G(F)} \varphi(g)dg = 1$, then the sequence of functions $\kappa_N = \kappa_N^0 * \varphi$, $N \geqslant 1$, satisfies the conditions (1)-(3) above.

Let us now set

$$J_N^{Lie}(f) = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \kappa_N(g) \int_{\mathfrak{h}(F)} f(g^{-1}Xg)\xi(X)dXdg$$

Then, by definition of J^{Lie}, we have

$$(4) \quad J^{Lie}(f) = \lim_{N\to\infty} J^{Lie}_N(f)$$

By 10.1(2), we have

$$(5) \quad J^{Lie}_N(f) = \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \kappa_N(g) \int_{\Sigma(F)} \widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)d\mu_\Sigma(X)dg$$

Fix a set $\mathcal{T}(G)$ of representatives for the conjugacy classes of maximal tori in G. Recall that in subsection 10.3, we have defined a G-invariant polynomial function Q on $\mathfrak{g}$. For all $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$, let us denote by $\mathfrak{t}'$ the principal Zariski-open subset

$$\mathfrak{t}' = \{X \in \mathfrak{t};\ Q(X) \neq 0\}$$

and set $\mathfrak{t}(F)' = \mathfrak{t}'(F) \cap \mathfrak{t}(F)_\Sigma$. Then, $\mathfrak{t}(F)'$ is exactly the subset of elements $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)$ that are conjugated to some element in $\Sigma'(F)$. Let us fix, for all $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$, two maps

$$X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \mapsto \gamma_X \in G(F)$$

$$X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \mapsto X_\Sigma \in \Sigma'(F)$$

such that $\gamma_X^{-1}X\gamma_X = X_\Sigma$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'$. Then, by proposition 10.7.1(i) and (ii), we have

$$\int_{\Sigma(F)} \widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)d\mu_\Sigma(X) = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(G)} |W(G,T)|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'} D^G(X)^{1/2} \int_{H(F)} \widehat{f}(g^{-1}h^{-1}X_\Sigma hg)dhdX$$

for all $g \in G(F)$. By inserting this expression in (5) and switching two integrals, we get

$$J^{Lie}_N(f) = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(G)} |W(G,T)|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'} D^G(X)^{1/2} \int_{T(F)\backslash G(F)} \widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)\kappa_{N,X}(g)dgdX$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$, where we have set

$$\kappa_{N,X}(g) = \int_{T(F)} \kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tg)dg$$

Let us set

$$(6) \quad J^{Lie}_{N,T}(f) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'} D^G(X)^{1/2} \int_{T(F)\backslash G(F)} \widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)\kappa_{N,X}(g)dg, \quad \text{for } N \geqslant 1$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$, so that

$$(7) \quad J^{Lie}_N(f) = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(G)} |W(G,T)|^{-1} J^{Lie}_{N,T}(f), \quad \text{for } N \geqslant 1$$

We fix from now on a torus $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$. The previous formal manipulation (switch of two integrals) will be legitimated by the next lemma proving the absolute convergence of (6). But first we need to prove the following

(8) We can choose the maps $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \mapsto \gamma_X$ and $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \mapsto X_\Sigma$ such that they satisfy inequalities

$$\sigma_{\Sigma'}(X_\Sigma) \ll \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) + \log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right)$$

$$\sigma_G(\gamma_X) \ll \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) + \log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'$.

By proposition 10.7.1(i) and (iii), we can choose the map $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \to X_\Sigma \in \Sigma'(F)$ such that

$$(9) \quad \sigma_{\Sigma'}(X_\Sigma) \ll \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}'/G}(X)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'$. Moreover, since $\mathfrak{g}'/G$ is the principal open subset of $\mathfrak{g}/G$ defined by Q, we have

$$(10) \quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}'/G}(X) \sim \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}/G}(X) + \log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'$. But, since T is a torus, we have $\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}/G}(X) \sim \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)$. The first inequality of the claim is now a consequence of (9) and (10). On the other hand, by 1.2(1) and 1.2(2), we can choose the map $X \mapsto \gamma_X$ such that

$$\sigma_G(\gamma_X) \ll \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}_{reg}}(X_\Sigma)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'$. Since we have $\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}_{reg}}(Y) \ll \sigma_{\Sigma'}(Y)$ for all $Y \in \Sigma'(F)$, the second inequality of the claim follows from the first. This ends the proof of (8).

We will assume from now on that the maps $X \mapsto \gamma_X$ and $X \mapsto X_\Sigma$ satisfy the conditions of (8). For all $\epsilon > 0$, let us denote by $\mathfrak{t}(F)'[> \epsilon]$ the set of elements $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'$ such that $|Q(X)| > \epsilon$. For all $C > 0$, we will denote by $\mathbb{1}_{<C}$ the characteristic function of the set of $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ such that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) < C$. For all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, we define the two following expressions which are similar to (7):

$$|J|^{Lie}_{N,T}(f) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'} D^G(X)^{1/2} \int_{T(F)\backslash G(F)} |\widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)| \kappa_{N,X}(g) dg$$

$$J^{Lie}_{N,T,\epsilon}(f) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'[>\epsilon]} D^G(X)^{1/2} \int_{T(F)\backslash G(F)} \mathbb{1}_{<\log(N)}(g^{-1}Xg) \widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg) \kappa_{N,X}(g) dg$$

Let $\omega_T \subseteq \mathfrak{t}(F)$ be a relatively compact subset such that $\widehat{f}$ is zero on $\mathfrak{t}(F) - \omega_T$ (recall the assumption that $\overline{Supp(\widehat{f})}^G$ is compact modulo conjugation). The following lemma proves in particular the absolute convergence of (7).

Lemma 10.8.1 *(i) There exist $k > 0$ such that*

$$\kappa_{N,X}(g) \ll N^k \log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right)^k \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(g^{-1}Xg)^k$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \cap \omega_T$, all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $g \in G(F)$.

(ii) There exist $k > 0$ such that

$$|J|^{Lie}_{N,T}(f) \ll N^k$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$.

(iii) For $b > 0$ large enough, we have

$$\left|J^{Lie}_{N,T}(f) - J^{Lie}_{N,T,N^{-b}}(f)\right| \ll N^{-1}$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$.

Proof: (i) implies easily (ii) and (iii) by 1.2(3) and 1.2(4). By the property (1) of κ_N, we have an inequality

$$\sigma_{H\backslash G}(g) \ll N$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $g \in G(F)$ such that $\kappa_N(g) > 0$. It follows that

$$(11) \quad \sigma_{H\backslash G}(\gamma_X^{-1} t \gamma_X) \ll N \sigma_G(g) \sigma_G(\gamma_X)$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$, all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'$, all $t \in T(F)$ and all $g \in G(F)$ such that $\kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1} tg) > 0$. On the other hand, since $\gamma_X^{-1} t \gamma_X \in G_{X_\Sigma}(F)$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'$ and all $t \in T(F)$, by corollary 10.5.1, we have

$$\sigma_G(\gamma_X^{-1} t \gamma_X) \ll \sigma_{H\backslash G}(\gamma_X^{-1} t \gamma_X) \sigma_{\Sigma'}(X_\Sigma)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'$ and all $t \in T(F)$. Combining this with (8) and (11), and since ω_T is bounded, we get

$$\sigma_G(t) \ll N \sigma_G(g) \log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right)$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$, all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \cap \omega_T$, all $t \in T(F)$ and all $g \in G(F)$ such that $\kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1} tg) > 0$. The function κ_N is nonnegative and bounded above by 1. Hence, it follows from the previous inequality and the definition of $\kappa_{N,X}$ that there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$\kappa_{N,X}(g) \leqslant vol\{t \in T(F);\ \sigma_G(t) \leqslant c_0 N \sigma_G(g) \log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right)\}$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$, all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \cap \omega_T$ and all $g \in G(F)$. It is easy to see that there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$vol\{t \in T(F); \sigma_G(t) \leqslant M\} \ll M^k$$

for all $M \geqslant 1$. Hence, we get

$$\kappa_{N,X}(g) \ll N^k \log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right)^k \sigma_G(g)^k$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$, all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \cap \omega_T$ and all $g \in G(F)$. Since the function $\kappa_{N,X}$ is invariant by left translation by $T(F)$, we may replace g by tg for any $t \in T(F)$ in the right hand side of the inequality above. By 1.2(1), taking the infimum over $T(F)$ gives the inequality

$$(12) \quad \kappa_{N,X}(g) \ll N^k \log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right)^k \sigma_{T\backslash G}(g)^k$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$, all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \cap \omega_T$ and all $g \in G(F)$. By 1.2(2), we have an inequality

$$(13) \quad \sigma_{T\backslash G}(g) \ll \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(g^{-1}Xg) \log\left(2 + D^G(X)^{-1}\right)$$

for all $g \in G(F)$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{reg}(F)$. But since the polynomial d^G divides Q, we also have

$$(14) \quad \log\left(2 + D^G(X)^{-1}\right) \ll \log\left(2 + |Q(X)|^{-1}\right)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \cap \omega_T$. The point (i) now follows from the combination of (12), (13) and (14). ■

We fix for the moment a positive integer $b > 0$ satisfying (iii) of the previous lemma. We shall allow b to increase after the proof of the next proposition.

Set $M_T = Z_G(A_T)$. It is a Levi subgroup of G. Fix a minimal Levi subgroup M_{min} of G included in M_T, a minimal parabolic subgroup P_{min} having M_{min} as a Levi component and K a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ which is special in the p-adic case. We use this compact subgroup to define the functions H_Q for all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_{min})$. Let Δ_{min} be the set of roots of A_{min} in P_{min}. Let $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$ and define Y_P, for $P \in \mathcal{P}(M_{min})$, by $Y_P = w.Y$ where w is the only element in the Weyl group $W(G, M_{min})$ such that $wP_{min}w^{-1} = P$. Then $(Y_P)_{P\in\mathcal{P}(M_{min})}$ is a positive (G, M_{min})-orthogonal set. By the general constructions of subsection 1.9, this determines a positive (G, M_T)-orthogonal set $(Y_{P_T})_{P_T\in\mathcal{P}(M_T)}$. For all $g \in G(F)$, we define another (G, M_T)-orthogonal set $\mathcal{Y}(g) = \left(\mathcal{Y}(g)_{P_T}\right)_{P_T\in\mathcal{P}(M_T)}$ by setting

$$\mathcal{Y}(g)_{P_T} = Y_{P_T} - H_{\overline{P}_T}(g)$$

for all $P_T \in \mathcal{P}(M_T)$ and where $\overline{P}_T$ denote the parabolic subgroup opposite to P_T with respect to M_T. We will need the following

(15) There exists $c > 0$ such that for all $g \in G(F)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$ satisfying

$$\sigma(g) \leqslant c \inf_{\alpha\in\Delta_{min}} \alpha(Y)$$

the (G, M_T)-orthogonal set $\mathcal{Y}(g)$ is positive.

For $\mathcal{Y} = (\mathcal{Y}_{P_T})_{P_T\in\mathcal{P}(M_T)}$ a positive (G, M_T)-orthogonal set and $Q = LU_Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_T)$,we will denote by $\sigma^Q_{M_T}(., \mathcal{Y})$ and (resp. τ_Q) the characteristic function in $\mathcal{A}_{M_T}$ of the sum of $\mathcal{A}_L$ and of the convex hull of the family $(\mathcal{Y}_{P_T})_{P_T\subset Q}$ (resp. the characteristic funtion of $\mathcal{A}^L_{M_T} + \mathcal{A}^+_Q$). Then we have

$$(16) \quad \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{F}(M_T)} \sigma^Q_{M_T}(\zeta, \mathcal{Y})\tau_Q(\zeta - \mathcal{Y}_Q) = 1$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}_{M_T}$.

For all $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$, we define a function $\tilde{v}(Y, .)$ on $G(F)$ by

$$\tilde{v}(Y, g) = \int_{T(F)} \sigma^G_{M_T}(H_{M_T}(t), \mathcal{Y}(g))dt$$

Let us now set

$$J_{Y,T,N^{-b}}(f) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'[>N^{-b}]} D^G(X)^{1/2} \int_{T(F)\backslash G(F)} \mathbb{1}_{<\log(N)}(g^{-1}Xg)\widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)\tilde{v}(Y, g)dgdX$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$.

Proposition 10.8.1 *There exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\left|J^{Lie}_{N,T,N^{-b}}(f) - J_{Y,T,N^{-b}}(f)\right| \ll N^{-1}$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$ that satisfy the following two conditions

$$(17) \quad c_1 \log(N) \leqslant \inf_{\alpha\in\Delta_{min}} \alpha(Y)$$

$$(18) \quad \sup_{\alpha\in\Delta_{min}} \alpha(Y) \leqslant c_2 N$$

Proof: For all $N \geqslant 1$, let us denote by $\mathcal{A}_N$ the subset of $(\mathfrak{t}(F)' \cap \omega_T)\times T(F)\backslash G(F)$ consisting of pairs (X, g) such that $|Q(X)| > N^{-b}$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(g^{-1}Xg) < \log(N)$. Then, we may rewrite

$$J^{Lie}_{N,T,N^{-b}}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_N} D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)\kappa_{N,X}(g)dXdg$$

$$J_{Y,T,N^{-b}}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_N} D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)\tilde{v}(Y, g)dXdg$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$. Let c_1, c_2 be positive real numbers. We will prove that the inequality of the proposition is valid for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$ that satisfies the inequalities (17) and (18) as long as c_1 is large enough and c_2 is small enough. We note the following

(19) We have an inequality $\sigma_{T\backslash G}(g) \ll \log(N)$ for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $(X,g) \in \mathcal{A}_N$.

Indeed, this follows from 1.2(2) and the fact that d^G divides Q. In particular, by (15), if c_1 is sufficiently large, the (G, M_T)-family $\mathcal{Y}(g)$ is positive orthogonal for all $N \geqslant 1$, all $(X,g) \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$ that satisfies (17). We will henceforth assume that c_1 is at least that sufficiently large. Hence, for all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_T)$, we can set

$$\kappa_{N,X,Q}^Y(g) := \int_{T(F)} \kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tg)\sigma_{M_T}^Q(H_{M_T}(t), \mathcal{Y}(g))\tau_Q(H_{M_T}(t) - \mathcal{Y}(g)_Q)dt$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$, all $(X,g) \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$ that satisfies (17). By (16), we have the decomposition

$$\kappa_{N,X}(g) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_T)} \kappa_{N,X,Q}^Y(g)$$

for all N, X, g and Y as before. Obviously the functions $\kappa_{N,X,Q}^Y$ are left invariant by $T(F)$ and so we have accordingly a decomposition

$$J_{N,T,N^{-b}}^{Lie}(f) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_T)} J_{N,T,N^{-b}}^{Q,Y}(f)$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$ satisfying (17), where we have set

$$J_{N,T,N^{-b}}^{Q,Y}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_N} D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)\kappa_{N,X,Q}^Y(g)dgdX$$

The proposition will now follows from the two following facts

(20) If c_2 is sufficiently small, there exists $N_0 \geqslant 1$ such that

$$J_{N,T,N^{-b}}^{G,Y}(f) = J_{Y,T,N^{-b}}(f)$$

for all $N \geqslant N_0$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$ satisfying (18).

(21) Let $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_T)$, $Q \neq G$. If c_1 is large enough, then we have an inequality

$$\left| J_{N,T,N^{-b}}^{Q,Y}(f) \right| \ll N^{-1}$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$ satisfying (17).

First we prove (20). Obviously, we only need to prove that for c_2 small enough, N large enough and $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$ satisfying (18), we have

$$\kappa_{N,X,G}^Y(g) = \tilde{v}(Y,g)$$

for all $(X,g) \in \mathcal{A}_N$. Expanding the definitions, it is certainly enough to prove that

$$(22) \quad \sigma_{M_T}^G(H_{M_T}(t), \mathcal{Y}(g)) = 1 \Rightarrow \kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tg) = 1$$

for all $(X,g) \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and all $t \in T(F)$. We have an inequality

$$\sigma(tg) \ll \sup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{min}} \alpha(Y) + \sigma_{T\backslash G}(g)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$, all $g \in G(F)$ and all $t \in T(F)$ satisfying $\sigma_{M_T}^G(H_{M_T}(t), \mathcal{Y}(g)) = 1$. By (8), we have $\sigma(\gamma_X) \ll \log(N)$ for all $N \geqslant 2$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'[> N^{-b}] \cap \omega_T$. Combining these two facts with (19), we get

$$(23) \quad \sigma_{M_T}^G(H_{M_T}(t), \mathcal{Y}(g)) = 1 \Rightarrow \sigma(\gamma_X^{-1}tg) \ll \sup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{min}} \alpha(Y) + \log(N)$$

for all $N \geqslant 2$, all $(X,g) \in \mathcal{A}_N$, all $t \in T(F)$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$. Moreover, by the property (1) of κ_N, there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $\gamma \in G(F)$ we have $\sigma(\gamma) \leqslant C_0 N \Rightarrow \kappa_N(\gamma) = 1$. Hence, (22) follows from (23) when c_2 is small enough and N large enough and this ends the proof of (20).

We now move on to the proof of (21). Fix a proper parabolic subgroup $Q = LU_Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_T)$ and denote by $\overline{Q} = LU_{\overline{Q}}$ the opposite parabolic subgroup with respect to L (the only Levi component of Q containing M_T). We have the Iwasawa decomposition $G(F) = L(F)U_{\overline{Q}}(F)K$ and for suitable choices of Haar measures we have $dg = dldudk$. For all $N \geqslant 1$, let $\mathcal{B}_N$ be the set of quadruple $(X,l,u,k) \in \mathfrak{t}(F)' \times (T(F)\backslash L(F)) \times U_{\overline{Q}}(F) \times K$ such that $(X, luk) \in \mathcal{A}_N$. Then we have

$$J_{N,T,N^{-b}}^{Q,Y}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{B}_N} D^G(X)^{1/2} \widehat{f}(k^{-1}u^{-1}l^{-1}Xluk)\kappa_{N,X,Q}^Y(luk)dldudkdX$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$. We claim the following

> (24) If c_1 is large enough, we have
>
> $$|\kappa_{N,X,Q}^Y(luk) - \kappa_{N,X,Q}^Y(lk)| \ll N^{-1}$$
>
> for all $N \geqslant 1$, all $(X,l,u,k) \in \mathcal{B}_N$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$ satisfying (17).

We will postpone the proof of (24) and show how to deduce (21) from it. Assume that c_1 is large enough so that (24) holds. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|J^{Q,Y}_{N,T,N^{-b}}(f)-\int_{\mathcal{B}_N}D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{f}(k^{-1}u^{-1}l^{-1}Xluk)\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}(lk)dldudkdX\right|\\&\ll N^{-1}\int_{\mathcal{B}_N}D^G(X)^{1/2}\left|\widehat{f}(k^{-1}u^{-1}l^{-1}Xluk)\right|dXdldudk\\&=N^{-1}\int_{\mathcal{A}_N}D^G(X)^{1/2}\left|\widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)\right|dXdg\\&\ll N^{-1}\int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)}J_G(X,|\widehat{f}|)dX\end{aligned}$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$ satisfying (17). The last integral above is convergent. Consequently, in order to prove (21), we only need to prove an inequality

$$(25)\quad \left|\int_{\mathcal{B}_N}D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{f}(k^{-1}u^{-1}l^{-1}Xluk)\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}(lk)dldudkdX\right|\ll N^{-1}$$

for all $N \geqslant 1$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$. Obviously, we have $\sigma_{T\backslash G}(lk) \ll \sigma_{T\backslash G}(luk)$ for all $l \in L(F)$, all $u \in U_{\overline{Q}}(F)$ and all $k \in K$. By (19), it follows that there exists $c > 0$ such that $\sigma_{T\backslash G}(lk) < c\log(N)$ for all $N \geqslant 2$ and all $(X, l, u, k) \in \mathcal{B}_N$. Let us denote, for all $N \geqslant 2$, by $\mathcal{C}_N$ the set of triples $(X, l, k) \in (\mathfrak{t}(F)' \cap \omega_T) \times \big(T(F)\backslash L(F)\big) \times K$ such that $|Q(X)| > N^{-b}$ and $\sigma_{T\backslash G}(lk) < c\log(N)$. By what we just said, we have $\mathcal{B}_N \subset \mathcal{C}_N \times U_{\overline{Q}}(F)$. Since $\widehat{f}$ is strongly cuspidal, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_N\times U_{\overline{Q}}(F)}D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{f}(k^{-1}u^{-1}l^{-1}Xluk)\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}(lk)dudldkdX=0$$

Let us set $\mathcal{D}_N = \big(\mathcal{C}_N \times U_{\overline{Q}}(F)\big)\backslash\mathcal{B}_N$ for all $N \geqslant 2$. Note that by definition of $\mathcal{B}_N$, we have

$$(26)\quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}(k^{-1}u^{-1}l^{-1}Xluk)\geqslant\log(N)$$

for all $N \geqslant 2$ and all $(X, l, u, k) \in \mathcal{D}_N$. From the vanishing of the above integral, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}(27)\quad &\int_{\mathcal{B}_N}D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{f}(k^{-1}u^{-1}l^{-1}Xluk)\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}(lk)dldudkdX\\&\qquad=-\int_{\mathcal{D}_N}D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{f}(k^{-1}u^{-1}l^{-1}Xluk)\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}(lk)dldudkdX\end{aligned}$$

for all $N \geqslant 2$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$. Obviously, we have $\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q} \leqslant \kappa_{N,X}$. Using lemma 10.8.1(i), it follows that there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}(lk)\ll N^k$$

for all $N \geqslant 2$, all $(X, l, k) \in \mathcal{C}_N$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$. Hence, we see that the integral (27), is essentially bounded (in absolute value) by

$$N^k \int_{\mathcal{D}_N} D^G(X)^{1/2} |\widehat{f}(k^{-1}u^{-1}l^{-1}Xluk)| dldudkdX$$

for all $N \geqslant 2$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$. But, since $\widehat{f}$ is a Schwartz function, we easily deduce from (26) that the last integral above is essentially bounded by N^{-1-k} for all $N \geqslant 2$. This proves (25) and ends the proof of (21).

It remains to prove the crucial point (24). By (19), there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $N \geqslant 2$ and all $(X, l, u, k) \in \mathcal{B}_N$ up to translating l by an element of $T(F)$, we have $\sigma(lul^{-1}) < c\log(N)$ and $\sigma(lk) < c\log(N)$. Since $\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}$ is left invariant by $T(F)$, it suffices to prove the following

(28) If c_1 is sufficiently large, we have

$$\left|\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}(ug) - \kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}(g)\right| \ll N^{-1}$$

for all $N \geqslant 2$, all $X \in \mathfrak{t}(F)'[> N^{-b}] \cap \omega_T$, all $u \in U_{\overline{Q}}(F)$ and all $g \in G(F)$ satisfying $\sigma(u) < c\log(N)$, $\sigma(g) < c\log(N)$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}^+_{P_{min}}$ satisfying (17).

Let N, X, u, g and Y as above. We will prove that the inequality (28) holds provided c_1 is large enough. First, if c_1 is large enough, we see by (15) that the (G, M_T)-families $\mathcal{Y}(ug)$ and $\mathcal{Y}(g)$ are positive orthogonal. For $g' \in G(F)$, the function $\sigma^Q_{M_T}(., \mathcal{Y}(g'))\tau_Q(. - \mathcal{Y}(g')_Q)$ only depends on $\mathcal{Y}(g')_{P_T}$ for $P_T \in \mathcal{P}(M_T)$ with $P_T \subset Q$ and these terms are invariant by left translation of g' by $U_{\overline{Q}}(F)$. Thus, we have

$$\sigma^Q_{M_T}(., \mathcal{Y}(ug))\tau_Q(. - \mathcal{Y}(ug)_Q) = \sigma^Q_{M_T}(., \mathcal{Y}(g))\tau_Q(. - \mathcal{Y}(g)_Q)$$

Remembering the definition of $\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}$, we deduce that

$$\left|\kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}(ug) - \kappa^Y_{N,X,Q}(g)\right| \leqslant \int_{T(F)} \left|\kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tug) - \kappa(\gamma_X^{-1}tg)\right| \sigma^Q_{M_T}(H_{M_T}(t), \mathcal{Y}(g)) \\ \tau_Q(H_{M_T}(t) - \mathcal{Y}(g)_Q)dt$$

By the property (1) of κ_N, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that for all $t \in T(F)$ we have

$$\left|\kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tug) - \kappa(\gamma_X^{-1}tg)\right| \neq 0 \Rightarrow \sigma(t) \leqslant C_1\left(N + \sigma(\gamma_X) + \sigma(u) + \sigma(g)\right)$$

By the hypothesis made on g, u and X and by (8), this last condition implies $\sigma(t) \leqslant C_2 N$ for some bigger constant $C_2 > C_1$. Moreover, there exists $C_3 > 0$ and $k > 0$ such that the volume of the subset $\{t \in T(F);\ \sigma(t) \leqslant C_2 N\}$ is bounded by $C_3 N^k$. Hence, we will be done if we can establish the following

(29) Provided c_1 is sufficiently large, for all $t \in T(F)$ satisfying

$$\sigma_{M_T}^Q(H_{M_T}(t),\mathcal{Y}(g))\tau_Q(H_{M_T}(t)-\mathcal{Y}(g)_Q)=1$$

we have

$$\left|\kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tug)-\kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tg)\right|\leqslant N^{-k-1}$$

Fix $t\in T(F)$ such that

$$\sigma_{M_T}^Q(H_{M_T}(t),\mathcal{Y}(g))\tau_Q(H_{M_T}(t)-\mathcal{Y}(g)_Q)=1$$

Let Σ_Q^+ be the set of roots of A_T in U_Q and consider it as a subset of $\mathcal{A}_{M_T}^*=X^*(A_T)\otimes\mathbb{R}$. There exist positive constants C_4 and C_5 such that

$$\langle\beta,H_{M_T}(t)\rangle\geqslant C_4\inf_{\alpha\in\Delta_{min}}\alpha(Y')-C_5\sigma_G(g'),\quad\text{for all }\beta\in\Sigma_Q^+$$

for all $Y'\in\mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$, all $g'\in G(F)$ and all $t\in T(F)$ such that

$$\sigma_{M_T}^Q(H_{M_T}(t),\mathcal{Y}'(g'))\tau_Q(H_{M_T}(t)-\mathcal{Y}'(g')_Q)=1$$

Hence, by the assumptions on g and Y, we have

$$(30)\quad\langle\beta,H_{M_T}(t)\rangle\geqslant(C_4c_1-C_5c)\log(N),\quad\text{for all }\beta\in\Sigma_Q^+$$

Let e be a positive real number that we will assume sufficiently large in what follows. By (30) and the assumption on u, if c_1 is sufficiently large, we have

$$tut^{-1}\in\exp\left(B\left(0,N^{-4e}\right)\right)$$

Hence, by (8) and the assumption on X, if e is sufficiently large, we have

$$\gamma_X^{-1}tut^{-1}\gamma_X\in\exp\left(B\left(0,N^{-3e}\right)\right)$$

Let $P_t\in\mathcal{P}(M_T)$ be a parabolic subgroup such that $H_{M_T}(t)\in\overline{\mathcal{A}_{P_t}^+}$ (where the bar denotes the closure). Recall that $\gamma_X^{-1}X\gamma_X=X_\Sigma\in\Sigma'(F)$. By corollary 10.6.1 and (8) again, if we choose e sufficiently large, we will have

$$\gamma_X^{-1}tut^{-1}\gamma_X\in H(F)\gamma_X^{-1}\exp\left(B\left(0,N^{-2e}\right)\cap\mathfrak{p}_t(F)\right)\gamma_X$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_t=Lie(P_t)$. Hence, we may write $\gamma_X^{-1}tut^{-1}\gamma_X=h\gamma_X^{-1}e^{X_P}\gamma_X$ with $h\in H(F)$ and $X_P\in B\left(0,N^{-2e}\right)\cap\mathfrak{p}_t(F)$. By left invariance of κ_N by $H(F)$, we will have

$$(31)\quad\kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tug)=\kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}e^{X_P}tg)$$

Since we choose $P_t\in\mathcal{P}(M_T)$ so that $H_{M_T}(t)\in\overline{\mathcal{A}_{P_t}^+}$, there exists $c_5>0$ independent of t such that $t^{-1}\left(B(0,1)\cap\mathfrak{p}_t(F)\right)t\subseteq B(0,c_5)\cap\mathfrak{p}_t(F)$. Hence, we get

$$t^{-1}X_Pt \in B\left(0, c_5N^{-2e}\right) \cap \mathfrak{p}_t(F)$$

It follows by the assumption on g that if e is large enough, we have

$$g^{-1}t^{-1}X_Ptg \in B\left(0, N^{-e}\right)$$

By the conditions (2) and (3) that we imposed on κ_N, for e sufficiently large we have

$$\text{(In the non-archimedean case)} \quad \kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}e^{X_P}tg) = \kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tg)$$

$$\text{(In the archimedean case)} \quad \left|\kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tg) - \kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}e^{X_P}tg)\right| \leqslant N^{-1-k}$$

Combining this with (31), we get, if c_1 is large enough,

$$\text{(In the non-archimedean case)} \quad \kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tug) = \kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tg)$$

$$\text{(In the archimedean case)} \quad \left|\kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tg) - \kappa_N(\gamma_X^{-1}tug)\right| \leqslant N^{-1-k}$$

This proves (29) from which the claim (24) follows and this ends the proof of the proposition. ■

We are now in position to finish the proof of theorem 10.8.1. Let us set

$$J_{Y,T}(f) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'} D^G(X)^{1/2} \int_{T(F)\backslash G(F)} \widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)\widetilde{v}(Y,g)dgdX$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{min}}^+$. Obviously, we can find $k > 0$ such that

$$\widetilde{v}(Y,g) \ll (1+|Y|)^k \sigma_{T\backslash G}(g)^k$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{min}^+$ and all $g \in G(F)$. Using 1.2(2), 1.2(3) and 1.2(4), it follows that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that we have

$$\left|J_{Y,T}(f) - J_{Y,T,N^{-b}}(f)\right| \ll N^{k-b\epsilon}$$

for all $N \geqslant 2$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{min}^+$ satisfying the inequality (18) of the last proposition. Hence, combining this inequality with the last proposition, we see that if we choose b large enough we will have

$$(32) \quad \left|J_{Y,T}(f) - J_{N,T}^{Lie}(f)\right| \ll N^{-1}$$

for all $N \geqslant 2$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{min}^+$ that satisfies the inequalities (17) and (18) of the last proposition. Arthur has computed the functions $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{min}^+ \mapsto \widetilde{v}(Y,g)$ (cf [A1]p.46). More precisely, the result is the following: for every $g \in G(F)$ the function $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{min}^+ \mapsto \widetilde{v}(Y,g)$ for Y in a certain lattice $\mathcal{R}$ is a sum of functions of the form $q_\zeta(Y,g)e^{\zeta(Y)}$ where $q_\zeta(.,g)$ is a polynomial in Y and $\zeta \in Hom(\mathcal{R}, 2i\pi\mathbb{R}/2i\pi\mathbb{Z})$. Such functions are linearly independent, and it follows from (32) that we have

$$(33) \quad \lim_{N\to\infty} J_{N,T}^{Lie}(f) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'} D^G(X)^{1/2} \int_{T(F)\backslash G(F)} \widehat{f}(g^{-1}Xg)q_0(0,g)dgdX$$

Moreover, by [A1] (6.6), we have

$$q_0(0,g) = (-1)^{a_{M_T}} \nu(T)^{-1} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{F}(M_T)} c'_Q v_{M_T}^Q(g)$$

where the c'_Q are certain constant with $c'_G = 1$ and the functions $v_{M_T}^Q(g)$ are the one introduced in subsection 1.10 (recall that we fixed a maximal compact subgroup K that is special in the p-adic case). Recall that the factor $\nu(T)$ is the quotient between the Haar measure we fixed on $T(F)$ and another natural Haar measure on $T(F)$ (cf subsection 1.6). It is present in the formula above because we are using different normalizations of measures than the one used by Arthur in [A1]. By definition of the weighted orbital integrals $J_{M_T}^Q(X,.)$, (33) becomes

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} J_{N,T}^{Lie}(f) = (-1)^{a_{M_T}} \nu(T)^{-1} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{F}(M_T)} c'_Q \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'} D^G(X)^{1/2} J_{M_T}^Q(X,\widehat{f})dX$$

Since $\widehat{f}$ is strongly cuspidal, by lemma 5.2.1(i) we have $J_{M_T}^Q(X,\widehat{f}) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{reg}(F)$ and all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(M_T)$ such that $Q \neq G$. So finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{N\to\infty} J_{N,T}^{Lie}(f) &= (-1)^{a_{M_T}} \nu(T)^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'} D^G(X)^{1/2} J_{M_T}^G(X,\widehat{f})dX \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'} D^G(X)^{1/2} \theta_{\widehat{f}}(X)dX\end{aligned}$$

Summing this last equality ove $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$, we obtain, by (7) and (4),

$$\begin{aligned}J^{Lie}(f) &= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(G)} |W(G,T)|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)'} D^G(X)^{1/2} \theta_{\widehat{f}}(X)dX \\ &= \int_{\Gamma(\Sigma)} D^G(X)^{1/2} \theta_{\widehat{f}}(X)dX\end{aligned}$$

But, by proposition 5.6.1(i) we have $\theta_{\widehat{f}} = \widehat{\theta}_f$. This ends the proof of theorem 10.8.1. ■

11 Geometric expansions and a formula for the multiplicity

11.1 The linear form m_{geom}

In this subsection, we define a continuous linear form m_{geom} on $QC(G(F))$. This will be the main ingredient in the formulation of two of the three theorems of the next section (geometric expansion on the group and the formula for the multiplicity). The last theorem (geometric expansion on the Lie algebra) will involve the Lie algebra analog m_{geom}^{Lie} of m_{geom}, which is going to be a continuous linear form on $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

Before defining the linear forms m_{geom} and m_{geom}^{Lie}, this will be done in the next proposition below, we need to introduce some spaces of semi-simple conjugacy classes.

Let $x \in H_{ss}(F)$. We are now going to describe the triple (G_x, H_x, ξ_x) where $\xi_x = \xi_{|H_x(F)}$. Up to conjugation, we may assume that $x \in U(W)_{ss}(F)$. Denote by W'_x and V'_x the kernel of $1-x$ in W and V respectively and by W''_x the image of $1-x$. We then have the orthogonal decompositions $W = W'_x \oplus^{\perp} W''_x$ and $V = V'_x \oplus^{\perp} W''_x$. Set $H'_x = U(W'_x) \ltimes N_x$ (where N_x is the centralizer of x in N), $G'_x = U(W'_x) \times U(V'_x)$, $H''_x = U(W''_x)_x$ and $G''_x = U(W''_x)_x \times U(W''_x)_x$. We have natural decompositions

$$U(V)_x = U(V'_x) \times U(W''_x)_x, \;\; U(W)_x = U(W'_x) \times U(W''_x)_x \text{ and } H_x = U(W)_x \ltimes N_x$$

Moreover, we easily check that $U(W''_x)_x$ commutes with N_x. Hence, we also have natural decompositions

$$(1) \quad G_x = G'_x \times G''_x \text{ and } H_x = H'_x \times H''_x$$

the inclusions $H_x \subset G_x$ being the product of the two inclusions $H'_x \subset G'_x$ and $H''_x \subset G''_x$. It is clear that ξ_x is trivial on H''_x, so that we get a decomposition

$$(G_x, H_x, \xi_x) = (G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x) \times (G''_x, H''_x, 1)$$

where $\xi'_x = \xi_{|H'_x}$ and the product of triples is obviously defined. Note that the triple (G'_x, H'_x, ξ'_x) coincide with the GGP triple associated to the admissible pair (V'_x, W'_x). The second triple $(G''_x, H''_x, 1)$ is also of a particular shape: the group G''_x is the product of two H''_x, the inclusion $H''_x \subset G''_x$ being the diagonal one. We shall call such a triple an Arthur triple. Finally, note that although we have assumed $x \in U(W)_{ss}(F)$, the decompositions (1) still hold for all $x \in H_{ss}(F)$ and that they depend on the conjugacy class of x only up to an inner automorphism.

Let us denote, for all $x \in H_{ss}(F)$, by $\Gamma(H)$, $\Gamma(H_x)$, $\Gamma(G)$ and $\Gamma(G_x)$ the set of semi-simple conjugacy classes in $H(F)$, $H_x(F)$, $G(F)$ and $G_x(F)$ respectively. We topologize these sets as explained in subsection 1.8. We have the following

(2) For all $x \in H_{ss}(F)$, the natural maps $\Gamma(H_x) \to \Gamma(G_x)$ and $\Gamma(H) \to \Gamma(G)$ are closed embeddings. Moreover, if $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ is a sufficiently small G-good open neighborhood of x, then the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma(H_x) \cap \Omega_x \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Gamma(G_x) \cap \Omega_x \arrow[d] \\
\Gamma(H) \arrow[r] & \Gamma(G)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian.

We see easily using the above descriptions of both H_x and G_x that the two maps $\Gamma(H_x) \to \Gamma(G_x)$ and $\Gamma(H) \to \Gamma(G)$ are injective. Since these maps are continuous and proper and $\Gamma(H_x)$, $\Gamma(G_x)$, $\Gamma(H)$, $\Gamma(G)$ are all Hausdorff and locally compact, it follows that $\Gamma(H_x) \to \Gamma(G_x)$ and $\Gamma(H) \to \Gamma(G)$ are indeed closed embeddings. Let $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ be a G-good open neighborhood of x. We show now that the above diagram is cartesian provided Ω_x is sufficiently small. This amounts to proving that if $y \in \Omega_{x,ss}$ is $G(F)$-conjugated to an element of $H(F)$ then y is $G_x(F)$-conjugated to an element of $H_x(F)$. Let y be such an element and let us fix $\Omega'_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ another G-good open neighborhood of x. In all what follows, we will assume that $\Omega_x \subseteq \Omega'_x$. Since $G_x = Z_G(x)$ (because G_{der} is simply-connected), by definition of a G-good open subset, it suffices to show that if Ω_x is sufficiently small then y is $G(F)$-conjugated to an element in $H_x(F) \cap \Omega'_x$. We easily check that $H_x(F) \cap \Omega'_x$ is a H-good open neighborhood of x. Hence, the map $\Gamma(H_x) \cap \Omega'_x \to \Gamma(H)$ is injective and has open image. Similarly, the map $\Gamma(G_x) \cap \Omega_x \to \Gamma(G)$ is injective and has open image. Moreover, as Ω_x runs through the G-good open neighborhoods of x the subsets $\Gamma(G_x) \cap \Omega_x$ form a basis of open neighborhoods of x in $\Gamma(G)$. Hence, since $\Gamma(H) \to \Gamma(G)$ is a closed embedding, the subsets $\Gamma(H) \cap (\Gamma(G_x) \cap \Omega_x)$, as Ω_x runs through the G-good open neighborhoods of x, form a basis of open neighborhoods of x in $\Gamma(H)$. It follows that for Ω_x sufficiently small we have $\Gamma(H) \cap (\Gamma(G_x) \cap \Omega_x) \subseteq \Gamma(H_x) \cap \Omega'_x$ and this implies what we want.

We now define a subset $\Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ of $\Gamma(H)$ as follows: $x \in \Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ if and only if H''_x is an anisotropic torus (and hence G''_x also). By (2), we may also see $\Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ as a subset of $\Gamma(G)$. Remark that $\Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ is a subset of $\Gamma_{ell}(G)$ that contains 1. We now equip $\Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ with a topology, which is not the one induced from its inclusion in $\Gamma(G)$, and a measure. For this, we need to give a more concrete description of $\Gamma(G, H, \xi)$. Consider the following set $\underline{\mathcal{T}}$ of subtori of $U(W)$: $T \in \underline{\mathcal{T}}$ if and only if there exists a non-degenerate subspace $W'' \subset W$ such that T is a maximal elliptic subtorus of $U(W'')$. For such a torus T, let us denote by $T_\natural$ the open Zariski subset of elements $t \in T$ which are regular in $U(W'')$ acting without the eigenvalue 1 on W''. Then, $\Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ is the set of conjugacy classes that meet

$$\bigcup_{T \in \underline{\mathcal{T}}} T_\natural(F)$$

Indeed, for all $x \in \Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ (identified with one of its representatives in $U(W)_{ss}(F)$), we have $H''_x \in \underline{\mathcal{T}}$ and $x \in (H''_x)_\natural(F)$ whereas on the other hand if $x \in T_\natural(F)$ for some $T \in \underline{\mathcal{T}}$, then $H''_x = T$. For $T \in \underline{\mathcal{T}}$, the non-degenerate subspace $W'' \subset W$ such that T is a maximal torus of $U(W'')$ is unique (since we have $W'' = W''_x$ for all $x \in T_\natural(F)$) and we shall denote it by W''_T. We will also set $W(T)$ for the Weyl group $W(U(W''_T), T)$. Let us now fix a set of representatives $\mathcal{T}$ for the $U(W)(F)$-conjugacy classes in $\underline{\mathcal{T}}$. Then, we have a natural bijection

$$(3) \quad \Gamma(G,H,\xi) \simeq \bigsqcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} T_\natural(F)/W(T)$$

Indeed, the map that associates to an element of the right hand side its conjugacy class is a surjection onto $\Gamma(G,H,\xi)$. That this map is injective is an easy application of Witt theorem. Now, the right hand side of (3) has a natural topology and we transfer it to $\Gamma(G,H,\xi)$. Moreover, we equip $\Gamma(G,H,\xi)$ with the unique regular Borel measure such that

$$\int_{\Gamma(G,H,\xi)} \varphi(x)dx = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}} |W(T)|^{-1}\nu(T) \int_{T(F)} \varphi(t)dt$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(\Gamma(G,H,\xi))$. Recall that $\nu(T)$ is the only positive factor such that the total mass of $T(F)$ for the measure $\nu(T)dt$ is one. Note that 1 is an atom for this measure whose mass is equal to 1 (this corresponds to the contribution of the trivial torus in the formula above).

More generally, for all $x \in H_{ss}(F)$ we may construct a subset $\Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x)$ of $\Gamma(G_x)$ which is equipped with its own topology and measure as follows. By (1), we have a decomposition $\Gamma(G_x) = \Gamma(G'_x) \times \Gamma(G''_x)$. Since the triple (G'_x, H'_x, ξ_x) is a GGP triple, the previous construction provides us with a space $\Gamma(G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x)$ of semi-simple conjugacy classes in $G'_x(F)$. On the other hand, we define $\Gamma(G''_x, H''_x)$ to be the image of $\Gamma_{ani}(H''_x)$ (the set of anisotropic conjugacy classes in $H''_x(F)$, cf subsection 1.8) by the natural inclusion $\Gamma(H''_x) \subset \Gamma(G''_x)$. In subsection 1.8, we already equipped $\Gamma(G''_x, H''_x) = \Gamma_{ani}(H''_x)$ with a topology and a measure. We now set

$$\Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x) = \Gamma(G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x) \times \Gamma(G''_x, H''_x)$$

and we equip this set with the product of the topologies and the product of the measures defined on $\Gamma(G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x)$ and $\Gamma(G''_x, H''_x)$. Note that $\Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x) = \emptyset$ unless $x \in G(F)_{ell}$ (because then $\Gamma_{ani}(H''_x) = \emptyset$).

We may also define a subset $\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)$ of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$, again equipped with a topology and a measure, as follows. For all $X \in \mathfrak{u}(W)_{ss}(F)$, we have decompositions

$$(4) \quad G_X = G'_X \times G''_X, \quad H_X = H'_X \times H''_X$$

where this time

$$G'_X = U(W'_X) \times U(V'_X), \;\; G''_X = U(W''_X)_X \times U(W''_X)_X, \;\; H'_X = U(W'_X) \ltimes N_X \;\; H''_X = U(W''_X)_X$$

for W'_X, V'_X the kernels of X acting on W and V respectively, W''_X the image of X in W and N_X the centralizer of X in N. Again, the decompositions (4) still hold for every $X \in \mathfrak{h}_{ss}(F)$ and they depend on the conjugacy class of X only up to an inner automorphism. We now define $\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)$ to be the set of semi-simple conjugacy classes $X \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$ such that H''_X is an anisotropic torus. The obvious analog of (2) for the Lie algebra allows us to identify $\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)$ with a subset of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$. Remark that $\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)$ is a subset of $\Gamma_{ell}(\mathfrak{g})$ that contains 0. Moreover, fixing a set of tori $\mathcal{T}$ as before, we have a natural identification

$$(5) \quad \Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi) = \bigsqcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \mathfrak{t}_\natural(F)/W(T)$$

where for $T \in \mathcal{T}$, $\mathfrak{t}_\natural$ denotes the Zariski open subset consisting in elements $X \in \mathfrak{t}$ that are regular in $\mathfrak{u}(W''_T)$ and acting without the eigenvalue 0 on W''_T. By the identification (5), $\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)$ inherits a natural topology. Moreover, we equip $\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)$ with the unique regular Borel measure such that

$$\int_{\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)} \varphi(X)dX = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} |W(T)|^{-1} \nu(T) \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)} \varphi(X)dX$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi))$. Note that 0 is an atom for this measure whose mass is 1.

We need now to define some determinant functions. Let us set

$$\Delta(x) = D^G(x)D^H(x)^{-2}$$

for all $x \in H_{ss}(F)$. Then, we easily check that

$$(6) \quad \Delta(x) = |N(\det(1-x)_{|W''_x})|$$

for all $x \in H_{ss}(F)$. Similarly, we define

$$\Delta(X) = D^G(X)D^H(X)^{-2}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}_{ss}(F)$ and we have the equality

$$(7) \quad \Delta(X) = |N(\det(X_{|W''_X}))|$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}_{ss}(F)$. Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a G-excellent open neighborhood of 0. Then, we easily check that $\omega \cap \mathfrak{h}(F)$ is a H-excellent open neighborhood of 0 (cf the remark at the end of subsection 3.3). We may thus set

$$j^H_G(X) = j^H(X)^2 j^G(X)^{-1}$$

for all $X \in \omega \cap \mathfrak{h}(F)$. By 3.3(1), we have

$$(8) \quad j^H_G(X) = \Delta(X)\Delta(e^X)^{-1}$$

for all $X \in \omega \cap \mathfrak{h}_{ss}(F)$. Note that j_G^H is a smooth, positive and $H(F)$-invariant function on $\omega \cap \mathfrak{h}(F)$. It actually extends (not uniquely although) to a smooth, positive and $G(F)$-invariant function on ω. This can be seen as follows. We can embed the groups $H_1 = U(W)$ and $H_2 = U(V)$ into GGP triples (G_1, H_1, ξ_1) and (G_2, H_2, ξ_2). Then the function $X = (X_W, X_V) \in \omega \mapsto j_{G_1}^{H_1}(X_W)^{1/2} j_{G_2}^{H_2}(X_V)^{1/2}$ is easily seen to be such an extension (using for example the equality (8) above). We will always assume that such an extension has been chosen and we will still denote it by j_G^H.

Let $x \in H_{ss}(F)$. Then, we define

$$\Delta_x(y) = D^{G_x}(y) D^{H_x}(y)^{-2}$$

for all $y \in H_{x,ss}(F)$. On the other hand, since the triple (G'_x, H'_x, ξ'_x) is a GGP triple, the previous construction yields a function $\Delta^{G'_x}$ on $H'_{x,ss}(F)$. We easily check that

$$(9) \quad \Delta_x(y) = \Delta^{G'_x}(y')$$

for all $y = (y', y'') \in H_{x,ss}(F) = H'_{x,ss}(F) \times H''_{x,ss}(F)$.

Let $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ be a G-good open neighborhood of x. Then, it is easy to see that $\Omega_x \cap H(F) \subseteq H_x(F)$ is a H-good open neighborhood of x (cf the remark at the end of subsection 3.2). This allow us to set

$$\eta_{G,x}^H(y) = \eta_x^H(y)^2 \eta_x^G(y)^{-1}$$

for all $y \in \Omega_x \cap H(F)$. By 3.2(4), we have

$$(10) \quad \eta_{G,x}^H(y) = \Delta_x(y) \Delta(y)^{-1}$$

for all $y \in \Omega_x \cap H_{ss}(F)$. Note that $\eta_{G,x}^H$ is a smooth, positive and $H_x(F)$-invariant function on $\Omega_x \cap H(F)$. It actually extends (not uniquely although) to a smooth, positive and $G_x(F)$-invariant function on Ω_x. We will always still denote by $\eta_{G,x}^H$ such an extension.

The definitions of the distributions m_{geom} and m_{geom}^{Lie} are contained in the following proposition

Proposition 11.1.1 *(i) Let $\theta \in QC(G(F))$. Then, for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$ the integral*

$$\int_{\Gamma(G,H,\xi)} D^G(x)^{1/2} c_\theta(x) \Delta(x)^{s-1/2} dx$$

is absolutely convergent and the limit

$$m_{geom}(\theta) := \lim_{s \to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma(G,H,\xi)} D^G(x)^{1/2} c_\theta(x) \Delta(x)^{s-1/2} dx$$

exists. Similarly, for all $x \in H_{ss}(F)$ and for all $\theta_x \in QC(G_x(F))$, the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma(G_x,H_x,\xi_x)} D^{G_x}(y)^{1/2} c_{\theta_x}(y)\Delta_x(y)^{s-1/2}dy$$

is absolutely convergent for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$ and the limit

$$m_{x,geom}(\theta_x) := \lim_{s\to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma(G_x,H_x,\xi_x)} D^{G_x}(y)^{1/2} c_{\theta_x}(y)\Delta_x(y)^{s-1/2}dy$$

exists. Moreover, m_{geom} is a continuous linear form on $QC(G(F))$ and for all $x \in H_{ss}(F)$ $m_{x,geom}$ is a continuous linear form on $QC(G_x(F))$.

(ii) Let $x \in H_{ss}(F)$ and let $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ be a G-good open neighborhood of x and set $\Omega = \Omega_x^G$. Then, if Ω_x is sufficiently small, we have

$$m_{geom}(\theta) = m_{x,geom}((\eta^H_{G,x})^{1/2}\theta_{x,\Omega_x})$$

for all $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$.

(iii) Let $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Then, for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$ the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)} D^G(X)^{1/2} c_\theta(X)\Delta(X)^{s-1/2}dX$$

is absolutely convergent and the limit

$$m^{Lie}_{geom}(\theta) := \lim_{s\to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)} D^G(X)^{1/2} c_\theta(X)\Delta(X)^{s-1/2}dX$$

exists. Moreover, m^{Lie}_{geom} is a continuous linear form on $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ that extends continuously to $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and we have

$$m^{Lie}_{geom}(\theta_\lambda) = |\lambda|^{\delta(G)/2} m^{Lie}_{geom}(\theta)$$

for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $\lambda \in F^\times$ (recall that $\theta_\lambda(X) = \theta(\lambda^{-1}X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$).

(iv) Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a G-excellent open neighborhood of 0 and set $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$. Then, we have

$$m_{geom}(\theta) = m^{Lie}_{geom}((j^H_G)^{1/2}\theta_\omega)$$

for all $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$.

Remark: By proposition 4.5.1 1.(i), in the integral defining $m_{geom}(\theta)$ above only the conjugacy classes $x \in \Gamma(G,H,\xi)$ such that G_x is quasi-split contribute. This means that we could have replace the space $\Gamma(G,H,\xi)$ by the usually smaller one $\Gamma_{qd}(G,H,\xi)$ consisting in conjugacy classes $x \in \Gamma(G,H,\xi)$ such that G_x is quasi-split. Of course, a similar remark applies to $m_{x,geom}(\theta_x)$ and $m_{geom}^{Lie}(\theta)$.

Proof:

(i) We first show the following

(11) For all $\theta \in QC(G(F))$ and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$, the integral

$$m_{geom,s}(\theta) := \int_{\Gamma(G,H,\xi)} D^G(x)^{1/2} c_\theta(x) \Delta(x)^{s-1/2} dx$$

is absolutely convergent. Moreover, $m_{geom,s}$ defines a continuous linear form on $QC(G(F))$ (for all $Re(s) > 0$).

Indeed, since $\Gamma(G,H,\xi)$ is compact modulo conjugation and the function $(D^G)^{1/2} c_\theta$ is locally bounded by a continuous semi-norm on $QC(G(F))$ (for all $\theta \in QC(G(F))$), it is sufficient to show that the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma(G,H,\xi)} \Delta(x)^{s-1/2} dx$$

is absolutely convergent for $Re(s) > 0$. By definition of the measure on $\Gamma(G,H,\xi)$ and (6), this is a straightforward application of lemma B.1.2(i). Similarly, we prove that

(12) For all $x \in H_{ss}(F)$, all $\theta_x \in QC(G_x(F))$ and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$, the integral

$$m_{x,geom,s}(\theta_x) := \int_{\Gamma(G_x,H_x,\xi_x)} D^{G_x}(y)^{1/2} c_{\theta_x}(y) \Delta_x(y)^{s-1/2} dy$$

is absolutely convergent. Moreover, $m_{x,geom,s}$ defines a continuous linear form on $QC(G_x(F))$ (for all $Re(s) > 0$).

Assume one moment that the limits

$$(13) \quad m_{geom}(\theta) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} m_{geom,s}(\theta)$$

and

$$(14) \quad m_{x,geom}(\theta_x) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} m_{x,geom,s}(\theta_x)$$

exist for all $\theta \in QC(G(F))$, all $x \in H_{ss}(F)$ and all $\theta_x \in QC(G_x(F))$. Then, by the uniform boundedness principle (cf appendix A.1), m_{geom} and $m_{geom,x}$ ($x \in H_{ss}(F)$) will automatically be continuous linear forms on $QC(G(F))$ and $QC(G_x(F))$ respectively. Hence, it only remains to show that the limits (13) and (14) always exist. We prove this by induction on $\dim(G)$ that is we assume that the result is true for all GGP triple (G', H', ξ') with $\dim(G') < \dim(G)$ (the result is trivial when $\dim(G) = 1$). We start by showing the following

> (15) Let $x \in H_{ss}(F)$ and assume that $x \neq 1$. Then the limit (14) exists for all $\theta_x \in QC(G_x(F))$.

Since $G_x = G'_x \times G''_x$, by proposition 4.4.1(v) we have $QC(G_x(F)) = QC(G'_x(F)) \widehat{\otimes}_p QC(G''_x(F))$. By A.5(3), it thus suffices to show that the limit (14) exists for all quasi-character θ_x of the form $\theta_x = \theta'_x \otimes \theta''_x$ where $\theta'_x \in QC(G'_x(F))$ and $\theta''_x \in QC(G''_x(F))$. Fix such a quasi-character. Since $\Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x) = \Gamma(G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x) \times \Gamma(G''_x, H''_x)$, using (9) we have

$$m_{x,geom,s}(\theta_x) = \int_{\Gamma(G'_x,H'_x,\xi'_x)} D^{G'_x}(y)^{1/2} c_{\theta'_x}(y) \Delta^{G'_x}(y)^{s-1/2} dy \times \int_{\Gamma(G''_x,H''_x)} D^{G''_x}(y)^{1/2} c_{\theta''_x}(y) dy$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$. Recall that the triple (G'_x, H'_x, ξ'_x) is a GGP triple. For $Re(s) > 0$, let us denote by $m^{G'_x}_{geom,s}$ the distribution on $QC(G'_x(F))$ defined the same way as $m_{geom,s}$ but for this GGP triple instead of (G, H, ξ). Then, the first integral above is equal to $m^{G'_x}_{geom,s}(\theta'_x)$. Since $\dim(G'_x) < \dim(G)$, the induction hypothesis tells us that $m^{G'_x}_{geom,s}(\theta'_x)$ has a limit as $s \to 0^+$and this ends the proof of (15).

We are now left with proving that the limit (13) exists for all $\theta \in QC(G(F))$. Let us fix temporarily $x \in H_{ss}(F)$ and $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ a G-good open neighborhood of x. Set $\Omega = \Omega_x^G$. We claim the following

> (16) If Ω_x is sufficiently small, the restriction of the natural map $\Gamma(G_x) \to \Gamma(G)$ to $\Omega_x \cap \Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x)$ induces an isomorphism of topological spaces
>
> $$\Omega_x \cap \Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x) \simeq \Omega \cap \Gamma(G, H, \xi)$$
>
> that preserves measures on both sides.

First, note that the restriction of the natural map $\Gamma(G_x) \to \Gamma(G)$ to $\Omega_x \cap \Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x)$ is injective since Ω_x is G-good. As a first step towards the proof of (16), we show the following

(17) If Ω_x is sufficiently small, the image of $\Omega_x \cap \Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x)$ in $\Gamma(G)$ is $\Omega \cap \Gamma(G, H, \xi)$.

By (2), if Ω_x is sufficiently small, every conjugacy class in $\Omega \cap \Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ has a representative in $\Omega_x \cap H_{x,ss}(F)$. Moreover, every conjugacy class in $\Omega_x \cap \Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x)$ also have a representative in $\Omega_x \cap H_{x,ss}(F)$. Let $y \in \Omega_x \cap H_{x,ss}(F)$. We need only prove that the $G(F)$-conjugacy class of y belongs to $\Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ if and only if the $G_x(F)$-conjugacy class of y belongs to $\Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x)$. Let $y' \in H'_x(F)$ and $y'' \in H''_x(F)$ for the components of y relative to the decomposition $H_x = H'_x \times H''_x$. Since Ω_x is a G-good open neighborhood of x, we have

$$G_y = (G_x)_y = (G'_x)_{y'} \times (G''_x)_{y''}$$

Moreover, we have the decomposition

$$(G'_x)_y = (G'_x)'_{y'} \times (G'_x)''_{y'}$$

and it follows that

$$G_y = (G'_x)_{y'} \times \left[(G'_x)''_{y'} \times (G''_x)_{y''}\right]$$

We easily check that this corresponds to the decomposition $G_y = G'_y \times G''_y$, that is

$$G'_y = (G'_x)'_{y'} \text{ and } G''_y = (G'_x)''_{y'} \times (G''_x)_{y''}$$

By definition, y belongs to $\Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ if and only if G''_y is an anisotropic torus whereas y belongs to $\Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x)$ if and only if both $(G'_x)''_{y'}$ and $(G''_x)_{y''}$ are anisotropic tori. By the last equality above, these two conditions are equivalent. This ends the proof of (17).

To finish the proof of (16), it only remains to show that the induced map

$$\Omega_x \cap \Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x) \to \Omega \cap \Gamma(G, H, \xi)$$

is locally a topological isomorphism that preserves the measures. Let $y \in \Omega_x \cap \Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x)$ and identify it with one of its representative in $H_{x,ss}(F)$. Set $T = G''_y$. We introduce as before the components y' and y'' of y relative to the decomposition $H_x = H'_x \times H''_x$. Then, T is an anisotropic torus and we have a decomposition

$$T = T' \times T''$$

where $T' = (G'_x)''_{y'}$ and $T'' = (G''_x)_{y''}$. We have $\Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x) = \Gamma(G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x) \times \Gamma(G''_x, H''_x)$. By definition of the topological structures and measures on both $\Gamma(G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x)$ and $\Gamma(G''_x, H''_x)$, the two maps

$$t \in T'(F) \mapsto ty' \in \Gamma(G'_x, H'_x, \xi'_x)$$

$$t \in T''(F) \mapsto ty'' \in \Gamma(G''_x, H''_x)$$

are locally near 1 topological isomorphisms that preserve measures (where we equip $T'(F)$ and $T''(F)$ with the unique Haar measures of total mass 1). Hence, the map

$$t \in T(F) \mapsto ty \in \Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x)$$

is also locally near $1 \in T(F)$ a topological isomorphism that preserves measures (again equipping $T(F)$ with the Haar measure of total mass 1). On the other hand, by definition of the topology and the measure on $\Gamma(G, H, \xi)$, the map

$$t \in T(F) \mapsto ty \in \Gamma(G, H, \xi)$$

has exactly the same property. Hence the map

$$\Omega_x \cap \Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x) \to \Omega \cap \Gamma(G, H, \xi)$$

is locally near y a topological isomorphism that preserves measures. This ends the proof of (16).

We now deduce from (16) the following

(18) If Ω_x is sufficiently small, for all $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$, we have the equality

$$m_{geom,s}(\theta) = m_{x,geom,s}((\eta^H_{x,G})^{1/2-s}\theta_{x,\Omega_x})$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$.

Let $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$. By (16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{geom,s}(\theta) &= \int_{\Omega\cap\Gamma(G,H,\xi)} D^G(y)^{1/2}c_\theta(y)\Delta(y)^{s-1/2}dy \\
&= \int_{\Omega_x\cap\Gamma(G_x,H_x,\xi_x)} D^G(y)^{1/2}c_\theta(y)\Delta(y)^{s-1/2}dy
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$. For all $y \in \Omega_x \cap \Gamma(G_x, H_x, \xi_x)$, we have $D^G(y)^{1/2}c_\theta(y) = D^{G_x}(y)^{1/2}c_{\theta_{x,\Omega_x}}(y)$ (proposition 4.5.1.1(iv)) and by (10) we also have $\Delta(y) = \eta^H_{x,G}(y)^{-1}\Delta_x(y)$. The equality (18) follows immediately.

We can now forget the particular element $x \in H_{ss}(F)$ and the G-good open neighborhood Ω_x of x that we have fixed. The point of (18) is to allow us to show the following

(19) Let $\theta \in QC(G(F))$ and assume that $1 \notin Supp(\theta)$. Then, the limit (13) exists.

Indeed, since $\Gamma(G, H, \xi)$ is a subset of $\Gamma(H)$ which is compact modulo conjugation, by an invariant partition of unity process, we are immediately reduced to prove (19) for $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$ where Ω is of the form $\Omega = \Omega_x^G$ for some $x \in H_{ss}(F)$ different from 1 and some $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ a G-good open neighborhood of x that we can take as small as we want. In particular by (18), if we take Ω_x sufficiently small, we have

$$
m_{geom,s}(\theta) = m_{x,geom,s}((\eta^H_{x,G})^{1/2-s}\theta_{x,\Omega_x})
$$

for all $Re(s) > 0$. By (15), we know that the continuous linear forms $m_{x,geom,s}$ converge point-wise to a continuous linear form $m_{x,geom}$ on $QC(G_x(F))$ as $s \to 0$. By the uniform boundedness principle, it implies that $m_{x,geom,s}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $QC(G_x(F))$. In particular, if we can show that the function $s \mapsto (\eta^H_{x,G})^{1/2-s}\theta_{x,\Omega_x}$ has a limit in $QC(G_x(F))$ as $s \to 0$, then we will be done by the above equality. Clearly, $(\eta^H_{x,G})^{1/2-s}$ converges to $(\eta^H_{x,G})^{1/2}$ in $C^\infty(\Omega_x)^{G_x}$. Hence, by proposition 4.4.1(iv), we have

$$
\lim_{s\to 0^+}(\eta^H_{x,G})^{1/2-s}\theta_{x,\Omega_x} = (\eta^H_{x,G})^{1/2}\theta_{x,\Omega_x}
$$

in $QC_c(\Omega_x)$ and so also in $QC(G_x(F))$. This ends the proof of (19).

Let now $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a G-excellent open neighborhood of 0 and set $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$. By (19), and since there exists $\varphi \in C^\infty(G(F))^G$ such that $Supp(\varphi) \subseteq \Omega$ and $\varphi = 1$ in a neighborhood of 1, we are left with proving

(20) The limit (13) exists for all $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$

As a first step towards the proof of (20), we claim the following

(21) For all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$, the integral

$$m^{Lie}_{geom,s}(\theta) = \int_{\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)} D^G(X)^{1/2} c_\theta(X) \Delta(X)^{s-1/2} dX$$

converges absolutely. Moreover, $m^{Lie}_{geom,s}$ defines, for $Re(s) > 0$, a continuous linear form on $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, and we have

$$m_{geom,s}(\theta) = m^{Lie}_{geom,s}((j^H_G)^{1/2-s}\theta_\omega)$$

for all $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$ and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$.

The first part of the claim can be proved similarly as (11) using lemma B.1.2(ii). On the other hand, the second part of the claim is proved as (18) once we establish the following

(22) The exponential map induces a topological isomorphism

$$\omega \cap \Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi) \simeq \Omega \cap \Gamma(G,H,\xi)$$

that preserves measures on both sides.

Since $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ is a G-excellent open subset, the exponential map induces a bijection

$$\omega \cap \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \Omega \cap \Gamma(G)$$

Moreover, this bijection restricts to a bijection between $\omega \cap \Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)$ and $\Omega \cap \Gamma(G,H,\xi)$ since for $X \in \omega \cap \Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$ we have $G''_X = G''_{e^X}$. To finish the proof of (22), it only remains to show that this bijection is locally a topological isomorphism that preserves measure. Let $X \in \omega \cap \Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)$ and set $T = G''_X$. Then, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \in \mathfrak{t}(F) \arrow[r, mapsto] \arrow[d, "\exp"] & Y + X \in \omega \cap \Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi) \arrow[d, "\exp"] \\
t \in T(F) \arrow[r, mapsto] & te^X \in \Omega \cap \Gamma(G,H,\xi)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the maps at the top and on the left are locally near 0 topological isomorphisms that preserve measures and the map at the bottom is locally near 1 a topological isomorphism that preserves measures. This shows that the map on the right is locally near X a topological isomorphism that preserves measure. This ends the proof of (22) and hence of (21).

Once again, if the limit

$$(23)\quad m^{Lie}_{geom}(\theta) := \lim_{s\to 0^+} m^{Lie}_{geom,s}(\theta)$$

exists for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, then m^{Lie}_{geom} will automatically be a continuous linear form on $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and the linear forms $m^{Lie}_{geom,s}$ will converge uniformly on compact subsets to m^{Lie}_{geom}. Hence, by (21) to prove (20), we only need to show the existence of the limit (23) for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. As a first step, we show

> (24) For all $\theta \in QC_c(\omega)$ such that $0 \notin Supp(\theta)$, the limit (23) exists.

Let $L \subseteq \omega$ be a closed invariant neighborhood of 0. Then, it suffices to show that the limit (23) exists for all $\theta \in QC_c(\omega - L)$. By (21), we have

$$m^{Lie}_{geom,s}(\theta) = m_{geom,s}((j^H_G \circ \exp)^{s-1/2}\theta_\Omega)$$

for all $\theta \in QC_c(\omega)$ and $Re(s) > 0$. Hence, it suffices to prove that the limit

$$(25)\quad \lim_{s\to 0^+} m_{geom,s}((j^H_G \circ \exp)^{s-1/2}\theta)$$

exists for all $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega - e^L)$. Since e^L is a closed invariant neighborhood of 1 in $G(F)$, we already know, by (19), that the limit (13) exists for all $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega - e^L)$. But, by an argument already used, it follows that the linear forms $m_{geom,s}$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of $QC_c(\Omega - L)$, hence the limit (25) exists for all $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega - e^L)$.

We now extend slightly (24) and prove

> (26) For all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that $0 \notin Supp(\theta)$, the limit (23) exists.

Recall that for $\theta \in QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and $\lambda \in F^\times$, the quasi-character θ_λ is defined by $\theta_\lambda(X) = \theta(\lambda^{-1}X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$. Of course, if $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ is such that $0 \notin Supp(\theta)$ then the quasi-character θ_λ has the same property for all $\lambda \in F^\times$. Moreover, if $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently small then $\theta_\lambda \in QC_c(\omega)$. Hence, (26) will follow from (24) and the following fact

> (27) Denote by M_λ, $\lambda \in F^\times$ the endomorphism of $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ given by
> $M_\lambda(\theta) = |\lambda|^{-\delta(G)/2}\theta_\lambda$. There exists a positive integer $d > 0$ such

that for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $\lambda \in F^\times$, we have

$$\lim_{s\to 0^+} m^{Lie}_{geom,s}\left[(M_\lambda - 1)^d\theta\right] = 0$$

Let $d > 0$ be an integer. For all $X \in \Gamma^{Lie}(G, H, \xi)$, let us denote by d_X the dimension of the torus G''_X. By definition of the measure on $\Gamma^{Lie}(G, H, \xi)$ and proposition 4.5.1 2.(iv), it is easy to see that

$$m^{Lie}_{geom,s}\left[(M_\lambda - 1)^d\theta\right] = \int_{\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)} (|\lambda|^{2sd_X} - 1)^d D^G(X)^{1/2} c_\theta(X)\Delta(X)^{s-1/2}dX$$

for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, all $\lambda \in F^\times$ and all $Re(s) > 0$. By this and the definition of the measure on $\Gamma^{Lie}(G, H, \xi)$, to establish (27) it is now sufficient to show the following

(28) For all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ there exists a positive integer $d > 0$ such that for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, we have

$$\lim_{s\to 0^+} s^d \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)} D^G(X)^{1/2} c_\theta(X)\Delta(X)^{s-1/2}dX = 0$$

The point (28) is a straightforward consequence of lemma B.1.2(ii) and of the fact that for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ the function $(D^G)^{1/2}c_\theta$ is locally bounded and compactly supported modulo conjugation. This ends the proof of (27) and hence of (26).

We now again improve (26) slightly. More precisely, we prove

(29) Let $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and assume that $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(0) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, the limit (23) exists.

Choose d so that (27) holds. Fix $\lambda \in F^\times$ such that $|\lambda| \neq 1$. Let $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and assume that $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(0) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, by proposition 4.6.1(i) we may find $\theta_1 \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and $\theta_2 \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that $\theta = (M_\lambda - 1)^d\theta_1 + \theta_2$ and θ_2 is supported away from 0. Now (29) follows from (26) and (27).

If G is not quasi-split, then (29) already shows that the limit (23) always exists, ending the proof of (i). We assume henceforth that G is quasi-split. Then, G has two regular nilpotent orbits $\mathcal{O}_+, \mathcal{O}_- \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))^G$ be an invariant smooth function that is compactly supported and equals 1 in some neighborhood of 0. Set $\theta_+ = \varphi\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}_+, .)$ and $\theta_- = \varphi\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}_-, .)$. These are compactly supported quasi-characters on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ and by (29), it only remains to show that the two limits

$$(30)\quad \lim_{s\to 0^+} m^{Lie}_{geom,s}(\theta_+), \quad \lim_{s\to 0^+} m^{Lie}_{geom,s}(\theta_-)$$

exist. The quasi-character $\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}_+,.)+\widehat{j}(\mathcal{O}_-,.)$ is induced from a maximal torus of a Borel subgroup of G (cf 3.4(6)). Hence the support of $\theta_+ + \theta_-$ intersects $\Gamma_{ell}(G)$ only at the center of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. It follows from1.7(5) that the support of $\theta_+ + \theta_-$ intersects $\Gamma^{Lie}(G,H,\xi)$ only at 0. Hence, we have

$$(31)\quad m^{Lie}_{geom,s}(\theta_+) + m^{Lie}_{geom,s}(\theta_-) = c_{\theta_+}(0) + c_{\theta_-}(0) = 1$$

for all $Re(s) > 0$. On the other hand, let $\lambda \in N(E^\times) - F^\times$ and d as in (27). Then, multiplication by λ exchange the two orbits $\mathcal{O}_+$ and $\mathcal{O}_-$. It follows that $(M_\lambda - 1)^d\theta_+$ coincides near 0 with a nonzero multiple of $\theta_+ - \theta_-$. Hence, by (26) and (27), the limit

$$\lim_{s\to 0^+} m^{Lie}_{geom,s}(\theta_+ - \theta_-)$$

exists. Combining this with (31), this shows that the two limits (30) exists. This ends the proof of (i).

(ii) This follows from (18).

(iii) We already proved the first part during the proof of (i). For all $T \in \mathcal{T}$, let us introduce the distribution

$$m^{Lie,T}_{geom,s}(\theta) = |W(T)|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{t}(F)} D^G(X)^{1/2} c_\theta(X) \Delta(X)^{s-1/2} dX, \quad \theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$$

for $Re(s) > 0$. Again using lemma B.1.2(ii), this integral is absolutely convergent and defines a continuous linear form on $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Moreover, if we follow the proof of (i) closely, then we see that it actually works "torus by torus" so that the limit

$$\lim_{s\to 0^+} m^{Lie,T}_{geom,s}(\theta)$$

exists for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Since, we have

$$m^{Lie}_{geom,s}(\theta_\lambda) = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}} |\lambda|^{\delta(G)/2+2s\dim(T)} m^{Lie,T}_{geom,s}(\theta)$$

for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, all $\lambda \in F^\times$ and all $Re(s) > 0$, it now easily follows that

$$m^{Lie}_{geom}(\theta_\lambda) = |\lambda|^{\delta(G)/2} m^{Lie}_{geom}(\theta)$$

for all $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $\lambda \in F^\times$. The fact that m^{Lie}_{geom} extends continuously to $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ now follows from proposition 4.6.1(ii).

(iv) This follows from (21). ■

Let L be a Levi subgroup of G. Then, as in subsection 8.4, we may decompose L as a product

$$L = L^{GL} \times \widetilde{G}$$

where L^{GL} is a product of general linear groups over E and $\widetilde{G}$ belongs to a GGP triple $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H}, \widetilde{\xi})$ which is well-defined up to $\widetilde{G}(F)$-conjugation. In particular, the last proposition provides us with a continuous linear form $m_{geom}^{\widetilde{G}}$ on $QC(\widetilde{G}(F))$. Let us define a continuous linear form m_{geom}^L on $QC(L(F)) = QC(L^{GL}(F))\widehat{\otimes}_p QC(\widetilde{G}(F))$ by setting

$$m_{geom}^L(\theta^{GL} \otimes \widetilde{\theta}) = m_{geom}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\theta})c_{\theta^{GL}}(1)$$

for all $\theta^{GL} \in QC(L^{GL}(F))$ and all $\widetilde{\theta} \in QC(\widetilde{G}(F))$.

Lemma 11.1.1 *Let $\theta^L \in QC(L(F))$ and set $\theta = i_L^G(\theta^L)$. Then, we have*

$$m_{geom}(\theta) = m_{geom}^L(\theta^L)$$

11.2 Statement of three theorems

Let us set

$$J_{geom}(f) = m_{geom}(\theta_f), \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$$

$$m_{geom}(\pi) = m_{geom}(\theta_\pi), \quad \text{for all } \pi \in R_{temp}(G)$$

$$J_{geom}^{Lie}(f) = m_{geom}^{Lie}(\theta_f), \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$$

Recall that in section 7 we have defined two continuous linear forms $J(.)$ and $J^{Lie}(.)$ on $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$ and $\mathcal{S}_{scusp}(G(F))$ respectively. Recall also that in subsection 6.3, we have defined a multiplicity $m(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in Temp(G)$. We have the following theorems

Theorem 11.2.1 *We have*

$$J(f) = J_{geom}(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$.

Theorem 11.2.2 *We have*

$$m(\pi) = m_{geom}(\pi)$$

for all $\pi \in Temp(G)$.

Theorem 11.2.3 *We have*

$$J^{Lie}(f) = J^{Lie}_{geom}(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

The purpose of the next five subsections is to prove these three theorems. The proof is by induction on $\dim(G)$ (the case $\dim(G) = 1$ being obvious). Hence, until the end of subsection 11.7, we assume that theorem 11.2.1, theorem 11.2.2 and theorem 11.2.3 hold for all GGP triples (G', H', ξ') such that $\dim(G') < \dim(G)$.

11.3 Equivalence of theorem 11.2.1 and theorem 11.2.2

Recall that $R_{temp}(G)$ stand for the space of complex virtual tempered representations of $G(F)$. In subsection 2.7, we have defined the subspace $R_{ind}(G)$ of "properly induced" virtual representations and also a set $\mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)$ of virtual representations well-defined up to multiplication by a scalar of module 1 (the set of elliptic representations).

Proposition 11.3.1 *(i) Let* $\pi \in R_{ind}(G)$. *Then, we have*

$$m(\pi) = m_{geom}(\pi)$$

(ii) For all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$, *we have the equality*

$$J(f) = J_{geom}(f) + \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi)\left(m(\overline{\pi}) - m_{geom}(\overline{\pi})\right)$$

the sum in the right hand side being absolutely convergent.

(iii) Theorem 11.2.1 and theorem 11.2.2 are equivalent.

(iv) There exists an unique continuous linear form J_{qc} *on* $QC(G(F))$ *such that*

- $J(f) = J_{qc}(\theta_f)$ *for all* $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$;
- $Supp(J_{qc}) \subseteq G(F)_{ell}$.

Proof:

(i) Let $\pi \in R_{ind}(G)$. By linearity, we may assume that there exists a proper parabolic subgroup $Q = LU_Q$ of G and a representation $\sigma \in Temp(L)$ such that $\pi = i_Q^G(\sigma)$. Then, as in subsection 8.4, we may decompose L as a product

$$L = L^{GL} \times \widetilde{G}$$

where L^{GL} is a product of general linear groups over E and $\widetilde{G}$ belongs to a GGP triple $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H}, \widetilde{\xi})$. We have accordingly a decomposition

$$\sigma = \sigma^{GL} \boxtimes \widetilde{\sigma}$$

of σ, where $\sigma^{GL} \in Temp(L^{GL})$ and $\widetilde{\sigma} \in Temp(\widetilde{G})$. By corollary 8.6.1(i), we have

$$m(\pi) = m(\widetilde{\sigma})$$

On the other hand, by 3.4(3) and lemma 11.1.1, we have

$$m_{geom}(\pi) = m_{geom}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\sigma}) c_{\sigma^{GL}}(1)$$

Finally, by the induction hypothesis applied to the triple $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H}, \widetilde{\xi})$, we have

$$m(\widetilde{\sigma}) = m_{geom}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$$

It only remains to see that $c_{\sigma^{GL}}(1) = 1$. But this follows from proposition 4.8.1(i), since every tempered representation of a general linear group admits a Whittaker model.

(ii) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G(F))$. The sum in the right hand side of the identity is absolutely convergent by lemma 5.4.2, proposition 4.8.1(ii) and 2.7(2). By theorem 9.1.1, we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad J(f) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi) m(\overline{\pi}) d\pi \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_{ind}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi) m(\overline{\pi}) d\pi + \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi) m(\overline{\pi}) \end{aligned}$$

Since the (virtual) representations in $\mathcal{X}_{ind}(G)$ are properly induced, by (i), we have

$$m(\overline{\pi}) = m_{geom}(\overline{\pi})$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ind}(G)$. Hence, (1) becomes

$$J(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi) m_{geom}(\overline{\pi}) d\pi + \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi) \left(m(\overline{\pi}) - m_{geom}(\overline{\pi})\right)$$

Finally, by proposition 5.6.1(ii), we have the equality

$$J_{geom}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(G)} D(\pi)\widehat{\theta}_f(\pi) m_{geom}(\overline{\pi}) d\pi$$

and (ii) follows.

(iii) It is obvious from (ii) that theorem 11.2.2 implies theorem 11.2.1. For the converse, it suffices to use (i), corollary 5.7.1(iv) and 2.7(1).

(iv) The unicity follows from corollary 5.7.1(i). For the existence, it suffices to set

$$J_{qc}(\theta) = m_{geom}(\theta) + \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{X}_{ell}(G)} D(\pi) \left(m(\overline{\pi}) - m_{geom}(\overline{\pi})\right) \int_{\Gamma_{ell}(G)} D^G(x)\theta(x)\theta_\pi(x) dx$$

for all $\theta \in QC(G(F))$. That it defines a continuous linear form follows from corollary 5.7.1(ii) and (iii), proposition 4.8.1(ii) and 2.7(2). ■

11.4 Semi-simple descent and the support of $J_{qc} - m_{geom}$

Proposition 11.4.1 *Let $\theta \in QC(G(F))$ and assume that $1 \notin Supp(\theta)$. Then, we have*

$$J_{qc}(\theta) = m_{geom}(\theta)$$

Proof: Since J_{qc} and m_{geom} are both supported in $\Gamma_{ell}(G)$, by a partition of unity process, we only need to prove the equality of the proposition for $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$ where Ω is a completely $G(F)$-invariant open subset of $G(F)$ of the form Ω_x^G for some $x \in G(F)_{ell}$, $x \neq 1$, and some G-good open neighborhood $\Omega_x \subseteq G_x(F)$ of x. Moreover, we may take Ω_x as small as we want. In particular, we will assume that Ω_x is relatively compact modulo conjugation.

Assume first that x is not conjugated to any element of $H_{ss}(F)$. Then, since $\Gamma(H)$ is closed in $\Gamma(G)$ (by 11.1(2)), if Ω_x is chosen sufficiently small, we would have $\Omega \cap \Gamma(H) = \emptyset$. In this case, both sides of the equality are easily seen to be zero (for all $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$).

Assume now that x is conjugated to some element of $H_{ss}(F)$. We may as well assume that $x \in H_{ss}(F)$. Then, we have the decompositions

$$G_x = G'_x \times G''_x, \quad G''_x = H''_x \times H''_x$$

Shrinking Ω_x if necessary, we may assume that Ω_x decomposes as a product

$$\Omega = \Omega'_x \times (\Omega''_x \times \Omega''_x)$$

where $\Omega'_x \subseteq G'_x(F)$ (resp. $\Omega''_x \subseteq H''_x(F)$) is open and completely $G'_x(F)$-invariant (resp. completely $H''_x(F)$-invariant). Note that x is elliptic in both G'_x and H''_x. Hence, by corollary 5.7.1(i), shrinking Ω_x further if necessary, we may assume, and we do, that the linear maps

$$f'_x \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega'_x) \mapsto \theta_{f'_x} \in QC_c(\Omega'_x)$$

$$f''_x \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega''_x) \mapsto \theta_{f''_x} \in QC_c(\Omega''_x)$$

have dense image. Since $QC_c(\Omega) = QC_c(\Omega'_x)\widehat{\otimes}_p QC_c(\Omega''_x)\widehat{\otimes}_p QC_c(\Omega''_x)$ (proposition 4.4.1(v)) and J_{qc} and m_{geom} are continuous linear forms on $QC_c(\Omega)$, we only need to prove the equality of the proposition for quasi-characters $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$ such that $\theta_{x,\Omega_x} = \theta_{f_x}$ for some $f_x \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega_x)$ which further decomposes as a tensor product $f_x = f'_x \otimes \left(f''_{x,1} \otimes f''_{x,2}\right)$ where $f'_x \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega'_x)$ and $f''_{x,1}, f''_{x,2} \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega''_x)$. So fix a quasi-character $\theta \in QC_c(\Omega)$ with this property and fix functions f_x, f'_x, $f''_{x,1}$ and $f''_{x,2}$ as before.

Consider a map as in proposition 5.7.1, and set $f = \widetilde{f_x} \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega)$. Then, we have

$$(1) \quad J(f) = J_{qc}(\theta_f) = J_{qc}(\theta)$$

(Note that here $Z_G(x) = G_x$ since G_{der} is simply-connected). Let us denote by $J^{G'_x}$ the continuous linear form on $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(G'_x(F))$ associated to the GGP triple (G'_x, H'_x, ξ'_x). Also, let us denote by J^{A,H''_x} the continuous bilinear form on $\mathcal{C}_{scusp}(H''_x(F))$ introduced in subsection 5.5 (where we replace G by H''_x). Recall that we have defined a $H_x(F)$-invariant smooth and positive function $\eta^H_{x,G}$ on $\Omega_x \cap H_x(F) = (\Omega'_x \cap H'_x(F)) \times \Omega''_x$. It is easy to see, using the formulas 11.1(9), 11.1(10) and 11.1(6), that this function factorizes through the projection $\Omega_x \cap H_x(F) \to \Omega''_x$. We shall identify $\eta^H_{x,G}$ with the function it defines on Ω''_x. Let us show the following

(2) If Ω_x is sufficiently small, we have $J(f) = J^{G'_x}(f'_x) J^{A,H''_x}((\eta^H_{x,G})^{1/2} f''_{x,1}, f''_{x,2})$

The intersection $\Omega_x \cap H_x(F) \subseteq H_x(F)$ is a H-good open neighborhood of x (cf the remark at the end of subsection 3.2). Moreover, by 11.1(2) if Ω_x is sufficiently small, we have $\Omega \cap H(F) = (\Omega_x \cap H_x(F))^H$. We henceforth assume Ω_x that sufficiently small. Then, by 3.2(5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad J(f) &= \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{H(F)} {}^g f(h)\xi(h)\,dh\,dg \\
&= \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{H_x(F)\backslash H(F)} \int_{H_x(F)} \eta^H_x(h_x)\, {}^{hg} f(h_x)\xi_x(h_x)\,dh_x\,dh\,dg \\
&= \int_{H(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{H_x(F)\backslash H(F)} \int_{H_x(F)} \eta^H_{x,G}(h_x)^{1/2} ({}^{hg} f)_{x,\Omega_x}(h_x)\xi_x(h_x)\,dh_x\,dh\,dg
\end{aligned}$$

Assume one moment that the exterior double integral above is absolutely convergent. Then, we would have

$$\begin{aligned}
J(f) &= \int_{H_x(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{H_x(F)} \eta^H_{x,G}(h_x)^{1/2} ({}^g f)_{x,\Omega_x}(h_x)\xi_x(h_x) dh_x dg \\
&= \int_{G_x(F)\backslash G(F)} \int_{H_x(F)\backslash G_x(F)} \int_{H_x(F)} \eta^H_{x,G}(h_x)^{1/2} ({}^g f)_{x,\Omega_x}(g_x^{-1} h_x g_x)\xi_x(h_x) dh_x dg_x dg
\end{aligned}$$

Introduce a function α on $G_x(F)\backslash G(F)$ as in proposition 5.7.1. Let $g \in G(F)$. Up to translating g by an element of $G_x(F)$, we may assume that $({}^g f)_{x,\Omega_x} = \alpha(g) f_x$. Then, the interior integral above decomposes as

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(g) &\int_{H_x(F)\backslash G_x(F)} \int_{H_x(F)} \eta^H_{x,G}(h_x)^{1/2} f_x(g_x^{-1} h_x g_x)\xi_x(h_x) dh_x dg_x dg \\
&= \alpha(g) \int_{H'_x(F)\backslash G'_x(F)} \int_{H'_x(F)} f'_x({g'_x}^{-1} h'_x g'_x)\xi'_x(h'_x) dh'_x dg'_x \\
&\times \int_{H''_x(F)} \int_{H''_x(F)} \eta^H_{x,G}(h''_x)^{1/2} f''_{x,1}({g''_x}^{-1} h''_x g''_x) f''_{x,2}(h''_x) dh''_x dg''_x
\end{aligned}$$

We recognize the two integrals above: the first one is $J^{G'_x}(f'_x)$ and the second one is $J^{A,H''_x}((\delta^H_{x,G})^{1/2} f''_{x,1}, f''_{x,2})$ (Note that the center of $H''_x(F)$ is compact since x is elliptic). By proposition 7.1.1(ii) and theorem 5.5.1(ii) and since the function α is compactly supported, this shows that the exterior double integral of the last line of (3) is absolutely convergent. Moreover, since we have

$$\int_{G_x(F)\backslash G(F)} \alpha(g) dg = 1$$

this also proves (2).

We assume from now on that Ω_x is sufficiently small so that (2) holds. By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$J^{G'_x}(f'_x) = m^{G'_x}_{geom}(\theta_{f'_x})$$

On the other hand, by theorem 5.5.1(iv), we have

$$J^{H''_x}_A((\eta^H_{x,G})^{1/2} f''_{x,1}, f''_{x,2}) = \int_{\Gamma_{ani}(H''_x)} \eta^H_{G,x}(y)^{1/2} D^{G''_x}(y)^{1/2} \theta_{f''_{x,1}}(y)\theta_{f''_{x,2}}(y) dy$$

(Note that here both $f''_{x,1}$ and $f''_{x,2}$ are strongly cuspidal, hence the terms corresponding to $\Gamma(H''_x) - \Gamma_{ani}(H''_x)$ vanish). Moreover, it is easy to check that

$$m_{geom,x}((\eta^H_{G,x})^{1/2}\theta_{f_x}) = m^{G'_x}_{geom}(\theta_{f'_x}) \times \int_{\Gamma_{ani}(H''_x)} \eta^H_{x,G}(y)^{1/2} D^{G''_x}(y)^{1/2} \theta_{f''_{x,1}}(y)\theta_{f''_{x,2}}(y) dy$$

Hence, by (1), (2) and proposition 11.1.1(ii), we have

$$J_{qc}(\theta) = J(f) = m_{geom,x}((\eta^H_{G,x})^{1/2}\theta_{f_x}) = m_{geom}(\theta)$$

This ends the proof of the proposition. ■

11.5 Descent to the Lie algebra and equivalence of theorem 11.2.1 and theorem 11.2.3

Let $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ be a $G(F)$-excellent open neighborhood of 0 and set $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$. Recall that for all quasi-character $\theta \in QC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $\lambda \in F^\times$, θ_λ denotes the quasi-character given by $\theta_\lambda(X) = \theta(\lambda^{-1}X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$.

Proposition 11.5.1 *(i) For all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$J(f) = J^{Lie}((j^H_G)^{1/2}f_\omega)$$

(ii) There exists a unique continuous linear form J^{Lie}_{qc} on $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that

$$J^{Lie}(f) = J^{Lie}_{qc}(\theta_f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Moreover, we have

$$J^{Lie}_{qc}(\theta_\lambda) = |\lambda|^{\delta(G)/2} J^{Lie}_{qc}(\theta)$$

for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $\lambda \in F^\times$.

(iii) Theorem 11.2.1 and theorem 11.2.3 are equivalent.

(iv) Let $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and assume that $0 \notin Supp(\theta)$. Then, we have

$$J^{Lie}_{qc}(\theta) = m^{Lie}_{geom}(\theta)$$

Proof:

(i) The intersection $\omega_{\mathfrak{h}} = \omega \cap \mathfrak{h}(F) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}(F)$ is a H-excellent open neighborhood of 0 (cf the remark at the end of subsection 3.3). Recall that

$$j^H_G(X) = j^H(X)^2 j^G(X)^{-1}$$

for all $X \in \omega_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Hence, by 3.3(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{H(F)} f(g^{-1}hg)\xi(h)dh &= \int_{\omega_{\mathfrak{h}}} j^H(X) f(g^{-1}e^X g)\xi(X)dX \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{h}(F)} j_G^H(X)^{1/2} f_\omega(g^{-1}Xg)\xi(X)dX\end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega)$ and all $g \in G(F)$. The identity of the proposition follows immediately.

(ii) The unicity follows from proposition 5.6.1(i). Let us prove the existence. Set

$$(1) \quad J_{qc}^{Lie}(\theta) = \int_{\Gamma(\Sigma)} D^G(X)^{1/2}\widehat{\theta}(X)dX$$

for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$, where $\Gamma(\Sigma)$ is defined as in subsection 10.8. By 1.8(2), the integral above is absolutely convergent and J_{qc}^{Lie} is a continuous linear form on $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Moreover, by theorem 10.8.1, we have

$$J^{Lie}(f) = J_{qc}^{Lie}(\theta_f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. This shows the existence. The last claim is easy to check using the formula (1).

(iii) By (i) and proposition 11.1.1(iv), it is clear that theorem 11.2.3 implies theorem 11.2.1. Let us prove the converse. Assume that theorem 11.2.1 holds. Then by (i) and proposition 11.1.1(iv), we have the equality

$$J^{Lie}(f) = J_{geom}^{Lie}(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\omega)$. Hence, by proposition 5.6.1(i), we also have

$$J_{qc}^{Lie}(\theta) = m_{geom}^{Lie}(\theta)$$

for all $\theta \in QC_c(\omega)$. By the homogeneity properties of J_{qc}^{Lie} and m_{geom}^{Lie} (cf proposition 11.1.1(iii)), this last equality extends to $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. By the density of $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ in $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ (lemma 4.2.2(v)), this identity is even true for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. This implies theorem 11.2.3.

(iv) First, we show that we may assume $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. By lemma 4.2.2(v), we may find a sequence $(\theta_n)_{n\geqslant 1}$ in $QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_n = \theta$$

in $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}(F))^G$ be an invariant compactly supported modulo conjugation function which is equal to 1 in a neighborhood of 0 and such that $(1-\varphi)\theta =$

θ. By lemma 4.2.2(iv) and the closed graph theorem, multiplication by $(1-\varphi)$ induces a continuous endomorphism of $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Hence, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(1-\varphi)\theta_n = \theta$$

and each of the quasi-characters $(1-\varphi)\theta_n$, $n \geqslant 1$, is supported away from 0. By continuity of the linear forms J_{qc}^{Lie} and m_{geom}^{Lie}, this shows that we may assume that $\theta \in QC_c(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. By the homogeneity properties of J_{qc}^{Lie} and m_{geom}^{Lie} (cf proposition 11.1.1(iii)), we may even assume that $Supp(\theta) \subseteq \omega$. Finally, using proposition 5.6.1(i), we only need to prove the equality for $\theta = \theta_f$ where $f \in \mathcal{S}_{scusp}(\omega)$. It is then a consequence of (i) and proposition 11.1.1(iv). ■

11.6 A first approximation of $J_{qc}^{Lie} - m_{geom}^{Lie}$

Proposition 11.6.1 *There exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$J_{qc}^{Lie}(\theta) - m_{geom}^{Lie}(\theta) = c.c_\theta(0)$$

for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

Proof: We first prove the following weaker result

(1) There exists constants $c_{\mathcal{O}}$, $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$, such that

$$J_{qc}^{Lie}(\theta) - m_{geom}^{Lie}(\theta) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}\in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})} c_{\mathcal{O}} c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(0)$$

for all $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$.

Let $\theta \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ be such that $c_{\theta,\mathcal{O}}(0) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$. We want to show that $J_{qc}^{Lie}(\theta) = m_{geom}^{Lie}(\theta)$. Let $\lambda \in F^\times$ be such that $|\lambda| \neq 1$. Denote by M_λ the operator on $SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ given by $M_\lambda\theta = |\lambda|^{-\delta(G)/2}\theta_\lambda$. Then by proposition 4.6.1(i), we may find $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in SQC(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ such that $\theta = (M_\lambda - 1)\theta_1 + \theta_2$ and θ_2 is supported away from 0. Then, by proposition 11.5.1(iv), we have $J_{qc}^{Lie}(\theta_2) = m_{geom}^{Lie}(\theta_2)$. On the other hand, by the homogeneity property of J_{qc}^{Lie} and m_{geom}^{Lie} (cf proposition 11.5.1(ii) and proposition 11.1.1(iii)), we also have $J_{qc}^{Lie}((M_\lambda - 1)\theta_1) = m_{geom}^{Lie}((M_\lambda - 1)\theta_1) = 0$. This proves (1).

To ends the proof of the proposition, it remains to show that the coefficients $c_{\mathcal{O}}$, for $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$, are all equal. If G is not quasi-split, there is nothing to prove. Assume now that G is quasi-split. Then G has two nilpotent orbits and multiplication by any element $\lambda \in F^\times - N(E^\times)$ exchanges the two orbits. The results now follows from 1.7(5) and the homogeneity property of J_{qc}^{Lie} and m_{geom}^{Lie}. ■

11.7 End of the proof

By proposition 11.3.1(iii), proposition 11.5.1(ii) and (iii), in order to finish the proof of theorem 11.2.1, theorem 11.2.2 and theorem 11.2.3, it only remains to show that the coefficients c of proposition 11.6.1 is zero. If G is not quasi-split there is nothing to prove. Assume now that G is quasi-split. Fix a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ and a maximal torus $T_{qd} \subset B$ (both defined over F). Denote by $\Gamma_{qd}(\mathfrak{g})$ the subset of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ consisting in the conjugacy classes that meet $\mathfrak{t}_{qd}(F)$. Recall that in subsection 10.8, we have defined a subset $\Gamma(\Sigma) \subset \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$. It consists in the semi-simple conjugacy classes that meets the affine subspace $\Sigma(F) \subset \mathfrak{g}(F)$ defined in subsection 10.1. We claim that

$$(1) \quad \Gamma_{qd}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \Gamma(\Sigma)$$

Up to $G(F)$-conjugation, we may assume that B is a good Borel subgroup (cf subsection 6.4). Then, we have $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{g}$ and it follows that

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{g}$$

where $\mathfrak{u}$ denotes the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{b}$. Recall that $\Sigma = \Xi + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$. From (2), we easily deduce that the restriction of the natural projection $\mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{t}_{qd}$ to $\Sigma \cap \mathfrak{b}$ induces an affine isomorphism $\Sigma \cap \mathfrak{b} \simeq \mathfrak{t}_{qd}$ and this clearly implies (1).

Let $\theta_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F))$ be $W(G, T_{qd})$-invariant and such that

$$(3) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{t}_{qd}(F)} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta_0(X)dX \neq 0$$

We may extend θ_0 to a smooth invariant function on $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$, still denoted by θ_0, which is zero outside $\mathfrak{t}_{qd,reg}(F)^G$. Obviously, θ_0 is a compactly supported quasi-character. Consider its Fourier transform $\theta = \widehat{\theta}_0$. By proposition 4.1.1(iii), lemma 4.2.2(iii) and 3.4(5), θ is supported in $\Gamma_{qd}(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\Gamma_{qd}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \Gamma(G, H) = \{1\}$, by definition of m^{Lie}_{geom}, we have

$$m^{Lie}_{geom}(\theta) = c_\theta(0)$$

On the other hand, by proposition 4.1.1(iii), lemma 4.2.2(iii) and proposition 4.5.1.2(v), we have

$$(4) \quad c_\theta(0) = \int_{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta_0(X)c_{\widehat{j}(X,.)}(0)dX = \int_{\Gamma_{qd}(\mathfrak{g})} D^G(X)^{1/2}\theta_0(X)dX$$

By definition of J^{Lie}_{qc} and (1), this last term is also equal to $J^{Lie}_{qc}(\theta)$. Hence, we have $J^{Lie}_{qc}(\theta) = m^{Lie}_{geom}(\theta)$. Combining (3) with (4), we see that $c_\theta(0) \neq 0$ and it follows that the constant c of proposition 11.6.1 is zero. ■

12 An application to the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture

In this whole section, we fix, as we did in sections 6 to 11, an admissible pair of hermitian spaces (V,W) and we denote by (G,H,ξ) the corresponding GGP triple but we now make the following additional assumption

$$\underline{G \text{ and } H \text{ are quasi-split}}$$

12.1 Strongly stable conjugacy classes, transfer between pure inner forms and the Kottwitz sign

In this subsection, we recall some definitions and facts that will be needed later. These considerations are general and we can forget about the GGP triple that we fixed. So, let G be any connected reductive group defined over F. Recall that two regular elements $x,y\in G_{reg}(F)$ are said to be stably conjugate if there exists $g\in G(\overline{F})$ such that $y=gxg^{-1}$ and $g^{-1}\sigma(g)\in G_x$ for all $\sigma\in\Gamma_F=Gal(\overline{F}/F)$. We will need to extend this definition to more general semi-simple elements. The usual notion of stable conjugacy for semi-simple elements (cf for example [Kott1]) is too weak for our purpose. The definition that we will adopt is as follows. We will say that two semi-simple elements $x,y\in G_{ss}(F)$ are strongly stably conjugated and we will write

$$x\sim_{stab} y$$

if there exists $g\in G(\overline{F})$ such that $y=gxg^{-1}$ and the isomorphism $Ad(g):G_x\simeq G_y$ is defined over F. This last condition has the following concrete interpretation: it means that the 1-cocycle $\sigma\in\Gamma_F\mapsto g^{-1}\sigma(g)$ takes its values in $Z(G_x)$ the center of G_x (this is because $Z(G_x)$ coincide with the centralizer of G_x in $Z_G(x)$ since G_x contains a maximal torus of G which is its own centralizer). Moreover, for $x\in G_{ss}(F)$ the set of $G(F)$-conjugacy classes inside the strong stable conjugacy class of x is easily seen to be in natural bijection with

$$Im\left(H^1(F,Z(G_x))\to H^1(F,Z_G(x))\right)\cap Ker\left(H^1(F,Z_G(x))\to H^1(F,G)\right)$$

We now recall the notion of pure inner forms. A pure inner form for G is defined formally as a triple (G',ψ,c) where

- G' is a connected reductive group defined over F;
- $\psi:G_{\overline{F}}\simeq G'_{\overline{F}}$ is an isomorphism defined over $\overline{F}$;
- $c:\sigma\in\Gamma_F\to c_\sigma\in G(\overline{F})$ is a 1-cocycle such that $\psi^{-1\sigma}\psi=Ad(c_\sigma)$ for all $\sigma\in\Gamma_F$.

There is a natural notion of isomorphism between pure inner forms the equivalence classes of which are naturally in bijection with $H^1(F,G)$ (the isomorphism class of (G',ψ,c) being parametrized by the image of c in $H^1(F,G)$). Moreover, inside an equivalence class of pure inner forms (G', ψ, c), the group G' is well-defined up to $G'(F)$-conjugacy. We will always assume fixed for all $\alpha \in H^1(F,G)$ a pure inner form in the class of α that we will denote by $(G_\alpha, \psi_\alpha, c_\alpha)$ or simply by G_α if no confusion arises.

Let (G', ψ, c) be a pure inner form of G. Then, we will say that two semi-simple elements $x \in G_{ss}(F)$ and $y \in G'_{ss}(F)$ are strongly stably conjugated and we will write

$$x \sim_{stab} y$$

(this extends the previous notation) if there exists $g \in G(F)$ such that $y = \psi(gxg^{-1})$ and the isomorphism $\psi \circ Ad(g) : G_x \simeq G_y$ is defined over F. Again, the last condition has an interpretation in terms of cohomological classes: it means that the 1-cocycle $\sigma \in \Gamma_F \mapsto g^{-1}c_\sigma \sigma(g)$ takes its values in $Z(G_x)$. For $x \in G_{ss}(F)$ the set of semi-simple conjugacy classes in $G'(F)$ that are strongly stably conjugated to x is naturally in bijection with

$$Im\left(H^1(F, Z(G_x)) \to H^1(F, Z_G(x))\right) \cap p_x^{-1}(\alpha)$$

where $\alpha \in H^1(F,G)$ parametrizes the equivalence class of the pure inner form (G', ψ, c) and p_x denotes the natural map $H^1(F, Z_G(x)) \to H^1(F,G)$. We will need the following fact

> (1) Let $y \in G'_{ss}(F)$ and assume that G and G'_y are both quasi-split. Then, the set
>
> $$\{x \in G_{ss}(F);\ x \sim_{stab} y\}$$
>
> is non-empty.

Since G'_y is quasi-split, we can fix a Borel subgroup B_y of G'_y and a maximal torus $T_y \subset B_y$ both defined over F. Consider the embedding $\iota = \psi^{-1}_{|T_y} : T_{y,\overline{F}} \hookrightarrow G_{\overline{F}}$. Since ψ is an inner form, it is easy to see that for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$ the embedding ${}^\sigma\iota$ is conjugated to ι. As G is quasi-split, by a result of Kottwitz (corollary 2.2 of [Kott1]), there exists $g \in G(\overline{F})$ such that $Ad(g) \circ \iota$ is defined over F. Set $x = g\iota(y)g^{-1} = g\psi^{-1}(y)g^{-1}$ and $T_x = g\iota(T_y)g^{-1}$. We claim that x and y are strongly stably conjugated. To see this, we first note that the 1-cocycle $\sigma \in \Gamma_F \mapsto g^{-1}c_\sigma\sigma(g)$ takes its values in T_x (as $Ad(g) \circ \iota$ is defined over F). Hence, we only need to show that the map $H^1(F, Z(G_x)) \to H^1(F, T_x)$ is surjective or what amounts to the same that the map $H^1(F, Z(G'_y)) \to H^1(F, T_y)$ is surjective. Denote by Δ the set of simple roots of T_y in B_y (a priori these roots are not defined over F but Γ_F acts on them). We have the equality

$$X^*_{\overline{F}}(T_y/Z(G_y)) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z}\alpha$$

and the Galois action on $X^*_{\overline{F}}(T_y/Z(G_y))$ permutes the basis Δ. It follows that the torus $T_y/Z(G_y)$ is a finite product of tori of the form $R_{F'/F}\mathbb{G}_m$ where F' is a finite extension of F and $R_{F'/F}$ denotes the functor of restriction of scalars. In particular, by Hilbert 90 the group $H^1(F, T_y/Z(G_y))$ is trivial and it immediately follows that the morphism $H^1(F, Z(G'_y)) \to H^1(F, T_y)$ is surjective.

We continue to consider a pure inner form (G', ψ, c) of G. We say of a quasi-character θ on $G(F)$ that it is stable if for all regular elements $x, y \in G_{reg}(F)$ that are stably conjugated we have $\theta(x) = \theta(y)$. Let θ and θ' be stable quasi-characters on $G(F)$ and $G'(F)$ respectively and assume moreover that G is quasi-split. Then, we say that θ' is a transfer of θ if for all regular points $x \in G_{reg}(F)$ and $y \in G'_{reg}(F)$ that are stably conjugated we have $\theta'(y) = \theta(x)$. Note that if θ' is a transfer of θ the quasi-character θ' is entirely determined by θ (this is because every regular elements in $G'(F)$ is stably conjugated to some element of $G(F)$ for example by the point (1) above). We will need the following

(2) Let θ and θ' be stable quasi-characters on $G(F)$ and $G'(F)$ respectively and assume that θ' is a transfer of θ. Then, for all $x \in G_{ss}(F)$ and $y \in G'_{ss}(F)$ that are strongly stably conjugated we have

$$c_{\theta'}(y) = c_\theta(x)$$

Let $x \in G_{ss}(F)$ and $y \in G'_{ss}(F)$ be two strongly stably conjugated semi-simple elements. Choose $g \in G(\overline{F})$ such that $y = \psi(gxg^{-1})$ and the isomorphism $\psi \circ Ad(g) : G_x \simeq G_y$ is defined over F. We will denote by ι this isomorphism. If G_x and G'_y are not quasi-split there is nothing to prove since by proposition 4.5.1(i) both sides of the equality we want to establish are equal to zero. Assume now that the groups G_x, G'_y are quasi-split. Let B_x be a Borel subgroup of G_x and $T_x \subset B_x$ be a maximal torus, both defined over F. Set $B_y = \iota(B_x)$ and $T_y = \iota(T_x)$. Then, B_y is a Borel subgroup of G'_y and $T_y \subset B_y$ is a maximal torus. By proposition 4.5.1(ii), we have

$$D^G(x)^{1/2} c_\theta(x) = |W(G_x, T_x)|^{-1} \lim_{x' \in T_x(F) \to x} D^G(x')^{1/2} \theta(x')$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D^{G'}(y)^{1/2} c_{\theta'}(y) &= |W(G'_y, T_y)|^{-1} \lim_{y' \in T_y(F) \to y} D^{G'}(y')^{1/2} \theta'(y') \\ &= |W(G'_y, T_y)|^{-1} \lim_{x' \in T_x(F) \to x} D^{G'}(\iota(x'))^{1/2} \theta'(\iota(x')) \end{aligned}$$

For all $x' \in T_x(F) \cap G_{reg}(F)$, the elements x' and $\iota(x')$ are stably conjugated. Hence, since θ' is a transfer of θ, we have $\theta'(\iota(x')) = \theta(x')$ for all $x' \in T_x(F) \cap G_{reg}(F)$. On the other hand, we also have $D^G(x) = D^{G'}(y)$, $|W(G_x, T_x)| = |W(G'_y, T_y)|$ and $D^{G'}(\iota(x')) = D^G(x')$ for all $x' \in T_x(F) \cap G_{reg}(F)$. Consequently, the two formulas above imply the equality $c_{\theta'}(y) = c_\theta(x)$.

Let us assume henceforth that G is quasi-split. Following Kottwitz ([Kott2]), we may associate to any class of pure inner form $\alpha \in H^1(F, G)$ a sign $e(G_\alpha)$ (as the notation suggests, this sign actually only depends on the isomorphism class of the group G_α). Let $Br_2(F) = H^2(F, \{\pm 1\}) = \{\pm 1\}$ be the 2-torsion subgroup of the Bauer group of F. The sign $e(G_\alpha)$ will more naturally be an element of $Br_2(F)$. To define it, we need to introduce a canonical algebraic central extension

$$(3) \quad 1 \to \{\pm 1\} \to \widetilde{G} \to G \to 1$$

of G by $\{\pm 1\}$. Recall that a quasi-split connected group over F is classified up to conjugation by its (canonical) based root datum $\Psi_0(G) = (X_G, \Delta_G, X_G^\vee, \Delta_G^\vee)$ together with the natural action of Γ_F on $\Psi_0(G)$. For any Borel pair (B,T) of G that is defined over F, we have a canonical Γ_F-equivariant isomorphism $\Psi_0(G) \simeq (X^*(T), \Delta(T,B), X_*(T), \Delta(T,B)^\vee)$ where $\Delta(T,B) \subseteq X^*(T)$ denotes the set of simple roots of T in B and $\Delta(T,B)^\vee \subseteq X_*(T)$ denotes the corresponding sets of simple coroots. Fix such a Borel pair and set

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R(G,T)} \alpha \in X^*(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

for the half sum of the roots of T in B. The image of ρ in $X_G \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ doesn't depend on the particular Borel pair (B,T) chosen and we shall still denote by ρ this image. Consider now the following based root datum

$$(4) \quad (\widetilde{X}_G, \Delta_G, \widetilde{X}_G^\vee, \Delta_G^\vee)$$

where $\widetilde{X}_G = X_G + \mathbb{Z}\rho \subseteq X_G \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\widetilde{X}_G = \{\lambda^\vee \in X_G^\vee;\ \langle \lambda^\vee, \rho \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Note that we have $\Delta_G^\vee \subseteq \widetilde{X}_G^\vee$ since $\langle \alpha^\vee, \rho \rangle = 1$ for all $\alpha^\vee \in \Delta_G^\vee$. The based root datum (4), with its natural Γ_F-action, is the based root datum of a unique quasi-split group $\widetilde{G}_0$ over F well-defined up to conjugacy. Moreover, we have a natural central isogeny $\widetilde{G}_0 \to G$, well-defined up to $G(F)$-conjugacy, whose kernel is either trivial or $\{\pm 1\}$ (depending on whether ρ belongs to X_G or not). If the kernel is $\{\pm 1\}$, we set $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{G}_0$ otherwise we simply set $\widetilde{G} = G \times \{\pm 1\}$. In any case, we obtain a short exact sequence like (3) well-defined up to $G(F)$-conjugacy. The last term of the long exact sequence associated to (3) yields a canonical map

$$(5) \quad H^1(F,G) \to H^2(F, \{\pm 1\}) = Br_2(F) \simeq \{\pm 1\}$$

We now define the sign $e(G_\alpha)$, for $\alpha \in H^1(F,G)$, simply to be the image of α by this map. We will need the following fact

> (6) Let T be a (not necessarily maximal) subtorus of G. Then, the composite of (5) with the natural map $H^1(F,T) \to H^1(F,G)$ gives a group morphism $H^1(F,T) \to Br_2(F)$. Moreover, if T is anisotropic this morphism is surjective if and only if the inverse image $\widetilde{T}$ of T in $\widetilde{G}$ is a torus (i.e. is connected).

The first part is obvious since the map $H^1(F,T) \to Br_2(F) = H^2(F, \{\pm 1\})$ is a connecting map of the long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence

$$(7) \quad 1 \to \{\pm 1\} \to \widetilde{T} \to T \to 1$$

of algebraic abelian groups. To see why the second part of the claim is true, we first note that if $\widetilde{T}$ is not a torus then the short exact sequence (7) splits so that the connecting map $H^1(F,T) \to H^2(F,\{\pm 1\})$ is trivial. On the other hand, if $\widetilde{T}$ is a torus and T is anisotropic then $\widetilde{T}$ is also anisotropic. From the long exact sequence associated to (7), we can extract the following short exact sequence

$$H^1(F,T) \to H^2(F,\{\pm 1\}) \to H^2(F,\widetilde{T})$$

But, by Tate-Nakayama we have $H^2(F,\widetilde{T}) = 0$ and it immediately follows that the morphism $H^1(F,T) \to H^2(F,\{\pm 1\})$ is surjective.

12.2 Pure inner forms of a GGP triple

Let V be a hermitian space. We have the following explicit description of the pure inner forms of $U(V)$. The cohomology set $H^1(F,U(V))$ naturally classifies the isomorphism classes of hermitian spaces of the same dimension as V. Let $\alpha \in H^1(F,U(V))$ and choose a hermitian space V_α in the isomorphism class corresponding to α. Set $V_{\overline{F}} = V \otimes_F \overline{F}$ and $V_{\alpha,\overline{F}} = V_\alpha \otimes_F \overline{F}$. Fix an isomorphism $\phi_\alpha : V_{\overline{F}} \simeq V_{\alpha,\overline{F}}$ of $\overline{E}$-hermitian spaces. Then, the triple $(U(V_\alpha),\psi_\alpha,c_\alpha)$, where ψ_α is the isomorphism $U(V)_{\overline{F}} \simeq U(V_\alpha)_{\overline{F}}$ given by $\psi_\alpha(g) = \phi_\alpha \circ g \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}$ and c_α is the 1-cocycle given by $\sigma \in \Gamma_F \mapsto \phi_\alpha^{-1\sigma}\phi_\alpha$, is a pure inner form of $U(V)$ in the class of α. Moreover, the 2-cover $\widetilde{U(V)}$ of $U(V)$ that has been defined in general at the end of the previous subsection admits the following explicit description:

- If $\dim(V)$ is odd, then $\widetilde{U(V)} = U(V) \times \{\pm 1\}$;
- If $\dim(V)$ is even then $\widetilde{U(V)} = \{(g,z) \in U(V) \times KerN_{E/F};\ \det(g) = z^2\}$.

We now return to the GGP triple (G,H,ξ) that we have fixed. Recall that this GGP triple comes from an admissible pair (V,W) of hermitian spaces and that we are assuming in this whole section that the groups G and H are quasi-split. Let $\alpha \in H^1(F,H)$. We are going to associate to α a new GGP triple $(G_\alpha,H_\alpha,\xi_\alpha)$ well-defined up to conjugacy. Since $H^1(F,H) = H^1(F,U(W))$, to the cohomology class α corresponds an isomorphism class of hermitian spaces of the same dimension as W. Let W_α be a hermitian space in this isomorphism class and set $V_\alpha = W_\alpha \oplus^\perp Z$ (recall that Z is the orthogonal of W in V). Then, the pair (V_α,W_α) is easily seen to be admissible and hence there is a GGP triple $(G_\alpha,H_\alpha,\xi_\alpha)$ associated to it. Of course, this GGP triple is well-defined up to conjugacy. We call such a GGP triple a pure inner form of (G,H,ξ). By definition, these pure inner forms are parametrized by $H^1(F,H)$. Note that for all $\alpha \in H^1(F,H)$, G_α is a pure inner form of G in the class corresponding to the image of α in $H^1(F,G)$ and that the natural map $H^1(F,H) \to H^1(F,G)$ is injective.

12.3 The local Langlands correspondence

In this subsection, we recall the local Langlands correspondence in a form that will be suitable for us. Let G be a quasi-split connected reductive group over F and denotes by ${}^LG = \widehat{G}(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes W_F$ its Langlands dual, where W_F denotes the Weil group of F. Recall that a Langlands parameter for G is a homomorphism from the group

$$L_F = \begin{cases} W_F \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } F \text{ is } p-\text{adic} \\ W_F & \text{if } F = \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

to ${}^LG$ satisfying the usual conditions of continuity, semi-simplicity, algebraicity and compatibility with the projection ${}^LG \to W_F$. A Langlands parameter φ is said to be tempered if $\varphi(W_F)$ is bounded. By the hypothetical local Langlands correspondence, a tempered Langlands parameter φ for G should gives rise to a finite set $\Pi^G(\varphi)$, called a L-packet, of (isomorphism classes of) tempered representations of $G(F)$. Actually, such a parameter φ should also give rise to tempered L-packets $\Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi) \subseteq Temp(G_\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in H^1(F,G)$ (we warn the reader that in this formulation of the local Langlands correspondence, some of the L-packets $\Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)$ may be empty). These families of L-packets should of course satisfy some conditions. Among them, we expect the following properties to hold for all tempered Langlands parameter φ of G:

(STAB) For all $\alpha \in H^1(F,G)$, the character

$$\theta_{\alpha,\varphi} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} \theta_\pi$$

is stable

For $\alpha = 1 \in H^1(F,G)$, in which case $G_\alpha = G$, we shall simply set $\theta_\varphi = \theta_{1,\varphi}$.

(TRANS) For all $\alpha \in H^1(F,G)$, the stable character $\theta_{\alpha,\varphi}$ is the transfer of $e(G_\alpha)\theta_\varphi$ where $e(G_\alpha)$ is the Kottwitz sign whose definition has been recalled in subsection 12.1.

(WHITT) For every $\mathcal{O} \in Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$, there exists exactly one representation in the L-packet $\Pi^G(\varphi)$ admitting a Whittaker model of type $\mathcal{O}$.

(cf subsection 4.8 for the bijection between $Nil_{reg}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the set of types of Whittaker models).

Remark that these conditions are far from characterizing the compositions of the L-packets uniquely. However, by the linear independence of characters, conditions (STAB) and (TRANS) uniquely characterize the L-packets $\Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)$, $\alpha \in H^1(F,G)$, in terms of $\Pi^G(\varphi)$.

When $F = \mathbb{R}$, the local Langlands correspondence has been constructed by Langlands himself by packaging differently previous results of Harish-Chandra ([Lan]). This correspondence indeed satisfies the three conditions stated above. That (STAB) and (TRANS) hold is a

consequence of early work of Shelstad (cf lemma 5.2 and theorem 6.3 of [She]). The property (WHITT) for is part, follows from results of Kostant (theorem 6.7.2 of [Kost]) and Vogan (theorem 6.2 of [Vog]).
When F is p-adic, the local Langlands correspondence is known in a variety of cases. In particular, for unitary groups, which are our main concern, the existence of the Langlands correspondence is now fully established thanks to Mok ([Mok]) and Kaletha-Minguez-Shin-White ([KMSW]) both building up on previous work of Arthur who dealt with orthogonal and symplectic groups ([A7]).

12.4 The theorem

Recall that we fixed a GGP triple (G, H, ξ) with the requirement that G and H be quasi-split. Also, we have defined in subsection 12.2 the pure inner forms $(G_\alpha, H_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)$ of (G, H, ξ). These are also GGP triples, they are parametrized by $H^1(F, H)$ and G_α is a pure inner form of G corresponding to the image of α in $H^1(F, G)$ via the natural map $H^1(F, H) \to H^1(F, G)$.

As we said, the local Langlands correspondence, in the form we stated it, is known for unitary groups. It is a fortiori known for product of two such groups and hence for G.

The purpose of this section is to show the following theorem. It has already been shown in [Beu1] (théorème 18.4.1) in the p-adic case. The proof we present here is essentially the same as the one given in [Beu1] (which itself follows closely the proof of théorème 13.3 of [Wa1]) but here we are treating both the p-adic and the real case at the same time and it requires more care since in the real case there are usually far more pure inner forms to keep track of (in the p-adic case there are only two unless $\dim(W) = 0$).

Theorem 12.4.1 *Let φ be a tempered Langlands parameter for G. Then, there exists an unique representation π in the disjoint union of L-packets*

$$\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in H^1(F,H)} \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)$$

such that $m(\pi) = 1$.

12.5 Stable conjugacy classes inside $\Gamma(G, H)$

Recall that in subsection 11.1, we have defined a set $\Gamma(G, H)$ of semi-simple conjugacy classes in $G(F)$. It consists in the $G(F)$-conjugacy classes of elements $x \in U(W)_{ss}(F)$ such that

$$T_x = U(W''_x)_x$$

is an anisotropic torus (where we recall that W''_x denotes the image of $x - 1$ in W). Two elements $x, x' \in U(W)_{ss}(F)$ are $G(F)$-conjugated if and only if they are $U(W)(F)$-conjugated and moreover if it is so, any element $g \in U(W)(F)$ conjugating x to x' induces an isomorphism

$$U(W''_x)_x \simeq U(W''_{x'})_{x'}$$

Moreover, this isomorphism depends on the choice of g only up to an inner automorphism. From this it follows that any conjugacy class $x \in \Gamma(G,H)$ determines the anisotropic torus T_x up to an unique isomorphism so that we can speak of "the torus" T_x associated to x.

These considerations of course apply verbatim to the pure inner forms $(G_\alpha, H_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in H^1(F,H)$, of the GGP triple (G,H,ξ) that were introduced in subsection 12.2. In particular, for all $\alpha \in H^1(F,H)$, we have a set $\Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ of semi-simple conjugacy classes in $G_\alpha(F)$ and to any $y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ is associated an anisotropic torus T_y.

Proposition 12.5.1 *(i) Let $\alpha \in H^1(F,H)$ and let $y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ be such that $G_{\alpha,y}$ is quasi-split. Then, the set*

$$\{x \in \Gamma(G,H);\ x \sim_{stab} y\}$$

is non-empty.

(ii) Let $\alpha \in H^1(F,H)$, $x \in \Gamma(G,H)$ and $y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ be such that $x \sim_{stab} y$. Choose $g \in G_\alpha(\overline{F})$ such that $g\psi_\alpha(x)g^{-1} = y$ and $Ad(g) \circ \psi_\alpha : G_x \simeq G_y$ is defined over F. Then, $Ad(g) \circ \psi_\alpha$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$T_x \simeq T_y$$

that is independent of the choice of g.

(iii) Let $x \in \Gamma(G,H)$. Then, for all $\alpha \in H^1(F,H)$ there exists a natural bijection between the set

$$\{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha);\ x \sim_{stab} y\}$$

and the set

$$q_x^{-1}(\alpha)$$

where q_x denotes the natural map $H^1(F,T_x) \to H^1(F,G)$.

(iv) Let $x \in \Gamma(G,H)$, $x \neq 1$. Then, the composition of the map $\alpha \in H^1(F,G) \mapsto e(G_\alpha) \in Br_2(F)$ with the natural map $H^1(F,T_x) \to H^1(F,G)$ gives a surjective morphism of groups $H^1(F,T_x) \to Br_2(F)$.

Proof: For all $\alpha \in H^1(F,H) = H^1(F,U(W))$, let us fix a hermitian space W_α of the same dimension as W and in the isomorphism class defined by α. Set $V_\alpha = W_\alpha \oplus^\perp Z$. Then, we may assume that $G_\alpha = U(W_\alpha)\times U(V_\alpha)$. Moreover, we may also fix the other parts of the data $(G_\alpha,\psi_\alpha,c_\alpha)$ of a pure inner form of G in the class of α as follows. Choose an isomorphism $\phi_\alpha^W : W_{\overline{F}} \simeq W_{\alpha,\overline{F}}$ of $\overline{E}$-hermitian spaces, where we have set as usual $W_{\overline{F}} = W\otimes_F \overline{F}$ and $W_{\alpha,\overline{F}} = W_\alpha \otimes_F \overline{F}$, and extend it to an isomorphism $\phi_\alpha^V : V_{\overline{F}} \simeq V_{\alpha,\overline{F}}$ that is the identity on $Z_{\overline{F}}$. Then, we may take ψ_α to be the isomorphism

$$G_{\overline{F}} = U(W_{\overline{F}})\times U(V_{\overline{F}}) \simeq G_{\alpha,\overline{F}} = U(W_{\alpha,\overline{F}})\times U(V_{\alpha,\overline{F}})$$

given by

$$(g_W,g_V)\mapsto \left(\phi_\alpha^W\circ g_W\circ(\phi_\alpha^W)^{-1}, \phi_\alpha^V\circ g_V\circ(\phi_\alpha^V)^{-1}\right)$$

and we may take the 1-cocycle c_α to be given by

$$\sigma\in\Gamma_F\mapsto \left((\phi_\alpha^W)^{-1\sigma}\phi_\alpha^W, (\phi_\alpha^V)^{-1\sigma}\phi_\alpha^V\right)\in U(W_{\overline{F}})\times U(V_{\overline{F}}) = G_{\overline{F}}$$

Remark that if we do so, then the isomorphism ψ_α sends $H_{\overline{F}}$ onto $H_{\alpha,\overline{F}}$.

(i) Let $y\in\Gamma(G_\alpha,H_\alpha)$ and assume that $G_{\alpha,y}$ is quasi-split. Identify y with one of its representatives in $U(W_\alpha)$. Writing the decomposition 11.1(1) for $G_{\alpha,y}$, we have

$$G_{\alpha,y} = G'_{\alpha,y}\times G''_{\alpha,y}$$

where

$$G'_{\alpha,y} = U(W'_\alpha)\times U(V'_\alpha),\;\; G''_{\alpha,y} = U(W''_\alpha)_y\times U(W''_\alpha)_y$$

where W'_α is the kernel of $y-1$ in W_α, $V'_\alpha = W'_\alpha\oplus Z$ and W''_α is the image of $y-1$ in W_α. By definition of $\Gamma(G_\alpha,H_\alpha)$, $T_y = U(W''_\alpha)_y$ is an anisotropic torus and in particular y is a regular element of $U(W''_\alpha)$ without the eigenvalue 1. Since $G_{\alpha,y}$ is quasi-split, we see that the unitary groups of both W'_α and $V'_\alpha = W'_\alpha\oplus Z$ are quasi-split. As Z is odd-dimensional, by Witt theorem these two conditions are easily seen to imply that the hermitian space W'_α embeds in W. Fix such an embedding $W'_\alpha\hookrightarrow W$ and let us denote by W'' the orthogonal complement of W'_α in W. Then, $U(W''_\alpha)$ is a pure inner form of $U(W'')$ and moreover $U(W'')$ is quasi-split. Hence, by 12.1(1), there exists a regular element $x\in U(W'')(F)$ that is stably conjugated to y. In particular, x doesn't have the eigenvalue 1 when acting on W'' and moreover we have an isomorphism $U(W'')_x\simeq U(W''_\alpha)_y$ which is defined over F. Thus, $U(W'')_x$ is an anisotropic torus and it follows that $x\in\Gamma(G,H)$. This ends the proof of (i).

(ii) Let us identify x and y with representatives in $U(W)(F)$ and $U(W_\alpha)(F)$ respectively. Then, $\psi_\alpha(x)$ and y are $G_\alpha(\overline{F})$-conjugated. However, as $G_\alpha = U(W_\alpha)\times U(V_\alpha)$, two elements in $U(W_\alpha)(\overline{F})$ are $G_\alpha(\overline{F})$-conjugated if and only if they are $U(W_\alpha)(\overline{F})$-conjugated.

Hence, there exists $h \in U(W_\alpha)(\overline{F})$ such that $y = h\psi_\alpha(x)h^{-1}$. Obviously, $h \circ \phi_\alpha$ sends W''_x to $W''_{\alpha,y}$ and so $Ad(h) \circ \psi_\alpha$ induces an isomorphism $T_x = U(W''_x)_x \simeq U(W''_{\alpha,y})_y = T_y$. Now every element $g \in G_\alpha(\overline{F})$ such that $g\psi_\alpha(x)g^{-1} = y$ may be written $g = g_y h$ for some element $g_y \in G_{\alpha,y}(\overline{F})$ (since G_α has a derived subgroup which is simply-connected, here we have $G_{\alpha,y} = Z_{G_\alpha}(y)$) and as T_y is contained in the center of $G_{\alpha,y}$, the restriction of $Ad(g) \circ \psi_\alpha$ to T_x will coincide with the isomorphism $Ad(h) \circ \psi_\alpha : T_x \simeq T_y$.

(iii) Recall that the set

$$\{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha);\ x \sim_{stab} y\}$$

is naturally in bijection with $Im\,(H^1(F, Z(G_x)) \to H^1(F, G_x)) \cap p_x^{-1}(\alpha)$ where p_x denotes the natural map $H^1(F, G_x) \to H^1(F, G)$ (recall that as the derived subgroup of G_α is simply connected we have $Z_G(x) = G_x$). This bijection is given as follows: for $y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha)$ such that $x \sim_{stab} y$ choose $g \in G(\overline{F})$ so that $\psi_\alpha(gxg^{-1}) = y$. Then the cohomological class associates to y is the image of the 1-cocycle $\sigma \in \Gamma_F \mapsto g^{-1}c_{\alpha,\sigma}\sigma(g)$ in $H^1(F, G_x)$ which by definition belongs to $Im\,(H^1(F, Z(G_x)) \to H^1(F, G_x)) \cap p_x^{-1}(\alpha)$. To obtain the desired bijection, it suffices to show that the image of the subset

$$\{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha);\ x \sim_{stab} y\}$$

by this bijection is exactly $H^1(F, T_x) \cap p_x^{-1}(\alpha)$ (remark that the restriction of the map $H^1(F, Z(G_x)) \to H^1(F, G_x)$ to $H^1(F, T_x)$ is injective). Let $y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ be such that $x \sim_{stab} y$ and identify y with one of its representatives in $H_\alpha(F)$. Since two semi-simple elements of $H_\alpha(\overline{F})$ are $G_\alpha(\overline{F})$-conjugated if and only if they are $H_\alpha(\overline{F})$-conjugated and as ψ_α sends $H(\overline{F})$ to $H_\alpha(\overline{F})$, we may find $h \in H(\overline{F})$ such that $y = \psi_\alpha(hxh^{-1})$ and it follows that the cohomology class associated to y lies in

$$(1) \quad Im\left(H^1(F, H_x) \to H^1(F, G_x)\right) \cap Im\left(H^1(F, Z(G_x)) \to H^1(F, G_x)\right) \cap p_x^{-1}(\alpha)$$

Conversely, assume that $y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha)$ is strongly stably conjugated to x and that its associated cohomology class belongs to (1). Then, since the map $H^1(F, H) \to H^1(F, G)$ is injective, we may find $h \in H(\overline{F})$ such that $\psi_\alpha(hxh^{-1}) \in H_\alpha(F)$ is in the conjugacy class of y. From this, it is easy to infer that $y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$. Thus, we have proved that the set

$$\{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha);\ x \sim_{stab} y\}$$

is in bijection with (1). To conclude, we only need to prove the equality

$$(2) \quad Im\left(H^1(F, H_x) \to H^1(F, G_x)\right) \cap Im\left(H^1(F, Z(G_x)) \to H^1(F, G_x)\right) = H^1(F, T_x)$$

Using the decompositions

$$G_x = G'_x \times G''_x, \quad H_x = H'_x \times T_x$$

$$G''_x = T_x \times T_x$$

it is easy to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &Im\left(H^1(F,H_x) \to H^1(F,G_x)\right) \cap Im\left(H^1(F,Z(G_x)) \to H^1(F,G_x)\right) = \\ &\left[Im\left(H^1(F,Z(G'_x)) \to H^1(F,G'_x)\right) \cap Im\left(H^1(F,H'_x) \to H^1(F,G'_x)\right)\right] \times H^1(F,T_x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to get (2) it suffices to see that

$$(3) \quad Im\left(H^1(F,Z(G'_x)) \to H^1(F,G'_x)\right) \cap Im\left(H^1(F,H'_x) \to H^1(F,G'_x)\right) = \{1\}$$

Now recall that

$$\begin{gathered} G'_x = U(W'_x) \times U(V'_x) \\ H'_x = U(W'_x) \ltimes N_x \end{gathered}$$

where W'_x is the kernel of $x-1$ in W, $V'_x = W'_x \oplus Z$ and N_x is the centralizer of x in N. Thus, we have

$$\begin{gathered} H^1(F,G'_x) = H^1(F,U(W'_x)) \times H^1(F,U(V'_x)) \\ H^1(F,H'_x) = H^1(F,U(W'_x)) \end{gathered}$$

and the map $H^1(F,H'_x) \to H^1(F,G'_x)$ is the product of the two maps

$$H^1(F,U(W'_x)) \to H^1(F,U(W'_x)), \quad H^1(F,U(W'_x)) \to H^1(F,U(V'_x))$$

which are both injective. So, to get (3) we only need to show that

$$(4) \quad Im\left(H^1\left(F,Z(U(W'_x))\right) \to H^1(F,U(V'_x))\right) \cap Im\left(H^1\left(F,Z(U(V'_x))\right) \to H^1(F,U(V'_x))\right) = \{1\}$$

Recall that $H^1(F,U(V'_x))$ classifies the (isomorphism classes of) hermitian spaces of the same dimension as V'_x. Let $\delta \in F^\times \backslash N_{E/F}(E^\times)$. Then, the group $H^1\left(F,Z(U(W'_x))\right)$ contains only one nontrivial element whose image in $H^1(F,U(V'_x))$ corresponds to the hermitian space $\delta W'_x \oplus Z$ (where $\delta W'_x$ denotes the hermitian space obtained from W'_x by multiplying its hermitian form by δ). Similarly, $H^1(F, Z(U(V'_x)))$ has only one nontrivial element whose image in $H^1(F, U(V'_x))$ corresponds to the hermitian space $\delta V'_x$. As Z is odd dimensional, we have $\delta Z \not\simeq Z$ (the two hermitian spaces have distinct discriminants) so that by Witt theorem we also have $\delta V'_x = \delta W'_x \oplus \delta Z \not\simeq \delta W'_x \oplus Z$. This proves (4) and ends the proof of (iii).

(iv) Let us denote by $\widetilde{G}$ the 2-cover of G defined at the end of subsection 12.1 and let $\widetilde{T}_x$ be the inverse image of T_x in this 2-cover. Then, by 12.1(6), it suffices to check that $\widetilde{T}_x$ is connected. By the precise description of $\widetilde{U(V)}$ and $\widetilde{U(W)}$ given at the beginning of subsection 12.2 and since exactly one of the hermitian spaces V and W is even-dimensional, we have

$$\widetilde{T}_x = \{(t,z) \in T_x \times KerN_{E/F}; \det(t) = z^2\}$$

Moreover, over the algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ there exists an isomorphism

$$(T_x)_{\overline{F}} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^\ell$$
$$t \mapsto (t_i)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell}$$

for some integer $\ell \geqslant 1$ such that

$$\det(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} t_i$$

for all $t \in T_x(\overline{F})$. Hence, we have an isomorphism

$$(\widetilde{T}_x)_{\overline{F}} \simeq \{(t_1, \ldots, t_\ell, z) \in \mathbb{G}_m^{\ell+1};\ t_1 \ldots t_\ell = z^2\}$$

from which we easily infer that $\widetilde{T}_x$ is connected. ■

12.6 Proof of theorem 12.4.1

Let φ be a tempered Langlands parameter for G. We want to show that the sum

$$(1) \qquad \sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F,H)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi)$$

is equal to one. Let $\alpha \in H^1(F,H)$. By the formula for the multiplicity (theorem 11.2.2), we may write

$$m(\pi) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)} c_\pi(y) D^{G_\alpha}(y)^{1/2} \Delta(y)^{s-1/2} dy$$

for all $\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)$. Summing this equality over the L-packet $\Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)$, we deduce that

$$(2) \qquad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)} c_{\varphi,\alpha}(y) D^{G_\alpha}(y)^{1/2} \Delta(y)^{s-1/2} dy$$

where we have set

$$c_{\varphi,\alpha} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} c_\pi$$

Denote by $\Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ the set of strong stable conjugacy classes in $\Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$. We endow this set with the quotient topology and with the unique measure such that the projection map $\Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha) \to \Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ is locally measure-preserving. By the condition (STAB) of subsection 12.3, the character $\theta_{\varphi,\alpha} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} \theta_\pi$ is stable and it follows from 12.1(2) that the function $y \mapsto c_{\varphi,\alpha}(y)$ is constant on the fibers of the map $\Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha) \to \Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$. Since it is also trivially true for the functions D^{G_α} and Δ, we may rewrite the right hand side of (2) as an integral over $\Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ to obtain

$$(3) \qquad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)} |p^{-1}_{\alpha,stab}(y)| c_{\varphi,\alpha}(y) D^{G_\alpha}(y)^{1/2} \Delta(y)^{s-1/2} dy$$

where $p_{\alpha,stab} : \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha) \to \Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ is the natural projection.

Let us introduce the subset $\Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha) \subseteq \Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ of elements $x \in \Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ such that $G_{\alpha,x}$ is quasi-split. This subset is open and closed in $\Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ and by proposition 4.5.1 1.(i), the function $c_{\varphi,\alpha}$ vanishes on the complement $\Gamma_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha) \backslash \Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ so that (3) becomes

$$(4) \qquad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)} |p^{-1}_{\alpha,stab}(y)| c_{\varphi,\alpha}(y) D^{G_\alpha}(y)^{1/2} \Delta(y)^{s-1/2} dy$$

By proposition 12.5.1(i), we have an injection $\Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha) \hookrightarrow \Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G, H)$ such that if $y \mapsto x$ then y and x are stongly stably conjugated. For all $y \in \Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$, denoting by x its image in $\Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G, H)$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_y(F) \arrow[r, "\sim", no head] \arrow[d] & T_x(F) \arrow[d] \\
\Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha) \arrow[r, hook] & \Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G, H)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the two vertical arrows are only defined in some neighborhood of 1, are given by $t \mapsto ty$ and $t \mapsto tx$ respectively and are both locally preserving measures (when $T_y(F)$ and $T_x(F)$ are both equipped with their unique Haar measure of total mass one) and the top vertical arrow is the restriction to the F-points of the isomorphism provided by proposition 12.5.1(ii). From this diagram, we easily infer that the embedding $\Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha) \hookrightarrow \Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G, H)$ preserves measures. Moreover, by 12.1(2) and the condition (TRANS) of subsection 12.3, if $x \in \Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ maps to $x \in \Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G, H)$ we have the equality $c_{\varphi,\alpha}(y) = e(G_\alpha)c_\varphi(x)$ (where we have set $c_\varphi = c_{\varphi,1}$). Using these two facts, we may now express the right hand side of (4) as an integral over $\Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G, H)$. The result is the following formula

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G,H)} e(G_\alpha) \left|\{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha); y \sim_{stab} x\}\right| c_\varphi(x) D^G(x)^{1/2} \Delta(x)^{s-1/2} dx$$

Summing the above equality over $\alpha \in H^1(F, H)$, we get

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F,H)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \int_{\Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G,H)} \sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F,H)} e(G_\alpha) \left|\{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha); y \sim_{stab} x\}\right| \\ c_\varphi(x) D^G(x)^{1/2} \Delta(x)^{s-1/2} dx$$

Pick $x \in \Gamma^{qd}_{stab}(G, H)$ and let us look at the inner sum

$$(6) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F,H)} e(G_\alpha) \left|\{y \in \Gamma(G_\alpha, H_\alpha); y \sim_{stab} x\}\right|$$

By proposition 12.5.1(iii), this sum equals

$$\sum_{\beta \in H^1(F,T_x)} e(G_\beta)$$

Moreover, by proposition 12.5.1(iv), the map $\beta \in H^1(F, T_x) \mapsto e(G_\beta) \in Br_2(F) \simeq \{\pm 1\}$ is a group homomorphism which is non-trivial for $x \neq 1$. We deduce from this that the sum (6) is zero unless $x = 1$. Returning to the formula (5) and taking into account these cancellations, we see that the right hand side of (5) reduces to the contribution of $1 \in \Gamma(G, H)$ and thus we get

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F,H)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\varphi)} m(\pi) = c_\varphi(1)$$

By proposition 4.8.1(i), the term $c_\varphi(1)$ has an easy interpretation in terms of representations in the L-packet $\Pi^G(\varphi)$ admitting a Whittaker model. More precisely, this term equals the number of representations in $\Pi^G(\varphi)$ admitting a Whittaker model (a representation being counted as many times as the number of different type of Whittaker model it has) divided by the number of different type of Whittaker models for G. By the condition (WHITT) of subsection 12.3, this number is equal to 1. It follows that the left hand side of (7) is also equal to 1 and we are done. ■

A Topological vector spaces

In this appendix, we collect some facts about topological vector spaces that will be use constantly throughout the paper. We will only consider Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$. To abbreviate we will call them topological vector spaces. Let E be a topological vector space. Recall that a subset $B \subseteq E$ is said to be bounded if for all neighborhood U of the origin there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $B \subseteq \lambda U$. An equivalent condition is that every continuous semi-norm on E is bounded on B. By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, a subset $B \subseteq E$ is bounded if and only if it is weakly bounded, which means that for all continuous linear form e' on E the set $\{\langle b, e'\rangle,\ b \in B\}$ is bounded. If $B \subseteq E$ is a bounded convex and radial (that is $\lambda B \subseteq B$ for all $|\lambda| \leqslant 1$) subset of E then we will denote by E_B the subspace spanned by B equipped with the norm

$$q_B(e) = \inf\{t \geqslant 0;\ e \in tB\}, \quad e \in E_B$$

We say that E is quasi-complete if every bounded closed subset is complete. Of course complete implies quasi-complete. If E is quasi-complete, then for every closed bounded convex and radial subset $B \subseteq E$, the space E_B is a Banach space. We will denote by E' the topological dual of E that we will always endow it with the strong topology (that is the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets). If E is quasi-complete, a subset $B \subseteq E'$ is bounded if and only if for all $e \in E$ the set $\{\langle e, b\rangle;\ b \in B\}$ is bounded (again by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem). More generally, if F is another topological vector space then we will equip the space $Hom(E, F)$ of continuous linear maps from E to F with the strong topology. Thus, a generating family of semi-norms for the topology on $Hom(E, F)$ is given by

$$p_B(T) = \sup_{e \in B} p(Te), \quad T \in Hom(E, F)$$

where B runs through the bounded subsets of E and p runs through a generating family of semi-norms for F.

A.1 LF spaces

Let (E_i, f_{ij}), $i \in I$, be a direct system of topological vector spaces (the connecting linear maps f_{ij} being continuous). Consider the direct limit in the category of vector spaces

$$E = \varinjlim_{I} E_i$$

We will in general endow E with the direct limit topology that is the finest locally convex topology on E such that all the natural maps $E_i \to E$ are continuous. If F is another topological space, a linear map $E \to F$ is continuous if and only if all the induced linear maps $E_i \to F$, $i \in I$ are continuous. If I is at most countable and for the E_i, $i \in I$, are Frechet spaces then we will call E an LF space. If E is a LF space then we can write it as the direct limit of a sequence $(E_n)_{n \geqslant 0}$ of Frechet spaces where the connecting maps are just inclusions $E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}$ so that we have

$$E = \bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} E_n$$

A bounded subset B of E is not always included in one of the E_n but this is the case if B is convex radial and complete in which case there exists $n \geqslant 0$ such that B is included and bounded in E_n (corollaire IV.2 p.17 of [Gr1]). Hence, in particular, if E is quasi-complete then every bounded subset is included and bounded in some E_n.

LF spaces share many of the good properties of Frechet spaces. First of all a LF space is barrelled (corollary 3 of proposition 33.2 of [Tr]), hence the Banach-Steinhaus theorem (or uniform boundedness principle) applies to them (theorem 33.1 of [Tr]). In particular, if E is a LF space, F any topological vector space and $(u_n)_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a sequence of continuous linear maps from E to F that converges pointwise to a linear map $u : E \to F$, then u is continuous and the sequence $(u_n)_{n \geqslant 1}$ converges to u uniformly on compact subsets of E. Less known is the fact the open mapping theorem and the closed graph theorem continue to hold for LF spaces. This result is due to to Grothendieck (cf theorem 4.B of [Gr1]) and will be constantly used throughout this paper. We state it in the next proposition.

Proposition A.1.1 *Let E and F be LF spaces. Then we have the followings*

(i) (Open mapping theorem) If $f : E \to F$ is a continuous surjective linear map, then it is open.

(ii) (Closed Graph theorem) A linear map $f : E \to F$ is continuous if and only if its graph is closed.

A.2 Vector-valued integrals

Let E be a topological vector space and let X be a Hausdorff locally compact topological space equipped with a regular Borel measure μ. Let $\varphi : X \to E$ be a continuous function. We say that φ is weakly integrable (with respect to μ) if for all $e' \in E'$ the function $x \in X \mapsto \langle \varphi(x), e' \rangle$ is absolutely integrable. If this is so, there exists a unique vector $I(\varphi)$ in $(E')^*$, the algebraic dual of E', such that

$$\langle I(\varphi), e' \rangle = \int_X \langle \varphi(x), e' \rangle d\mu(x), \quad \text{for all } e' \in E'$$

We call $I(\varphi)$ the weak integral of φ and we usually denote it simply by

$$\int_X \varphi(x)d\mu(x)$$

Note that this integral doesn't necessarily belong to E or the completion of E.

We will say that φ is absolutely integrable if for all continuous semi-norm p on E the function $x \in X \mapsto p(\varphi(x))$ is integrable. Of course, absolutely integrable implies weakly integrable. If φ is absolutely integrable and E is quasi-complete then the integral $\int_X \varphi(x)d\mu(x)$ belongs to E. In general, $\int_X \varphi(x)d\mu(x)$ belongs to $\widehat{E}$, the completion of E. Of course, if φ is compactly supported then it is absolutely integrable (recall that we assume φ to be continuous).

A.3 Smooth maps with values in topological vector spaces

Let E be a topological vector space and M be a real smooth manifold. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let φ be a map from M to E. We say that φ is strongly C^k if it admits derivatives of all order up to k (in the classical sense) and that all these derivatives are continuous. We say that φ is weakly C^k if for all $e' \in E'$ the map $m \in M \mapsto \langle \varphi(m), e' \rangle$ is of class C^k. Obviously, if φ is strongly C^k then it is also weakly C^k. A function that is weakly C^∞ will also be called smooth. The next proposition summarizes the main properties of strongly and weakly C^k functions. The first point of the proposition shows that for quasi-complete spaces there is no much difference between weakly C^k and strongly C^k maps. The second point implies that the notion of smooth functions with values in E only depends on the bornology of E (that is the family of its bounded subset). This is a very important property and the next points of the proposition will follow easily from it. In lack of a reference, we include a proof.

Proposition A.3.1 *Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then*

(i) If E is quasi-complete and $\varphi : M \to E$ is weakly C^{k+1} then φ is strongly C^k .

(ii) A map $\varphi : M \to E$ is smooth if and only if for all $k \geqslant 0$ and all relatively compact open subset $\Omega \subset M$, there exists a bounded subset $B_k \subset E$ such that $\varphi_{|\Omega}$ factorizes through E_{B_k} and such that the induced map $\Omega \to E_{B_k}$ is weakly C^k;

(iii) Let $A \subset E'$ be a subset such that every subset $B \subset E$ that is weakly-A-bounded (meaning that for all $e' \in A$ the set $\{\langle b, e' \rangle,\ b \in B\}$ is bounded) is bounded. Then, a map $\varphi : M \to E$ is smooth if and only if for all $a \in A$ the function $m \in M \mapsto \langle \varphi(m), a \rangle$ is smooth.

(iv) Assume again that E is quasi-complete. Let F be another topological vector space. Then a map $\varphi : M \to Hom(E, F)$ is smooth if and only if for all $e \in E$ and $f' \in F'$, the map $m \in M \mapsto \langle \varphi(m)(e), f' \rangle$ is smooth.

(v) *Let F and G be two other topological vector spaces and assume that E is quasi-complete. Let $A : E \times F \to G$ be a separately continuous bilinear map. Then, if $\varphi_1 : M \to E$ and $\varphi_2 : M \to F$ are smooth functions, the function*

$$m \in M \mapsto A(\varphi_1(m), \varphi_2(m)) \in G$$

is also smooth.

Proof:

(i) The question being local, we may assume that $M = \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume first that φ is weakly C^1. Then for all $e' \in E'$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \varphi(y), e'\rangle - \langle \varphi(x), e'\rangle| &= \left| \int_0^1 \partial(y-x)\left(\langle \varphi(.), e'\rangle\right)((1-t)x + ty)\, dt \right| \\ &\leqslant \|y - x\| \sup_{z \in [x,y]} \left| \partial\left(\frac{y-x}{\|y-x\|}\right)\left(\langle \varphi(.), e'\rangle\right)(z) \right| \end{aligned}$$

This shows that for all compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ the family

$$\{\frac{\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)}{\|y - x\|},\ x, y \in \mathcal{K}\}$$

is weakly bounded hence bounded so that φ is Lipschitz hence continuous.

Assume now that φ is weakly C^2. Let $u, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $e' \in E'$. Then by Rolle's theorem, for all $t \in [-1,1]\backslash\{0\}$ there exists $s_t \in [-1,1]$ with $|s_t| < |t|$ such that

$$\left\langle \frac{\varphi(x + tu) - \varphi(x)}{t}, e' \right\rangle = \partial(u)\left(\langle \varphi(.), e'\rangle\right)(x + s_t u)$$

Hence, for all $t, t' \in [-1,1]\backslash\{0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \frac{\varphi(x+tu) - \varphi(x)}{t} - \frac{\varphi(x+t'u) - \varphi(x)}{t'}, e' \right\rangle \right| &= \left| \int_{s_{t'}}^{s_t} \partial(u^2)\left(\langle \varphi(.), e'\rangle\right)(x + su) ds \right| \\ &\leqslant |s_t - s_{t'}| \sup_{s \in [-1,1]} \left| \partial(u^2)\left(\langle \varphi(.), e'\rangle\right)(x + su) \right| \\ &\leqslant (|t| + |t'|) \sup_{s \in [-1,1]} \left| \partial(u^2)\left(\langle \varphi(.), e'\rangle\right)(x + su) \right| \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the family

$$\left\{(|t|+|t'|)^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi(x+tu)-\varphi(x)}{t}-\frac{\varphi(x+t'u)-\varphi(x)}{t'}\right),\ \ t,t'\in[-1,1]\backslash\{0\}\right\}$$

is weakly bounded, hence bounded. Since E is quasi-complete, this immediately implies that the limit

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\varphi(x+tu)-\varphi(x)}{t}$$

exists in E. We just prove that the function φ is strongly derivable everywhere in every direction. But for $u\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $\partial(u)\varphi$ is of course weakly C^1, hence continuous by the first part of the proof. This shows that φ is indeed strongly C^1.

The general case follows by induction. Indeed, assume that the result is true for $k\geqslant 1$. Let $\varphi:\mathbb{R}^n\to E$ be a weakly C^{k+2} map. Then, of course φ is weakly C^2 hence strongly C^1 by what we just saw. Moreover, for every $u\in\mathbb{R}^n$, the function $\partial(u)\varphi$ is obviously weakly C^{k+1} so that by the induction hypothesis it is also strongly C^k. This proves that φ is in fact strongly C^{k+1} and this ends the proof of (i).

(ii) Up to replacing E by its completion, we may of course assume E complete. Then, the proof of (i) shows that it suffices to take

$$B_k=\{(D\varphi)(m);\ m\in\overline{\Omega},\ D\in Diff^{\infty}_{\leqslant k+1}(M)\}$$

for all $k\geqslant 0$.

(iii) As we already explained, the true meaning of (ii) is that the notion of smooth function with values in a topological vector space only depends on the bornology of that space. Hence, here it suffices to notice that the weak-A-topology on E defines the same bornology as the original topology on E (this is exactly the assumption made on A).

(iv) Again, this is because the topology defined by the semi-norms $T\mapsto|\langle Te,f'\rangle|$, $e\in E$ $f\in F'$, defines the same bornology on $Hom(E,F)$ as the strong topology.

(v) We are immediately reduced to the case when $G=\mathbb{C}$. Let $k\geqslant 0$ and $\Omega\subset M$ be a relatively compact open subset. Then by (ii), there exists bounded subsets $B_k\subseteq E$ and $B'_k\subseteq F$ such that the restrictions of φ_1 and φ_2 to Ω factorize through E_{B_k} and $F_{B'_k}$ respectively and induce weakly C^{k+1} maps from Ω into these spaces. Since E is quasi-complete, the bilinear map A restricted to $E_{B_k}\times F_{B'_k}$ induces a continuous bilinear form $E_{B_k}\times F_{B'_k}\to\mathbb{C}$. Hence, this bilinear form extends continuously to $\widehat{E}_{B_k}\times\widehat{F}_{B'_k}$, where $\widehat{E}_{B_k}$ and $\widehat{F}_{B'_k}$ denote the completion of E_{B_k} and $F_{B'_k}$ respectively (these are Banach spaces). Since the maps $\varphi_1:\Omega\to\widehat{E}_{B_k}$ and $\varphi_2:\Omega\to\widehat{F}_{B'_k}$ are weakly C^{k+1}, by (i) they are also strongly C^k. It immediately follows that the map $m\in\Omega\mapsto A(\varphi_1(m),\varphi_2(m))$ is of class C^k. This of course implies (v). ■

We will denote by $C^\infty(M,E)$ the space of all smooth maps from M to E. We equip $C^\infty(M,E)$ with a topology as follows. If E is complete then we endow $C^\infty(M,E)$ with the topology defined by the semi-norms

$$p_{D,\mathcal{K},q}(\varphi) = \sup_{m\in\mathcal{K}} q\left[(D\varphi)(m)\right]$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ runs through the compact subsets of M, q runs through the continuous semi-norms on E and D runs through $Diff^\infty(M)$ the space of smooth differential operators on M (note that by the point (i) of the last proposition, since E is complete, $Diff^\infty(M)$ acts on $C^\infty(M,E)$). In the general case, we equip $C^\infty(M,E)$ with the subspace topology coming from the inclusion $C^\infty(M,E) \subset C^\infty(M,\widehat{E})$ where $\widehat{E}$ is the completion of E.

A.4 Holomorphic maps with values in topological vector spaces

Let E be a topological vector space and M a complex analytic manifold. Let $\varphi : M \to E$ be a map. We say that φ is holomorphic if for all $e' \in E'$ the function $m \in M \mapsto \langle \varphi(m), e'\rangle$ is holomorphic and we say that φ is strongly holomorphic if it admits complex derivatives of all order. Obviously, if φ is strongly holomorphic then φ is holomorphic. The analog of proposition A.3.1 for holomorphic functions is true (cf theorem 1 of [Gr2]) and is summarized in the next proposition.

Proposition A.4.1 *(i) If E is quasi-complete and $\varphi : M \to E$ is holomorphic if and only if it is strongly holomorphic.*

(ii) A map $\varphi : M \to E$ is holomorphic if and only if for all relatively compact open subset $\Omega \subset M$ there exists a bounded convex and radial subset $B \subset E$ such that $\varphi_{|\Omega}$ factorizes through E_B and the induced map $\Omega \to E_B$ is holomorphic;

(iii) Let $A \subset E'$ be a subset such that every subset $B \subset E$ that is weakly-A-bounded (meaning that for all $e' \in A$ the set $\{\langle b, e'\rangle,\ b \in B\}$ is bounded) is bounded. Then, a map $\varphi : M \to E$ is holomorphic if and only if for all $a \in A$ the function $m \in M \mapsto \langle \varphi(m), a\rangle$ is holomorphic.

(iv) Assume again that E is quasi-complete. Let F be another topological vector space. Then a map $\varphi : M \to Hom(E,F)$ is holomorphic if and only if for all $e \in E$ and $f' \in F'$, the map $m \in M \mapsto \langle \varphi(m)(e), f'\rangle$ is holomorphic.

Let X be a compact real smooth manifold. Denote by $C^\infty(X)$ the space of smooth complex-valued functions on X. It is naturally a Frechet space and we will denote by $C^{-\infty}(X)$ its topological dual. For all integer $k \geqslant 0$, we also have the space $C^k(X)$ of C^k complex-valued functions on X. It is naturally a Banach space and its dual $C^{-k}(X)$ is also a Banach space. We have a natural continuous inclusion $C^{-k}(X) \subseteq C^{-\infty}(X)$. Let M be a complex analytic manifold. In subsection B.3, we will need the following fact

(1) Let $\varphi : M \to C^{-\infty}(X)$ be holomorphic. Then, for all relatively compact open subset $\Omega \subseteq M$, there exists an integer $k \geqslant 0$ such that the map $\varphi_{|\Omega}$ factorizes through $C^{-k}(X)$ and induces an holomorphic map $\Omega \to C^{-k}(X)$.

This will follow from the point (ii) of the last proposition if we can prove that every bounded subset $B \subseteq C^{-\infty}(X)$ is contained and bounded in some $C^{-k}(X)$, $k \geqslant 0$. Note that we have a natural continuous and bijective linear map

$$(2) \quad \varinjlim_{k} C^{-k}(X) \to C^{-\infty}(X)$$

Since $C^{-\infty}(X)$ is the strong dual of a Frechet space, it is complete (proposition IV.3.2 of [Bour]). Hence, if we can prove that (2) is a topological isomorphism then we will be done (cf subsection A.1). By the open mapping theorem, it suffices to show that $C^{-\infty}(X)$ is a LF space. This follows from the facts that $C^{\infty}(X)$ is a nuclear space (cf next subsection), hence it is reflexive and the strong dual of a reflexive Frechet space is a LF space (IV.23 proposition 4 of [Bour]).

A.5 Completed projective tensor product, nuclear spaces

Let E and F be two topological vector spaces. For all continuous semi-norms p and q on E and F respectively, define a semi-norm $p \otimes q$ on $E \otimes F$ by

$$(p \otimes q)(v) = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(e_i)q(f_i);\ v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \otimes f_i\}, \quad v \in E \otimes F$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions $v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \otimes f_i$ of v where $e_i \in E$ and $f_i \in F$. If we choose two families of semi-norms $(p_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(q_j)_{j\in J}$ that generate the topology on E and F respectively, then the family of semi-norms $(p_i \otimes q_j)_{(i,j)\in I\times J}$ defines a topology on $E \otimes F$ which is independent of the two chosen families $(p_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(q_j)_{j\in J}$. We shall call it the projective topology and we will denote by $E\widehat{\otimes}_p F$ the completion of $E \otimes F$ for the projective topology. $E\widehat{\otimes}_p F$ is called the completed projective tensor product of E and F. It satisfies the following universal property: for all complete topological vector space G, the map that associates to $T \in Hom(E\widehat{\otimes}_p F, G)$ the bilinear map $(e, f) \in E \times F \mapsto T(e \otimes f)$ induces a bijection

$$Hom(E\widehat{\otimes}_p F, G) \simeq B(E, F; G)$$

where $B(E, F; G)$ denotes the space of all continuous bilinear maps $E \times F \to G$. We shall need the following

(1) Let E and F be Frechet spaces, G be any topological vector space, M be a real smooth manifold and φ be a map $M \to Hom(E\widehat{\otimes}_p F, G)$. Then, φ is smooth if and only if for all $e \in E$, all $f \in F$ and all $g' \in G'$, the map $m \in M \mapsto \langle \varphi(e\otimes f), g' \rangle$

is smooth.

By proposition A.3.1(iii), it suffices to see that a subset $B \subseteq Hom(E\widehat{\otimes}_p F, G)$ is bounded if and only if for all $e \in E$, $f \in F$ and $g' \in G'$ the set $\{\langle b(e \otimes f), g'\rangle;\ b \in B\}$ is bounded. We are immediately reduced to the case where $G = \mathbb{C}$. Let B be a set of continuous linear forms on $E\widehat{\otimes}_p F$, that we will identify with a set of continuous bilinear forms on $E \times F$, and assume that the set $\{b(e, f),\ b \in B\}$ is bounded for all $e \in E$ and $f \in F$. We want to show that B is bounded in $\left(E\widehat{\otimes}_p F\right)'$. This amounts to proving that the set $\{b(v);\ b \in B\}$ is bounded for all $v \in E\widehat{\otimes}_p F$. Since E and F are Frechet spaces, by theorem 45.1 of [Tr], every $v \in E\widehat{\otimes}_p F$ is the sum of an absolutely convergent series

$$(2) \quad v = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n \otimes y_n$$

where $(\lambda_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ is a sequence of complex numbers such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| < \infty$ and $(x_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ (resp. $(y_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$) is a sequence converging to 0 in E (resp. in F). Thus, we only need to show that the set $\{b(x_n, y_n);\ b \in B\ n \geqslant 0\}$ is bounded. But this follows from the usual uniform boundedness principle (for Banach spaces) using the fact that E and F are quasi-complete. This ends the proof of (1).

We show similarly, using the existence of decompositions like (2) above, the following

> (3) Let E and F be Frechet spaces. Then a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ of continuous linear forms on $E\widehat{\otimes}_p F$ converges point-wise if and only if for all $e \in E$ and all $f \in F$ the sequence $(\lambda_n(e \otimes f))_{n\geqslant 0}$ converges.

Nuclear spaces are a class of topological vector spaces that have many of the good properties of finite dimensional vector spaces. For the precise definition of nuclear spaces we refer the reader to [Tr]. Examples of nuclear spaces are $C^\infty(M)$ or $C_c^\infty(M)$, where M is a real smooth manifold. Any subspace and any quotient by a closed subspace of a nuclear space is nuclear (proposition 50.1 of [Tr]). A nuclear Frechet space is a Montel space (proposition 50.2 of [Tr]), hence is reflexive. Important for us will be the following description of the completed projective tensor product of two nuclear spaces of functions (cf theorem 13 of [Gr1]). For all set S, let us denote by $\mathcal{F}(S)$ the space of all complex-valued functions on S. We shall endow this space with the topology of pointwise convergence.

Proposition A.5.1 *(Grothendieck's weak-strong principle) Let S and T be two sets and let E and F be subpaces of $\mathcal{F}(S)$ and $\mathcal{F}(T)$ respectively. Assume that both E and F are equipped with locally convex topologies that are finer than the topology of pointwise convergence and that turn both E and F into nuclear LF spaces. Then, the natural bilinear map*

$$E \times F \to \mathcal{F}(S \times T)$$

is continuous and extends to an injective continuous linear map

$$E\widehat{\otimes}_p F \to \mathcal{F}(S\times T)$$

whose image consists in the functions $\varphi : S\times T\to \mathbb{C}$ *which satisfies*

- *For all* $s\in S$*, the function* $t\in T\mapsto \varphi(s,t)$ *belongs to* F*;*
- *For all* $f'\in F'$*, the function* $s\in S\mapsto \langle \varphi(s,.), f'\rangle$ *belongs to* E*.*

Finally, the next lemma will be used in subsection 4.2 in order to prove that spaces of quasi-characters are nuclear. Before stating it, we introduce a notation. Let $V\subseteq U\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open subsets. We will denote by $C_b^\infty(V,U)$ the space of all smooth functions $\varphi : V\to\mathbb{C}$ such that for all $u\in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the function $\partial(u)\varphi$ is locally bounded on U. We endow this space with the topology defined by the semi-norms

$$q_{\mathcal{K},u}(\varphi)=\sup_{x\in\mathcal{K}}\left|(\partial(u)\varphi)(x)\right|,\quad \varphi\in C_b^\infty(V,U)$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ runs through the compact subsets of U and u runs through $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. With this topology, $C_b^\infty(V,U)$ is easily seen to be a Frechet space.

Lemma A.5.1 *Assume that the following condition is satisfied:*

> *For all* $x\in U$*, there exists an open neighborhood* $U_x\subseteq U$ *of* x *such that* $U_x\cap V$ *can be written as a finite union of open convex subsets.*

Then, $C_b^\infty(V,U)$ *is nuclear.*

Proof: Fix for every $x\in U$ an open neighborhood $U_x\subseteq U$ satisfying the condition of the lemma. Set $V_x=U_x\cap V$ for all $x\in U$. Then, the natural restriction maps $C_b^\infty(V,U)\to C_b^\infty(V_x,U_x)$ induce a closed embedding

$$C_b^\infty(V,U)\hookrightarrow \prod_{x\in U} C_b^\infty(V_x,U_x)$$

Hence, we only need to prove that the spaces $C_b^\infty(V_x,U_x)$ are nuclear. We may thus assume that V itself can be written as a finite union of open convex subsets. Let $V=V_1\cup V_2\cup\ldots\cup V_d$, $d\geqslant 1$, be such a presentation. The restriction maps again induce a closed embedding

$$C_b^\infty(V,U)\hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} C_b^\infty(V_i,U)$$

from which it follows that we may assume, without loss of generality, that V is convex. If V is convex, then for all $u\in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $\varphi\in C_b^\infty(V,U)$, by the mean value theorem, the function $\partial(u)\varphi$ extends continuously to $cl_U(V)$ (the closure of V in U). By Whitney extension theorem ([Wh]), it follows that every function $\varphi \in C_b^\infty(V,U)$ extends to a smooth function on U. Hence, the restriction map

$$C^\infty(U) \to C_b^\infty(V,U)$$

is surjective. This linear map is obviously continuous and both $C^\infty(U)$ and $C_b^\infty(V,U)$ are Frechet spaces. Consequently, by the open mapping theorem, $C_b^\infty(V,U)$ is a quotient of $C^\infty(U)$ and the result follows since $C^\infty(U)$ is nuclear. ■

B Some estimates

B.1 Three lemmas

Lemma B.1.1 *Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq F^\times$ be a compact neighborhood of 1. Then, for all $\delta > 0$ there exists $\delta' > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \left(1 + \left|tx - t^{-1}y\right|\right)^{-\delta} dt \ll (1+|x|)^{-\delta'} (1+|y|)^{-\delta'}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} (1 + |tx - y|)^{-\delta} dt \ll (1+|x|)^{-\delta'} (1+|y|)^{-\delta'}$$

for all $x, y \in F$.

Lemma B.1.2 *(i) Let T be a torus over F and let $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n$ be linearly independent elements of $X^*(T)_{\overline{F}}$. Then, for all $Re(s) > 0$, the function*

$$t \mapsto |\chi_1(t) - 1|^{s-1} \ldots |\chi_n(t) - 1|^{s-1}$$

is locally integrable over $T(F)$.

(ii) Let V be a finite dimensional F-vector space and let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ be linearly independent elements in $V^*_{\overline{F}}$. Then, for all $Re(s) > 0$, the function

$$v \mapsto |\lambda_1(v)|^{s-1} \ldots |\lambda_n(v)|^{s-1}$$

is locally integrable over V. Moreover, for all $d > n$ and all compact subset $\mathcal{K}_V \subseteq V$, we have

$$\lim_{s \to 0^+} s^d \int_{\mathcal{K}_V} |\lambda_1(v)|^{s-1} \ldots |\lambda_n(v)|^{s-1} dv = 0$$

Lemma B.1.3 *Let H be an algebraic group over F, σ a log-norm on H (cf subsection 1.2) and $d_L h$ a left Haar measure on $H(F)$. For all $b > 0$, let us denote by $\mathbb{1}_{\sigma<b}$ the characteristic function of $\{h \in H(F);\ \sigma(h) < b\}$. Then, there exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{H(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma<b}(h) d_L h \ll e^{Rb}$$

for all $b > 0$.

B.2 Asymptotics of tempered Whittaker functions for general linear groups

Let V be an F-vector space of finite dimension d and set $G = GL(V)$. Let $(e_1, \ldots, e_d)$ be a basis of V and (B, T) be the standard Borel pair of G with respect to this basis. We have an isomorphism

$$T \simeq (\mathbb{G}_m)^d$$
$$t \mapsto (t_i)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d}$$

where t_i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, denotes the eigenvalue of t acting on e_i. Set

$$\widetilde{T} := \{t \in T;\ t_d = 1\}$$

Let N be the unipotent radical of B and let ξ be a generic character on $N(F)$, for example

$$\xi(n) = \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \langle n e_{i+1}, e_i^* \rangle\right), \quad n \in N(F)$$

where $(e_1^*, \ldots, e_d^*)$ denotes the dual basis of $(e_1, \ldots, e_d)$.

Let $\pi \in Temp(G)$ and fix a Whittaker model for π

$$\pi^\infty \hookrightarrow C^\infty\left(N(F)\backslash G(F), \xi\right)$$
$$v \mapsto W_v$$

Hence, there exists a nonzero continuous linear form

$$\ell : \pi^\infty \to \mathbb{C}$$

such that $\ell \circ \pi(n) = \xi(n)\ell$ for all $n \in N(F)$ and so that

$$W_v(g) = \ell(\pi(g)v)$$

for all $v \in \pi^\infty$ and all $g \in G(F)$.

Lemma B.2.1 *For all $R > 0$, there exists a continuous semi-norm ν_R on π^∞ such that*

$$\left|W_v(\widetilde{t})\right| \leqslant \nu_R(v)\Xi^G(\widetilde{t})\prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\max\left(1,|\widetilde{t}_i|\right)^{-R}$$

for all $v \in \pi^\infty$ and all $\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}(F)$.

Proof: Assume first that F is p-adic. Then, we have the following stronger inequality whose proof is classical (cf for example lemme 3.7 (i) of [Wa4])

(1) For all $v \in \pi^\infty$, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\left|W_v(\widetilde{t})\right| \ll \Xi^G(\widetilde{t})\prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\mathbb{1}_{]0,c]}(|\widetilde{t}_i|)$$

for all $\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}(F)$ and where $\mathbb{1}_{]0,c]}$ denotes the characteristic function of the interval $]0,c]$.

We henceforth assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. In this case, the inequality of the lemma is a consequence of the two following facts:

(2) There exists $R_0 > 0$ and a continuous semi-norm ν on π^∞ such that

$$\left|W_v(\widetilde{t})\right| \leqslant \nu(v)\Xi^G(\widetilde{t})\prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\max\left(1,|\widetilde{t}_i|\right)^{R_0}$$

for all $v \in \pi^\infty$ and all $\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}(\mathbb{R})$.

(3) For all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d-1$, there exists $u_i \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$ such that

$$W_v(\widetilde{t}) = \widetilde{t}_i^{-1}W_{\pi(u_i)v}(\widetilde{t})$$

for all $v \in \pi^\infty$ and all $\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}(\mathbb{R})$.

Let us identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ using the basis $e_1,\ldots,e_d$ and let us denote for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d-1$ by $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ the matrix with a 1 at the crossing of the ith row and $(i+1)$th column and zeroes everywhere else. Set $u_i' = X_iX_{i+1}\ldots X_{d-1}$ and $u_i = d\xi(u_i')^{-1}u_i'$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d-1$. Here $d\xi : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$ is the natural extension of the character $d\xi : \mathfrak{n}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$ obtained by differentiating ξ at the origin. Note that the elements u_i are well-defined since by the hypothesis that ξ is generic we have $d\xi(u_i') \neq 0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d-1$. Moreover, it is easy to check that for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d-1$, u_i satisfies the claim (3). We are thus only left with proving (2).

In what follows, we fix a positive integer k that we assume sufficiently large throughout. Denote by $\overline{B} = T\overline{N}$ the Borel subgroup opposite to B with respect to T. Let $Y_1,\ldots,Y_b$ be a basis of $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}(\mathbb{R})$ and set $\Delta_{\overline{B}} = Y_1^2 + \ldots + Y_b^2 \in \mathcal{U}(\overline{\mathfrak{b}})$. Then, by elliptic regularity (2.1(2)), there exists functions $\varphi^1_{\overline{B}} \in C_c^{2k-\dim(\overline{B})-1}(\overline{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\varphi^2_{\overline{B}} \in C_c^\infty(\overline{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ such that

$$\varphi^1_{\overline{B}} * \Delta^k_{\overline{B}} + \varphi^2_{\overline{B}} = \delta_1^{\overline{B}}$$

Applying π to this equality, we get

$$W_v(\widetilde{t}) = \ell\left(\pi(\widetilde{t})v\right) = \ell\left(\pi(\varphi^1_{\overline{B}})\pi(\Delta^k_{\overline{B}})\pi(\widetilde{t})v\right) + \ell\left(\pi(\varphi^2_{\overline{B}})\pi(\widetilde{t})v\right)$$

for all $v \in \pi^\infty$ and all $\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\varphi_N \in C_c^\infty(N(\mathbb{R}))$ be any function such that $\int_{N(\mathbb{R})} \varphi_N(n)\xi(n)dn = 1$. Then we have $\ell = \ell \circ \pi(\varphi_N)$. Plugging this into the last equality, we get

$$(4) \quad W_v(\widetilde{t}) = \ell\left(\pi(\varphi^1)\pi(\Delta^k_{\overline{B}})\pi(\widetilde{t})v\right) + \ell\left(\pi(\varphi^2)\pi(\widetilde{t})v\right)$$

for all $v \in \pi^\infty$ and all $\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}(\mathbb{R})$ where we have set $\varphi^1 = \varphi_N * \varphi^1_{\overline{B}}$ and $\varphi^2 = \varphi_N * \varphi^2_{\overline{B}}$. Note φ^1 and φ^2 both belong to $C_c^{2k-\dim(\overline{B})-1}(G(\mathbb{R}))$. It follows that for k sufficiently large, the two vectors $\ell \circ \pi(\varphi^1)$, $\ell \circ \pi(\varphi^2) \in \pi^{-\infty}$ actually belong to $\overline{\pi}^\infty$. Assuming k to be that sufficiently large, by 2.2(6) we get the existence of a continuous semi-norm ν_0 on π^∞ such that

$$\left|\ell\left(\pi(\varphi^i)\pi(g)v\right)\right| \leqslant \nu_0(v)\Xi^G(g)$$

for all $v \in \pi^\infty$, all $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ and all $i \in \{1,2\}$. Combining this inequality with (4), we get

$$\left|W_v(\widetilde{t})\right| \leqslant \left(\nu_0\left(\pi(\widetilde{t}^{-1}\Delta^k_{\overline{B}}\widetilde{t})v\right) + \nu_0(v)\right)\Xi^G(\widetilde{t})$$

for all $v \in \pi^\infty$ and all $\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}(\mathbb{R})$. To ends the proof of (2), it suffices to notice that $\Delta^k_{\overline{B}}$ is a sum of eigenvectors for the adjoint action of $\widetilde{T}(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathcal{U}(\overline{\mathfrak{b}})$ and that any such eigenvector has an associated eigen-character of the form $\widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{T}(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto \widetilde{t}_1^{-n_1} \ldots \widetilde{t}_{d-1}^{-n_{d-1}}$ for some nonnegative integers $n_1, \ldots, n_{d-1}$. ■

B.3 Unipotent estimates

Let us fix the following

- G a connected reductive group over F;
- $P_{min} = M_{min}N_{min}$ and $\overline{P}_{min} = M_{min}\overline{N}_{min}$ two opposite minimal parabolic subgroups of G;
- $A_{min} = A_{M_{min}}$ denotes the maximal split subtorus of M_{min};
- $\lambda_{min} : N_{min} \to \mathbb{G}_a$ is a non-degenerate additive character;
- $\xi_{min} = \psi \circ \lambda_{min,F} : N_{min}(F) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ where $\psi : F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a continuous unitary character;

- $N'_{min} = Ker(\lambda_{min})$.
- K is a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ that is special in the p-adic case. We denote by $m_{\overline{P}_{min}} : G(F) \to M_{min}(F)$ any map such that $m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(g)^{-1}g \in \overline{N}_{min}(F)K$ for all $g \in G(F)$.

The purpose of this subsection is to show the following estimate

Proposition B.3.1 *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the integral*

$$\int_{N'_{min}(F)} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(n'n))^{1/2-\epsilon} dn'$$

is absolutely convergent for all $n \in N_{min}(F)$ and is bounded uniformly in n.

To prove this estimate, we will use the holomorphic continuation of the Jacquet integral. Let us recall what it means. For all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we introduce the smooth normalized induced representation

$$\pi_s^\infty = i_{\overline{P}_{min}}^G(\delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}^s)^\infty$$

By restriction to K, all the spaces underlying the representations π_s^∞ (for $s \in \mathbb{C}$) become isomorphic to $C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$, where $K_{min} = K \cap \overline{P}_{min}(F)$. We will use these isomorphisms as identifications and for $e \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we will denote by e_s the corresponding vector in the space of π_s^∞. Now for $Re(s) > 0$, we may define the following functional

$$\Lambda_s : C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K) \to \mathbb{C}$$

$$e \mapsto \int_{N_{min}(F)} e_s(n)\overline{\xi(n)}dn$$

(the integral is absolutely convergent). This functional is called the Jacquet integral. The space $C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$ is naturally a topological vector space: if F is archimedean then it has a structure of Frechet space whereas if F is p-adic we equip it with the finest locally convex topology. Then Λ_s, for $Re(s) > 0$, is a continuous linear form hence it belongs to the topological dual of $C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$ that we will denote by $C^{-\infty}(K_{min}\backslash K)$. The holomorphic continuation of the Jacquet integral now means the following

> The map $s \in \{Re > 0\} \mapsto \Lambda_s \in C^{-\infty}(K_{min}\backslash K)$ is holomorphic and admits an holomorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof of proposition B.3.1: We may assume without loss of generality that G is adjoint. This implies the existence of a one-parameter subgroup

$$a : \mathbb{G}_m \to A_{min}$$

$$x \mapsto a(x)$$

such that $\lambda_{min}\left(a(x)na(x)^{-1}\right) = x\lambda(n)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{G}_m$ and all $n \in N$. Note that $a \in X_*(A_{min})$ is in the positive chamber corresponding to P_{min}. We start by proving the following

(1) For all $e \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$, all $n \in N_{min}(F)$ and all $s \in \{Re > 0\}$, we have

$$\int_{N'_{min}(F)} e_s(n'n)dn' = \int_F \psi(x\lambda_{min}(n))\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)\delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{s-1/2}dx$$

where dx denotes some additive Haar measure on F and both integrals are absolutely convergent.

Fix $e \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > 0$. Using $\lambda_{min,F}$, we may identify $N'_{min}(F)\backslash N_{min}(F)$ with F. Then both sides of (1) may be seen as a functions on F:

$$\varphi_1 : y \in F \mapsto \int_{N'_{min}(F)} e_s(n'y)dn'$$

$$\varphi_2 : y \in F \mapsto \int_F \psi(xy)\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)\delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{s-1/2}dx$$

The integral defining the φ_1 is absolutely convergent and the resulting function is integrable over F. Moreover, in the p-adic case we obtain a uniformly smooth function on F whereas in the archimedean case we obtain a function on F that is smooth with all its derivatives integrable. All of these easily follow from the following two facts

(2) For all compact $C \subseteq N_{min}(F)$, we have

$$|e_s(n'n)| \ll \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}\left(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(n')\right)^{1/2+Re(s)}$$

for all $n' \in N_{min}(F)$ and all $n \in C$.

(3) The integral

$$\int_{N_{min}(F)} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}\left(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(n)\right)^{1/2+Re(s)} dn$$

is absolutely convergent.

In both cases, this implies that φ_1 admits a Fourier transform

$$\widehat{\varphi}_1(x) = \int_F \varphi_1(y)\overline{\psi(xy)}dy$$

(the Haar measure dy being the quotient of the Haar measure on $N_{min}(F)$ and on $N'_{min}(F)$) which is integrable over F and that for dx the Haar measure dual to dy, we have

$$\varphi_1(y) = \int_F \widehat{\varphi}_1(x)\psi(xy)dx$$

for all $y \in F$. To prove (1) it is thus sufficient to establish that $\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)\delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{s-1/2} = \widehat{\varphi}_1(x)$ for all $x \in F^\times$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right) &= \int_{N_{min}(F)} e_s(na(x))\overline{\xi_{min}(n)}dn \\ &= \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(a(x))^{1/2+s}\int_{N_{min}(F)} e_s(a(x)^{-1}na(x))\overline{\xi_{min}(n)}dn \\ &= \delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{1/2-s}\int_{N_{min}(F)} e_s(n)\overline{\xi_{min}(a(x)na(x)^{-1})}dn \\ &= \delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{1/2-s}\int_F \varphi_1(y)\overline{\psi(xy)}dy\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in F^\times$, where at the third line we made the variable change $n \mapsto a(x)na(x)^{-1}$. This proves the equality $\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)\delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{s-1/2} = \widehat{\varphi}_1(x)$ for all $x \in F^\times$ and ends the proof of (1).

We will now prove the following

(4) There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $e \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$ the integral

$$\int_F \Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)\delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{s-1/2}dx$$

is absolutely and locally uniformly convergent for all s in $\{Re > -\delta\}$.

First we show how to deduce the proposition from this last claim. It implies in particular that the right hand side of (1) admits an holomorphic continuation to some half plan $\{Re > -\delta\}$, $\delta > 0$. Consequently, the left hand side also admits an holomorphic continuation to such an half plane. Let us consider the case where $e = e_0 \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$ is the constant function equal to 1. Then the left hand side of (1) is, for $Re(s) > 0$,

$$\int_{N'_{min}(F)} \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(n'n))^{1/2+s}dn'$$

Since the integrand is positive for s real, this implies that the integral is still absolutely convergent in the half plane $\{Re > -\delta\}$. Hence, for $\epsilon < \delta$, the integral of the proposition is convergent. Moreover, it is equal to the integral of the right hand side of (1) evaluated at $s = -\epsilon$. By (4), this integral is also absolutely convergent. Since the absolute value of the integrand is independent of $n \in N(F)$, this shows the uniform boundedness of the proposition. Hence, we are left to establish (4).

Fix $e \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$. We now split the estimate (4) into the two following ones

(5) The integral

$$\int_{\{|x|\geqslant 1\}} \Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)\delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{s-1/2}dx$$

is absolutely convergent locally uniformly in s for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

(6) There exists $\delta > 0$ such that the integral

$$\int_{\{|x|<1\}} \Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)\delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{s-1/2}dx$$

is absolutely convergent locally uniformly in s for all $s \in \{Re > -\delta\}$.

When $F = \mathbb{R}$, we will need one fact about holomorphic functions with value in $C^{-\infty}(K_{min}\backslash K)$. For all integer $k \geqslant 0$, consider the subspace $C^k(K_{min}\backslash K)$ of $C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$. It is naturally a Banach space and its dual, which we will denote by $C^{-k}(K_{min}\backslash K)$ is also a Banach space and comes with a natural continuous embedding $C^{-k}(K_{min}\backslash K) \subseteq C^{-\infty}(K_{min}\backslash K)$. We will need the following (cf A.4(1))

(7) Assume $F = \mathbb{R}$. Then, for all relatively compact open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, there exists an integer $k \geqslant 0$ such that the map $s \in \Omega \mapsto \Lambda_s$ factors through $C^{-k}(K_{min}\backslash K)$ and defines an holomorphic function into that Banach space.

We now prove (5). By assumption, there exists $X \in \mathfrak{n}_{min}$ such that $\xi_{min}(e^X) \neq 1$ and $a(x)Xa(x)^{-1} = xX$ for all $x \in F^\times$. We now separate the proof according to whether F is p-adic or real

- First assume that F is a p-adic field. It is easy to see that $x \in F^\times \mapsto \Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)$ is bounded on compact subsets of $F^\times$ locally uniformly in s. Hence, it is sufficient to establish the following

  (8) There exists $c \geqslant 1$ such that

  $$\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right) = 0$$

  for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in F^\times$ satisfying $|x| \geqslant c$.

  Indeed, for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in F^\times$, we have

  $$\begin{aligned}\xi_{min}(e^X)\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right) &= \Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(e^X)\pi_s(a(x))e\right)\\ &= \Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))\pi_s(e^{x^{-1}X})e\right)\end{aligned}$$

  and for x sufficiently large, $e^{x^{-1}X}$ is in K and stabilizes e. Since $\xi_{min}(e^X) \neq 1$, this shows the vanishing (8).

- Let us now treat the case where $F = \mathbb{R}$. Fix Ω a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. By (7), there exists an integer $k \geqslant 0$ such that

  $$|\Lambda_s(e')| \ll \|e'\|_{C^k}$$

  for all $e' \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$ and all $s \in \Omega$. Moreover, it is easy to see that there exists a positive integer $N_0 > 0$ such that

  $$\|\pi_s(a(x))e'\|_{C^k} \ll |x|^{N_0}\|e'\|_{C^k}$$

  for all $e' \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$, all $s \in \Omega$ and all $x \in F^\times$ such that $|x| \geqslant 1$. Hence, we have

  $$(9) \quad |\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e'\right)| \ll |x|^{N_0}\|e'\|_{C^k}$$

  for all $e' \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$, all $s \in \Omega$ and all $x \in F^\times$ such that $|x| \geqslant 1$. Also, there exists a positive integer N_1 such that

  $$(10) \quad \left|\delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{s-1/2}\right| \ll |x|^{N_1}$$

  for all $s \in \Omega$ and all $x \in F^\times$ such that $|x| \geqslant 1$.

  Consider the element $X \in \mathfrak{n}_{min}(F)$ previously introduced. Up to a scaling, we may assume that $d\xi_{min}(X) = 1$. Then, for all positive integer N_2, we have

  $$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right) &= d\xi_{min}(X)^{N_2}\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)\\ &= \Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(X^{N_2})\pi_s(a(x))e\right)\\ &= |x|^{-N_2}\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))\pi_s(X^{N_2})e\right)\end{aligned}$$

  Since the family $\left(\pi_s(X^{N_2})e\right)_{s\in\Omega}$ is bounded in $C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$, combining the previous equality with (9), we get that for all integer $N_2 > 0$, we have an inequality

  $$|\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)| \ll |x|^{N_0-N_2}$$

  for all $s \in \Omega$ and all $x \in F^\times$ such that $|x| \geqslant 1$. Combing this further with (10), we get an inequality

  $$\left|\delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{s-1/2}\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e'\right)\right| \ll |x|^{-2}$$

  for all $s \in \Omega$ and all $x \in F^\times$ such that $|x| \geqslant 1$. This ends the proof of (5).

Let us now prove (6). We will deduce it from the following

(11) There exists $d > 0$ such that we have an inequality which is uniform locally in s

$$|\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right)| \ll \delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{1/2-|Re(s)|}\sigma(a(x))^d$$

for all $x \in F^\times$ such that $|x| < 1$.

The claim (6) will follow because for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small the function $x \mapsto \delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{-\delta}\sigma(a(x))^d$ is locally integrable on F.

We define a bilinear form $\langle .,. \rangle_K$ on $C(K_{min}\backslash K)$ (space of all complex-valued continuous functions on $K_{min}\backslash K$) by

$$\langle e, e' \rangle = \int_K e(k)e'(k)dk$$

This induces a continuous embedding $C(K_{min}\backslash K) \subset C^{-\infty}(K_{min}\backslash K)$. We have $\langle \pi_s(g)e, e' \rangle_K = \langle e, \pi_{-s}(g^{-1})e' \rangle_K$ for all $e, e' \in C(K_{min}\backslash K)$, all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $g \in G(F)$. The space $C(K_{min}\backslash K)$ is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|e\|_\infty = \sup_{k \in K} |e(k)|, \quad e \in C(K_\infty\backslash K)$$

In order to prove (11), we will need the following

(12) There exists $d > 0$, such that we have an inequality

$$|\langle e, \pi_s(a(x))e' \rangle_K| \ll \delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{1/2-|Re(s)|}\sigma(a(x))^d\|e\|_\infty\|e'\|_\infty$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, all $e, e' \in C(K_{min}\backslash K)$ and all $x \in F^\times$ such that $|x| < 1$.

Indeed, let $e, e' \in C(K_{min}\backslash K)$. Expanding definitions, we get

$$\langle e, \pi_s(a(x))e' \rangle_K = \int_K \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}\left(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(ka(x))\right)^{1/2+s} e'\left(k_{\overline{P}_{min}}(ka(x))\right) e(k)dk$$

Hence, we have

$$|\langle e, \pi_s(a(x))e' \rangle_K| \leqslant \|e\|_\infty\|e'\|_\infty \sup_{k \in K}\left[\delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}\left(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(ka(x))\right)^{Re(s)}\right] \int_K \delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}\left(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(ka(x))\right)^{1/2} dk$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in F^\times$. The integral term above is (by definition) $\Xi^G(a(x))$. Since $a(.)$ is in the positive chamber relative to P_{min}, by proposition 1.5.1(i) there exists a $d_0 > 0$ such that $\Xi^G(a(x)) \ll \delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{1/2}\sigma(a(x))^{d_0}$ for all $x \in F^\times$ with $|x| < 1$. On the other hand, it easily follows from proposition 1.5.1(i) and (ii) that there exists $d_1 > 0$ such that the supremum term above is essentially bounded by $\delta_{P_{min}}(a(x))^{-|Re(s)|}\sigma(a(x))^{d_1}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in F^\times$ such that $|x| < 1$. The inequality (12) follows.

We now prove (11) distinguishing again the case where F is p-adic from the case where F is real.

- Assume first that F is a p-adic field. Since $a \in X_*(A_{min})$ is in the positive chamber for P_{min}, there exists a compact-open subgroup $K_{\overline{P}} \subseteq K_{min} \cap \overline{P}_{min}(F)$ fixing e and such that $a(x)^{-1}K_{\overline{P}}a(x) \subseteq K_{\overline{P}}$ for all $x \in F^\times$ with $|x| < 1$. Fix such a subgroup and let $K' \subseteq K \cap Ker(\xi_{min})K_{\overline{P}}$ be a compact-open subgroup. Then, we have

  $$\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right) = \Lambda_s\left(\pi(e_{K'})\pi_s(a(x))e\right)$$

  for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in F^\times$ such that $|x| < 1$, where $e_{K'} = vol(K')^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{K'}$. Now the function $s \mapsto \Lambda_s \circ \pi(e_{K'})$ actually takes value in $C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$ and we have

  $$\Lambda_s\left(\pi(e_{K'})e'\right) = \langle \Lambda_s \circ \pi(e_{K'}), e'\rangle_K$$

  for all $e' \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$ and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. By (12), it is thus sufficient to show that the map $s \mapsto \Lambda_s \circ \pi(e_{K'}) \in C(K_{min}\backslash K)$ is locally bounded (as a map into $C(K_{min}\backslash K)$). Obviously, this map is continuous as a map into $C^{-\infty}(K_{min}\backslash K)$ (because $s \mapsto \Lambda_s$ is continuous). Moreover, the map $s \mapsto \Lambda_s \circ \pi(e_{K'})$ in fact takes value in the finite dimensional subspace of functions invariant on the right by K'. Since the topologies induced by either $C^{-\infty}(K_{min}\backslash K)$ or $C(K_{min}\backslash K)$ on that subspace are the same, we conclude that the map $s \mapsto \Lambda_s \circ \pi(e_{K'}) \in C(K_{min}\backslash K)$ is continuous and we are done.

- Assume now that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Fix a basis $X_1, \ldots X_N$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{min}(F)$ and set

  $$\Delta_{\overline{P}} = X_1^2 + \ldots + X_N^2 \in \mathcal{U}\left(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}(F)\right)$$

  By elliptic regularity (2.1(2)), for all positive integer m such that $2m > dim\ \overline{P}_{min}$, there exist functions $\varphi^1_{\overline{P}} \in C_c^{2m-dim\overline{P}_{min}-1}\left(\overline{P}_{min}(F)\right)$ and $\varphi^2_{\overline{P}} \in C_c^\infty\left(\overline{P}_{min}(F)\right)$ such that we have the equality of distributions

  $$\varphi^1_{\overline{P}} * \Delta^m_{\overline{P}} + \varphi^2_{\overline{P}} = \delta_e^{\overline{P}_{min}}$$

  Hence, for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

  $$\pi_s(\varphi^1_{\overline{P}})\pi_s(\Delta^m_{\overline{P}}) + \pi_s(\varphi^2_{\overline{P}}) = Id$$

  Choose a function $\varphi_N \in C_c^\infty\left(N_{min}(F)\right)$ such that $\int_{N_{min}(F)} \varphi_N(n)\xi_{min}(n)dn = 1$. Then, we have

$$(13) \quad \Lambda_s(e') = \Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(\varphi^1)\pi_s(\Delta_{\overline{P}}^m)e'\right) + \Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(\varphi^2)e'\right)$$

for all $e' \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$ and all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\varphi^1 = \varphi_N * \varphi_{\overline{P}}^1 \in C_c^{2m-dim\overline{P}_{min}-1}(G(F))$ and $\varphi^2 = \varphi_N * \varphi_{\overline{P}}^2 \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$.

Fix $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ a compact subset. By (7), there exists an integer $k \geqslant 0$ such that

$$s \mapsto \Lambda_s \in C^{-k}(K_{min}\backslash K)$$

is holomorphic. If m is sufficiently large, we will have $\varphi^i \in C_c^k(G(F))$ and hence $\Lambda_s \circ \pi_s(\varphi^i) \in C(K_{min}\backslash K)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and for all $s \in \Omega$. Henceforth, we will assume that m is that sufficiently large. By (13), we have

$$\Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(a(x))e\right) = \left\langle \Lambda_s \circ \pi_s(\varphi^1), \pi_s(a(x))\pi_s\left(a(x)^{-1}\Delta_{\overline{P}}^m a(x)\right)e\right\rangle_K + \left\langle \Lambda_s \circ \pi_s(\varphi^2), \pi_s(a(x))e\right\rangle_K$$

for all $s \in \Omega$ and all $x \in F^\times$. Since $a(.)$ is in the positive chamber relative to P_{min}, the function $x \in F^\times \mapsto a(x)^{-1}\Delta_{\overline{P}}^m a(x)$ is a finite sum of terms of the form $x \mapsto x^\ell D$ where $\ell \geqslant 0$ is an integer and $D \in \mathcal{U}(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_{min}(F))$. Since for all $D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ the map $s \mapsto \pi_s(D)e$ is locally bounded, by (12) we are reduced to show that the maps

$$s \in \Omega \mapsto \Lambda_s \circ \pi_s(\varphi^i) \in C(K_{min}\backslash K) \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

are bounded. Fix i to be 1 or 2 and set $\varphi = \varphi^i$. In any case, we have $\varphi \in C_c^k(G(F))$. Then, for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ the operator $\pi_s(\varphi)$ is given by a kernel function $\pi_s(\varphi)(.,.)$ on $K_{min}\backslash K \times K_{min}\backslash K$ i.e. we have

$$\left(\pi_s(\varphi)e'\right)(k) = \int_K \pi_s(\varphi)(k, k')e(k')dk'$$

for all $e' \in C^\infty(K_{min}\backslash K)$ and all $k \in K$, where

$$\pi_s(\varphi)(k, k') = \int_{\overline{P}_{min}(F)} \varphi(k^{-1}\overline{p}_{min}k')\delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(\overline{p}_{min})^{1/2+s} d_L\overline{p}_{min}$$

For all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $\pi_s(\varphi)(.,.) \in C^k(K_{min}\backslash K \times K_{min}\backslash K)$ and it is not hard to see that the map $s \mapsto \pi_s(\varphi)(.,.) \in C^k(K_{min}\backslash K \times K_{min}\backslash K)$ is holomorphic hence continuous. Now for all $s \in \Omega$ and all $k \in K$, we have

$$\left(\Lambda_s \circ \pi_s(\varphi)\right)(k) = \Lambda_s\left(\pi_s(\varphi)(k, .)\right)$$

Since $s \mapsto \Lambda_s \in C^{-k}(K_{min}\backslash K)$ is continuous, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}|\left(\Lambda_s\circ\pi_s(\varphi)\right)(k)| &\ll \|\pi_s(\varphi)(k,.)\|_{C^k}\\ &\ll \|\pi_s(\varphi)(.,.)\|_{C^k}\end{aligned}$$

for all $s\in\Omega$ and all $k\in K$ (the first norm above is the norm on $C^k(K_{min}\backslash K)$ whereas the second norm is the norm on $C^k(K_{min}\backslash K\times K_{min}\backslash K)$). Since the last norm above is bounded on Ω (because $s\mapsto\pi_s(\varphi)(.,.)$ is continuous), this proves that the map $s\in\Omega\mapsto\Lambda_s\circ\pi_s(\varphi)\in C^k(K_{min}\backslash K)$ is bounded and ends the proof of (11). ■

Corollary B.3.1 *Let $P=MN\supseteq P_{min}=M_{min}N_{min}$ be a parabolic subgroup of G containing P_{min}. Then, for all $\delta>0$ there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that the integral*

$$\int_{N(F)}\Xi^G(n)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda_{min}(n)|\right)^{-\delta}dn$$

is absolutely convergent.

Proof: First we do the case where $P=P_{min}$. Fix $\epsilon_0>0$ such that the conclusion of proposition B.3.1 holds for $\epsilon=\epsilon_0$. Then, the integral

$$\int_{N_{min}(F)}\delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(n))^{1/2-\epsilon_0}\left(1+|\lambda_{min}(n)|\right)^{-2}dn$$

is absolutely convergent. By proposition 1.5.1(ii), there exists $d>0$ such that $\Xi^G(g)\ll\delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(g))^{1/2}\sigma(g)^d$ for all $g\in G(F)$. Moreover, by lemme II.3.4 of [Wa2] (in the p-adic case) and lemma 4.A.2.3 of [Wall] (in the real case), there exists $c_1>0$ such that $e^{c_1\sigma(n)}\ll\delta_{\overline{P}_{min}}(m_{\overline{P}_{min}}(n))^{-1}$ for all $n\in N_{min}(F)$. It follows that for $\epsilon<\epsilon_0c_1$, the integral

$$\int_{N_{min}(F)}\Xi^G(n)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda_{min}(n)|\right)^{-2}dn$$

is absolutely convergent. This establishes the corollary for $\delta\geqslant 2$. Let $0<\delta<2$ and set $p=\frac{2}{\delta}$, $q=\frac{2}{2-\delta}$. By Hölder inequality, for all $\epsilon>0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{N_{min}(F)}\Xi^G(n)e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda_{min}(n)|\right)^{-\delta}dn &=\int_{N_{min}(F)}\Xi^G(n)^{1/p+1/q}e^{2\epsilon\sigma(n)-\epsilon\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda_{min}(n)|\right)^{-\delta}dn\\ &\leqslant\left(\int_{N_{min}(F)}\Xi^G(n)e^{2\epsilon p\sigma(n)}\left(1+|\lambda_{min}(n)|\right)^{-2}dn\right)^{1/p}\\ &\times\left(\int_{N_{min}(F)}\Xi^G(n)e^{-\epsilon q\sigma(n)}dn\right)^{1/q}\end{aligned}$$

By what we just saw, the first integral above is absolutely convergent if ϵ is sufficiently small. On the other hand, the second integral above is always absolutely convergent by proposition 1.5.1(iv). Hence, the integral

$$\int_{N_{min}(F)} \Xi^G(n) e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)} \left(1+|\lambda_{min}(n)|\right)^{-\delta} dn$$

is absolutely convergent for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. This settles the case $P = P_{min}$. We may deduce the general case from this particular case as follows. Let $\delta > 0$ and choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that the conclusion of the corollary holds for $P = P_{min}$. Then, the integral

$$\int_{N(F)\backslash N_{min}(F)} \int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(nn') e^{\epsilon\sigma(nn')} \left(1+|\lambda_{min}(nn')|\right)^{-\delta} dn dn'$$

is absolutely convergent. By Fubini, it follows that there exists $n' \in N_{min}(F)$ such that the inner integral

$$\int_{N(F)} \Xi^G(nn') e^{\epsilon\sigma(nn')} \left(1+|\lambda_{min}(nn')|\right)^{-\delta} dn$$

is also absolutely convergent. Up to translation by $N(F)$, we may assume that $\lambda_{min}(n') = 0$. Moreover, we have inequalities

$$\Xi^G(n) \ll \Xi^G(nn'), \quad e^{\epsilon\sigma(n)} \ll e^{\epsilon\sigma(nn')}$$

for all $n \in N(F)$. It follows that the same integral without the n' is also absolutely convergent and this ends the proof of the corollary ■

Bibliography

[AGRS] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, S. Rallis, G. Schiffmann: *Multiplicity one theorems*, Ann. of Math. (2) 172 (2010), no. 2, 1407-1434

[A1] J. Arthur: *A local trace formula*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 73 (1991), 5-96

[A2]————-: *A theorem on the Schwartz space of a reductive Lie group*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 72 (1975), no. 12, 4718-4719

[A3]————-: *An introduction to the trace formula*, in "Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties", p. 1-263, Clay Math. Proc., 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005

[A4]————-: *On elliptic tempered characters*, Acta Math. 171 (1993), no. 1, 73-138

[A5]————-: *On the Fourier transform of wighted orbital integrals*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 452 (1994), 163-217

[A6]————-: *The local behavior of weighted orbital integrals*, Duke Math. J. 56 (1988), no. 2, 223-293

[A7]————-: *The endoscopic classification of representations. Orthogonal and symplectic groups*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 61. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. xviii+590 pp.

[A8]————-: *Harmonic analysis of the Schwartz space on a reductive Lie group* I & II, preprints

[BV] D. Barbasch, D. A. Vogan: *The local structure of characters*, J. Funct. Anal. 37 (1980), no. 1, 27-55

[Bar] E. M. Baruch: *A proof of Kirillov's conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) 158 (2003), no. 1, 207-252

[Ber1] J. N. Bernstein: *On the support of Plancherel measure*, J. Geom. Phys. 5 (1988), no. 4, 663-710

[Ber2]————-: *P-invariant distributions on $GL(N)$ and the classification of unitary representations of $GL(N)$*, in "Lie group representations, II" (College Park, Md., 1982/1983), 50-102, Lecture Notes in Math., 1041, Springer, Berlin, 1984

[BK] J. N. Bernstein and B. Krötz: *Smooth Fréchet globalizations of Harish-Chandra modules*, Israel J. Math. 199 (2014), no. 1, 45-111

[Beu1] R. Beuzart-Plessis: *La conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes unitaires*, prepublication 2012

[Beu2]——————: *A slight variation of Arthur local trace formula*, in preparation

[Bou1] A. Bouaziz: *Integrales orbitales sur les algebres de Lie reductives*, Invent. Math. 115 (1994), no. 1, 163-207

[Bou2]————-: *Integrales orbitales sur les groupes de Lie reductifs*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 27 (1994), no. 5, 573-609

[Bou3]————-: *Sur les distributions covariantes dans les algebres de Lie reductives*, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), no. 10, 3203-3217

[Bour] N. Bourbaki: *Topological vector spaces. Chapters 1-5*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. viii+364 pp

[DM] J. Dixmier P. Malliavin: *Factorisations de fonctions et de vecteurs indefiniment differentiables*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 102 (1978), no. 4, 307-330

[GGP] W. T. Gan, B. H. Gross, D. Prasad: *Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups*, in "Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I" Astérisque No. 346 (2012), 1-109

[Gr1] A. Grothendieck: *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 1955 (1955), no. 16

[Gr2]——————-: *Sur certains espaces de fonctions holomorphes. I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 192, (1953). 35-64

[GK] I. M. Gelfand, D. Kazhdan: *Representations of the group $GL(n,K)$ where K is a local field*, in "Lie groups and their representations" (Proc. Summer School, Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971), pp. 95-118. Halsted, New York, 1975

[HC] Harish-Chandra: *Supertempered distributions on real reductive groups*, Studies in applied mathematics, 139-153, Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud., 8, Academic Press, New York, 1983

[HCDS]——————-: *Admissible invariant distributions on reductive p-adic groups*, notes par S. DeBacker et P. Sally, University Lecture series 16, AMS (1999)

[JSZ] D. Jiang, B. Sun, C.-B. Zhu: *Uniqueness of Bessel models: The archimedean case*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 20 (2010), no. 3, 690-709

[KMSW] T. Kaletha, A. Minguez, S. W. Shin, P.-J. White: *Endoscopic Classification of Representations: Inner Forms of Unitary Groups*, prepublication 2014

[Kem] A. Kemarsky: *A note on Kirillov model for representations of* $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, prepublication 2014

[KKSS] F. Knop, B. Krötz, E. Sayag, H. Schlichtkrull: *Simple compactifications and polar decomposition of homogeneous real spherical spaces*, prepublication 2014

[Kost] B. Kostant: *On Whittaker vectors and representation theory*, Invent. Math. 48 (1978), no. 2, 101-184

[Kott1] R. E. Kottwitz: *Rational conjugacy classes in reductive groups*, Duke Math. J. Volume 49, Number 4 (1982), 785-806

[Kott2]——————: *Sign changes in harmonic analysis on reductive groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 278 (1983), 289-297

[Kott3]——————: *Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups and Lie algebras*, in "Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties", 393522, Clay Math. Proc., 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005

[Lan] R. P. Langlands: *On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups*, in "Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups", 101-170, Math. Surveys Monogr., 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989

[LS] T. Levasseur, J. T. Stafford: *Invariant differential operators and an homomorphism of Harish-Chandra*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1995), no. 2, 365-372

[Mat] H. Matumoto: $C^{-\infty}$*-Whittaker vectors corresponding to a principal nilpotent orbit of a real reductive linear Lie group and wave front sets*, Compositio Math. 82, 189-244 (1992)

[MW] C. Moeglin, J.-L. Waldspurger: *Modèles de Whittaker dégénérés pour des groupes p-adiques* Math. Z. 196, no. 3, pp 427-452 (1987)

[Mok] C. P. Mok: *Endoscopic Classification of representations of Quasi-Split Unitary Groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 235 (2015), no. 1108

[SV] Y. Sakellaridis, A. Venkatesh: *Periods and harmonic analysis on spherical varieties*, prepublication 2012

[She] D. Shelstad: *Characters and inner forms of a quasi-split group over* $\mathbb{R}$, Compositio Math. 39 (1979), no. 1, 11-45

[Tr] F. Treves: *Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels*, Academic Press, New York-London 1967 xvi+624 pp.

[Va] V. S. Varadarajan: *Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 576. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. v+521 pp.

[Vog] D. A. Jr. Vogan: *Gel'fand-Kirillov dimension for Harish-Chandra modules*, Invent. Math. 48 (1978), no. 1, 75-98

[Wa1] J.-L. Waldspurger: *Une formule intégrale reliée à la conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad*, Compos. Math. 146 (2010), no. 5, 1180-1290

[Wa2]——————: *La formule de Plancherel pour les groupes p-adiques (d'après Harish-Chandra)*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 2 (2003), no. 2, 235-333

[Wa3]——————: *Une formule des traces locale pour les algèbres de Lie p-adiques*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 465 (1995), 41-99

[Wa4]——————: *Une formule intégrale reliée à la conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad, 2e partie: extension aux représentations tempérées*, in "Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I" Astérisque No. 346 (2012), 171-312

[Wall] N. R. Wallach: *Real reductive groups I*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 132. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. xx+412 pp

[Wh] H. Whitney: *On the extension of differentiable functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 50, (1944). 76-81